Accessing the origin of the nucleon mass

Gastão Krein

Instituto de Física Teórica, São Paulo

Mass in the standard model and consequences of its emergence ECT* (online) – April 19 - 23, 2021

What is the origin of the mass

of the hadrons ?

Computers gave an answer to the question



The mass of the hadrons comes from the gluons and nearly massless quarks

Light-hadron masses

Science

2008

Ab Initio Determination of Light Hadron Masses

S. Dürr, Z. Fodor, J. Frison, C. Hoelbling, R. Hoffmann, S. D. Katz, S. Krieg, T. Kurth, L. Lellouch, T. Lippert, K. K. Szabo and G. Vulvert

Science 322 (5905), 1224-1227. DOI: 10.1126/science.1163233





Yet, we are not satisfied

We want to know more:

How did it happen?*

*F. Wilczek, *The lightness of being: Mass, ether, and the unification of forces* (Basic Books, 2008)

Back \sim 40 years

—
$$|h(oldsymbol{p})
angle$$
: hadron state*, $p=(E_h(oldsymbol{p}),oldsymbol{p})$

$$- \langle h(oldsymbol{p})|T^{\mu
u}(x)|h(oldsymbol{p})
angle = p^{\mu}p^{
u}/E_h(oldsymbol{p}), \qquad T^{\mu
u}(x)$$
: en.-mom. tensor

$$- \langle h(\boldsymbol{p})|T^{\mu}_{\mu}(x)|h(\boldsymbol{p})\rangle = p^{\mu}p_{\mu}/E_{h}(\boldsymbol{p}) = m_{h}^{2}/E_{h}(\boldsymbol{p})$$

— Take
$$m_{\text{light}} = 0$$
 and $m_{\text{heavy}} = \infty$ in QCD Lagrangian:

<u>Classical</u> action is scale invariant: $x^{\mu} \rightarrow \lambda x^{\mu}$

Conserved current: $\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}_{\rm D}(x) = 0$ where $J^{\mu}_{\rm D}(x) = x_{\nu}T^{\mu\nu}(x)$

Since
$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}(x) = 0 \rightarrow \partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}_{\mathrm{D}}(x) = 0 \rightarrow T^{\mu}_{\mu}(x) = 0 \Rightarrow m_{h} = 0$$

*Normalized such that expectation value of T^{00} gives the hadron energy

Back \sim 40 years - cont'd

- Quantum action IS NOT scale invariant: $\alpha_s = g^2/4\pi \xrightarrow{\text{reg.}} \alpha_s(\mu)$

$$T^{\mu}_{\mu}(x) = \frac{\beta(\alpha_s)}{2\alpha_s} G^a_{\mu\nu}(x) G^{a\mu\nu}(x)$$

This is the trace anomaly

— For $m_{\text{light}} = 0$ and $m_{\text{heavy}} = \infty$: $m_h = \frac{\beta(\alpha_s)}{2\alpha_s} \langle h | G^a_{\mu\nu}(x) G^{a\mu\nu}(x) | h \rangle$

— For $m_{\text{light}} \neq 0$ and m_{heavy} finite

Heavy quarkonium - nucleon scattering

Small QN relative momentum



Heavy quarkonium - nucleon (QN)

Low QN momentum interaction

- Heavy quarkonium: small object, radius r_Q
- Interacts by exchanging gluons with nucleon's light quarks
- Low relative momentum, gluon wavelength $\lambda_g \sim r_N$ (nucleon radius)
- $r_Q \ll r_N$: quarkonium small dipole in soft gluon fields
- QCD multipole expansion (\sim OPE)

