# A (personal) overview of recent top-quark measurements at the LHC

### Davide Melini<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Technion physics department - Haifa

### LFC 2019 Trento, 12th September



### Introduction

The top-quark was

- introduced in 1973 to explain weak CP violation
- discovered in 1995 (CDF and D0 at Tevatron)



### **Top-quark properties**



ヘロア 人間 アメヨア 人口 ア

### Data-to-theory comparsions



### Inclusive cross sections



Measurements agree well with theoretical predictions

ヘロア 人間 アメヨア 人口 ア

#### Single-top production is the second

#### New CMS result - August '19 - CMS-PAS-TOP-18-005

measurement  $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$  in dileptonic final state with one  $\tau$  lepton  $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}(\ell \tau_h) = 781 \pm 7(\text{stat}) \pm 62(\text{syst}) \pm 20(\text{lumi}) \text{ pb}$ 

• hadronic au 
ightarrow decay only

Inclusive tf cross section [pb]

- increased precision thanks to shape fit to  $M_T(\ell, p_T^{\text{miss}})$  in signal-like and bkg-like regions.
- Lepton flavour universality preserved

 $\frac{\sigma_{\ell\tau_h}}{\sigma_{\ell\ell}} = 0.973 \pm 0.009 \text{(stat)} \pm 0.066 \text{(syst)}$ 

ratio of partial widths agree wih expectations

$$\frac{\Gamma_{t \to \tau_h \nu b}}{\Gamma_{t \to \text{all}}} = 0.1050 \pm 0.0009 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.0071 (\text{syst})$$



ヘロト ヘワト ヘビト ヘビト

### More cross sections...

Large data sample allows to measure processes with small cross section. More recent updates are from CMS

### tībb and tījj

Irriducible bkgs to  $t\bar{t}H(b\bar{b})$  signal. Available at NLO (+PS), but large unc.

CMS - August results (CMS-PAS-TOP-18-002)

- semileptonic and dileptonic channels
- smaller unc. thanks to more stat and improved fit (syst as nuisance par)



ヘロア 人間 アメヨア 人口 ア

### More cross sections...

Large data sample allows to measure processes with small cross section. More recent updates are from CMS

### tībb and tījj

Irriducible bkgs to  $t\bar{t}H(b\bar{b})$  signal. Available at NLO (+PS), but large unc.

CMS - August results (CMS-PAS-TOP-18-002)

- semileptonic and dileptonic channels
- smaller unc. thanks to more stat and improved fit (syst as nuisance par)



### ttbb fully hadronic

CMS - May results (CMS-PAS-TOP-18-011)

- challenging fully hadronic final state!
- ≥ 8 jets, ≥ 4 *b*-jets
- many systematics contribute equally (*t̄t* modelling, *b*-tag, MC stat)



Top quark measurements at the LHC

D. Melini

### ...and more cross sections!

Searches for  $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$  production  $\rightarrow$  very very challenging experimentally! NLO QCD prediction is  $\sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}^{SM} \sim 12$ fb



#### ATLAS Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 052009

- $\ell$ +jets and  $\ell^+\ell^-$ +jets channels
- combination with J. High EnergyPhys. 12 (2018) 039

multi- $\ell$  and  $\ell^{\pm}\ell^{\pm}$ +jets meas.

- $\bullet \ \sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}^{\rm meas} < 5\sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}^{\rm SM}$
- set limit on anomalous four-top-quark coupling in EFT

#### D. Melini

#### CMS CMS-PAS-TOP-17-019

- $\ell$ +jets and  $\ell^+\ell^-$ +jets channels
- MVA analysis on jet properties
- no observation  $\rightarrow$  1.4  $\sigma$  evidence
- σ<sub>tītī</sub> < 48fb @ 95% CL</li>
- EFT interpretation

#### CMS CMS-PAS-TOP-18-003

- multi- $\ell$  and  $\ell^{\pm}\ell^{\pm}$ +jets
- Classification + BDT
- uses 137 fb<sup>-1</sup> int. lumi
- significance of 2.4σ
- constraints top-Yukawa and set limits on heavy (pseudo-)scalar

Top quark measurements at the LHC

### Differential lepton cross sections in $t\bar{t}$

Increased statistics makes possible to measure  $t\bar{t}$  differential cross sections with high precision. Recent results from ATLAS at 13 TeV.

