

# New experimental limits on the effective hadron interaction with strangeness = -3

Georgios Mantzaridis on behalf of the ALICE Collaboration Technical University of Munich STRANU 2021 26/05/2021

#### Entering the Hadronic S = -3 sector





#### Entering the Hadronic S = -3 sector





Femtoscopy pushes the boundary:

Experiment: ALICE Experiment at CERN

Collision system: pp

Energy:  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ 

Type of events: high multiplicity

"Enhanced production of strange hadrons in high multiplicity (HM) pp collisions" ALICE Coll. Nature Physics 13, 535 (2017)

#### Entering the Hadronic S = -3 sector





#### Georgios Mantzaridis | TUM, Chair E62

#### Method: Femtoscopy





#### Georgios Mantzaridis | TUM, Chair E62

 $ec{s}^*_{ ext{res},1}$ 

Daughter \*

#### Source Function

ALICE Coll., Physics Letters B, 811 (2920) 135849

#### Consists of two parts:

- Gaussian core  $\mathbf{r}^*_{\text{Core}}$  (common for all baryon pairs)
- Extension to an effective source size  $\mathbf{r}_{eff}^{*}$  by strongly decaying resonances (specific for each baryon pair)

 $ec{r}^*_{ ext{core}}$ 

Resonance 1





Get **r**\*<sub>Core</sub> from the transverse mass distribution:

1.4

Daughter 2

 $s_{\mathrm{res},2}$ 

Resonance 2



#### Modelling the Correlation Function



7

$$C_{\exp}(k^*) = C_{\text{non-femto}}(k^*) \cdot C_{\text{femto}}(k^*)$$

 $C_{\text{non-femto}}(k^*)$  Baseline from non-femto effects such as energy conservation  $C_{\text{femto}}(k^*)$  Final state interactions, depending on the analysed baryon pairs  $C_{\text{femto}}(k^*) = \lambda_{\text{gen}} \cdot C_{\text{gen}}(k^*) + \lambda_{\text{bkg}} \cdot C_{\text{bkg}}(k^*) + \lambda_{\text{feed}} \cdot C_{\text{feed}}(k^*)$ 



### p-Ω<sup>-</sup>: Data analysis



Excellent particle reconstruction with ALICE:

**Reconstruction:** 

weak decay into  $K^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$  and  $\Lambda$ 

Purity of  $\Omega^{-}$  selection:

95%

 $p-\Omega^{-}$  pairs:



ALICE Coll. Nature 588, 232 (2020)



#### Femto C(k\*):

$C_{\rm gen}(k^*)$	79.0 %: Lattice QCD calculation
$C_{\rm gen}(k^*)$	79.0 %: Lattice QCD calculatio

 $C_{
m bgk}(k^*)$  15.0 %: 3rd degree polynomial  $C_{
m feed}(k^*)$  06.0 %: Flat

ALICE

Femto C(k*)	:	C <sub>non-femto</sub> (k*):
$C_{ m gen}(k^*)$	79.0 %: Lattice QCD calculation	a) constant
$C_{ m bgk}(k^*)$	15.0 %: 3rd degree polynomial	b) 1st degree
$C_{\text{feed}}(k^*)$	06.0 %: Flat	polynomial



Femto C(k*):		C <sub>non-femto</sub> (k*):	Source Function:
$C_{ m gen}(k^*)$	79.0 %: Lattice QCD calculation	a) constant	<m<sub>T&gt; = 2.2 GeV/c</m<sub>
$C_{ m bgk}(k^*)$	15.0 %: 3rd degree polynomial	b) 1st degree	$r_{core} = 0.86 \pm 0.06 \text{ fm}$
$C_{ m feed}(k^*)$	06.0 %: Flat	polynomial	r <sub>eff</sub> = 0.95 ± 0.06 fm



Femto C(	k*):	C <sub>non-femto</sub> (k*):	Source Function:		
$C_{\rm gen}(k^*)$	79.0 %: Lattice QCD calculation	a) constant	<m<sub>T&gt; = 2.2 GeV/c</m<sub>		
$C_{ m bgk}(k^*)$	15.0 %: 3rd degree polynomial	b) 1st degree	$r_{core} = 0.86 \pm 0.06 \text{ fm}$		
$C_{\text{feed}}(k^*)$	06.0 %: Flat	polynomial	r <sub>eff</sub> = 0.95 ± 0.06 fm		
Extract the genuine Correlation function:					
	(1*)	$\gamma$ (1 *) )	(1, *)		

$$C_{\text{gen}}\left(k^{*}\right) = \frac{C_{\text{femto}}\left(k^{*}\right) - \lambda_{\text{bkg}} \cdot C_{\text{bkg}}\left(k^{*}\right) - \lambda_{\text{feed}} \cdot C_{\text{feed}}\left(k^{*}\right)}{\lambda_{\text{gen}}}$$

