The two-step mechanism explaining the dibaryon $d^*(2380)$ peak

Raquel Molina, Natsumi Ikeno and E. Oset







- 1. Introduction
- 2. Triangle Singularities
- 3. The $pp \rightarrow \pi^+ d$ reaction
- 4. Results I
- 5. The $np \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- d$ reaction
- 6. Results II
- 7. Conclusions

Intro

The dibaryon peak



Figure 1: Total cross sections obtained from PRL106,242302(2011) WASA-at-COSY on $pd \rightarrow d\pi^0\pi^0 + \rho_{spectator}$ for the beam energies $T_p = 1.0$ GeV (triangles), 1.2 GeV (dots) and 1.4 GeV (squares). The drawn lines represent the expected cross sections for the Roper excitation process (dotted) and the *t*-channel $\Delta\Delta$ contribution (dashed) as well as a calculation for a *s*-channel resonance with m = 2.37 GeV and $\Gamma = 68$ MeV (solid).

The dibaryon peak

• The peak is also seen in $pn \rightarrow d\pi^+\pi^-$, WASA-at-COSY (2013)



Figure 2: Total cross sections of the basic double-pionic fusion reactions. Left: $pp \rightarrow d\pi^+\pi^0$, middle: $pn \rightarrow d\pi^0\pi^0$, bottom: $pn \rightarrow d\pi^+\pi^-$. Filled symbols denote results from PLB721, 229 (2013), open symbols from previous works. The crosses denote the result for the $pn \rightarrow d\pi^0\pi^0$ reaction by using Eq. (1) with the data for the $pn \rightarrow d\pi^+\pi^-$ and $pp \rightarrow d\pi^+\pi^0$ channels as input.

$$\sigma(pn \to d\pi^+\pi^-) = 2\sigma(pn \to d\pi^0\pi^0) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(pp \to d\pi^+\pi^0).$$
(1)

• A possible interpretation has been a $\Delta\Delta$ bound state with $l = 0(3^+)$ quantum numbers which are favored. PRL 106, 242302 (2011).

Is this a new 6-quark state OR it is possible another interpretation?

The dibaryon peak

• I. Bar-Nir et al., Nucl. Phys. B 54, 17-28 (1973):

The dominant two pion production plus fusion mechanism comes from a two step single pion production process, $np \to \pi^- pp$ followed by $pp \to \pi^+ d$ (plus $np \to \pi^+ nn$ followed by $nn \to \pi^- d$).



Figure 3: Two step mechanism for $np \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- d$ investigated ($\pi^+ nn$ in the first step is also considered).

The dibaryon peak

 $np(l = 0) \rightarrow \pi^- pp$ H. Clement and T. Skorodko 2010.09217 (2020)



Figure 4: WASA-at-COSY (solid circles), earlier results (squares & triangles), Dakhno, PLBB 114 (1982), Sarantsev, EPJ. A21 (2004). *t*-channel Roper excitation from Alvarez-Ruso, Oset et al. NPA633(1998) (dashed line). Solid line, Lorentzian fit (m = 2315 MeV and $\Gamma = 150$ MeV).

$$\frac{1}{3}\sigma_{np(l=0)\to NN\pi} = \sigma_{np\to pp\pi^-} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{pp\to pp\pi^0}$$

Indeed, $\sigma(pp \rightarrow \pi^+ d) \sim 3-4$ mb. Too BIG for a fusion reaction!.

C. Richard-Serre et al., NPB20, 413-440 (1970) P. W. F. Alons et al., NPA480, 413 (1988) See also GWU SAID data base for $\pi^+ d \rightarrow pp$



Which kind of structure can cause such a large cross section? Can the two-step mechanism explain the dibaryon peak?

Triangle Singularities

Triangle singularities

Landau, Nucl. Phys. 13, (1959), Coleman, Nuovo Cimento 38 (1965) The Coleman-Norton theorem states that a triangle singularity appears when the process visualized in the triangle-loop diagram can occur at the classical level, the three intermediate particles can be placed simultaneously on shell and they are collinear.



Figure 5: The triangle-loop diagram used in the general discussion of triangle singularities. The two dashed vertical lines correspond to the two relevant cuts. Let us consider the scalar three-point loop integral, $p_{13} \equiv k$, $p_{23} \equiv P - k$

$$I_{1} = i \int \frac{d^{4}q}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{(q^{2} - m_{2}^{2} + i\epsilon) \left[(P - q)^{2} - m_{1}^{2} + i\epsilon\right] \left[(P - q - k)^{2} - m_{3}^{2} + i\epsilon\right]}$$

Triangle singularities Bayar, Aceti, Oset, Guo, PRD94 (2016)

