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## Summary of our study

- an $\alpha$-nucleus quasimolecular potential can be constructed from experimental decay widths and a realistic $\alpha$-daughter interaction given by independent scattering data.
- the parameters of this potential allow one to predict the position of excited resonant states, which can in principle be detected in excitation response functions and prove the existence of $\alpha$-molecules in heavy nuclei.


## $\alpha$-decay phenomenology I



## $\alpha$-decay phenomenology ${ }^{1}$ II

| g.s. $\rightarrow$ g.s. | transitions |
| :---: | :---: |
| even-even | 149 |
| even-odd | 72 |
| odd-even | 67 |
| odd-odd | 50 |
| total | 338 |
| g.s. $\rightarrow$ ex.s. | transitions |
| even-even | 238 |
| odd-mass favored | 130 |
| odd-mass unfavored | 333 |
| total | 701 |

${ }^{1}$ Data from www.nndc.bnl.gov/ensdf/. For analysis, see D.S. Delion and A. Dumitrescu, Phys. Rev. C 92 (2), 021303(2015).

## $\alpha$-decay phenomenology ${ }^{2}$ III


${ }^{2}$ D.S. Delion, Zhongzhou Ren, A.D., Dongdong Ni, J. Phys. G 45, 5 (2018).

## $\alpha$-decay phenomenology IV

- The $\alpha$-decay process

$$
P\left(I_{P}\right) \rightarrow D(I)+\alpha(\ell)
$$

can be described by a separable wave function depending on the degrees of the freedom of the daughter nucleus $\xi_{D}$ and the relative distance $\mathbf{R}$ between the fragments

$$
\Psi_{I_{P}, M_{P}}\left(\xi_{D}, \mathbf{R}\right)=\sum_{c=1}^{N} \frac{\psi_{c}(R)}{R} \mathcal{Y}_{c}\left(\xi_{D}, \hat{R}\right)
$$

- The core-angular harmonic describes the angular relative motion of the fragments

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{c}\left(\xi_{D}, \hat{R}\right)=\left[\Phi_{I}\left(\xi_{D}\right) \otimes Y_{\ell}(\hat{R})\right]_{I_{P}, M_{P}}
$$

## $\alpha$-decay phenomenology V

- The $\alpha$-daughter dynamics is governed by a stationary Schrödinger equation ${ }^{3}$

$$
H \Psi_{I_{P}, M_{P}}\left(\xi_{D}, \mathbf{R}\right)=Q_{\alpha} \Psi_{I_{P}, M_{P}}\left(\xi_{D}, \mathbf{R}\right)
$$

with a Hamiltonian containing three components: the kinetic energy, the structure term of the daughter nucleus and the $\alpha$-daughter interaction

$$
H=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 \mu} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}^{2}+H_{D}\left(\xi_{D}\right)+V\left(\xi_{D}, \mathbf{R}\right)
$$

- The interaction consists of a spherically-symmetric term and a deformed component

$$
V\left(\xi_{D}, \mathbf{R}\right)=V_{0}(R)+V_{d}\left(\xi_{D}, \mathbf{R}\right)
$$

## $\alpha$-decay phenomenology VI

The coupled-channels equation

$$
\frac{d^{2} \psi_{c}(R)}{d \rho_{c}^{2}}=\sum_{c^{\prime}=1}^{N} A_{c c^{\prime}}(R) \psi_{c^{\prime}}(R), c=1, \ldots, N
$$

is given in terms of the coupling matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{c c^{\prime}}(R) & =\left[\frac{L_{c}\left(L_{c}+1\right)}{\rho_{c}^{2}}+\frac{V_{0}(R)}{Q_{\alpha}-E_{c}}-1\right] \delta_{c c^{\prime}} \\
& +\frac{\left\langle\mathcal{Y}_{c}\right| V_{d}\left(\xi_{D}, \mathbf{R}\right)\left|\mathcal{Y}_{c^{\prime}}\right\rangle}{Q_{\alpha}-E_{c}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and radial parameters

$$
\rho_{c}=\kappa_{c} R, \quad \kappa_{c}=\sqrt{\frac{2 \mu\left(Q_{\alpha}-E_{c}\right)}{\hbar^{2}}} .
$$

## The Double Folding Method ${ }^{4}$

- The interaction is estimated by integrating a nucleon-nucleon force $\nu$ over the densities of the two fragments $\rho_{D, \alpha}$ :

$$
V\left(\xi_{D}, \mathbf{R}\right)=\int d \mathbf{r}_{D} \int d \mathbf{r}_{\alpha} \rho_{D}\left(\mathbf{r}_{D}\right) \rho_{\alpha}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\alpha}\right) \nu\left(\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{r}_{D}-\mathbf{r}_{\alpha}\right)
$$

- The deformed component follows from a multipole-multipole expansion of the nuclear densities:

$$
V_{d}\left(\xi_{D}, \mathbf{R}\right)=\sum_{\lambda>0} V_{\lambda}(R) \mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}\left(\xi_{D}, \xi_{\alpha}\right)
$$

[^0]
## The M3Y Double Folding Potential for ${ }_{94}^{242} \mathrm{Pu} \rightarrow{ }_{92}^{238} \mathrm{U}+{ }_{2}^{4} \alpha$



## The Monopole Component

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{0}(R) & =\bar{V}_{0}(R), R>R_{m} \\
& =v_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_{0} \beta_{0}\left(R-R_{\min }\right)^{2}, R<R_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\bar{V}_{0}$ is obtained through the double folding integration of the nucleon-nucleon M3Y plus Coulomb force.
- $\beta_{0}$ is the harmonic oscillator parameter for the monopole component.
- $v_{0}$ is the minimum of the oscillator potential; it is determined from the matching condition.
- $\omega_{0}$ is the oscillator frequency, satisfying $Q_{\alpha}-v_{0} \sim \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_{0}$.


## The Wave Functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{c}^{(e x t)}(R) & =\sum_{a=1}^{N} \mathcal{H}_{c a}^{+}(R) \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma_{a}}{\hbar v_{a}}}, R>R_{m} \\
\psi_{c}^{(i n t)}(X) & =A_{c} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{c}}{\pi}}} e^{-\beta_{c} \frac{x^{2}}{2}}, X=R-R_{m i n}, R<R_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\mathcal{H}_{c a}^{+}(R)$ are outgoing Coulomb-Hankel asymptotics.
- $\Gamma_{a} \& v_{a}$ are the decay width and particle velocity of channel $a$.
- $\beta_{c}$ and $A_{c}$ are the channel harmonic oscillator parameter and amplitude.
- for the monopole channel:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{0} & =\frac{1}{b_{0}^{2}}=\frac{m_{\alpha} \omega_{0}}{\hbar}=f \hbar \omega_{0} \\
f & =\frac{m_{\alpha} c^{2}}{\left(\hbar c^{2}\right)}=0.096 \mathrm{MeV}^{-1} \mathrm{fm}^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Spectroscopic Factors

- Due to the external components being much smaller than the internal ones in the internal region $R \in\left[0, R_{m}\right]$, one has

$$
S_{\alpha}=\int|\Psi(\mathbf{R})|^{2} d \mathbf{R} \approx \sum_{c} A_{c}^{2}=\sum_{c} S_{c}
$$

## Matching Conditions

- The coefficients $v_{0}, \beta_{0}$ and $R_{\text {min }}$ are obtained from the matching relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{0}+\frac{1}{2 f} \beta_{0}^{2} X_{m}^{2} & =\bar{V}_{0}\left(R_{m}\right), \quad X_{m}=R_{m}-R_{\min } \\
\frac{1}{f} \beta_{0}^{2} X_{m} & =\bar{V}_{0}^{\prime}\left(R_{m}\right) \\
\ln ^{\prime} \psi_{0}^{(i n t)}\left(X_{m}\right) & =-\beta_{0} X_{m}=\ln ^{\prime} \psi_{0}^{(e x t)}\left(R_{m}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- With $Q_{\alpha}$ fixed from the experiment and $R_{m}=R_{i n t}$, one ensures the existence of a narrow resonance corresponding to the first eigenvalue of the harmonic oscillator pocket.


## The $\alpha-C e^{140}$ molecular potential



## Systematics of the monopole length parameter



## Systematics of the spectroscopic factor $S_{\alpha}$ versus neutron number



## $\alpha$ photoabsorbtion ${ }^{5}$

- Energy-integrated cross section for the dipole excitation of a harmonic oscillator:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma & =\sigma_{0}\left(1+\frac{b^{2}}{R_{\min }^{2}}\right) \\
\sigma_{0} & =\frac{8 \pi^{3}}{3} \frac{\hbar c}{m_{\alpha} c^{2}} e_{\alpha}^{2}=252.123 \mathrm{MeV} \mathrm{mb}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]Absorption energy-integrated cross section versus the monopole length parameter for $R_{\text {min }}=7 \mathrm{fm}$


## Conclusions ${ }^{6}$

- The shape of the $\alpha$-clusters on the nuclear surface can be determined in a stable way by using decay widths as input data. A molecular potential was set up, with the equilibrium radius slightly larger than the Mott transition point from nucleonic to the $\alpha$-cluster phase in finite nuclei.
- The first excited vibrational resonant state is close to the Coulomb barrier for nuclei with $b_{0}>0.75 \mathrm{fm}$ and its rotational band can in principle be evidenced as a structure of maxima in the $\alpha$-particle scattering cross section. The associated ALAS phenomenon diminishes due to the hindrance of the $\alpha$-exchange effects.
- The dipole excitation of this resonance by $\gamma$-rays in odd-mass emitters would provide additional proof for the existence of $\alpha$ molecules in heavy nuclei.

[^2]
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