USTRIAN

Studies of antiproton annihilation at rest with nuclei

A. Gligorova[§] on behalf of the ASACUSA-CUSP Collaboration § Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna

Antiproton-nucleus interactions and related phenomena ECT* Trento, 17-21 June 2019



Outline

- Antiproton nucleus annihilation
- Motivation and goal for this study
- Overview of the measurement
- Timepix3
- Data analysis and comparison with GEANT4 simulations
- Conclusions and further work





Antiproton annihilation with nucleon

• Antiproton-nucleon annihilation



 π, K (charged and neutral)

- Nucleons (N) are not elementary particles \Rightarrow annihilation takes place at the quark level.
- $N\bar{N}$ annihilation \Rightarrow all the quark-antiquark pairs do not necessarily annihilate.
- $\overline{p}p \setminus n\overline{p}$ annihilations still being actively studied \Rightarrow not even the rates of the different decay channels are completely known.

~1880 MeV energy ⇒ 5 x 140 MeV/c² (rest mass)+ ~230 MeV/pion (kinetic energy)

	BNL, CERN and C
2 pions	0.38 ± 0.0
3 pions	7.4 ± 0.3
4 pions	18.1 ± 1.8
5 pions	35.2 ± 3.7
6 pions	23.3 ± 2.8
7 pions	3.3 ± 0.3

Eberhard Klempt, Chris Batty, and Jean-Marc Richard. The antinucleon-nucleon interaction at low energy; annihilation dynamics. Physics Reports, 413(4-5):197-317, 2005.

SMI - STEFAN MEYER INSTITUTE



3







Antiproton annihilation with nucleus

• Antiproton-nucleus annihilation



 π and p, t, n, α , ³He, ⁴He, ⁶He, ⁸He, Li...

- Capture of antiproton into bound atomic orbits with high *n*.
- Highly excited pbar-atom -> cascades downward (Auger electrons and X-ray emission).
- Annihilation at the nuclear surface, at a max depth where the density is 10% of the central nuclear density, e.g. n = 9 (for lead) and n = 4 (for oxygen).

G. Bendiscioli and D. Kharzeev. Antinucleon-nucleon and antinucleon-nucleus interaction. a review of experimental data. La Rivista Del Nuovo Cimento Series 3, 17(6):1–142, 1994





• Antiproton-nucleon annihilation at rest releases an energy of 1880 MeV and produces on average five pions.

• Depending on the size of the nucleus -> different probabilities for the number of the primarily produced pions that will penetrate inside the nucleus.

• Residual nucleus ⇒ decay mechanism according to the excitation energy (Intranuclear Cascade Model, INC):

Carlo Guaraldo. Low energy antiproton-nucleus annihilation. Nuclear Physics B - Proceedings Supplements, 8(0):243 – 254, 1989

Physics motivation

- Annihilation/fragmentation models validation:
 - CHIPS (CHiral Invariant Phase Space): a quark-level, 3D event generator for the fragmentation of excited hadronic systems into individual hadrons.
 - FTFP (FriTjoF Precompound): relies on a string model to describe the interactions between quarks.
 - FLUKA (FLUktuierende KAskade): hadron-nucleon inelastic collisions are described at hadron level, in terms of resonance production and decay up to a few GeV (PEANUT model).
 - None of the models is using annihilation data at rest on nuclei, all are theory-driven interaction models.
- To collect data for a systematic study of the:
 - Average multiplicity.
 - Energy distribution of the annihilation fragments.
- Tuning of Monte Carlo simulations (GEANT4): detector design and performance estimate relies on them.





Antiproton-nuclei annihilation in GEANT4 models

- CHIPS:
- Abandoned in GEANT4 as of v.10.0
 - QGSP_BERT_95 with GEANT4 v.9.6 p.02
 - At high energies (E>20 GeV): high-energy string model (Quark-Gluon String) QGSP.
 - For medium energy the intranuclear (INC) cascade model BERT (instead of the alternative BIC);
- FTFP:
- FTFP_BERT_TRV with GEANT4 v.10.0
- At high energies (E>20GeV): Fritiof-like String model (FTF)
- For medium energy the intranuclear (INC) cascade model BERT

SMI - STEFAN MEYER INSTITUTE



String) - QGSP. ad of the alternative BIC);



Schematic overview of the measurement

- The measurement was performed in the ASACUSA apparatus at the AD at CERN.
- One spill of antiprotons every 100s from the AD.
 - Trapping and slow extraction of 150 eV antiprotons from the MUSASHI.
 - Focussing with Einzel lenses.
 - Annihilate on the 20 x 20 mm² foil, 2 µm thick (1000 V applied on the foil).