After integrating in the q^0 variable, in order to analyze the singularity structure, it is sufficient to focus on the following integral:

$$\begin{split} I(m_{23}) &= \int \frac{d^3q}{(P^0 - \omega_1(\vec{q}\,) - \omega_2(\vec{q}\,) + i\,\epsilon) \left(E_{23} - \omega_2(\vec{q}\,) - \omega_3(\vec{k} + \vec{q}\,) + i\,\epsilon\right)} \\ &= 2\,\pi \int_0^\infty dq \; \frac{q^2}{P^0 - \omega_1(q) - \omega_2(q) + i\,\epsilon} f(q)\,, \quad (3) \end{split}$$
where $\omega_{1,2}(q) = \sqrt{m_{1,2}^2 + q^2}, \; \omega_3(\vec{q} + \vec{k}) = \sqrt{m_3^2 + (\vec{q} + \vec{k})^2}, \\ E_{23} &= P^0 - k^0, \text{ and} \\ f(q) &= \int_{-1}^1 dz \; \frac{1}{E_{23} - \omega_2(q) - \sqrt{m_3^2 + q^2 + k^2 + 2\,q\,k\,z} + i\,\epsilon}, \quad (4) \end{aligned}$
where $q \equiv \vec{q}, \; k \equiv |\vec{k}| = \sqrt{\lambda(M^2, m_{13}^2, m_{23}^2)}/(2M), \text{ with } M = \sqrt{P^2} \text{ and} \\ m_{13,23} &= \sqrt{p_{13,23}^2}. \text{ The cut crossing particles 1 and 2 provides a pole of the integrand of $I(m_{23})$ given by} \end{split}$

$$P^0 - \omega_1(\vec{q}) - \omega_2(\vec{q}) + i\epsilon = 0, \qquad (5)$$

8

Triangle singularities

The solution is

$$q_{\rm on+} = q_{\rm on} + i \epsilon$$
 with $q_{\rm on} = \frac{1}{2M} \sqrt{\lambda(M^2, m_1^2, m_2^2)}$. (6)

The function f(q) has endpoint singularities, which are logarithmic branch points, when

$$E_{23} - \omega_2(q) - \sqrt{m_3^2 + q^2 + k^2 \pm 2 \, q \, k + i \, \epsilon} = 0 \,, \tag{7}$$

 $z = \pm 1$, the situations for the momentum of particle 2 to be anti-parallel and parallel to the momentum of the (2,3) system in the $\vec{P} = 0$ frame. For z = -1, Eq. (7) has two solutions:



Triangle singularities

This is only possible when all three intermediate particles are on shell and meanwhile z = -1, $\omega_1(q_{\rm on}) - p_{13}^0 - \sqrt{m_3^2 + (q_{\rm on} - k)^2} = 0$. Physical regions: $m_1 \leq M - m_2$, $m_{23} > m_2 + m_3$.



Figure 6: Singularities of the integrand of $I(m_{23})$ when $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (q_{a-})$ is positive. (a) no pinching, (b) pinching between q_{a+} and q_{a-} , the two-body threshold singularity, and (c), between q_{on+} and q_{a-} , triangle singularity.

Examples

- $\eta(1405) \rightarrow f_0(980)\pi^0$, Wu, Liu, Zhao, Zou, PRL108(2012).
- a1(1420), Mikhasenko, Ketzer, Sarantsev, PRD91 (2015)
- $X(3872) \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$, Molina, Oset EPJC80 (2020)
- Review on TS, Guo, Liu, Sakai, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 112 (2020)



Figure 7: Mechanism for pion production with Δ excitation and *np* fusion in the deuteron.

(Coleman-Norton) The pp system produces a Δ and N, back to back in the pp rest frame. The Δ decays into $\pi N'$, with the π in the direction of the Δ and N' in its opposite direction (N direction). The N' goes faster than N and catches up with N fusing to give the deuteron.

$$q_{\rm on} = q_{a_-} \longrightarrow \sqrt{s} = 2179 \,\mathrm{MeV} \,\mathrm{for}\, \Gamma_\Delta = 0 \,(n, p \,on - shell) \tag{8}$$

Broad peak around $\sqrt{s} = 2165$ MeV for $\Gamma_{\Delta} \simeq 110$ MeV.

Diagrams



Figure 8: The two different topological structures.

- Antisymmetrized $pp |pp\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\vec{p}, s_1; -\vec{p}, s_2\rangle |-\vec{p}, s_2; \vec{p}, s_1\rangle)$
- Deuteron wave function $|d\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|pn np\rangle \chi_d$

 χ_d : three spin 1 states ($\uparrow\uparrow$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow+\downarrow\uparrow)$, $\downarrow\downarrow$).

