MeanField4Exp Examples of Service Applications: Macroscopic-Microscopic Method (MMM) for Potential Energy Calculations in the User Chosen Formats

### Irene Dedes Katarzyna Mazurek

The Henryk Niewodniczański Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences

Theory Service for the Low Energy Nuclear Physics Community: A Hands-On Workshop 7-9 July 2025 ECT\*, Villazzano, Italy

#### **EURO-LABS Project – MeanField4Exp**



#### Single Particle Energies

Generating diagrams of single nucleon energies.



#### Nuclear Energy Diagrams

#### Generate Macroscopic-Microscopic Method nuclear energy diagrams.



#### Macroscopic-Microscopic Energy

Generating total energy diagrams according to the Macroscopic-Microscopic approximation.



#### Shape Evolution with Spin

Generating diagrams of shape evolution with spin according to macroscopic energy models.



#### https://meanfield4exp.ifj.edu.pl

I. DEDES & K. MAZUREK, IFJ Polish Academy of Sciences

MeanField4Exp: Macroscopic-Microscopic Method

#### **EURO-LABS Project – MeanField4Exp**



#### https://meanfield4exp.ifj.edu.pl

I. DEDES & K. MAZUREK, IFJ Polish Academy of Sciences

MeanField4Exp: Macroscopic-Microscopic Method

• Give the theoretical explanations to the experimental nuclear structure phenomena observed, as well as predicting the still unknown

### How do we perform our studies?

• We describe the nuclear interior, i.e. *nuclear structure*, with a simple but very reliable and powerful theory called: **The Nuclear Mean-Field Theory** 

• We combine contemporary **mathematical tools** of group theory, inverse problem theory and graph-theory with phenomenological nuclear mean-field theory

• Give the theoretical explanations to the experimental nuclear structure phenomena observed, as well as predicting the still unknown

## How do we perform our studies?

• We describe the nuclear interior, i.e. *nuclear structure*, with a simple but very reliable and powerful theory called: **The Nuclear Mean-Field Theory** 

• We combine contemporary **mathematical tools** of group theory, inverse problem theory and graph-theory with phenomenological nuclear mean-field theory

• Give the theoretical explanations to the experimental nuclear structure phenomena observed, as well as predicting the still unknown

### How do we perform our studies?

• We describe the nuclear interior, i.e. *nuclear structure*, with a simple but very reliable and powerful theory called: **The Nuclear Mean-Field Theory** 

• We combine contemporary **mathematical tools** of group theory, inverse problem theory and graph-theory with phenomenological nuclear mean-field theory

• Give the theoretical explanations to the experimental nuclear structure phenomena observed, as well as predicting the still unknown

### How do we perform our studies?

• We describe the nuclear interior, i.e. *nuclear structure*, with a simple but very reliable and powerful theory called: **The Nuclear Mean-Field Theory** 

• We combine contemporary **mathematical tools** of group theory, inverse problem theory and graph-theory with phenomenological nuclear mean-field theory

### Deformed Universal Woods-Saxon Hamiltonian – Reminder

 $\bullet$  Given a nuclear surface  $\Sigma$ 

$$R(\vartheta,\varphi) = R_o c(\{\alpha\}) \left[ 1 + \sum_{\lambda\mu} \alpha_{\lambda\mu} Y_{\lambda\mu}(\vartheta,\varphi) \right]$$

• Phenomenological Woods-Saxon Hamiltonian with the so-called 'universal' parameterisation ⇒ fixed set of parameters for thousands of nuclei!