#### ATLAS $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ +jets atlas-conf-2019-041

- $\bullet \ \ \text{clean channel} \rightarrow \text{precision}$
- measure  $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\text{incl}}$  and extract  $m_{\text{pole}}$
- $\frac{\sigma_{t\bar{t}}(13\text{TeV})}{\sigma_{t\bar{t}}(7-8\text{TeV})}$  and  $\frac{\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/\sigma_Z(13\text{TeV})}{\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/\sigma_Z(7-8\text{TeV})}$
- 1-D and 2-D leptonic differential distributions at particle level

Cross sections ratios and double ratios compatible with NNLO+NNLL

Lepton  $p_T$  harder than data, in POWHEG. No MC describe low  $m_{e\mu}$ 



### Differential lepton cross sections in $t\bar{t}$

Increased statistics makes possible to measure  $t\bar{t}$  differential cross sections with high precision. Recent results from ATLAS at 13 TeV.

### ATLAS $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}+$ jets atlas-conf-2019-041

- $\bullet \ \ \text{clean channel} \to \text{precision}$
- measure  $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\text{incl}}$  and extract  $m_{\text{pole}}$
- $\frac{\sigma_{t\bar{t}}(13\text{TeV})}{\sigma_{t\bar{t}}(7-8\text{TeV})}$  and  $\frac{\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/\sigma_Z(13\text{TeV})}{\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/\sigma_Z(7-8\text{TeV})}$
- 1-D and 2-D leptonic differential distributions at particle level

Cross sections ratios and double ratios compatible with NNLO+NNLL

Lepton  $p_T$  harder than data, in POWHEG. No MC describe low  $m_{e\mu}$ 



### Differential $t\bar{t}$ cross sections

Increased statistics makes possible to measure  $t\bar{t}$  differential cross sections with high precision. Recent results from ATLAS at 13 TeV.

#### ATLAS semileptonic: arXiv:1908.07305

- resolved and boosted topologies
- particle and parton level
- 1-D and 2-D differential
- Data compared to MCs at particle level and also to NNLO pQCD at parton level
- not enough p<sub>T</sub> reach to evaluate the impact of NLO EW

MCs overestimate data in the tails of  $p_T^{had}$ ,  $m_{t\bar{t}}$ ,  $H_{t\bar{t}}$  at particle level

NNLO describes data better than NLO+PS, at parton level



### ATLAS $t\bar{t}$ differential cross section



Overall good argreement

 $\frac{d^2\sigma}{dp_T dm_{t\bar{t}}}$  is interesting unfolded data better described at low  $p_T$  by NLO+PS at hight  $p_T$  by NNLO pQCD

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト ヘ

#### JHEP 05 (2019) 088

ATLAS + CMS combination of 7 and 8 TeV single-*t* cross-sections allows to measure the largest CKM matrix element ( $V_{tb}$ )

- assuming  $|V_{td}|, |V_{ts}| \ll |V_{tb}|$
- Ieft handed Wtb weak coupling

$$|f_{LV}V_{tb}| = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^{\text{meas}}}{\sigma^{\text{SM}}}}$$

f<sub>LV</sub> form factor for left-handed coupling (==1 in the SM)

 $|f_{LV}V_{tb}| = 1.02 \pm 0.04$ 

largest unc. from single-*t* modelling and theo. cross section



・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ

### Charge asymmetry

#### ATLAS-CONF-2019-026 - July '19

Inclusive and differential charge asymmetry  $A_C$  measurements in  $t\bar{t}$  with  $L = 139 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at 13 TeV

D. Melini

- asymmetry originates from beyond-LO corrections to tt
  producion
- more forward t and more central t
  are expected in pp collisions

$$A_{C} = \frac{N(|y_{t}| - |y_{\bar{t}}| > 0) - N(|y_{t}| - |y_{\bar{t}}| < 0)}{N(|y_{t}| - |y_{\bar{t}}| > 0) + N(|y_{t}| - |y_{\bar{t}}| < 0)}$$

 $4\sigma$  evidence for charge asymmetry  $A_C=0.0060\pm0.0015$  (from SM  $A_C\sim0.0064)$  compatible with SM

resolved and boosted topologies unfolding to parton level EFT interpretation 0.07 *و* NNLOQCD + NLOEW ATLAS Preliminary 0.06 √s = 13 TeV, 139 fb<sup>-1</sup> Powheq+Pythia8 0.05 0.04E Data (stat./total) 0.03 0.02E 0.0 -0.01 -0.02 -0.03[500,750] [750.1000] [1000.1500] > 1500 m, [GeV] Top guark measurements at the LHC 12/27

