Anomalous Dimensions from Massive Vacuum Diagrams

Peter Marquard



Relativistic Fermions in Flatland: theory and application Trento, July, 2021

Outline

Introduction

2 Method

3 Results

4 Conclusions and Outlook



• Interested in critical properties of condensed matter models

Introduction

- Interested in critical properties of condensed matter models
- Generally interested in results in 3d but
 - strongly bound in 3d
 - perturbatively only accessible in 2d or 4d

Introduction

- Interested in critical properties of condensed matter models
- Generally interested in results in 3d but
 - strongly bound in 3d
 - perturbatively only accessible in 2d or 4d
- Calculate anomalous dimensions of the ren. group equations in 2d and/or 4d and extrapolate to 3d

Introduction

- Interested in critical properties of condensed matter models
- Generally interested in results in 3d but
 - strongly bound in 3d
 - perturbatively only accessible in 2d or 4d
- Calculate anomalous dimensions of the ren. group equations in 2d and/or 4d and extrapolate to 3d
- Calculation of anom dim very well established field in particle physics
 → apply these methods here

itror	duict.	inn
	Juci	

Models

Gross-Neveu-Yukawa	[Zerf,Mihaila,PM,Herbut,Scherer '17]
 chiral Ising model chiral XY model chiral Heisenberg model 	
QED ₃ -Gross-Neveu-Yukawa	[Zerf,PM,Boyack,Maciejko '18]
 Néel algebraic spin liquid 	[Zerf,Boyack,PM,Gracey,Maciejko '19]
 Abelian Higgs model 	[Ihrig,Zerf,PM,Herbut,Scherer '19]
 lattice quantum electrodynamics 	[Zerf,Boyack,PM,Gracey,Maciejko '20]

In short: Models with interactions between scalars, fermions and photons



Outline





B Results

4 Conclusions and Outlook

Calculation of anomalous dimensions

In general, one can follow the following recipe

- Start with a Lagrange density describing the model
- Derive the Feynman rules
- Calculate the relevant L-loop N-point functions
- Extract the renormalization factors (or anom dims) from the UV poles



• In the $\overline{\rm MS}$ scheme the renormalization constants do not depend on the kinematic configuration

 \hookrightarrow Choose a kinematic configurations as simple as possible

Extraction of UV poles

- In the MS scheme the renormalization constants do not depend on the kinematic configuration
 - \hookrightarrow Choose a kinematic configurations as simple as possible
- Simplest configurations are
 - massless propagators (two-point function), external momentum q^2
 - massive tadpoles (vacuum diagram), mass M

Extraction of UV poles

- In the MS scheme the renormalization constants do not depend on the kinematic configuration
 - \hookrightarrow Choose a kinematic configurations as simple as possible
- Simplest configurations are
 - massless propagators (two-point function), external momentum q^2
 - massive tadpoles (vacuum diagram), mass M
- But: be careful to now change the infrared structure
 - \hookrightarrow massive tadpoles easier to handle that massless propagators

Extraction of UV poles

- In the MS scheme the renormalization constants do not depend on the kinematic configuration
 - \hookrightarrow Choose a kinematic configurations as simple as possible
- Simplest configurations are
 - massless propagators (two-point function), external momentum q²
 - massive tadpoles (vacuum diagram), mass M
- But: be careful to now change the infrared structure
 → massive tadpoles easier to handle that massless propagators
- For simplicity, make all lines massive to avoid infra-red problems

 — infra-red rearrangement
 (Misiak,Münz '94, Chetyrkin,Misiak,Münz '98, Larin,v.Ritbergen,Vermaseren '97)
- See also five-loop QCD anomalous dimensions

[Luthe,Maier,PM,Schröder '17]

Infra-red rearrangement

Based on the exact decomposition (*k*: loop momentum, *q* external momentum)

$$\frac{1}{(k+q)^2} = \frac{1}{k^2 - M^2} - \frac{q^2 + 2kq + M^2}{(k^2 - M^2)(k+q)^2}$$

Note:

- the first term on the rhs is infra-red finite
- the second term can still lead to IR divergences but the UV degree of divergence is reduced

After subtraction of sub-divergences by explicit counter terms the UV-finite but IR-divergent remainder can be dropped.

 \hookrightarrow need to use explicit counter terms (no multiplicative renormalization) \hookrightarrow requires the introduction of a counter term for the auxiliary mass *M*.