 $\pi NN \& \pi N\Delta$ vertices

Isospin factors

$$\begin{aligned} -i\delta H_{\pi NN} &= \frac{f}{m_{\pi}} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{q} \ \tau^{\lambda}; \quad f = 1.00 \qquad \qquad h_{\Delta-\mathrm{up}} = \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3} \\ -i\delta H_{\pi N\Delta} &= \frac{f^*}{m_{\pi}} \vec{S^{\dagger}} \cdot \vec{q} T^{\dagger \lambda}; \quad f^* = 2.13 \qquad \qquad h_{\Delta-\mathrm{down}} = -\frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} -it_{\Delta-\mathrm{up}} &= \frac{4}{3}\sqrt{2} \left(\frac{f^{*}}{m_{\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{f}{m_{\pi}}\right) \int \frac{d^{4}q}{(2\pi)^{4}}(-)\vec{S}_{1} \cdot \vec{p}_{\pi}(-)\vec{S}_{1}^{\dagger} \cdot \vec{q} \ \vec{\sigma}_{2} \cdot \vec{q} \\ &\times \frac{2M_{\Delta}}{2E_{\Delta}(\vec{p}-\vec{q})} \frac{i}{p^{0}-q^{0}-E_{\Delta}(\vec{p}-\vec{q})+i\frac{\Gamma_{\Delta}}{2}} \frac{i}{q^{02}-\vec{q}^{2}-m_{\pi}^{2}+i\epsilon} \\ &\times \frac{2M_{N}}{2E_{N}(\vec{p}-\vec{q}-\vec{p}_{\pi})} \frac{i}{p^{0}-q^{0}-E_{\pi}(\vec{p}_{\pi})-E_{N}(\vec{p}-\vec{q}-\vec{p}_{\pi})+i\epsilon} \\ &\times \frac{2M_{N}}{2E_{N}(-\vec{p}+\vec{q})} \frac{i}{p^{0}+q^{0}-E_{N}(-\vec{p}+\vec{q})+i\epsilon} \ (-i) \ g_{d} \ \theta(q_{\max}-|\vec{p}_{d}^{\mathrm{CM}}|) \\ &\text{with } \vec{p}_{d}^{\mathrm{CM}} = \vec{p}-\vec{q}-\frac{\vec{p}_{\pi}}{2}. \end{split}$$

Momentum dependence

$$\begin{split} F(\vec{p}, \vec{q}, \vec{p}_{\pi}) &= \frac{M_{N}}{E_{N}(-\vec{p}+\vec{q})} \frac{M_{N}}{E_{N}(\vec{p}-\vec{q}-\vec{p}_{\pi})} \frac{M_{\Delta}}{E_{\Delta}(\vec{p}-\vec{q})} \frac{1}{2\omega(q)} \\ \times \frac{\theta(q_{\max} - |\vec{p} - \vec{q} - \frac{\vec{p}_{\pi}}{2}|)}{2p^{0} - E_{\pi} - E_{N}(-\vec{p}+\vec{q}) - E_{N}(\vec{p}-\vec{q}-\vec{p}_{\pi}) + i\epsilon} \\ \times \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p^{0} - \omega(q) - E_{\Delta}(\vec{p}-\vec{q}) + i\frac{\Gamma_{\Delta}}{2}} \frac{1}{p^{0} - \omega(q) - E_{\pi} - E_{N}(\vec{p}-\vec{q}-\vec{p}_{\pi}) + i\epsilon} \\ + \frac{1}{p^{0} - \omega(q) - E_{\Delta}(\vec{p}-\vec{q}) + i\frac{\Gamma_{\Delta}}{2}} \frac{1}{2p^{0} - E_{\Delta}(\vec{p}-\vec{q}) - E_{N}(-\vec{p}+\vec{q}) + i\frac{\Gamma_{\Delta}}{2}} \\ + \frac{1}{p^{0} - \omega(q) - E_{N}(-\vec{p}+\vec{q}) + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{2p^{0} - E_{\Delta}(\vec{p}-\vec{q}) - E_{N}(-\vec{p}+\vec{q}) + i\frac{\Gamma_{\Delta}}{2}} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Explicit cuts (TS): Δ , N on-shell, $\sqrt{s} - E_{\Delta} - E_{N} + i\Gamma_{\Delta}/2 = 0$, $(2p^{0} = \sqrt{s})$ and N, N' on-shell, $\sqrt{s} - E_{\pi} - E_{N} - E_{N'} + i\epsilon = 0$

Spin transitions

Deuteron, S = 1Transitions, (i) $\uparrow\uparrow$ (1), $\uparrow\downarrow$ (2) to (f) $\uparrow\uparrow$ (1), $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow + \downarrow\uparrow)(2), \downarrow\downarrow$ (3)

