Heavy-quark transport coefficients Sensitivity to hadronization description

Hendrik van Hees

Goethe University Frankfurt

April 27, 2021







2 Heavy-quark interactions in the sQGP

3 Non-perturbative HQ interactions

- Resonance model for HQ-q Scattering
- T-matrix approach with lQCD potentials



- transport (UrQMD) + hydro (SHASTA) hybrid model
- nuclei: Woods-Saxon profile; binary interactions
- string excitation, fragmentation (PYTHIA)
- after $t_{\text{start}} = 0.5 \text{ fm}/c$: particle distributions, momenta, energy density from UrQMD \rightarrow via Gaussian smearing to initial conditions for hydro
- during hydro evolution: HG EoS or chiral DE-EoS
- switch back to transport: Cooper-Frye freezeout at constant τ -hypersurfaces for $\epsilon \lesssim 5\epsilon_0 \simeq 730 \text{ MeV/fm}^3$

[H. Petersen, Phys.Rev. C 84 (2011) 034912; arXiv:1206.3371 [nucl-th]]

- Pb-Pb collisions $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$
- particle multiplicities at mid rapidity $|\eta| < 0.5$



- Pb-Pb collisions $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$
- p_T distribution of charged hadrons



- Pb-Pb collisions $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$
- v_2 of charged hadrons



- Pb-Pb collisions $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$
- ϵ_2 and ϵ_3 distributions





Relativistic Langevin process

- Langevin process: friction force + Gaussian random force
- in the (local) rest frame of the heat bath

$$d\vec{x} = \frac{\vec{p}}{E_p} dt,$$

$$d\vec{p} = -A\vec{p} dt + \sqrt{2dt} \left[\sqrt{B_0}P_{\perp} + \sqrt{B_1}P_{\parallel}\right]\vec{w}$$

- \vec{w} : normal-distributed random variable
- A: friction (drag) coefficient
- *B*_{0,1}: diffusion coefficients
- Einstein dissipation-fluctuation relation $B_1 = E_p T A$.
- flow via Lorentz boosts between "heat-bath frame" and "lab frame"
- A and B_0 from microscopic models for qQ, gQ scattering
- medium: UrQMD \rightarrow hydro \rightarrow UrQMD vs. UrQMD/coarse-graining

[R. Rapp, HvH, R. C. Hwa and X. N. Wang (eds.), Quark-Gluon Plasma Vol. IV, World Sientific (2010), arXiv: 0903.1096 [hep-ph]; M. He, HvH, P. B. Gossiaux, R. J. Fries, R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. E 88, 032138 (2013)]

Free Lagrangian: Particle Content

• Chiral symmetry $SU_V(2) \otimes SU_A(2)$ in light-quark sector of QCD

$$\mathscr{L}_D^{(0)} = \sum_{i=1}^2 [(\partial_\mu \Phi_i^{\dagger})(\partial^\mu \Phi_i) - m_D^2 \Phi_i^{\dagger} \Phi_i] + \text{massive (pseudo-)vectors } D^*]$$

- Φ_i : two doublets: pseudo-scalar ~ $\binom{\overline{D^0}}{D^-}$ and scalar
- Φ_i^* : two doublets: vector $\sim \left(\begin{matrix} \overline{D^{0*}} \\ D^{-*} \end{matrix} \right)$ and pseudo-vector $\mathscr{L}_{qc}^{(0)} = \bar{q} i \partial q + \bar{c} (i \partial - m_c) c$
- q: light-quark doublet $\sim \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}$
- c: singlet

- Interactions determined by chiral symmetry
- For transversality of vector mesons: heavy-quark effective theory vertices

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}_{\text{int}} &= -G_{S} \left(\bar{q} \, \frac{1+\not{p}}{2} \Phi_{1} c_{\nu} + \bar{q} \, \frac{1+\not{p}}{2} \mathrm{i} \gamma^{5} \Phi_{2} c_{\nu} + h.c. \right) \\ &- G_{V} \left(\bar{q} \, \frac{1+\not{p}}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \Phi_{1\mu}^{*} c_{\nu} + \bar{q} \, \frac{1+\not{p}}{2} \mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5} \Phi_{2\mu}^{*} c_{\nu} + h.c. \right) \end{aligned}$$

- *v*: four velocity of heavy quark
- in HQET: spin symmetry \Rightarrow $G_S = G_V$

Non-perturbative interactions: Resonance Scattering

- General idea: Survival of *D* and *B* -meson like resonances above *T_c*
- model based on chiral symmetry (light quarks) HQ-effective theory
- elastic heavy-light-(anti-)quark scattering



• *D*- and *B*-meson like resonances in sQGP



- parameters
 - $m_D = 2 \text{ GeV}, \Gamma_D = 0.4 \dots 0.75 \text{ GeV}$
 - $m_B = 5 \text{ GeV}, \Gamma_B = 0.4 \dots 0.75 \text{ GeV}$

[HvH, R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. C 71, 034907 (2005); HvH, V. Greco, R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. C 73, 034913 (2006)]



- total pQCD and resonance cross sections: comparable in size
- BUT pQCD forward peaked ↔ resonance isotropic
- resonance scattering more effective for friction and diffusion

Transport coefficients: pQCD vs. resonance scattering

• three-momentum dependence



• resonance contributions factor ~ 2...3 higher than pQCD!