For the calculation of the diagrams follow the standard multi-loop procedure

• generate diagrams e.g. using QGRAF

[Nogueira 1991]



For the calculation of the diagrams follow the standard multi-loop procedure

- generate diagrams e.g. using QGRAF
- apply projectors to get scalar integrals take traces, expand (if necessary) do algebra, e.g. using FORM

[Nogueira 1991]



For the calculation of the diagrams follow the standard multi-loop procedure

- generate diagrams e.g. using QGRAF
- apply projectors to get scalar integrals take traces, expand (if necessary) do algebra, e.g. using FORM
- map to integral families

[Nogueira 1991]

Calculation strategy

For the calculation of the diagrams follow the standard multi-loop procedure

- generate diagrams e.g. using QGRAF
- apply projectors to get scalar integrals take traces, expand (if necessary) do algebra, e.g. using FORM
- map to integral families
- reduce all scalar integrals to a small set of basis (master) integrals using integration-by-parts techniques

[Nogueira 1991]

Calculation strategy

For the calculation of the diagrams follow the standard multi-loop procedure

- generate diagrams e.g. using QGRAF
- apply projectors to get scalar integrals take traces, expand (if necessary) do algebra, e.g. using FORM
- map to integral families
- reduce all scalar integrals to a small set of basis (master) integrals using integration-by-parts techniques
- evaluate master integrals

[Nogueira 1991]

Integration by parts

- individual calculation of all appearing O(10³) O(10⁷) Feynman integrals is not feasible
- the number of integrals can be greatly reduced by applying the so-called integration-by-parts identities
- Integration-by-parts identities are based on the property

$$0 = \int d^d k \frac{\partial}{\partial k_i^{\mu}} \frac{1}{D_1^{k_1} \cdots D_n^{k_n}}$$

which being the integral of a total derivative evaluates to a surface term and can be shown to vanish.

• allows to write all appearing integrals J_i as linear combination of O(10 - 100) basis (master) integrals M_i

$$J_i = \sum_j C_{ij}(d) M_j$$

Integration by parts

Integration-parts-relations can either be used by

 constructing a set of symbolic relations reducing the number of propagators

LiteRed [Lee]

- explicitly applying the relations to a set of integrals and solving the resulting system of linear equations (Laporta's algorithm) (Air)
 - (Alr)
 [Anastasiou, Lazopoulos]

 FIRE
 [Smirnov]

 (Reduze)
 [v. Manteuffel, (Studerus)]

 KIRA
 [Maierhöfer, Usowitch, Uwer]

Massive Tadpoles – up to 4 loop



Peter Marquard (DESY)

Master integrals – 2 loop

$$\begin{split} & \overbrace{J^2} = -\frac{3(d-2)}{4(d-3)} \left\{ {}_2F_1\left(\frac{4-d}{2},1;\frac{5-d}{2};\frac{3}{4}\right) - 3^{\frac{d-5}{2}} \frac{2\pi\Gamma(5-d)}{\Gamma(\frac{4-d}{2})\Gamma(\frac{6-d}{2})} \right\} \\ & = -\frac{3(d-2)}{4(d-3)} \left\{ 1 - 3^{\frac{d-3}{2}}(d-4) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{3}} d\tau (2\sin(\tau))^{4-d} - 3^{\frac{d-5}{2}} \frac{2\pi\Gamma(5-d)}{\Gamma(\frac{4-d}{2})\Gamma(\frac{6-d}{2})} \right\} \\ & d = n^{-2\epsilon} - \frac{3(n-2-2\epsilon)}{4(n-3-2\epsilon)} \left\{ 1 + 3^{-\epsilon} \frac{\frac{n-4}{2} - \epsilon}{3^{\frac{3-n}{2}}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2\epsilon)^j}{j!} \mathrm{Ls}_{j+1}^{(4-n)} - 3^{-\epsilon} \frac{3^{\frac{n-5}{2}} 2\pi\Gamma(5-n+2\epsilon)}{\Gamma(\frac{4-n}{2}+\epsilon)\Gamma(\frac{6-n}{2}+\epsilon)} \right\} \end{split}$$

[Schröder, Vuorinen '05]