$$\begin{array}{lll} Q_{11}^{\mathrm{up}} = \left(\frac{2}{3}\vec{p}_{\pi}\cdot\vec{q}-\frac{i}{3}a_{z}\right)q_{z} \\ Q_{11}:\uparrow\uparrow &\to \uparrow\uparrow \\ Q_{12}:\uparrow\uparrow &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow+\downarrow\uparrow) \\ Q_{13}:\uparrow\uparrow &\to \downarrow\downarrow \\ Q_{21}:\uparrow\downarrow &\to \uparrow\uparrow \\ Q_{22}:\uparrow\downarrow &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow+\downarrow\uparrow) \\ Q_{23}:\uparrow\downarrow &\to \downarrow\downarrow \\ Q_{23}:\uparrow\downarrow &\to \downarrow\downarrow \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{lll} Q_{11}^{\mathrm{up}} = \left(\frac{2}{3}\vec{p}_{\pi}\cdot\vec{q}-\frac{i}{3}a_{z}\right)q_{+} - \frac{i}{3}a_{+}q_{z} \\ Q_{12}^{\mathrm{up}} = \left(\frac{2}{3}\vec{p}_{\pi}\cdot\vec{q}-\frac{i}{3}a_{z}\right)q_{-} \\ Q_{22}^{\mathrm{up}} = \left(\frac{2}{3}\vec{p}_{\pi}\cdot\vec{q}-\frac{i}{3}a_{z}\right)q_{-} \\ Q_{23}^{\mathrm{up}} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{-\left(\frac{2}{3}\vec{p}_{\pi}\cdot\vec{q}-\frac{i}{3}a_{z}\right)q_{z} - \frac{i}{3}a_{+}q_{-}\right\} \\ Q_{23}^{\mathrm{up}} = \frac{i}{3}a_{+}q_{z} \end{array}$$

Transitions from $\downarrow\uparrow$ and $\downarrow\downarrow$ give a factor 2. And Similarly for the $\Delta\text{-down}$ diagram.

$$-it_{ij}^{\pi} = -g_d \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(\frac{f^*}{m_{\pi}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{f}{m_{\pi}}\right) \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \mathcal{F}_{\pi}(\vec{q}) \left\{ Q_{ij}^{(\mathrm{u})} F(\vec{p},\vec{q},\vec{p}_{\pi}) - Q_{ij}^{(\mathrm{d})} F(-\vec{p},\vec{q},\vec{p}_{\pi}) \right\}$$

Pion form factor: $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(\vec{q}) = \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\pi}^2 - m_{\pi}^2}{\Lambda_{\pi}^2 + \vec{q}^2}\right)^2$, with values of Λ_{π} around 1 - 1.2 GeV. FULL pion propagator. NOT done the static approximation: $((q^{02} - \vec{q}^2 - m_{\pi}^2)^{-1} \rightarrow (-\vec{q}^2 - m_{\pi}^2)^{-1})$. Thus, $\overline{\sum} \sum |t^{\pi}|^2 = 2\frac{1}{4}\sum_{ij} |t^{\pi}_{ij}|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij} |t^{\pi}_{ij}|^2$, (10)

and the cross section for $pp
ightarrow \pi^+ d$ is then given by

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta_{\pi}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{s} (M_N)^2 M_d \frac{p_{\pi}}{p} \sum |t^{\pi}|^2$$
(11)

where $\cos \theta_{\pi}$ is $\frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{p}_{\pi}}{|\vec{p}||\vec{p}_{\pi}|}$.

Deuteron wave function

Gammermann, Nieves, Arriola, Oset, PRD81 (2010)

$$\psi(\vec{p}\,) \equiv \langle \vec{p} | \psi \rangle = \tilde{g}_d \ \frac{\theta(q_{\max} - |\vec{p}|)}{E_d - E_N(p) - E_N(p)}, \quad \int d^3p \, |\langle \vec{p} | \psi \rangle|^2 = 1.$$
(12)

To determine q_{\max} , we determine the scattering length from,

$$t(E) = \frac{1}{G(M_d) - G(E)}; \quad a = 2\pi^2 M_N \frac{1}{G(M_d) - G(2M_N)}.$$
 (13)

To get the experimental value, a = 5.377 fm, $q_{\rm max} = 240$ MeV and $\tilde{g}_d^2 = (2.68 \times 10^{-3})^2$ MeV⁻¹, $g_d = (2\pi)^{3/2} \tilde{g}_d$.

Standard formula of Weinberg adapted to our normalization

$$g_d^2 = (2\pi)^3 \tilde{g}_d^2 = \frac{8\pi\gamma}{M_N^2}; \quad \gamma = \sqrt{M_N B}.$$
 (14)

which gives $g_d = (2\pi)^{3/2} 2.30 \times 10^{-3} \text{ MeV}^{-1/2}$.