Transport coefficients: pQCD vs. resonance scattering

• Temperature dependence



T-matrix

• Brueckner many-body approach for elastic Qq, $Q\bar{q}$ scattering



- *V*: static $q\bar{q}$ potential from lattice QCD (*F* and *U*)
- reduction scheme: 4D Bethe-Salpeter → 3D Lipmann-Schwinger
- S- and P waves
- Relation to invariant matrix elements

$$\sum |\mathcal{M}(s)|^2 \propto \sum_q d_a \left(|T_{a,l=0}(s)|^2 + 3|T_{a,l=1}(s)|^2 \cos \theta_{\rm cm} \right)$$

[HvH, M. Mannarelli, V. Greco, R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 192301 (2008)]

Static heavy-quark potentials from lattice QCD



• color-singlet free energy from lattice \rightarrow internal energy

$$U_{1}(r,T) = F_{1}(r,T) - T \frac{\partial F_{1}(r,T)}{\partial T},$$

$$V_{1}(r,T) = U_{1}(r,T) - U_{1}(r \to \infty, T)$$

• Casimir scaling of Coulomb part for other color channels; confining part color blind [F Riek, R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. C 82, 035201 (2010)].

$$V_3 = \frac{1}{2}V_1$$
, $V_6 = -\frac{1}{4}V_1$, $V_8 = -\frac{1}{8}V_1$

T-matrix results



- resonance formation at lower temperatures $T \simeq T_c$
- melting of resonances at higher T
- model-independent assessment of elastic Qq, $Q\bar{q}$ scattering!

Transport coefficients



- *T*-matrix resonance-scattering coefficients: decrease with *T*
- from non-pert. interactions reach $A_{\text{non-pert}} \simeq 1/(7 \text{ fm}/c) \simeq 4A_{\text{pQCD}}$
- results for free-energy potential, F considerably smaller

• Coalescence [Greco, Ko, Rapp, Phys. Lett. B 595, 202 (2004)]

$$P_{\text{coa}} = \exp\left\{\left[(\Delta p^{0})^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\Delta p^{i})^{2} - (\Delta_{m})^{2}\right]\sigma^{2}\right\}, \quad \sigma^{2} = \frac{8}{3}r_{\text{D}}^{2}$$

• Petersen fragmentation (*z*: momentum fraction $c \rightarrow D$)

$$D(z) = \frac{H}{z[1 - (1/z) - \epsilon_p/(1-z)]^2}.$$

• based on unitarized chiral HQ model

[Abreu et al, Ann. Phys. 326, 2737 (2011); PRD 87, 034019 (2013); Tolos, Torres-Rincon, PRD 88, 074019 (2013)]

- coupled channel T-matrix approach with pseudo-Goldstone mesons (π , η , K) and baryons (N, \bar{N} , Δ , $\bar{\Delta}$)
- D-meson scattering cross sections used for HQ drag and diffusion coefficients

Hadronic transport coefficients

• drag and diffusion coefficients for D from mesonic interactions



Hadronic transport coefficients

• drag and diffusion coefficients for D from baryonic interactions



Hadronic transport coefficients

• drag and diffusion coefficients for D at finite $\mu_{\rm B}$



- $E_{\rm kin} = 25 A {\rm GeV}$
- bulk-medium evolution: UrQMD-hydro hybrid vs. UrQMD coarse graining
- QQP HQ transport coefficients from resonance model
- influence of hadronization description: sensitivity to
 - hadronization temperature
 - coalescence vs. fragmentation (dependence on $r_{\rm D}, \epsilon_{\rm P}$)



• strong rise in R_{AA} due to energy constraint in pp ($p_T \lesssim 2.5 \text{ GeV}$)

- $E_{\rm kin} = 25 A {\rm GeV}$
- bulk-medium evolution: UrQMD-hydro hybrid vs. UrQMD coarse graining
- QQP HQ transport coefficients from resonance model
- influence of hadronization description: sensitivity to
 - hadronization temperature
 - coalescence vs. fragmentation (dependence on $r_{\rm D}$, $\epsilon_{\rm P}$)



• strong rise in R_{AA} due to energy constraint in pp ($p_T \lesssim 2.5 \text{ GeV}$)

- $E_{\rm kin} = 25 A {\rm GeV}$
- bulk-medium evolution: UrQMD-hydro hybrid vs. UrQMD coarse graining
- QQP HQ transport coefficients from resonance model
- influence of hadronization description: sensitivity to
 - hadronization temperature
 - coalescence vs. fragmentation (dependence on $r_{\rm D}$, $\epsilon_{\rm P}$)



- $E_{\rm kin} = 25 A {\rm GeV}$
- bulk-medium evolution: UrQMD-hydro hybrid vs. UrQMD coarse graining
- QQP HQ transport coefficients from resonance model
- influence of hadronization description: sensitivity to
 - hadronization temperature
 - coalescence vs. fragmentation (dependence on $r_{\rm D}, \epsilon_{\rm P}$)



• influence of longer hadronic phase negligible

- $E_{\rm kin} = 25 A {\rm GeV}$
- bulk-medium evolution: UrQMD-hydro hybrid vs. UrQMD coarse graining
- QQP HQ transport coefficients from resonance model
- influence of hadronization description: sensitivity to
 - hadronization temperature
 - coalescence vs. fragmentation (dependence on $r_{\rm D}$, $\epsilon_{\rm P}$)



• large influence on hadronization procedure