



The JUNO detector and its potential with CCSN neutrinos

Stefano M.Mari, Cristina Martellini, Paolo Montini, Giulio Settanta University of Roma Tre

SN neutrinos at the crossroad: astrophysics, oscillations and detection 16/05/2019

Outlook

- The JUNO experiment and Supernovae in the JUNO experiment
- Models for the CCSN in this study
- The Bayes Unfolding Method
- The Unfolded Energy Spectra
- Few next Steps
- Conclusions

The JUNO Experiment

JUNO (Jiangmen Underground Neutrino Observatory): a 20 kton **multipurpose** neutrino experiment, under construction near Kaiping (South China)

Oscillation probes

- Neutrino mass hierarchy
- Precision Measurements of mixing parameters

Astrophysical sources

- CCSN neutrinos
- Diffuse Supernova neutrino
- Solar neutrinos
- Geo-neutrinos
- Atmospheric neutrinos



Others

- Sterile neutrinos
- Exotic searches

Baseline Optimization

JUNO selected the experimental site taking into consideration the baseline optimization

Minimization of statistics in favour of maximization of oscillation effects





The JUNO detector

•

٠

Veto

16/05/2019

Central Detector Calibration 20 kton of LS High Transparency: **Attenuation length** L > 20m @ 430 nm Water Pool Active Muon Cherenkov **2000 LPMts** 40 kton of pure water

Top Tracker

- **Former Opera Experiment Scintillator panels**
- **Muon Track reconstruction**

~ 650 underground



Channels of Detection

Channel	Type	Events for different $\langle E_{\nu} \rangle$ values		
		$12 { m MeV}$	$14 { m MeV}$	$16 { m MeV}$
$\overline{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow e^+ + n$	CC	$4.3 imes 10^3$	$5.0 imes 10^3$	5.7×10^{3}
$\nu + p \rightarrow \nu + p$	NC	$6.0 imes 10^2$	$1.2 imes 10^3$	2.0×10^3
$\nu + e \rightarrow \nu + e$	ES	$3.6 imes 10^2$	$3.6 imes 10^2$	$3.6 imes 10^2$
$\nu + {}^{12}\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \nu + {}^{12}\mathrm{C}^*$	NC	$1.7 imes 10^2$	$3.2 imes 10^2$	5.2×10^2
$\nu_e + {}^{12}\mathrm{C} \rightarrow e^- + {}^{12}\mathrm{N}$	CC	$4.7 imes 10^1$	$9.4 imes 10^1$	$1.6 imes 10^2$
$\overline{\nu}_e + {}^{12}\mathrm{C} \rightarrow e^+ + {}^{12}\mathrm{B}$	CC	$6.0 imes 10^1$	$1.1 imes 10^2$	1.6×10^{2}

- Real time meas. the three-phase v signals
- Distinguish between different v flavour
- Reconstruct v Energy and Luminosity
- Almost background free during the time info

Table: Numbers of neutrinos events in JUNO for a SN at a typical distance of about 10 kpc, where stands for neutrinos and antineutrinos of all flavours. Three representative values of the average neutrino energy = 12 MeV, 14 MeV and 16 MeV are taken for illustration, where in each case the same average energy is assumed for all flavours and neutrino flavour conversions are not considered. For the elastic neutrino-proton scattering , a threshold of 0.2 MeV for the proton recoil energy is chosen.

Neutrino Spectrum from a CoreCollapse SN



IBD dominates at high energy range
 pES more consistent in low energy range

Generator

- We used the Supernova Generator implemented in the JUNO Software :
- Flux models:
 - > Numerical simulated data (Nakazato model)
 - Currently just few set of data (Supernova Neutrino Database)
- We set a distance once we chose our fluxfile and we set NH or IH
- New Garching Models from the German group have been implemeted into Sniper



• $M = 20 M_{\odot}$ Z= 0.004 D= 10 kpc

DIPENDENT MPLES

Theoretical flux simulations



Channels separation

- > NPE for Large PMT distribution for the three main channels is shown below
- > Evident different distributions of the Number of PE for the three main channels



$$NPE_{LPMT} > 20 \times 10^3$$

> As expected $\overline{\nu}_e$ events from IBD seem more defined at higher energies

This net separation at high energy allows us to select a fiducial cut on the Energy, therefore directly on our observable

Channels separation

> NPE spectra after preliminary cut



With a first fiducial cut in energy we completely eliminated any contribution of the proton elastic scattering to the IBD distribution

$$NPE_{LPMT} > 20 \times 10^3$$

Unfolding of Observed Spectra

- We need an unfolding algorithm to extract the energy spectrum
- Starting selecting the observables of interest, we want to reconstruct the original neutrino energy

In our case the probability of having an $\overline{v_e}$ of a given energy E_v coming from an IBD is:

$$P_{IBD}(E_{\overline{\nu}_e}) \propto \int_{E_{min}}^{E_{max}} P_{IBD}(E_{\overline{\nu}_e}|E_0) \cdot P_{IBD}(E_0) \cdot dE_0 \qquad \text{IBD Channel}$$

Where the conditional probability $P_{IBD}(E_{\overline{\nu}_e}|E_0)$ is the detector response matrix:

$$A_{ji} \propto P_{IBD} \left(E_{\nu_i} \mid N_{PE_j} \right)$$

Using N_{PE} as an energy estimator and $\sum_{j} A_{ji} = 1 \cdot \in$

Spectrum Unfolding

- For each channel we can build the likelihood matrix A, and the 3 main channels for a CC SN are:
- $\overline{\nu}_e$ from IBD
- ν from pES
- ν from eES







Spectrum Unfolding

Based on the fiducial cut and on the result of the likelihood matrix, the \bar{v}_e from IBD has been considered