ŝ

Deuteron wave function Bonn potential

R. Machleid, PRC63, 024001 (2001)

$$\langle \vec{p} | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} \frac{C_j}{\vec{p}^2 + m_j^2}$$
(15)

with

$$N^{2} = \int d^{3}p \left(\sum_{j} \frac{C_{j}}{\vec{p}^{2} + m_{j}^{2}} \right)^{2}.$$
 (16)

In our normalization, where we have $\int d^3p/(2\pi)^3$ integrations instead of $\int d^3p$, and including also the weight factors of Field Theory (*M/E* close to unity), we have

$$\frac{M_N}{E_N} \frac{M_N}{E_{N'}} \frac{1}{2p^0 - E_\pi - E_N - E_{N'} + i\epsilon} g_d \theta(q_{\max} - |\vec{p} - \vec{q} - \frac{\vec{p}_\pi}{2}|) \rightarrow (-)(2\pi)^{3/2} \psi(|\vec{p} - \vec{q} - \frac{\vec{p}_\pi}{2}|)$$
(17)

Oset and Weise, NPA329, 365(1979). In π exchange terms, $S_i^{\dagger} q_i \sigma_j q_j \frac{1}{q^2 - m_{\pi}^2}$, $q_i q_j \frac{1}{q^2 - m^2} \simeq q_i q_j \frac{1}{-\vec{a}^2} = (q_i q_j - \frac{1}{3} \vec{q}^2 \delta_{ij}) \frac{1}{-\vec{a}^2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\vec{q}^2}{-\vec{a}^2} \delta_{ij}$. (18)

The NN and N Δ wave functions have a correlation factor at short distances vanishing at $r \rightarrow 0$ that kills the δ function. In the realistic case, it is necessary to add the term

$$g' \delta_{ij}, \quad g' \simeq 0.6 \ (\pi \text{ and } \rho \text{ exchange})$$
 (19)

We replace

ł

$$\vec{S} \cdot \vec{p}_{\pi} \ \vec{S}^{\dagger} \cdot \vec{q} \ \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{q} \ \frac{1}{q^2 - m_{\pi}^2} \to g' \ \vec{S} \cdot \vec{p}_{\pi} \ \vec{S}^{\dagger} \cdot \vec{\sigma}.$$
(20)

$$-it_{ij}^{\text{corr}} = -\frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(\frac{f^*}{m_{\pi}}\right)^2 \frac{f \, g_d \, g'}{m_{\pi}} \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \mathcal{F}_{\pi}(\vec{q}\,) \left\{ Q_{ij}^{\prime(\text{u})} F'(\vec{p},\vec{q},\vec{p}_{\pi}) - Q_{ij}^{\prime(\text{d})} F'(-\vec{p},\vec{q},\vec{p}_{\pi}) \right\}$$

Rho exchange

Substitution in π -exchange: Brack, Riska and Weise, NPA287 (1977)

$$\frac{f^*}{m_{\pi}} \frac{f}{m_{\pi}} \longrightarrow \frac{f^*_{\rho}}{m_{\rho}} \frac{f_{\rho}}{m_{\rho}}; \quad \mathcal{F}_{\pi}(\vec{q}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\rho}(\vec{q}) = \left(\frac{\Lambda^2_{\rho} - m^2_{\rho}}{\Lambda^2_{\rho} + \vec{q}^2}\right)^2; \quad Q^{\pi}_{ij} \longrightarrow Q^{\rho}_{ij}$$
$$\frac{\vec{S}^{\dagger}_1 \cdot \vec{q} \ \vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{q}}{q^2 - m^2_{\pi} + i\epsilon} \longrightarrow \frac{\vec{S}^{\dagger}_1 \times \vec{q} \ \vec{\sigma}_2 \times \vec{q}}{q^2 - m^2_{\rho} + i\epsilon}; \quad F(mom, m_{\pi}) \longrightarrow F(mom, m_{\rho})$$

and $f_{
ho}=7.96;~f_{
ho}^*=13.53;~\Lambda_{\pi}\sim 1.1~{
m GeV};~\Lambda_{
ho}\sim 1.8~{
m GeV}.$

Impulse approximation



 π^+ emission vertex, $-i\delta H_{\pi^+ np} = -\sqrt{2} \frac{f}{m_\pi} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}_\pi$.

$$-it_{I}^{\rm up} = \frac{\sqrt{2}f}{m_{\pi}}\vec{\sigma}_{1}\cdot\vec{p}_{\pi} \ \frac{M_{N}}{E_{N}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}_{\pi})} \ \frac{g_{d} \ \theta(q_{\rm max}-|\vec{p}-\frac{\vec{p}_{\pi}}{2}|)}{p^{0}-E_{\pi}-E_{N}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}_{\pi})+i\epsilon}$$
(21)

Full amplitude

Impulse approximation with the deuteron wave function

$$-it_{11}^{I} = -F_{I} p_{\pi,z} [\psi(|\vec{p} - \frac{\vec{p}_{\pi}}{2}|) - \psi(|-\vec{p} - \frac{\vec{p}_{\pi}}{2}|)]$$

$$-it_{12}^{I} = -F_{I} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} p_{\pi,+} [\psi(|\vec{p} - \frac{\vec{p}_{\pi}}{2}|) - \psi(|-\vec{p} - \frac{\vec{p}_{\pi}}{2}|)]$$

