Hot Topics in STRANgeness NUclear and Atomic Physics 26 May, 2021

Exploring the baryon-baryon interaction in the strangeness sector with lattice QCD

Marc Illa

Universitat de Barcelona, Institut de Ciències del Cosmos









Silas R. Beane, Emmanuel Chang, Zohreh Davoudi, William Detmold, David J. Murphy, Kostas Orginos, Assumpta Parreño, Martin J. Savage, Phiala E. Shanahan, Michael L. Wagman and Frank Winter

Motivation

We need data to constrain the low-energy coefficients from EFTs





 $\mathcal{L}_{QCD}[q,\overline{q},A;m_q,\alpha_s]$

 $--[\pi N \cdot m - m - C]$

 $\mathcal{L}_{EFT}[\pi, N, \ldots; m_{\pi}, m_N, \ldots, C_i]$

Lack of experimental data for baryonic systems that contain strange quarks $(\Lambda/\Sigma/\Xi)$





Ipdated from Dover and Feshbach, <u>Ann. Phys. 198 (1990)</u>

To complement experimental data, we can use lattice QCD



$$\begin{split} \langle \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rangle &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\overline{q} \mathcal{D}A_{\mu} \ \hat{\mathcal{O}}[q,\overline{q},A] e^{iS_{QCD}} \\ \\ & \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{Finite volume } (L^{3} \times T) \\ \text{Discretize spacetime } (b) \\ \text{Imaginary time } (t \to i\tau) \end{array} \right| \\ \langle \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rangle &\approx \frac{1}{N_{\text{cfg}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{cfg}}} \hat{\mathcal{O}}[U^{(i)}] \end{split}$$

To complement experimental data, we can use lattice QCD

But why most of the results are obtained with heavier-than-physical quark masses?

(NPLQCD, PACS-CS, CalLat, Mainz, HAL QCD)



To complement experimental data, we can use lattice QCD

But why most of the results are obtained with heavier-than-physical quark masses?

(NPLQCD, PACS-CS, CalLat, Mainz, HAL QCD)





A world with $m_{\pi} \sim 800$ MeV and exact $SU(3)_f$ symmetry: Beane et al. [NPLQCD], PRD 87 (2013) (no e.m.)



Baryon-baryon systems

$${\scriptstyle n \ p \ } {\scriptstyle n \ p \ } {\scriptstyle n \ p \ } {\scriptstyle \Sigma^{-} \ \Lambda \ \Sigma^{0} \ \Sigma^{+} \ \otimes \ \Sigma^{-} \ \Lambda \ \Sigma^{0} \ \Sigma^{+} = \mathbf{27} \oplus \mathbf{8}_{s} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{10}} \oplus \mathbf{10} \oplus \mathbf{8}_{a}$$



EFT for two-baryon systems

At very low energies, we can use pionless EFT to study the baryon-baryon interaction

$$\mathcal{L}_{BB}^{(\not{\pi})} \xrightarrow{SU(3)}_{\text{Savage and Wise, PRD 53 (1996)}} c_{1}, \dots, c_{6} (c^{(27)}, \dots, c^{(8_{a})}) \\ \xrightarrow{Savage and Wise, PRD 53 (1996)}_{\text{Savage and Wise, PRD 53 (1996)}} \tilde{c}_{1}, \dots, \tilde{c}_{6} (\tilde{c}^{(27)}, \dots, \tilde{c}^{(8_{a})}) + c_{1}^{\chi}, \dots, c_{12}^{\chi} SU(3) \\ \xrightarrow{SU(6)}_{\text{Petschauer and Kaiser, NPA 916 (2013)}}_{\text{Petschauer and Kaiser, NPA 916 (2013)}} \\ \underbrace{SU(6)}_{\left[arge \ N_{c} \ Kaplan and Savage, PLB 365 (1996)} (1 - \frac{1}{a_{B_{1}B_{2}}} + \mu \right]^{-1} = \frac{\overline{M}_{B_{1}B_{2}}}{2\pi} (c^{(\text{irrep})} + c_{B_{1}B_{2}}^{\chi})}_{\text{Kaplan, Savage and Wise, PLB 424 (1998), NPE 534 (1998)}}_{\text{Van Kolck, NPA 645 (1999)}}$$

$$n_f = 2 + 1, \ m_{\pi} = 450(5) \text{ MeV}, \ b = 0.117(2) \text{ fm}$$

 $L \in \{2.8, \ 3.7, \ 5.6\} \text{ fm}$ $T \in \{7.5, \ 11.2, \ 11.2\} \text{ fm}$
Different smearings: SP and SS
Boosted systems (**d**) and back-to-back momenta





$$n_f = 2 + 1, \ m_{\pi} = 450(5) \text{ MeV}, \ b = 0.117(2) \text{ fm}$$

 $L \in \{2.8, \ 3.7, \ 5.6\} \text{ fm} \quad T \in \{7.5, \ 11.2, \ 11.2\} \text{ fm}$
Different smearings: SP and SS
Boosted systems (d) and back-to-back momenta

With SU(3) flavor symmetry being explicitly broken, the baryons will have different mass