Master integrals – 3+ loop

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{\bigcirc}_{J^3} \quad d=4-2\epsilon \\ & -2 - \frac{5}{3}\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 + \frac{103}{12}\epsilon^3 + \frac{7}{24}(163 - 128\zeta_3)\epsilon^4 \\ & + \left(\frac{9055}{48} + \frac{136\pi^4}{45} + \frac{32}{3}\ln^2 2(\pi^2 - \ln^2 2) - 168\zeta_3 - 256a_4\right)\epsilon^5 \\ & + \left(\frac{63517}{96} + \frac{16}{5}\ln^4 2(4\ln 2 - 15) - \frac{16}{3}\pi^2\ln^2 2(4\ln 2 - 9) - \frac{68}{15}\pi^4(4\ln 2 - 3) \\ & - \frac{1876}{3}\zeta_3 + 1240\zeta_5 - 1152a_4 - 1536a_5\right)\epsilon^6 + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^7\right) \\ & \underbrace{\bigcirc}_{J^3} \quad d=4-2\epsilon \\ & -2\zeta_3\epsilon^2 + \left(\frac{17\pi^4}{90} + \frac{2}{3}\ln^2 2(\pi^2 - \ln^2 2) + 9\mathrm{Ls}_2^2 - 16a_4\right)\epsilon^3 + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^4\right) \\ & \underbrace{\bigcirc}_{J^3} \quad d=4-2\epsilon \\ & 1 + \frac{8}{3}\epsilon + \left(\frac{25}{3} - 6\sqrt{3}\mathrm{Ls}_2\right)\epsilon^2 + \left(\frac{76}{3} - 6\zeta_3 + \sqrt{3}\left(-\frac{\pi^3}{3} + 6(\ln 3 - 2)\mathrm{Ls}_2 - 6\mathrm{Ls}_3\right)\right) \end{split}$$

[Schröder, Vuorinen '05]

 ϵ^3

How to calculate the master integrals? Factorial Series

• The idea of the method goes back to Laporta who suggested the to calculate Feynman integrals in form of of a factorial series.

Method

- Take an integral and raise the power of one propagator to the power x e.g. $l(1, 1, 1) \rightarrow l(x) = l(x, 1, 1)$
- Using IBP relations on can obtain a difference equation for the integral

$$\sum_{k=0}^{R} p_k(x) I(x+k) = \sum_{i} \sum_{k=0}^{R_i} p_{ik}(x) J_i(x+k)$$

where J_i are integrals of simpler sectors

• Make an ansatz for *I*(*x*) in terms of a factorial series (N.B. not the most general one)

$$I(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+d/2+s+1)} a_s$$

Factorial Series cont'd

 Inserting the ansatz into the difference equation results in a recurrence relation for a_s

$$\sum_{k=0}^{R'} g_k(s) a_{s+k} = \sum_i \sum_{k=0}^{R'_i} g_{ik}(s) a_{i,s+k}$$

- given the initial values a_0, a_1, \ldots are known, an arbitrary number of values for a_n can be calculated.
- using the obtained values for $a_n I(x)$ can be calculated

$$I(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+d/2+s+1)} a_s$$

= $\frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+d/2+1)} \left(a_0 + \frac{a_1}{(x+d/2+1)} + \frac{a_2}{(x+d/2+1)(x+d/2+2)} + \cdots \right)$



Peter Marquard (DESY)

Massive Tadpoles – 5 loop

31744

$$t = 5:$$



Massive Tadpoles – 5 loop



[Luthe '15]

Methor	٦.
VIELIIU	

Some statistics

Loops	1	2	3	4
	2	20	370	9,291
····· \$	4	27	459	11,332
	5	107	3,078	106, 501
ϕ ϕ ϕ ϕ ϕ	32	1,042	40, 164	1,735,706

from "Abelian Higgs model at four loops, fixed-point collision and deconfined criticality" [Ihrig.Zerf,PM,Herbut,Scherer '19]



Outline

1 Introduction

2 Method



4 Conclusions and Outlook

Example: Abelian Higgs model

The Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = |D_{\mu}\phi|^2 + rac{1}{4}F_{\mu
u}^2 + r|\phi|^2 + \lambda(|\phi|^2)^2$$

with scalar fields $\phi = (\phi_1, ..., \phi_n)$.