Selecting a region of interest of : $NPE_{LPMT} > 20000 NPE$

Spectrum Unfolding

> We selected an Energy Range of **10 MeV – 50 MeV**



What's been done next

> We generated different samples of three different SN:

- $M = 13_{M_{\odot}}$
- First we simulated all of them at the same distance

ance D= 10 Kpc



16/05/2019



 $M = 20_{M_{\odot}}$

 $M = 30_{M_{\odot}}$

What's been done next

Given the fact that the time distributions are basically undistinguishable, but they differ on the maximum values of the distributions



We built a matrix to have a look at the maximum values of the 3 distributions as a function of the time and check if this could give us some informations about the Masses of the progenitor

Summary and Conclusions

- > CCSN neutrino events can be studied in separated channels
- > Energy spectrum features for the different channels allowed us a first fiducial cut
- A further improved discrimination tool needs to be developed to isolate the different channels of the SN
- Further studies are needed on the other channels through the Bayesian approach to establish the possibility of different channels likelihood construction.
- > The preliminary results from the unfolded spectra show promising prospectives







THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION



BACK UP SLIDES

Introduction to Supernova neutrinos



Gravitational binding energy $E_{\rm b} \approx 3 \times 10^{53} \text{ erg} \approx 17\% M_{\rm SUN} c^2$

This shows up as **99**% **Neutrinos** Kinetic energy of explosion 1% (1% of this into cosmic rays) 0.01% Photons, outshine host galaxy

Neutrino luminosity

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{L}_{\nu} &\approx& 3 \times 10^{53} \text{ erg} \ / \ 3 \ \text{sec} \\ &\approx& 3 \times 10^{19} \ \mathsf{L}_{\text{SUN}} \end{array}$$

While it lasts, outshines the entire visible universe

Cristina Martellini - University of Roma Tre - COStina Martellini - University of Roma Tre

Expected neutrino luminosity and average energy vs time



Motivation Summary

The core-collapse supernova explosion is still not well understood... numerical studies ongoing

CORE COLLAPSE PHYSICS

WHAT CAN WE LEARN FROM THE NEXT NEUTRINO BURST???



NEUTRINO and OTHER PARTICLE PHYSICS





Marek & Janka

explosion mechanism
 Proto- nstar cooling,
 black hole formation
 accretion

v absolute mass (not competitive)

v mixing from spectra: flavor conversion in SN/Earth

(mass hierarchy)

other v properties: sterile n's, magnetic moment,...



Supernovae Models





18 Supernova bursts!

Supernova Example Spectra

• $M = 20 M_{\odot}$

Z= 0.004

D= 10 kpc



p spectrum from v - p ES

• $M = 20 M_{\odot}$

Z= 0.004

D= 10 kpc



e^- spectrum from $\nu - e ES$

• $M = 20 M_{\odot}$ Z= 0.004 D= 10 kpc



e^+ spectrum from $\bar{v} - {}^{12}C$ scattering

Z= 0.004

• $M = 20 M_{\odot}$

 M_{\odot}

D= 10 kpc



e^{-} spectrum from $v - {}^{12}C$ scattering

• $M = 20 M_{\odot}$ Z= 0.004 D= 10 kpc



Neutrino Spectrum from a CoreCollapse SN



Unfolding of Observed Spectra

- We need an unfolding algorithm to extract the energy spectrum
- Starting selecting the observables of interest, we want to reconstruct the original neutrino energy

In our case the probability of having an $\overline{v_e}$ of a given energy E_v coming from an IBD is:

$$P_{IBD}(E_{\overline{\nu}_{e}}) \propto \int_{E_{min}}^{E_{max}} P_{IBD}(E_{\overline{\nu}_{e}}|E_{0}) \cdot P_{IBD}(E_{0}) \cdot dE_{0}$$

$$IBD Channel$$

$$P_{pES}(E_{\nu}) \propto \sum_{flavor=1}^{3} \int_{E_{min}}^{E_{max}} P_{pES}^{flavor}(E_{\nu}|E_{0}) \cdot P_{pES}^{flavor}(E_{0}) \cdot dE_{0}$$

$$pES Channel$$

Flux Models

Supernova Neutrino Database

Intp2013.data $M = 20 M_{\odot}$ $Z = 0.004 t_{revive} = 300 \text{ ms}$

- We have different progenitor masses M= 13, 20, 30 and 50 M_{\odot}
- Different progenitors metallicities Z= 0.02 and 0.004
- Different shock revival time t_{revive} = 100, 200 and 300 ms

We started to run different simulation to create some relevant statistic to study

Analysis Goals

- JUNO has the capability to detect the SN neutrino events and to act together with other neutrino experiment as an alert system
- Reconstructing the SN neutrino spectra give us the chance to learn useful informations on the SN evolution mechanisms

Next Steps:

- Build a discrimination alghoritm for the different classes of events involved in a burst
- Develop an UNFOLDING METHOD to extract the SN physical parameters

What's been done

Using the SN neutrino generator implemented in the JUNO Software (J18v1-Pre1), we generated a SN sample



12

- Dealing with 18000 PMTs signal
 And the 1500 installed in the Water pool
- The total events rate from physical channel in JUNO is less than 1Hz

What are the trigger goals?

Event source	Rates
IBD event	83 per day
Li9/He8 β -n decay	84 per day
fast neutron	0.1 per day
$C13(\alpha,n)O16$	0.05per day
Geo-neutrino	1.5 per day
cosmic muon	3 Hz
Natural Radioactivity	$1006702.8 \mathrm{Hz}$
Solar neutrino	0.45 Hz
Atmospheric neutrino	0.94per day