 $M_N \sim 1.23 \text{ GeV} \quad M_\Lambda \sim 1.31 \text{ GeV} \quad M_\Sigma \sim 1.35 \text{ GeV} \quad M_\Xi \sim 1.41 \text{ GeV}$



$$n_f = 2 + 1, \ m_\pi = 450(5) \text{ MeV}, \ b = 0.117(2) \text{ fm}$$

 $L \in \{2.8, \ 3.7, \ 5.6\} \text{ fm} \quad T \in \{7.5, \ 11.2, \ 11.2\} \text{ fm}$
Different smearings: SP and SS
Boosted systems (**d**) and back-to-back momenta

Noisier correlation functions, so a more elaborated fitting strategy is needed Beane et al. [NPLQCD,QCDSF], PRD 103 (2021)



A total of 12 kinematic points per system

Not all systems show negative ground-state energies



Results at $m_{\pi} \sim 450$ MeV - Binding energies Illa et al. [NPLQCD], PRD 103 (2021), 054508

We use Lüscher's formalism to compute binding energies and scattering parameters Lüscher, CMP 105 (1986), NPB 354 (1991) + many more



$$B_{\rm lin}(m_{\pi}) = B_{\rm lin}^{(0)} + B_{\rm lin}^{(1)} m_{\pi}$$
$$B_{\rm quad}(m_{\pi}) = B_{\rm quad}^{(0)} + B_{\rm quad}^{(1)} m_{\pi}^2$$

Results at $m_{\pi} \sim 450$ MeV - Scattering parameters Illa et al. [NPLQCD], PRD 103 (2021), 054508

We use Lüscher's formalism to compute binding energies and scattering parameters. Lüscher, CMP 105 (1986), NPB 354 (1991) + many more

Now not all the kinematic points fall inside the t-channel cut...



$24^3 \times 64:$	d = (0, 0, 0)	— d = $(0, 0, 2)$
$32^3 \times 96:$	$- \mathbf{c} = (0, 0, 0)$	— $\mathbf{d} = (0, 0, 2)$
$48^3 \times 96:$	— $\mathbf{d} = (0, 0, 0)$	– D– d = $(0, 0, 2)$

Two-parameter ERE: stat. / stat.+sys. Three-parameter ERE: stat. / stat.+sys. $-\sqrt{-k^{*2}}$ - t-channel cut

$$k^* \cot \delta = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{2}rk^{*2} + Pk^{*4} + \mathcal{O}(k^{*6})$$

Results at $m_{\pi} \sim 450$ MeV - Scattering parameters Illa et al. [NPLQCD], PRD 103 (2021), 054508



Compared to $m_{\pi} \sim 800 \; {\rm MeV},$ we have now less points to fit with larger uncertainties

Can also compute the scattering length as: $a^{-1} = \kappa^{(\infty)}$

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{2\pi}{\tilde{M}} \frac{i}{k^* \cot \delta - ik^*} \qquad \xrightarrow{k^* = i\kappa^{(\infty)}} \qquad k^* \cot \delta|_{k^* = i\kappa^{(\infty)}} + \kappa^{(\infty)} = 0$$

If we want to study SU(6), first we have to check $SU(3)_f$

For the 27-plet systems:

 $\begin{cases} NN: c^{(27)} + 4(\boldsymbol{c}_{3}^{\chi} - \boldsymbol{c}_{4}^{\chi}) \\ \SigmaN: c^{(27)} + 2(\boldsymbol{c}_{3}^{\chi} - \boldsymbol{c}_{4}^{\chi}) \end{cases} \qquad \left\{ \Sigma\Sigma: c^{(27)} \right\} \qquad \left\{ \Xi\Sigma: c^{(27)} + 2(\boldsymbol{c}_{1}^{\chi} - \boldsymbol{c}_{2}^{\chi} + \boldsymbol{c}_{11}^{\chi} - \boldsymbol{c}_{12}^{\chi}) \\ \Xi\Xi: c^{(27)} + 4(\boldsymbol{c}_{1}^{\chi} - \boldsymbol{c}_{2}^{\chi} + \boldsymbol{c}_{11}^{\chi} - \boldsymbol{c}_{12}^{\chi}) \right\} \end{cases}$



If we want to study SU(6), first we have to check $SU(3)_f$



$$c^{(27)} = 2a - \frac{2b}{27}$$
 $c^{(\overline{10})} = 2a - \frac{2b}{27}$ $c^{(8_a)} = 2a + \frac{2b}{27}$



$$c_1 = -\frac{7}{27}b$$
 $c_2 = \frac{1}{9}b$ $c_3 = \frac{10}{81}b$ $c_4 = -\frac{14}{81}b$ $c_5 = a + \frac{2}{9}b$ $c_6 = -\frac{1}{9}b$



17

Summary

- LQCD can be used to help constrain EFTs when there are no experimental data
- Although calculations are not @ physical point, they are useful to reveal the symmetries more clearly
 - At 800 MeV, there is an accidental SU(16) symmetry, and at 450 MeV, despite the quarks having different masses, SU(3) and SU(6) are still approximate
- Discrepancies between different methods (variational, HAL QCD) need to be understood
- Calculations near the physical pion mass are being performed