*l*+jets final states

### Top width

#### ATLAS-CONF-2019-038 - August '19

Measurement of top-quark decay width  $\Gamma_t$  with L = 139 fb<sup>-1</sup> at 13 TeV

- short lifetime  $\rightarrow$  large decay width
- at NNLO Γ<sub>t</sub> = 1.322 GeV

for  $m_t = 172.5 \text{ GeV}$ 

with only 6% theo unc.

sensitive to BSM physics



- dilept. final states
- Γ<sub>t</sub> extracted **directly** from data/MC comparison of m<sub>ℓb</sub> at detector level



### Polarisation and spin correlations at 13 TeV

In SM  $t\bar{t}$  production the spins of t and  $\bar{t}$  are predicted to be correlated. Top lifetime is shorter than hadronisation and spin decorrelation time scales Top-quark spin information is passed to top decay products

#### ATLAS-CONF-2016-10

- $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$  only
- unfold to parton and particle levels
- measures  $\Delta \eta(\ell_1, \ell_2)$  inclusively and  $\Delta \phi(\ell_1, \ell_2)$  also in  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  bins



### Polarisation and spin correlations at 13 TeV

In SM  $t\bar{t}$  production the spins of t and  $\bar{t}$  are predicted to be correlated. Top lifetime is shorter than hadronisation and spin decorrelation time scales Top-quark spin information is passed to top decay products

#### CMS-PAS-TOP-18-006

- $e^{\pm}\mu\mp$ ,  $e^{+}e^{-}$ ,  $\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$  channels
- extracts the (15) coefficients of top spin dependent parts of tt production
- measures 15 (indip) observables, unfolded to parton level

#### ATLAS-CONF-2016-10

- $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$  only
- unfold to parton and particle levels
- measures Δη(ℓ<sub>1</sub>, ℓ<sub>2</sub>) inclusively and Δφ(ℓ<sub>1</sub>, ℓ<sub>2</sub>) also in m<sub>tt</sub> bins



#### Top-quark mass relevance

- self-consistency checks of SM ( $m_t, m_W, m_H$  are related)
- electro-weak vacuum stability (running of Higgs quartic coupling)
- affects BSM scenarios (large mass value)

Top-quark mass  $(m_t)$  is a free parameter of the SM can be determined via:

- Global fits (PRD 96 (2017) 014011, JHEP 2016 (2016) 135, EPJC 78 (2018) 675)
- Dedicated experimental measurements

Top-quark mass experimental measurements need special attention!

Extraction can be done from data-to-MC or data-to-pQCD comparisons. No analytical relation exist between the top-quark mass as implemented in MCs ( $m_t^{MC}$ ) and the parameter of the Lagrangian ( $m_t^{pole}$ ,  $m_t^{\overline{MS}}$ , ...)

Various solutions under study / used

- theo. work for m<sup>MC</sup><sub>t</sub> ↔ m<sup>pole</sup><sub>t</sub> relation ongoing
- Use m<sup>MC</sup><sub>t</sub> and add 0.5 GeV unc. (~leading one!).
- measurements of  $m_t^{\text{pole}}$  and  $m_t^{\overline{\text{MS}}}$  with more precise evaluation of theo unc.

New results published by CMS and ATLAS in the past months

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日

### Pole mass

#### Needs for a $m_t^{\text{pole}}$ or $m_t^{\overline{\text{MS}}}$ measurement:

- Observable(s) sensitive to m<sub>t</sub>
- Calculations of the observables at least at NLO QCD
- Theo corr. under control (small unc.)

### TOPQ-2017-09 $m_t^{\text{pole}} = 171.1 \pm 1.1 \text{ GeV}_1$

- $t\bar{t}$  + 1 jet topology, still 8 TeV!
- unfold to parton and particle levels *m<sub>t</sub>* from parton level only

### • one verv sensitive observable



largest unc: modelling and scale variations D. Melini

### CMS-TOP-18-004 $m_t^{pole} = 170.5 \pm 0.8 \text{ GeV}$

Top-quark mass extracted from 3-D  $t\bar{t}$ differential cross section  $\frac{d^3\sigma_{t\bar{t}}}{dm_{t\bar{t}} dy_{t\bar{t}} dN_{t}}$ 

- parton level comparsion to NLO QCD
- simultaneous fit of  $m_t^{\text{pole}}, \alpha_s$ , PDFs
- theo. unc. from scale varaitions



theoretical uncertainties evaluated with 100 MeV precision and total  $\Delta m_t^{\text{pole}} \lesssim 1 \text{ GeV } !_{\mathcal{OQC}}$ 