QN forward scattering amplitude*

QCD multipole expansion

$$\begin{split} f_{QN}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{p}')|_{\boldsymbol{p}'=\boldsymbol{p}} &= \frac{\mu_{QN}}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{2T_F}{3N_c} \langle \varphi_Q | \boldsymbol{r} \frac{1}{E_b + H_{\text{octet}}} \boldsymbol{r} | \varphi_Q \rangle \right] \langle N(\boldsymbol{p}) | (g\boldsymbol{E}^a)^2 | N(\boldsymbol{p}) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\mu_{QN}}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2} \alpha_Q \langle N(\boldsymbol{p}) | (g\boldsymbol{E}^a)^2 | N(\boldsymbol{p}) \rangle \end{split}$$

— μ_{QN} reduced mass, $oldsymbol{p},oldsymbol{p}'$ relative c.m. momenta

— α_Q quarkonium color polarizability

 $-T_F = 1/2, N_c = 3$

* Peskin, Bhanot & Peskin, Kaidalov & Volkovitsky, Kharzeev, Luke et al., Voloshin, ...

Trace anomaly and $\langle N | (gE^a)^2 | N \rangle$

$$\frac{\beta(\alpha_s)}{2\alpha_s} \langle N | G^a_{\mu\nu}(x) G^{a\mu\nu}(x) | N \rangle = m_N, \qquad \beta(\alpha_s) \stackrel{N_f=3}{=} -\frac{9}{4\pi} \alpha_s^2$$

Inequality (almost saturated)*:

$$\langle N | \left[(g\boldsymbol{E}^{a})^{2} - (g\boldsymbol{B}^{a})^{2} \right] | N \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \langle N | g^{2} G^{a}_{\mu\nu}(x) G^{a\mu\nu}(x) | N \rangle$$
$$= \frac{16\pi^{2}}{9} m_{N}$$
$$\leqslant \langle N | (g\boldsymbol{E}^{a})^{2} | N \rangle$$

* Sibirtsev & Voloshin, Kharzeev

Experimental access to $\langle N | (gE^a)^2 | N \rangle$ —Will focus on $Q = J/\psi$

Lattice QCD simulations and models point toward a weakly attractive, $S-{\rm wave}$ dominated $J/\psi\,N$ interaction

 $\left\| \right.$ small relative $J/\psi\,N$ momenta: $f_{\rm forw.}\simeq -a_{J/\psi N}$

$$a_{J/\psi N} = -rac{\mu_{J/\psi N}}{2\pi} \, rac{1}{2} \, lpha_{J/\psi} \langle N | (g E^a)^2 | N
angle$$

Need to measure $a_{J/\psi N}$

(But to obtain $\langle N|(gm{E}^a)^2|N
angle$ need to know $lpha_{J/\psi}$)

Electro- and photoproduction @ JLab, EIC, EicC



Analyses of recent Glue-X experiment*

Extracted very small values of scattering length
 0.003 fm ≤ |a_{J/ψN}| ≤ 0.025 fm
 100 times smaller than some of earlier theoretical estimates

– Issues:

No forward scattering, $t_{\rm thr.} \simeq 1.5~{\rm GeV}^2$ Vector meson dominance problematic, not enough time for J/ψ to be formed

* I.I. Strakovsky, D. Epifanov, and L. Pentchev, PRD 101, 042201 (2020) L. Pentchev and I.I. Strakovsky, Eur. Phys. J. A 57, 56 (2021) 56 Page 4 of 5

Table 1 Results for the absolute value of the $J/\psi \cdot p$ scattering length obtained from J/ψ photoproduction using different datasets and extrapolating methods as described in the text (only statistical uncertainties are shown) – top. These results are compared to the theoretical calcu-

lations [8–17] – bottom. The lattice results of Ref.[11] "are roughly in agreement with the predictions for almost noninteracting nucleon and J/ψ "