ALICE data

Coulomb

#### p-Ω<sup>-</sup>: Comparison with only Coulomb Potential

- No agreement between Coulomb
   only hypothesis and experimental
   data
  - ⇒ inclusion of the strong interaction necessary



ALICE Coll. Nature 588, 232 (2020)



#### $p-\Omega^{-}$ : Detailed Look at the Interaction

0

-100

-400

-500

[√0] -200 −200 -300



ALTCE

### p- $\Omega^-$ : Comparison with Coulomb + HAL QCD

C(k \*)

- ALICE data
   Coulomb
   Coulomb + p-Q<sup>-</sup> HAL QCD elastic
   Coulomb + p-Q<sup>-</sup> HAL QCD elastic + inelastic
- Higher accuracy in the data than in the theoretical calculation
- Better agreement of data without inelastic contributions
- Prediction of a bound state with binding energy 2.5 MeV
  - $\Rightarrow$  not reproduced by the data



ICE Coll. Nature 588, 232 (2020)



### Data Analysis $\Lambda$ - $\Xi$ <sup>-</sup>





Georgios Mantzaridis | TUM, Chair E62

### Data Analysis $\Lambda$ - $\Xi$ <sup>-</sup>





#### **A-E**<sup>-</sup> **pairs:** $1.1 \cdot 10^{6} (5 \cdot 10^{3} \text{ for } \text{k}^{*} < 200 \text{ MeV/c})$

Georgios Mantzaridis | TUM, Chair E62



#### Femto C(k\*):

- $C_{\rm gen}(k^*)$ 36.01 %: Lednicky model
- $C_{
  m bgk}(k^*)$  8.13 %: 2nd degree polynomial  $C_{
  m feed}(k^*)$  55.85 %: Flat



#### Femto C(k\*):

- $C_{\rm gen}(k^*)$ 36.01 %: Lednicky model
- $C_{
  m bgk}(k^*)$  8.13 %: 2nd degree polynomial  $C_{
  m feed}(k^*)$  55.85 %: Flat

Non-Femto: C<sub>non-femto</sub>(k\*): a) A(1+ p k\*<sup>2</sup>) b) A(1+ p k\*<sup>3</sup>)



Femto C(k*)	<u>.</u>	Non- Femto:	Source Function:
$C_{ m gen}(k^*)$	36.01 %: Lednicky model	C <sub>non-femto</sub> (k*):	<m<sub>T&gt; = 2.0 GeV/c</m<sub>
$C_{ m bgk}(k^*)$	8.13 %: 2nd degree polynomial	a) A(1+ p k*²)	$r_{core} = 0.89 \pm 0.05 \text{ fm}$
$C_{ m feed}(k^*)$	55.85 %: Flat	b) A(1+ p k* <sup>3</sup> )	r <sub>eff</sub> = 1.03 ± 0.05 fm



Femto C(k*)	<u>):</u>	Non- Femto:	Source Function:	
$C_{ m gen}(k^*)$	36.01 %: Lednicky model	C <sub>non-femto</sub> (k*):	<m<sub>T&gt; = 2.0 GeV/c</m<sub>	
$C_{ m bgk}(k^*)$	8.13 %: 2nd degree polynomial	a) A(1+ p k*²)	$r_{core} = 0.89 \pm 0.05 \text{ fm}$	
$C_{ m feed}(k^*)$	55.85 %: Flat	b) A(1+ p k* <sup>3</sup> )	r <sub>eff</sub> = 1.03 ± 0.05 fm	
Correct the genuine theoretical calculated C(k*) for the additional contributions:				
$C_{\text{femto}} (k^*) = \lambda_{\text{gen}} \cdot C_{\text{gen}} (k^*) + \lambda_{\text{bkg}} \cdot C_{\text{bkg}} (k^*) + \lambda_{\text{feed}} \cdot C_{\text{feed}} (k^*)$				
$C_{\text{exp}}(k^*) = C_{\text{non-femto}}(k^*) \cdot C_{\text{femto}}(k^*)$				

Georgios Mantzaridis | TUM, Chair E62

#### The Lednicky-Lyuboshits model



$$C(k^{*})_{\text{Lednicky}} = 1 + \sum_{S} \rho_{S} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{f(k^{*})^{S}}{r_{0}} \right|^{2} \left( 1 - \frac{d_{0}^{S}}{2\sqrt{\pi}r_{0}} \right) + \frac{2\Re f(k^{*})^{S}}{\sqrt{\pi}r_{0}} F_{1}\left( 2k^{*}r_{0} \right) - \frac{\Im f(k^{*})^{S}}{r_{0}} F_{2}\left( 2k^{*}r_{0} \right) \right]$$

Analytical approach to model CF for strong final state interactions with the scattering amplitude  $f_0$ 

$$f(k^*) = \left(\frac{1}{f_0} + \frac{1}{2}d_0k^{*2} - ik^*\right)^{-1}$$

d<sub>0</sub>: effective range f<sub>0</sub>: scattering length

### Model comparison $\chi$ EFT LO





#### **Scattering parameters**

	EFT			
Λ (MeV)	550	600	650	700
singlet				
$f_0^0$	33.5	-35.4	-12.7	-9.07
$d_0^0$	1.00	0.93	0.87	0.87
triplet				
$f_0^1$	-0.33	- 0.33	- 0.32	-0.31
$d_0^1$	-0.36	-0.30	-0.29	-0.27

J. Haidenbauer and U.-G. Meissner, Phys. Lett. B 684 (2010) 275-280

#### Compatibility with theory

range	: 0 - 250 MeV/c	1	0 - 150 MeV/c
$\chi^2$	: 10.93 - 78.31		10.67 - 75.80
n <b>σ</b> band	: 1.94σ - 7.95σ		2.47 <b>σ</b> - 8.20 <b>σ</b>

#### Model comparison NSC97a





**Scattering parameters** 

	NSC97a
singlet	
$f_{0}^{0}$	0.80
$d_0^0$	4.71
triplet	
$f_{0}^{1}$	-0.54
$d_0^1$	-0.47

Th. A. Rijken, V. G. J. Stoks, and Y. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. C 59 (1999) 21

#### Compatibility with theory

range	: 0 - 250 MeV/c	0 - 150 MeV/c	
$\chi^2$	: 2.17 - 6.45	1.77 - 3.17	
n <b>σ</b> band	: 0.22σ - 1.12σ	0.50σ - 0.90σ	

#### Results: ∧-Ξ<sup>-</sup>



Comparison of ALICE data with meson exchange model and DEFT LO:

- ⇒ Suggests shallow strong interaction
- $\Rightarrow$  Decrease of theoretical uncertainty of N $\Omega$  coupling



#### Summary



- First experimental constraints on the strangeness = -3 sector:
- First observation of the p- $\Omega$  interaction
  - published in nature last december
  - attractive strong interaction confirmed
  - Lattice QCD predicts a bound state <---> not seen in the data
  - theory missing the  ${}^{3}S_{2}$  channel
- First observation of the  $\Lambda$ - $\Xi$  interaction
  - shallow interaction potential
  - contradiction to  $\chi$ EFT LO calculations
  - first constraints for the  ${}^{3}S_{2}$  channel of p- $\Omega^{-1}$ : CC to  $\Lambda$ - $\Xi^{-1}$  seems negligable

#### Outlook



- Further pair interactions to be explored in the future and further first principle calculations can be tested
- Run 3 and 4 will provide more data and the possibility for differential studies



#### Thank you for your attention



Georgios Mantzaridis | TUM, Chair E62

ALICE

#### Backup



#### Enhanced strangeness production in HM events





<u>Data sample:</u>

- pp 13 TeV (1000 M high multiplicity events)

Tracking and PID:

- Hyperon reconstruction with purities >95%

Nature Physics volume 13, 535–539(2017)

#### Effect of the Resonances on the Source





# The strong p-Ω<sup>-</sup> interaction

- -> Calculations provide the potential shape for the  ${}^{5}S_{2}$  channel (weight  $\frac{5}{3}$ ).
- -> Currently, no model for the other channel in S-wave interaction,  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  (weight  $\frac{3}{8}$ ). Requires coupled channel treatment.

Assume two different (~extreme) scenarios:

1.- Complete absorption for distances  $r < r_0$ .  $r_0$  chosen from the condition  $|V({}^5S_2)| < |V(Coulomb)|$  for  $r > r_0$ 

2.- Complete elastic with a similar attraction as  ${}^{5}S_{2}$ Kenji Morita et al., Phys. Rev. C101, 015201 (2020)





# ALICE

#### The strong $p-\Omega^-$ interaction



Georgios Mantzaridis | TUM, Chair E62

#### Effect of the boundstate on $p-\Omega^-$