$$-it_{13}^{I} = 0$$

$$-it_{21}^{I} = F_{I} p_{\pi,-} \psi(|-\vec{p} - \frac{\vec{p}_{\pi}}{2}|)$$

$$-it_{22}^{I} = -F_{I} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} p_{\pi,z} [\psi(|\vec{p} - \frac{\vec{p}_{\pi}}{2}|) + \psi(|-\vec{p} - \frac{\vec{p}_{\pi}}{2}|)]$$

$$-it_{23}^{I} = -F_{I} p_{\pi,+} \psi(|\vec{p} - \frac{\vec{p}_{\pi}}{2}|), \qquad (22)$$
with $F_{I} = \frac{\sqrt{2}f}{m_{\pi}} \frac{E(\vec{p})}{M_{N}} \sqrt{(2\pi)^{3}}$ and $p_{\pi,+} = p_{\pi,x} + ip_{\pi,y}$ and $p_{\pi,-} = p_{\pi,x} - ip_{\pi,y}.$

$$\sum_{ij} |t_{ij}^{\pi}|^{2} \longrightarrow \sum |t|^{2} \equiv \sum_{ij} |t_{ij}^{\pi} + t_{ij}^{\rho} + t_{ij}^{corr.} + t_{ij}^{I}|^{2} \qquad (23)$$

$$\left[\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta_{\pi}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{s} (M_{N})^{2} M_{d} \frac{p_{\pi}}{p} \sum |t|^{2} \right]$$

Results I

 $\sigma(pp \rightarrow \pi^+ d)$, The peak appears at $\sqrt{s} = 2165$ MeV.



Figure 9: Cross section of $pp \rightarrow \pi^+ d$ as a function of the kinetic energy in the lab frame of the proton. The variable *s* is $s = 4M_N^2 + 2M_N K_p^{\text{lab}}$.

With the two initial protons antisymmetrical, $d\sigma/d\cos\theta$ gives the same for \vec{p}_{π} or $-\vec{p}_{\pi}$, thus, it depends on $\cos^2\theta_{\pi}$.



Figure 10: The differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta_{\pi}}$, as a function of $\cos^2\theta_{\pi}$ for $K_{\rho}^{\text{lab}} = 570,616$ and 660 MeV.



Contribution of the different terms



Figure 11: Contribution of the different terms: The π , $\pi + \rho$, $\pi + \rho + \text{corr}$ and $\pi + \rho + \text{corr} + \text{imp.}$ Inset: all terms except π -exchange to see the small differences. Pion exchange dominates!. Effect of g' negligible $\Longrightarrow L = 2$.



Figure 12: Contribution of the different spin transitions. A factor two is included to account for transitions from $\downarrow\uparrow$ and $\downarrow\downarrow$. $\uparrow\downarrow\rightarrow\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow+\downarrow\uparrow)$ dominates!. The initial state combination $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow-\downarrow\uparrow)$ is the one responsible for the transitions. Altogether, there is dominance of L = 2, S = 0 in *pp*!.













Figure 13: Two step mechanism for $np \to \pi^+\pi^- d$ with explicit Δ excitation in the $pp \to \pi^+ d$. The mechanism with the *nn* intermediate state is considered in addition.

M. Bashkanov, PRL102(2009), P. Adlarson, PRL106(2011), PLB721(2013) Reaction studied: $NN \rightarrow NN\pi\pi$, with double Δ production and subsequent $\Delta \rightarrow \pi N$ decay or $N^*(1440)$ production with decay of N^* to $N\pi\pi$, or $N^* \rightarrow \pi\Delta(N\pi)$, Alvarez-Ruso, Oset et al, NPA633(1998). Cross sections obtained are too small compared to the peak of the $np \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 d$ reaction without peak around 2380 MeV. Previous work on the two step mechanism I. Bar-Nir, NPB54,17(1973)

- To check whether the two step mechanism can explain the dibaryon peak we want to use experimental data on the $np \rightarrow \pi^- pp$ and $pp \rightarrow \pi^+ d$ reactions.
- P. Adlarson, PLB721(2013), the same peak visible in the $np \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 d$ reaction is seen in the $np(I = 0) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- d$ reaction with about double strength. Thus, np in the first step has I = 0.

 $\textit{np}\left(\mathrm{I}=0
ight)
ightarrow\pi^{-}\textit{pp}$

$$\frac{d\sigma'_{np\to\pi^-pp}}{dM_{\rm inv}(p_1p'_1)} = \frac{1}{4\rho s} (2M_N)^4 \frac{1}{16\pi^3} p_\pi \tilde{p}_1 |\bar{t}|^2 \frac{1}{2}$$
(25)

 $M_{\rm inv}(p_1p'_1)$, invariant mass of the two protons, p, CM momentum of the inital n(p), \tilde{p}_1 , momentum of the final p in the pp rest frame.