Set-up for the measurement

- After annihilation in the foil, the annihilation prongs are detected with two detectors:
 - Timepix3 quad (placed 1 cm away from the foil).
 - Hodoscope (around the foil).
- Detection of:
 - pions (Hodoscope, Timepix3),
 - protons, heavy fragments, gamma (Timepix3).
- Systematic data for ⁶C, ⁴²Mo, ⁷⁹Au.



SMI - STEFAN MEYER INSTITUTE



Hodoscope (scintillating bars and fibres)



Timepix3 set-up









Annihilations on the foil/foil frame

- Simulations run in SIMION 8.0:
- 10,000 pbar for each voltage.
- 150 eV (spread of 1 eV FWHM).
 - 3D gaussian distribution.
 - FWHM 25 mm in X and Y.
 - 400 mm away from the foil.

500 Xtot



Annihilations prongs on Timepix3 (all runs)



Timepix3 quad

- Timepix3:
 - Developed by the Medipix3 collaboration (CERN)
 - 55 µm pixel pitch.
 - 256 x 256 pixels; active area: 14x14 mm².
 - Simultaneous measurement of ToT (Time over Threshold) and ToA (Time of Arrival).
 - Time resolution of 1.6 ns.
 - Dynamic range: up to ~500 keV/pixel.
- Timepix3 quad in ASACUSA:
 - Bump bonded to a Si sensor, 500 µm thick.
 - 512 x 512 pixels; active area: 28x28 mm².
 - SPIDR R/O developed by NIKHEF.







Hodoscope

- Scintillating bars and fibres.
 - 2 layers of bars:
 - 32 plastic scintillating bars per layer.
 - SiPM readout on both sides.
 - 2 layers of fibres:
 - Inner: 63 channels.
 - Outer: 100 channels.
 - Channel: four 2 mm×2 mm fibres glued to one SiPM.
 - Rough position in beam direction: ~ 3 cm (FWHM).
 - Solid angle: ~80%.
 - Efficiency: 0.800 ± 0.0111.
 - Background rejection 0.9836 ± 0.0031.

B. Kolbinger, PhD Thesis (2019), Machine Learning for Antihydrogen Detection in ASACUSA"











Simulations

- GEANT4 CHIPS and FTFP.
- AllPix² for digitisation of Timepix3 simulated data (in collaboration with S. Spannagel).
 - Electric field.
 - Bias.
 - Drift of the collected charge.
- Not yet included:
 - Induced pixel charge.
 - Volcano, plasma effect.
 - Energy calibration.
- Per foil:
 - 50,000 events simulated for FTFP and for CHIPS.
 - ~140,00 170,000 events in DATA with at least one hit in the Timepix3.

Foil -

timepix support

(timepix chips behind not visible here)

Fibres

Flange, apperatures,







Data analysis in the Hodoscope

- 3D tracking:
 - Algorithm developed in the scope of B. Kolbinger PhD thesis.
- Cut on time of arrival to reduce BG, no further cuts.
- Contribution from the cosmic background: < 1% of total number of antiproton annihilation events.









B. Kolbinger, PhD Thesis (2019), Machine Learning for Antihydrogen Detection in ASACUSA"



Reconstructed vertex, z - position

- z-component of the reconstructed annihilation vertex.







Angular distribution of comics and antiprotons in the Hodoscope

• Cosmics







Reconstructed tracks, DATA

- Measured antiproton annihilations.
- Cut on time of arrival to reduce BG, no further cuts.
- Number of tracks = number of detected charged pions.
- 3D tracking.







Reconstructed tracks, simulations

- Simulated antiproton annihilations using FTFP and CHIPS.
- #tracks = #detected charged pions in the Hodoscope.
- 3D tracking.







Reconstructed tracks, DATA and simulations











Annihilation event in Timepix3, DATA

- **Trigger from the Hodoscope** (coincidence inner+outer layer) -> timestamped in the Timepix3.
- Time window for event = $\pm 1 \ \mu s$ [$\pm 5 \ \mu s < 0.5\%$ difference in the #clusters/event].
- Hitmap for event 512 x 512 matrix of the quad.
- Only data associated with a time stamped trigger from the Hodoscope are included in the analysis.







Annihilation event in Timepix3, DATA

- Trigger from the Hodoscope (coincidence inner+outer layer) -> timestamped in the Timepix3.
- Time window for event = $\pm 1 \ \mu s$ [$\pm 5 \ \mu s < 0.5\%$] difference in the #clusters/event].
- Hitmap for event 512 x 512 matrix of the quad.
- Only data associated with a time stamped trigger from the Hodoscope are included in the analysis.