| Extrapolated data (method) | $ \alpha_{J/\psi p} \ 10^{-3} \ \mathrm{fm}$ | Reference |
|--|---|-----------|
| $\sigma^{\gamma p}(s_{thr})$, GlueX [3] (odd-polynomial fit) | 3.08 ± 0.55 | [2] |
| $\sigma^{\gamma p}(s_{thr})$, GlueX [3] (3g-exchange model) | 3.64 ± 0.26 | This work |
| $d\sigma^{\gamma p}/dt(s_{thr}, t_{thr})$, GlueX [3] 10.7 GeV (energy independence) | 3.83 ± 0.98 | This work |
| $d\sigma^{\gamma p}/dt(s_{thr}, 0)$, SLAC [6] > 13 GeV (global fit) | 46 ± 5 | [7] |
| $d\sigma^{\gamma p}/dt(s_{thr}, 0)$, GlueX [3] 10.7 GeV (energy independence) | 24.5 ± 3.9 | This work |
| Theoretical models (year) | | |
| Photoproduction via open-charm channel (2020) | 0.2 - 3 | [8] |
| QCD multipole expansion (2020) | 200 - 2000 | [9] |
| Lattice QCD (2019) | 200 - 700 | [10] |
| Lattice QCD (2019) | Small | [11] |
| Lattice QCD (2006) | 710 ± 480 | [12] |
| Multipole expansion, LE QCD theorem (2005) | 370 | [13] |
| QCD sum rules (1999) | 100 | [14] |
| Gluonic van der Waals interaction (1997) | 250 | [15] |
| $q\bar{q}$ Green's function, non-relativistic gluonic interaction (1997) | 12 | [16] |
| Heavy-quarkonia gluonic interaction, LE QCD theorem (1992) | 50 | [17] |

L. Pentchev and I.I. Strakovsky, Eur. Phys. J. A 57, 56 (2021)

Proton mass radius*



Close to the production threshold:

 $f_{QN}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{p}') \approx \langle N(\boldsymbol{p}')|(g\boldsymbol{E}^a)^2|N(\boldsymbol{p})\rangle \sim G(t)$

$$G(t) = \frac{M}{\left(1 - t/m_s^2\right)^2}$$

$$R_m = \sqrt{\frac{12}{m_s^2}} = 0.55 \pm 0.03 \; {\rm fm}$$

*D. Kharzeev, arXiv:2102.00110

$\bar{p}d \rightarrow J/\psi \, p \, \pi^-$ @ AMBER, PANDA



Similar to $\overline{p}d \rightarrow D \overline{D}N$ @ $\overline{P}ANDA$



Fig. 1. Contributions to the reaction $\bar{p}d \rightarrow D\bar{D}N$: a) the Born (nucelon exchange) diagram. T_A denotes the annihilation amplitude. b) Meson rescattering diagram. T_M denotes the meson-nucleon scattering amplitude. Note that both DNand $\bar{D}N$ scatterings contribute to the reaction amplitude.

J. Haidenbauer, GK, U.-G. Meißner, and A. Sibirtsev, Eur. Phys. J. A 37, 55 (2008)

Femtoscopy in heavy-ion collisions @ LHC



Figure from: A new laboratory to study hadron-hadron interactions ALICE collaboration, arXiv:2005.11495

Experimental extraction

- p_1, p_2 : measured hadron momenta m_1, m_2 : hadron masses $m_2 p_1 - m_1 p_2$

 $oldsymbol{P}=oldsymbol{p}_1+oldsymbol{p}_2,\ oldsymbol{k}=rac{m_2oldsymbol{p}_1-m_1oldsymbol{p}_2}{m_1+m_2}$: c.m. and relative momenta

Experimental extraction

- p_1, p_2 : measured hadron momenta m_1, m_2 : hadron masses

 $oldsymbol{P}=oldsymbol{p}_1+oldsymbol{p}_2,\ oldsymbol{k}=rac{m_2oldsymbol{p}_1-m_1oldsymbol{p}_2}{m_1+m_2}$: c.m. and relative momenta

— Pair's c.m. frame: $P = 0 \rightarrow p_1 = -p_2 \Rightarrow k = p_1 = -p_2$

 $C(k) = \frac{A(k)}{B(k)} \begin{cases} A(k) : \text{ yield from same event (coincidence yield)} \\ B(k) : \text{ yield from different events (background)} \end{cases}$