• Central Potential  $V_{cent}^{WS} = \frac{V_c}{1 + \exp \left[ \text{dist}_{\Sigma}(\vec{r}; r_c) / a_c \right]}$ • Spin-Orbit Potential

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{SO}}^{\text{WS}} = \frac{2\hbar\lambda_{so}}{(2mc)^2} [(\vec{\nabla}V_{\text{SO}}^{\text{WS}}) \wedge \hat{p}] \cdot \hat{s}, \text{ with } V_{\text{SO}}^{\text{WS}} = \frac{V_o}{1 + \exp[\text{dist}_{\Sigma}(\vec{r}, r_{so})/a_{so}]}$$

• This potential depends *only* on two sets of 6 parameters ↔ Mass Table ~ 3 000 nuclei

 $\{V_c, r_c, a_c; \lambda_{so}, r_{so}, a_{so}\}_{\pi, \gamma} \Leftrightarrow \{V_o, \kappa_c, r_c^{\pi, \gamma}, a_c^{\pi, \gamma}; \lambda_o, \kappa_{so}, r_{so}^{\pi, \gamma}, a_{so}^{\pi, \gamma}\}$ 

#### Deformed Universal Woods-Saxon Hamiltonian – Reminder

 $\bullet$  Given a nuclear surface  $\Sigma$ 

$$R(\vartheta,\varphi) = R_o c(\{\alpha\}) \left[ 1 + \sum_{\lambda\mu} \alpha_{\lambda\mu} Y_{\lambda\mu}(\vartheta,\varphi) \right]$$

- Phenomenological Woods-Saxon Hamiltonian with the so-called 'universal' parameterisation ⇒ fixed set of parameters for thousands of nuclei!
- Central Potential  $\mathcal{V}_{\text{cent}}^{\text{WS}} = \frac{V_c}{1 + \exp\left[\text{dist}_{\Sigma}(\vec{r}; r_c)/a_c\right]}$
- Spin-Orbit Potential

$$\mathcal{V}_{\rm SO}^{\rm WS} = \frac{2\hbar\lambda_{so}}{(2mc)^2} [(\vec{\nabla}V_{\rm SO}^{\rm WS}) \wedge \hat{p}] \cdot \hat{s}, \text{ with } V_{\rm SO}^{\rm WS} = \frac{V_o}{1 + \exp[{\rm dist}_{\Sigma}(\vec{r}, r_{so})/a_{so}]}$$

• This potential depends *only* on two sets of 6 parameters ↔ Mass Table ~ 3 000 nuclei

 $\{V_c, r_c, a_c; \lambda_{so}, r_{so}, a_{so}\}_{\pi, \nu} \Leftrightarrow \{V_o, \kappa_c, r_c^{\pi, \nu}, a_c^{\pi, \nu}; \lambda_o, \kappa_{so}, r_{so}^{\pi, \nu}, a_{so}^{\pi, \nu}\}$ 

#### Deformed Universal Woods-Saxon Hamiltonian – Reminder

 $\bullet$  Given a nuclear surface  $\Sigma$ 

$$R(\vartheta,\varphi) = R_o c(\{\alpha\}) \left[ 1 + \sum_{\lambda\mu} \alpha_{\lambda\mu} Y_{\lambda\mu}(\vartheta,\varphi) \right]$$

- Phenomenological Woods-Saxon Hamiltonian with the so-called 'universal' parameterisation ⇒ fixed set of parameters for thousands of nuclei!
- Central Potential  $\mathcal{V}_{\text{cent}}^{\text{WS}} = \frac{V_c}{1 + \exp\left[\text{dist}_{\Sigma}(\vec{r}; r_c)/q_c\right]}$
- Spin-Orbit Potential

$$\mathcal{V}_{\rm SO}^{\rm WS} = \frac{2\hbar\lambda_{so}}{(2mc)^2} [(\vec{\nabla}V_{\rm SO}^{\rm WS}) \wedge \hat{p}] \cdot \hat{s}, \text{ with } V_{\rm SO}^{\rm WS} = \frac{V_o}{1 + \exp[{\rm dist}_{\Sigma}(\vec{r}, r_{so})/a_{so}]}$$

• This potential depends *only* on two sets of 6 parameters ↔ Mass Table ~ 3 000 nuclei