SMI - STEFAN MEYER INSTITUTE



2.8 cm



Number of clusters per event (particles detected in Timepix3)

- #clusters = charged particles (MIPs+HIPs) detected by the Timepix3.
 - DATA: lower Z, higher probability for 1 (or 2) prongs.
 - GEANT4 (FTFP/CHIPS): higher Z, higher probability for 1 (or 2) prongs.







Number of clusters per event (particles detected in Timepix3)

С	DATA	FTF	CHIPS	Mo	DATA	FTF	CHIPS
# events	165,981	25,519	16,126	# events	143,793	23,295	16,009
#clusters	318,083	41,575	20,622	#clusters	323,274	34, 643	20,340
cl./event	1.92±1.0	1.63±0.81	1.28±0.54	cl./event	2.25±1.32	1.49±0.71	1.28±0.53











Cluster size

- Size of the clusters from prongs detected in Timepix3.
 - In simulations: dependent on the digitisation model.
 - Effects like induced pixels, plasma/volcano effects not yet included in the digitisation.



SMI - STEFAN MEYER INSTITUTE







CHIPS



C

AUSTRIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Cluster size

С	DATA	FTF	CHIPS	Mo	DATA	FTF	CHIPS
1pix cl.	7.4 %	0.12 %	0.21 %	1pix cl.	9.5 %	0.27 %	0.44 %
mean cl. size	40.71	34.65	27.44	mean cl. size	44.91	31.81	27.81













Energy deposited in a cluster: ToT

- 40 MHz R/O (ToT).
- 640 MHz fine clock (ToA).
- ToT counts (1 ToT = 25 ns).
- Proportional to deposited energy.









Energy deposited in a cluster: ToT







Particle ID, simulations



SMI - STEFAN MEYER INSTITUTE





28



Calibration of Timepix3

- Test pulse calibration of the deposited energy.
- ²⁴¹Am source for verification:
 - Data with Al foil on the way (no alphas make it to the detector, only gammas).

$$^{241}_{95}{
m Am} \stackrel{432.2{
m y}}{\longrightarrow} \, ^{237}_{93}{
m Np} \ + \ ^{4}_{2}lpha^{2+} + \gamma \ 59.5409 \ {
m keV}$$

Calculated absorption eff. for 0-100 keV photons







Data with ²⁴¹Am source







Energy deposited in a cluster, DATA

- Pions are MIPs -> ~ 0.3 keV/um in Si;
- ~200 keV, compatible with the signal from cosmics (MIPs).
- Clusters from heavy fragments with deposited energy up to few MeV.

Total energy deposited from all clusters, antiproton annihilations

Total energy deposited from all clusters, antiproton annihilations



SMI - STEFAN MEYER INSTITUTE



Total energy deposited from all clusters, cosmics



Energy deposited in a cluster, DATA

- Low energy gamma in the DATA
 - C: ~5 keV, 9 keV, 17-18 keV.
 - Mo: ~15-16 keV.
 - Au: ~9 keV.





Conclusions and further work

- Data were taken for antiproton annihilation at rest:
- Carbon, Molybdenum, Gold.
- Comparison of the number of prongs/annihilation for CHIPS and FTFP.
- Analysis on the deposited energy from the annihilation prongs.
- Energy calibration to be included in the simulations.
- More refined particle discrimination.
- FLUKA simulations in progress.
- 3D reconstruction of the annihilation vertex and combined analysis from Hodoscope and Timepix3.





Thank you!







Data with Am241 source

- Clusters with 1, 2, 3 and 4 pixels respectively.
 - 1pix: 66.6%
 - 2pix: 31.4%
 - 3pix: 1.31%
 - 4pix: 0.57%
 - 5+ pix: 0.15% (the number is compatible with the number of comics expected during the data taking).







MIP in silicon

- Distinguish between gammas and pions that cross the detector (almost) perpendicularly and produce small clusters.
- 200 eV/um 600 eV/um for 500 um thick Si detector -> 100 keV - 600 keV energy deposit with MPV ~ 135 keV.
- 3.6 eV per e-h pair, ~80 e-/um for MIP -> ~150 keV on average.
- Data with cosmics: gammas are also present in cosmics, combined analysis are undergoing.
- Data is needed with pion beam and/or > 60 keV gammas.

Energy loss for 500 MeV pion in silicon