 $pp
ightarrow \pi^+ d$

$$\sigma_{pp\to\pi^+d} = \frac{1}{16\pi M_{\rm inv}^2(p_1p_1')} \frac{p_\pi'}{\tilde{p}_1} |\bar{t}'|^2 (2M_N)^2 (2M_d)$$
(26)

 $p_{\pi}',\,\pi^+$ momentum in the pp rest frame, $|\bar{t}'|^2,$ angle averaged $|t'|^2.$

Amplitude for the two step process

$$-it'' = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^4 p_1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{(2M_N)^2}{2E_N(p_1)2E_N(p_1')} \frac{i}{p_1^0 - E_N(p_1) + i\epsilon} \frac{i(-i)t(-i)t'}{\sqrt{s} - p_1^0 - \omega_\pi - E_N(p_1') + i\epsilon}$$

 $(\frac{1}{2}$ for the two identical particles). Approximation: take tt' outside the dp_1^0 integration with their on-shell values.

$$t'' \simeq \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(2M_N)^2}{2E_N(p_1) 2E_N(p_1')} \frac{tt'}{\sqrt{s} - E_N(p_1) - E_N(p_1') - \omega_\pi + i\epsilon}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{M_{\text{inv}}(p_1p_1') - 2E_N(p_1) + i\epsilon} \equiv \mathcal{P}\left[\frac{1}{M_{\text{inv}}(p_1p_1') - 2E_N(p_1)}\right] - i\pi\delta(M_{\text{inv}}(p_1p_1') - 2E_N(p_1))$$

In the on-shell approx. (Bar-Nir), this becomes, $t_{\rm on}^{\prime\prime} = -i\frac{1}{2}\frac{\tilde{p}_1}{8\pi}\frac{(2M_N)^2}{M_{\rm inv}(p_1p_1')}t\bar{t}^{\prime}$. Off-shell effects can be taken into account by means of,

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{p}_1}{2\pi M_{\rm inv}(p_1p'_1)}\right)^2 \to |G(M_{\rm inv})|^2 \tag{27}$$

Thus, for the two-step mechanism,

$$\frac{d\sigma_{np\to\pi^+\pi^-d}}{dM_{\rm inv}(\pi^+\pi^-)} = (2M_N)^2 (2M_d) p_d \tilde{p}_{\pi} \frac{1}{4} \frac{\tilde{p}_1^2}{64\pi^2} \frac{1}{M_{\rm inv}^2(p_1p_1')} \frac{1}{p_{\pi}\tilde{p}_1} 2|\tilde{t}'|^2 \frac{d\sigma_{np\to\pi^-pp}}{dM_{\rm inv}(p_1p_1')}$$

Approximation: $|t\bar{t}'|^2 \sim |\bar{t}|^2 |\bar{t'}|^2$, the amplitudes t, t' have smooth angular structure. Adlarson, PLB774, 599 (2017), Richard-Serre, NPB20, 413 (1970)

Bose enhancement

For the two pions in I = 0, L must be even. Preferred direction for I = 0. $\frac{d\sigma_{np\to\pi^+\pi^-d}}{dM_{\rm inv(\pi^+\pi^-)}} = \sigma_{np\to\pi^+\pi^-d} \delta(M_{\rm inv}(\pi^+\pi^-) - \bar{M}_{\pi\pi})$ do/dM²_{π⁰π⁰} [μb/(GeV²/c⁴)] $c + M^2 (\pi \pi) = M^2$

$$E_{2\pi} = \frac{s + M_{\text{inv}}(\pi\pi) - M_d}{2\sqrt{s}}, E_{\pi} \simeq E_{2\pi}/2$$

$$M_{
m inv}^2(p_1p_1') = (P(np) - p_{\pi^-})^2 = s + m_{\pi}^2 - 2\sqrt{s}E_{\pi}$$

Bashkanov, PRL102(2009) Using

$$2M_{
m inv}(p_1p_1')dM_{
m inv}(p_1p_1') = -2\sqrt{s}dE_{\pi} = -M_{
m inv}(\pi\pi)dM_{
m inv}(\pi\pi) \; ,$$

we obtain

$$\sigma_{np\to\pi^+\pi^-d} = \frac{M_{\rm inv}(p_1p_1')}{4\pi} \frac{\sigma_{np\to\pi^-pp}\sigma_{pp\to\pi^+d}}{M_{\rm inv}(\pi\pi)} \frac{\tilde{p}_1^2}{p_\pi p_\pi'} p_d \tilde{p}_\pi \ . \tag{28}$$

The amplitudes $np(I = 0) \rightarrow \pi^- pp$ and $np(I = 0) \rightarrow \pi^+ nn$ are identical up to the phase of π^+ and the same happens for $pp \rightarrow \pi^+ d$ and $nn \rightarrow \pi^- d$. Isospin symmetry gives $\sigma_{np(I=0)\rightarrow pp\pi^-} = \frac{1}{3}\sigma_{np(I=0)\rightarrow NN\pi}$.

$$\sigma_{np\to\pi^+\pi^-d} = \frac{M_{\rm inv}(p_1p_1')}{6\pi} \frac{\sigma_{np\to NN\pi}'\sigma_{pp\to\pi^+d}}{M_{\rm inv}(\pi\pi)} \frac{\tilde{p}_1^2}{p_\pi p_\pi'} p_d \tilde{p}_\pi$$

with $\sigma_{np\to NN\pi}^{I} = \sigma_{np(I=0)\to NN\pi}$. Adlarson, PLB774(2017), Clement, 2010.09217 (2020).