Example: Abelian Higgs model

The Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = |D_{\mu}\phi|^2 + rac{1}{4}F_{\mu
u}^2 + r|\phi|^2 + \lambda(|\phi|^2)^2$$

with scalar fields $\phi = (\phi_1, ..., \phi_n)$. Go to the *renormalized* Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}' = Z_{\phi} |D_{\mu}\phi|^2 + Z_{\phi^2} r \mu^2 |\phi|^2 + Z_{\phi^4} \lambda \mu^{\epsilon} (|\phi|^2)^2 + \frac{Z_A}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{1}{2\xi} (\partial_{\mu} A_{\mu})^2 \,.$$

with renomalized couplings

$$\alpha = \boldsymbol{e}_0^2 \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\epsilon} \boldsymbol{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \lambda_0 \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-\epsilon} \boldsymbol{Z}_{\phi}^2 \boldsymbol{Z}_{\phi^4}^{-1}$$

Example: Abelian Higgs model

 β functions given by

$$\beta_i = \frac{\mathrm{d}g_i}{\mathrm{d}\ln b} = \epsilon g_i + \sum_k \beta_i^{(k\ell)}$$

$$\beta_{\alpha}^{(1\ell)} = -\frac{n}{3}\alpha^2, \qquad \beta_{\alpha}^{(2\ell)} = -2n\alpha^3,$$

$$\beta_{\alpha}^{(3\ell)} = \left(\frac{49}{72}n^2 - \frac{29}{8}n\right)\alpha^4 - \frac{n^2 + n}{2}\alpha^3\lambda + \frac{n^2 + n}{8}\alpha^2\lambda^2 \,.$$

Example: Abelian Higgs model

 β functions given by

$$\beta_i = \frac{\mathrm{d}g_i}{\mathrm{d}\ln b} = \epsilon g_i + \sum_k \beta_i^{(k\ell)}$$

$$\beta_{\alpha}^{(1\ell)} = -\frac{n}{3}\alpha^2, \qquad \beta_{\alpha}^{(2\ell)} = -2n\alpha^3,$$

$$\beta_{\alpha}^{(3\ell)} = \left(\frac{49}{72}n^2 - \frac{29}{8}n\right)\alpha^4 - \frac{n^2+n}{2}\alpha^3\lambda + \frac{n^2+n}{8}\alpha^2\lambda^2.$$

$$\begin{split} \beta_{\lambda}^{(1\ell)} &= -6\alpha^2 + 6\alpha\lambda - (n+4)\lambda^2 \,, \\ \beta_{\lambda}^{(2\ell)} &= \left(\frac{14}{3}n + 30\right)\alpha^3 - \left(\frac{71}{6}n + \frac{29}{2}\right)\alpha^2\lambda - (4n+10)\alpha\lambda^2 + \left(\frac{9}{2}n + \frac{21}{2}\right)\lambda^3 \,, \\ \beta_{\lambda}^{(3\ell)} &= \left(-\frac{7}{18}n^2 + \left[\frac{203}{8} - 27\zeta_3\right]n + \frac{367}{8} - 45\zeta_3\right)\alpha^4 \\ &+ \left(-\frac{5}{216}n^2 + \left[18\zeta_3 - \frac{989}{8}\right]n - \frac{889}{4} - 54\zeta_3\right)\alpha^3\lambda \\ &+ \left(\frac{43}{16}n^2 + \left[18\zeta_3 + \frac{1749}{16}\right]n + \frac{1093}{8} + 126\zeta_3\right)\alpha^2\lambda^2 \\ &+ \left(-\frac{33}{16}n^2 + \left[-15\zeta_3 - \frac{461}{16}\right]n - \frac{185}{4} - 33\zeta_3\right)\lambda^4 \\ &+ \left(\left[\frac{25}{2} - 6\zeta_3\right]n + \frac{29}{2} + 6\zeta_3\right)\alpha\lambda^3 \end{split}$$

Peter Marquard (DESY)

Fixed points

Looking for fixed points: $\beta_i(g_i^*) = 0 \forall i$

At one loop:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^* &= 3\epsilon/n + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \\ \lambda^*_{\pm} &= \frac{3(18 + n \pm \sqrt{n^2 - 180n - 540})}{2n(n+4)}\epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \end{aligned}$$

Fixed points

Looking for fixed points: $\beta_i(g_i^*) = 0 \forall i$

At one loop:





Fixed-point collision

Critical number n_c , extrapolation to d = 3, i.e. $\epsilon = 1$

Padé



Results



Fixed-point collision

Critical number n_c , interpolate to d = 3 using information at d = 2Polynomial 2-sided Padé



Outline

1 Introduction

2 Method

B Results

Conclusions and Outlook

Conclusions

- We calculated the beta functions and anomalous dimensions for several models up to four-loop order
- From this the behaviour near critical points can be obtained
- Four-loop is rather straight forward
- Five-loop is still a challange and needs a good motivation