 $\{V_c, r_c, a_c; \lambda_{so}, r_{so}, \overline{a_{so}}\}_{\pi, \gamma} \Leftrightarrow \{V_o, \kappa_c, r_c^{\pi, \gamma}, a_c^{\pi, \gamma}; \lambda_o, \kappa_{so}, r_{so}^{\pi, \gamma}, a_{so}^{\pi, \gamma}\}$ 

#### **Deformed Mean-Field Hamiltonian – Reminder**



# **Total Nuclear Energy:**

# The Macroscopic-Microscopic Method

I. DEDES & K. MAZUREK, IFJ Polish Academy of Sciences MeanField4Exp: Macroscopic-Microscopic Method

### The Macroscopic-Microscopic Method

• Within the Macroscopic-Microscopic Method, the nuclear total energy is calculated following **Strutinsky Method**<sup>\*</sup>, as a function of the *proton number Z*, *neutron number N*, and set of deformations  $\{\alpha_{\lambda\mu}\}$ 

 $E_{\text{tot.}}(Z, N, \{\alpha_{\lambda\mu}\}) = E_{\text{Macro.}}(Z, N, \{\alpha_{\lambda\mu}\}) + E_{\text{Micro.}}(Z, N, \{\alpha_{\lambda\mu}\})$ 

 $= E_{\text{Macro.}}(Z, N, \{\alpha_{\lambda\mu}\}) + E_{\text{shell}}(Z, N, \{\alpha_{\lambda\mu}\}) + E_{\text{pair}}(Z, N, \{\alpha_{\lambda\mu}\})$ 

- Macroscopic Energy  $E_{\text{Macro.}}(Z, N, \{\alpha_{\lambda\mu}\})$ , based on finite range liquid drop model
- Microscopic Energy  $E_{\text{Micro.}}(Z, N, \{\alpha_{\lambda\mu}\})$ , accounting for the shell+pairing correction

- \* M. Brack, J. Damgaard, A. S. Jensen, H. C. Pauli, V. M. Strutinsky, C. Y. Wong, Rev. Mod. Phys. 44, (1972) 320-405
- \* P. Möller, J. Nix, W. Myers, and W. Swiątecki, At. Data and Nucl. Data Tables 59 (1995) 185-381
- \* W. D. Myers and W. Swiątecki, Nucl. Phys. 81 (1966) 1-60

References:

## Comment About the Choice of $E_{Macro.}$ and $E_{Micro.}$

• The macroscopic energy depends on deformation through the surface and curvature integrals. In the present context, it appears in two variants

- $\rightarrow$  The *Yukawa-Folded FYU*, Ref. [1];
- → The *Lublin-Strasbourg-Drop LSD*, Ref. [2], which offers a better description to nuclei close to fission

• The microscopic energy depends on deformation through the single particle energies  $e_{\nu}$ , obtained after solving the Schrödinger Equation. Regarding the pairing correction, it can be chosen from

- $\rightarrow$  The *BCS* "simple" correction, Ref. [3],
- → The *Particle-Number-Projection PNP*, being a more advanced correction

References:

1. P. Möller, J. Nix, W. Myers, and W. Swiątecki, At. Data and Nucl. Data Tables 59 (1995) 185-381

2. K. Pomorski and J. Dudek, Phys. Rev. C 67 (2003) 044316

3. M. Bolsterli, E. O. Fiset, J. R. Nix, and J. L. Norton, Phys. Rev. C 5 (1972) 1050

# **Practical Example I:**

# Total Nuclear Energy Diagrams as functions of 1D deformation-space

(Total Nuclear Energy Spaghetti)

## MeanField4Exp: Total Nuclear Energy Diagrams (1D)



#### **User Specifications:**

- Central nucleus  $(Z_o, N_o)$  values
- Woods-Saxon Parametrisation
- Nuclei mesh:  $(\Delta Z, \Delta N)$  around  $(Z_o, N_o)$
- Choice of deformation:
  - selecting main *x*-axis α<sub>λμ</sub> ∈ [min., max]
    selecting a secondary α'<sub>λμ</sub> kept at a fixed value for each value of α<sub>λμ</sub>
- Energy scale for isotope and isotone diagrams

#### Total Nuclear Energies as functions of $\alpha_{\lambda\mu}$ – Isotopes

• Total nuclear energies for the  $[Z_o = 22]$  isotopes for  $N \in [18, 30]$  as functions of  $\alpha_{20}$  deformation.