Top quark measurements at the LHC

#### summary of all $m_t^{\text{pole}}$ measurements



#### Global fits

EW fits prefer high mass  $m_t^{
m pole} \sim 176.5 \pm 2 ~{
m GeV}$ 

NNLO QCD global fit gives  $m_t^{
m pole} = 170.4 \pm 1.2 ~{
m GeV}$ 

Recent  $m_t^{MC}$  combinations of ATLAS and CMS  $m_t^{MC}$  (ATLAS) = 172.7  $\pm$  0.5 GeV  $m_t^{MC}$  (CMS) = 172.4  $\pm$  0.5 GeV

world avg. (from PDG 2018)  $m_t^{\rm MC}({\rm World}) = 173.1 \pm 0.9 \, {\rm GeV}$ (no additional 0.5 GeV theo. unc. considered)

My naive combination of recent  $m_t^{\text{pole}}$  measurements  $m_t^{\text{pole}} = 170.7 \pm 0.7 \text{ GeV}$ 

### Top mass running and Yukawa coupling

Top-quark mass is a parameter of the Lagrangian:

- proportional to top-Yukawa coupling Y<sub>t</sub> in the SM
- in MS-like schemes it has scale-dependent running

#### CMS-PAS-TOP-17-004

constrain  $Y_t$  from semilep.  $d\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/dX$ 

- EW corrections to  $t\bar{t}$  depend on  $Y_t$
- Add LO EW to parton level NLO QCD (through reweighting)
- fold to detecor level and compare with data (prof likleilhood fit)





#### CMS-PAS-TOP-19-007 August '19

first measurement of running  $m_t(\mu)$ 

- $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ +jets unfolded to parton level
- comparison to NLO QCD in MS renorm. scheme
- measure  $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$  in four  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  bins and extract  $m_{t}^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(\mu = m_{t\bar{t}})$  in each bin



Top quark measurements at the LHC

### Summary and conclusions

Many top-quark related results from the LHC experiments in the last months. A personal selection of recent analyses was shown.

Onorable mentions:

- LHCb measured  $t\bar{t}$  production too (JHEP 08 (2018) 174 )
- CMS jet-mass of boosted hadronic tops (CMS-PAS-TOP-19-005, August '19)

Interesting since probes different fiducial volume (forward/high  $p_T$  top)

Recent results take advantage of successfull operation of the LHC and its experiments

- large dataset (up to  $L = 139 \text{fb}^{-1}$ , 4x increase in  $t\bar{t}$  xsec from 8 to 13 TeV)
- improved knowledge of detectors behaviour (reduced syst)
- parton and particle levels give complementary information
- overall good data-theory agreement
- first time results with new approaches and methods
- there is still room for improvements!

## Back-up

D. Melini Top quark measurements at the LHC

<ロト <回 > < 注 > < 注 > 、

ъ

### ATLAS spin correlations



particle level better described by NNLO than parton level

D. Melini

Top quark measurements at the LHC

### EFT interpreation of $A_C$



#### EFT expansion

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{SM} + \sum_{i} \frac{C_i}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_i + \dots$$

can be rearranged so that only one operator, with Wilson coefficient  $C^-$  contributes to charge asymmetry.

Impact of considering first  $\Lambda^{-4}$  evaluated (ensures  $\Lambda$  expansion is under control)

ヘロア 人間 アメヨア 人口 ア

D. Melini

Top quark measurements at the LHC

ъ

### Additional uncertainty on $m_t^{MC}$ , from arXiv:1803.08153

additional 0.5 GeV unc. on  $m_t$  to cover the ambiguity in the kinematic top quark mass definition, the colour structure of the fragmentation process, and the perturbative relation between pole and MS mass

ヘロン ヘロン ヘビン ヘビン

3

23/27



ヘロト ヘワト ヘビト ヘビト

ъ



ヘロト ヘワト ヘビト ヘビト

ъ

### Running mass and Yukawa

#### CMS running mass

 $m_t^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(\mu = m_t^{\overline{\text{MS}}})$  extracted in  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  bins comparing unfolded data to MCFM (NLO accuracy)

Values are evolved to  $m_t^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(\mu=m_{t\overline{t}})$  with RunDec



(since it is what is measured)

#### CMS Yukawa constraint



Top guark measurements at the LHC

### CMS Jet mass from boosted hadronic top



ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビ