# Localization and cluster formation in light nuclei



B. Mottelson - quantality parameter:

$$\Lambda_{\rm Mot} \doteq \frac{\hbar^2}{m\bar{r}^2|V_0|}.$$

ratio of the zero-point kinetic energy of the confined particle to its potential energy.

The transition between a solid phase (small kinetic energy compared to the potential at equilibrium) and a liquid (large kinetic energy in comparison to the depth of the potential) occurs for  $\Lambda_{MOT} \approx 0.1$ .

Nuclear matter:  $\overline{r} \sim 1$  fm,  $|V_0| \sim 100 \text{ MeV} \rightarrow \Lambda \text{ Mot} \sim 0.4$  quantum liquid phase.



 $\bar{r}$  is the average inter-nucleon distance, and  $\Delta r$  the spatial dispersion of the wave function:

$$\Delta r = \sqrt{\langle r^2 \rangle - \langle r \rangle^2}.$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\Delta r}{\overline{r}} = f\left(\frac{E_{kin}}{E_{pot}}\right)$$

J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 44 (2017) 103001

When the confining nuclear potential is approximated by a three-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator:

$$\alpha_{\rm loc} \simeq \frac{b}{r_0} = \frac{\sqrt{\hbar R}}{r_0 (2mV_0)^{1/4}}$$

b is the oscillator length and  $r_0 = 1.25$  fm. Using the liquid-drop parameterization for the radius R =  $r_0 A^{1/3}$ 



The transition from coexisting cluster and mean-field states to a Fermi liquid state occurs for A ~ 20 - 30. In heavier systems  $a_{loc} > 1 \rightarrow$  heavy nuclei consist of largely delocalized nucleons characterized by a large mean free path.

#### Clusters in light a-conjugate nuclei

Important role of nuclear shape deformation: removes the degeneracy of single-nucleon levels associated with spherical symmetry.

The saturation of inter-nucleon forces, effective when both spin and isospin are coupled to zero, produces a particularly strong binding of the a-cluster and a central density that is by almost a third larger than central densities in most nuclei.

Self-consistent mean-field intrinsic reflection-asymmetric and reflection-symmetric axial densities of <sup>20</sup>Ne.



0

J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 44 (2017) 103001



x(fm)



Localization function

Dilute nuclear matter in excited configurations => the formation of clusters locally enhances the nucleonic density toward its saturation value, increasing the binding of the system.

Constrained self-consistent mean-field densities of <sup>16</sup>O.





J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 44 (2017) 103001

### Constrained self-consistent mean-field densities









<sup>16</sup>O















## Beyond self-consistent mean field: collective correlations related to symmetry restoration and nuclear shape fluctuations

#### Quadrupole and octupole collectivity and cluster structures in neon isotopes



#### GCM configuration mixing of angular-momentum and parity projected SCMF states



#### GCM configuration mixing of angular-momentum and parity projected SCMF states





PHYSICAL REVIEW C 97, 024334 (2018)

#### Amplitudes of GCM collective wave functions squared.



Expectation values of of the quadrupole and octupole deformation parameters in the correlated GCM states:



#### **Neutron-rich Ne isotopes**

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 97, 024334 (2018)

GCM spectra: DD-PC1 + separable pairing



22 F

20

18

16

14

12 10

8

2

-2

22

26

24

28 A

S<sub>2n</sub> [MeV]

■ RHB ▲ GCM ● Exp.

32

34

30

#### <sup>22</sup>Ne - intrinsic densities at characteristic prolate (oblate) deformations:



#### <sup>24</sup>Ne - intrinsic densities at characteristic prolate (oblate) deformations:



Energy density functional DD-PC1 + separable pairing interaction

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

Deformation energy surfaces of <sup>12</sup>C in the  $\beta_2$ - $\beta_3$  plane: self-consistent mean-field RHB, angular momentum-, particle number-, and parity-projected for spin-parity values  $J \pi = 0^+$ ,  $2^+$ ,  $4^+$ .

GCM configuration mixing of angular momentum-, particle number-, and parity-projected SCMF states:

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

Amplitudes of collective wave functions squared  $|g(\beta_2,\beta_3)|^2$  for the low-energy levels of <sup>12</sup>C.

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Collective states in the intrinsic frame

...calculate the expectation values of deformation parameters  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$  for each collective state:

$$\langle \beta_2 \rangle_{\alpha}^{J;NZ;\pi} = \sum_i \left| g_{\alpha}^{J;NZ;\pi}(q_i) \right|^2 \beta_{2i},$$
  
$$\langle |\beta_3| \rangle_{\alpha}^{J;NZ;\pi} = \sum_i \left| g_{\alpha}^{J;NZ;\pi}(q_i) \right|^2 \left| \beta_{3i} \right|,$$

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Electron-nucleus scattering form factors** 

...longitudinal Coulomb form factor:

$$F_L(q) = \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{Z} \int_0^\infty dr r^2 \rho_{J_i \alpha_i, L}^{J_f \alpha_f}(r) j_L(qr),$$

reduced proton transition density:

$$\begin{split} \rho_{J_i\alpha_i,L}^{J_f\alpha_f}(r) &= (-1)^{J_f-J_i} \frac{2J_f+1}{2J_i+1} \sum_K \langle J_f 0 LK | J_i K \rangle \\ &\times \int d\hat{\mathbf{r}} \rho_{\alpha_f\alpha_i}^{J_f J_i K 0}(\mathbf{r}) Y_{LK}^*(\hat{\mathbf{r}}), \end{split}$$

![](_page_20_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

- R.-D. Lasseri
- J.-P. Ebran
- · E. Khan
- T. Nikšić
- D. Vretenar