Results II

Fit to data

Data for $\sigma_{np(I=0) \rightarrow NN\pi}$ from Fig. 1 of Clement, Skorodko, 2010.09217 (2020). Isospin conservation assumed.

$$\sigma_{np(l=0)\rightarrow pp\pi^{-}} = \frac{1}{3}\sigma_{np(l=0)\rightarrow NN\pi} = \frac{1}{6}\sigma_{NN(l=0)\rightarrow NN\pi} = \frac{1}{6}3(2\sigma_{np\rightarrow pp\pi^{-}} - \sigma_{pp\rightarrow pp\pi^{0}})$$

5 % isospin simmetry violation give systematic errors of 0.5 mb, which we add in quadrature.

The $np(I = 0) \rightarrow NN\pi$ cross section is parameterized as,

$$\sigma_i = \left| \frac{\alpha_i}{\sqrt{s} - \tilde{M}_i + i\frac{\tilde{r}}{2}} \right|^2 \tag{29}$$

• Set I: $\tilde{M}_1 = 2326 \text{ MeV}$, $\tilde{\Gamma}_1 = 70 \text{ MeV}$, $\alpha_1^2 = 2.6 \left(\frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_1}{2}\right)^2 \text{ mb MeV}^2$.

• Set II: $\tilde{M}_2 = 2335$ MeV, $\tilde{\Gamma}_2 = 80$ MeV, $\alpha_2^2 = 2.5 \left(\frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_2}{2}\right)^2$ mb MeV².

 $pp \rightarrow \pi^+ d: \ \sigma_3 = \left| \frac{\alpha_3}{M_{inv}(\rho_1 \rho'_1) - \tilde{M}_3 + i\frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_3}{2}} \right|^2 \text{ with } \tilde{M}_3 = 2165 \text{ MeV}, \ \tilde{\Gamma}_3 = 123.27$ $\text{MeV}, \ \alpha_3^2 = 3.186 \left(\frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_3}{2}\right)^2 \text{ mb MeV}^2. \text{ Data from Richard-Serre, NPB20 (1970).}$

Figure 14: Left: Results with set I for $\sigma_{np\to\pi^-pp}(I=0)$ and $\sigma_{pp\to\pi^+d}$. The results with $\sigma_{np\to\pi^+\pi^-d}$ in I=0 are multiplied by 10 for a better comparison. Data for $np(I=0) \to \pi NN$ are taken from Dakhno et al., and WASA-at-COSY^(*), including systematic errors from isospin violation. Inset: $\sigma_{pp\to\pi^+d}$ in comparison with data for $pp \to \pi^+d$ from Richard-Serre. Right: Experimental data $pn \to d\pi^0\pi^0$. Filled symbols denote results from Adlarson (2013), open symbols from previous works, and crosses the result with Eq. (1).

Figure 15: Left: Results for set II; Right: Experimental data $pn \rightarrow d\pi^+\pi^-$. Filled symbols denote results from Adlarson, PLB721, 229 (2013), open symbols from previous works.

	$\delta ar{M}_{\pi\pi}$ (MeV)			$p_{1,\mathrm{max}}^{\mathrm{o.s.}}$ (MeV)	
Set I	40	60	80	700	800
strength (mb)	0.72	0.76	0.75	0.82	0.95
position (MeV)	2332	2332	2332	2332	2332
width (MeV)	76	76	81	75	75
Set II					
strength (mb)	0.75	0.80	0.80	0.85	0.96
position (MeV)	2342	2345	2345	2343	2342
width (MeV)	86	87	88	87	84

Table 1: Peak strength, position, and width, for intermediate particles on shell $(\bar{M}_{\pi\pi} = 2m_{\pi} + \delta \bar{M}_{\pi\pi})$, and off-shell ("o.s."), $\delta \bar{M}_{\pi\pi} = 60$ MeV.

We obtain the peak strength $\sim 0.76 - 0.96$ mb, the position, 2332 - 2345 MeV, and the width, 75 - 88 MeV, to be compared with the experimental one, with strength ~ 0.5 mb, at 2365 MeV with bins of 20 MeV.

Conclusions

- We showed that the $pp
 ightarrow \pi^+ d$ reaction has a triangle singularity
- The sequential one pion production in *np*(*I* = 0) → π⁻*pp* followed by *pp* → π⁺*d* offers a natural explanation of the peak so far associated to the dibaryon *d**(2380)
- The mechanism naturally gives rise to the dominant quantum numbers observed in the experiments (3⁺).