Experimental extraction

- p_1, p_2 : measured hadron momenta m_1, m_2 : hadron masses

 $P = p_1 + p_2, \ k = rac{m_2 p_1 - m_1 p_2}{m_1 + m_2}$: c.m. and relative momenta

— Pair's c.m. frame: $P = 0 \rightarrow p_1 = -p_2 \Rightarrow k = p_1 = -p_2$

 $C(k) = \frac{A(k)}{B(k)} \begin{cases} A(k) : \text{ yield from same event (coincidence yield)} \\ B(k) : \text{ yield from different events (background)} \end{cases}$

— Corrections: nonfemtoscopic correlations, momentum resolution, etc $\leftarrow \xi(k)$

$$C(k) = \xi(k) \frac{A(k)}{B(k)}$$

Theoretical interpretation

— Kooning-Pratt formula

$$C(k) = \xi(k) \frac{A(k)}{B(k)} = \int d^3 r \, S_{12}(\mathbf{r}) \, |\psi(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r})|^2$$

S(r): source, pair's relative distance distribution function (in pair's frame) $\psi(k, r)$: pair's relative wave function

- One needs here $\psi({m k},{m r})$ for $0\leqslant r\leqslant\infty$, not asymptotic as in scattering
- $\psi({m k},{m r})$: properties of the interaction

Prediction confirmed by femtoscopy

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 124, 092301 (2020)

Scattering Studies with Low-Energy Kaon-Proton Femtoscopy in Proton-Proton Collisions at the LHC

S. Acharya *et al.*^{*} (A Large Ion Collider Experiment Collaboration)



Red band (theory prediction): J. Haidenbauer, GK, U.-G. Meißner and L. Tólos Eur. Phys. J. A 47, 18 (2011)

Recent prediction: $\Lambda_c N$

184 Page 6 of 8

Eur. Phys. J. A (2020) 56:184



J. Haidenbauer and GK, Eur. Phys. J. A 56, 184 (2020)

Femtoscopy of J/ψ -nucleon

— Interaction: weakly attractive, s-wave dominated

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{r}) = e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} + \psi_0(k,r) - j_0(kr)$$

 $\psi_0(k,r)$ contains the effects of the interaction

— Simplification (not unrealistic):

$$S_{12}(r) = \frac{1}{(4\pi R^2)^{3/2}} e^{-r^2/4R^2}$$

Normally used: $R = 1 \text{ fm} - 1.3 \text{ fm} (p\bar{p}), R = 1.5 \text{ fm} - 4.0 \text{ fm} (pA, AA)$

— Correlation function:

$$C(k) = 1 + \frac{4\pi}{(4\pi R^2)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty dr \, r^2 \, e^{-r^2/4R^2} \left[|\psi_0(k,r)|^2 - |j_0(kr)|^2 \right]$$

GK and T.C. Peixoto, Few Body Syst. 61, 49 (2020)

Source size × interaction range

If emission happens outside ''interaction range'': $\psi_0(k,r) \to \psi_0^{\rm asy}(k,r)$

$$\psi_0^{asy}(k,r) = \frac{\sin(kr+\delta_0)}{kr} = e^{-i\delta_0} \left[j_0(kr) + f_0(k) \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} \right]$$

$$f_0(k) = \frac{e^{i\delta_0} \sin \delta_0}{k} \approx^{k \to 0} \frac{1}{-1/a_0 + r_0 k^2/2 - ik}$$

Lednicky-Lyuboshits (LL) model

$$C(k) = 1 + \frac{|f_0(k)|^2}{2R^2} \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{2\sqrt{\pi R}}\right) + \frac{2\text{Re}f_0(k)}{\sqrt{\pi R}}F_1(2kR) - \frac{\text{Im}f_0(k)}{R}F_2(2kR)$$
$$F_1(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x dt \, e^{t-x}, \qquad F_2(x) = \frac{1}{x} \left(1 - e^{-x^2}\right)$$

Validity: $r0 \ll R$

Universal formula, independent of interaction details

Correlation and $\langle (g \boldsymbol{E})^2 \rangle_N$

LL for $k \rightarrow 0$:

$$C(k) = 1 - \frac{1}{2\pi^{3/2}} \left(1 - \frac{8}{3}k^2 R^2 \right) \frac{\mu_{J/\psi N} \alpha_{J/\psi} \langle (g\mathbf{E})^2 \rangle_N}{R}$$

$oldsymbol{C}(k)$ gives direct access to $\langle (goldsymbol{E})^2 angle_N^*$

*Under validity of LL model, Gaussian source

Predictions for J/ψ -nucleon correlation

Lattice QCD data extrapolated to the physical pion mass by QNEFT*



* J. T. Castellà and GK, Phys. Rev. D 98, 014029 (2018)

— Origin of hadrons' mass: QCD trace anomaly (known for 40 years)

- Origin of hadrons' mass: QCD trace anomaly (known for 40 years)
- Experimental access to a key matrix element, $\langle N|(gm{E}^a)^2|N
 angle$

- Origin of hadrons' mass: QCD trace anomaly (known for 40 years)
- Experimental access to a key matrix element, $\langle N|(gm{E}^a)^2|N
 angle$
- Electro- and photoproduction of $J/\psi,\Upsilon$

- Origin of hadrons' mass: QCD trace anomaly (known for 40 years)
- Experimental access to a key matrix element, $\langle N|(gm{E}^a)^2|N
 angle$
- Electro- and photoproduction of $J/\psi,\Upsilon$
 - -Issues due to extrapolation, vector meson dominance

- Origin of hadrons' mass: QCD trace anomaly (known for 40 years)
- Experimental access to a key matrix element, $\langle N|(gm{E}^a)^2|N
 angle$
- Electro- and photoproduction of $J/\psi,\Upsilon$

-Issues due to extrapolation, vector meson dominance

 $- \overline{p}d \rightarrow J/\psi p\pi^-$ @ AMBER, PANDA

- Origin of hadrons' mass: QCD trace anomaly (known for 40 years)
- Experimental access to a key matrix element, $\langle N|(gm{E}^a)^2|N
 angle$
- Electro- and photoproduction of $J/\psi,\Upsilon$

-Issues due to extrapolation, vector meson dominance

- $\overline{p}d \rightarrow J/\psi p\pi^-$ @ AMBER, $\overline{P}ANDA$
- Femtoscopy in high energy pp and heavy-ion collisions

- Origin of hadrons' mass: QCD trace anomaly (known for 40 years)
- Experimental access to a key matrix element, $\langle N|(gm{E}^a)^2|N
 angle$
- Electro- and photoproduction of $J/\psi,\Upsilon$

-Issues due to extrapolation, vector meson dominance

- $\overline{p}d \rightarrow J/\psi p\pi^-$ @ AMBER, $\overline{P}ANDA$
- Femtoscopy in high energy pp and heavy-ion collisions
- How about the pion? $J/\psi \pi$ Femtoscopy?

- Origin of hadrons' mass: QCD trace anomaly (known for 40 years)
- Experimental access to a key matrix element, $\langle N|(gm{E}^a)^2|N
 angle$
- Electro- and photoproduction of $J/\psi, \Upsilon$

-Issues due to extrapolation, vector meson dominance

- $\overline{p}d \rightarrow J/\psi p\pi^-$ @ AMBER, $\overline{P}ANDA$
- Femtoscopy in high energy pp and heavy-ion collisions
- How about the pion? $J/\psi \pi$ Femtoscopy?
- Did not touch on: validity of multipole expansion, factorization

Thank you

Funding