I. DEDES & K. MAZUREK, IFJ Polish Academy of Sciences MeanField4Exp: Macroscopic-Microscopic Method

#### Total Nuclear Energies as functions of $\alpha_{\lambda\mu}$ – Isotones

• Total nuclear energies for the  $[N_o = 24]$  isotones for  $Z \in [16, 28]$  as functions of  $\alpha_{20}$  deformation.



I. DEDES & K. MAZUREK, IFJ Polish Academy of Sciences MeanField4Exp: Macroscopic-Microscopic Method

### Total Nuclear Energies as functions of $\alpha_{\lambda\mu}$ – Comments

• The advantage of such graphical representation is allowing the user to see the total nuclear energy for several isotones or isotopes at one single glance

• The user can draw first conclusions on how a selected region of interest depends on a wide deformation range

• The disadvantage being that one can not perform (yet) energy minimisation over deformation

 $\Rightarrow$  for this we need the **potential energy surfaces...** 

# Practical Example II: Total Nuclear Energy Surfaces

• Our deformation spaces are in 2D, 3D and 4D variants involving various combinations of the following shapes:

• Our deformation spaces are in 2D, 3D and 4D variants involving various combinations of the following shapes:

- $\rightarrow$  quadrupole deformations:  $\alpha_{20}, \alpha_{22}$
- $\rightarrow$  octupole deformations:  $\alpha_{30}, \alpha_{32}$
- $\rightarrow$  hexadecapole deformations:  $\alpha_{40}$

Lund Convention:  $(\alpha_{20}, \alpha_{22}) \leftrightarrow (\beta_2, \gamma)$  $\begin{cases} \alpha_{20} = \beta_2 \cos \gamma \\ \alpha_{22} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta_2 \sin \gamma \end{cases} \leftrightarrow \begin{cases} X = \beta_2 \cos(\gamma + 30^\circ) \\ \mathcal{Y} = \beta_2 \sin(\gamma + 30^\circ) \end{cases}$ 

• Our deformation spaces are in 2D, 3D and 4D variants involving various combinations of the following shapes:

- $\rightarrow$  quadrupole deformations:  $\alpha_{20}, \alpha_{22}$
- $\rightarrow$  octupole deformations:  $\alpha_{30}, \alpha_{32}$
- $\rightarrow$  hexadecapole deformations:  $\alpha_{40}$

Lund Convention:  $(\alpha_{20}, \alpha_{22}) \leftrightarrow (\beta_2, \gamma)$  $\begin{cases} \alpha_{20} = \beta_2 \cos \gamma \\ \alpha_{22} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta_2 \sin \gamma \end{cases} \leftrightarrow \begin{cases} X = \beta_2 \cos(\gamma + 30^\circ) \\ \mathcal{Y} = \beta_2 \sin(\gamma + 30^\circ) \end{cases}$ 

• One 4D total nuclear energy calculation needs  $10^6$  deformation points

 $\alpha_{20} \in [-1.00, 1.20]$ , step 0.025

 $\alpha_{22} \in [-0.80, 0.80]$ , step 0.025

 $\alpha_{3\mu} \in [-0.60, 0.60]$ , step 0.025

 $\alpha_{40} \in [-0.60, 0.60]$ , step 0.025

• Our deformation spaces are in 2D, 3D and 4D variants involving various combinations of the following shapes:

- $\rightarrow$  quadrupole deformations:  $\alpha_{20}, \alpha_{22}$
- $\rightarrow$  octupole deformations:  $\alpha_{30}, \alpha_{32}$
- $\rightarrow$  hexadecapole deformations:  $\alpha_{40}$

Lund Convention:  $(\alpha_{20}, \alpha_{22}) \leftrightarrow (\beta_2, \gamma)$  $\begin{cases} \alpha_{20} = \beta_2 \cos \gamma \\ \alpha_{22} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta_2 \sin \gamma \end{cases} \leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \mathcal{X} = \beta_2 \cos(\gamma + 30^\circ) \\ \mathcal{Y} = \beta_2 \sin(\gamma + 30^\circ) \end{cases}$ 

• One 4D total nuclear energy calculation needs 10<sup>6</sup> deformation points

 $\alpha_{20} \in [-1.00, 1.20]$ , step 0.025

 $\alpha_{22} \in [-0.80, 0.80]$ , step 0.025

 $\alpha_{3\mu} \in [-0.60, 0.60]$ , step 0.025

 $\alpha_{40} \in [-0.60, 0.60]$ , step 0.025

• Our 3D and 4D total nuclear energies are projected onto 2D deformation plane and minimised over the remaining deformation parameters

## MeanField4Exp: Nuclear Energy Surfaces

	22		
Neutron Number	:		
	24		
Change the Tupe	ofEnormy		
shoose the Type	or Energy:		(5.0.0)
Total energy	r = E(FYU) + She	ll[e] + Correlatio	n[BCS]
Step: 1	Sm	oothing:	0
Deformation:			
List of 3D/4D D	eformation Spa	aces:	
	bet, gam,	a40	~
Marila	Maria		
X axis	Y axis	Min1 a40 ¥	Min2
	guint		
	Choose a rang	e of deformation	on
Table of the	e Energy Minir	na	
Data File			
Data The.			

#### **User Specifications:**

- (Z, N) values
- Woods-Saxon Parametrisation
- Total Nuclear Energy contributions
- Deformation space projection and minimisation
  select main deformation space
  select projection (x, y)-axis
  - $\circ$  select minimisation deformations "Min1" and "Min2"
- The user can disable minimisation over deformation and simply plot pure 2D potential energy surface
- Possibility to download the table listing absolute and secondary minima and the source data file

## MeanField4Exp: Nuclear Energy Surfaces – <sup>46</sup>Ti

• Nuclear energy surface for <sup>46</sup>Ti projected onto the quadrupole ( $X, \mathcal{Y}$ )-plane, minimised over  $\alpha_{40}$ 



#### • Spider web:

- Up-slopping dashed line means  $\gamma = 0^{\circ}$
- Down-slopping dashed line means  $\gamma = -60^{\circ}$
- Dashed circles have radius  $r = \beta_2$
- *E*<sub>min</sub> is the energy minimum at the red-cross
- *E*<sub>o</sub> is the energy of the system at spherical deformation

Practical Example III: Hunting for Exotic Symmetries Link with Experiment

## MeanField4Exp: Nuclear Energy Surfaces – <sup>152</sup>Sm

• Total nuclear energy surface for <sup>152</sup>Sm projected on to the ( $\alpha_{20}, \alpha_{32}$ )-plane, minimised over  $\alpha_{40}$ 



- Ground-state predicted at prolate α<sub>20</sub> ≈ 0.25
- Tetrahedral double minimum at  $\alpha_{32} \neq 0$  and  $\alpha_{20} = 0$
- Link with experiment was possible thanks to Group Theory

### Link with Experiment: First Identification of Tetrahedral Symmetry



I. DEDES & K. MAZUREK, IFJ Polish Academy of Sciences MeanField4Exp: Macroscopic-Microscopic Method

MeanField4Exp: Acknowledgments

**Experimental Advisory Team** 

**Piotr Bednarczyk** 

Adam Maj

Michał Ciemała

Hardware and Web Assistance Team

Piotr Kędzierski

**Zbigniew Natkaniec**