



Gravitational form factors from Continuum Schwinger methods

Zhao-Qian Yao (UHU&UPO)

The complex structure of strong interactions in Euclidean and Minkowski space ECT*, Trento, 28.5.2025





- Proton(p): u + u + d; Neutron(n): d + d + u.
- infinitely many gluons and sea-quark.
- These quarks and gluons are bound together by the strong interactions, described by quantum chromodynamics (QCD).
- QCD's Running Coupling is getting large as energy scales decrease.





- Proton(p): u + u + d; Neutron(n): d + d + u.
- infinitely many gluons and sea-quark.
- These quarks and gluons are bound together by the strong interactions, described by quantum chromodynamics (QCD).
- QCD's Running Coupling is getting large as energy scales decrease.





- Proton(p): u + u + d; Neutron(n): d + d + u.
- infinitely many gluons and sea-quark.
- These quarks and gluons are bound together by the strong interactions, described by quantum chromodynamics (QCD).
- QCD's Running Coupling is getting large as energy scales decrease.







- Proton(p): u + u + d; Neutron(n): d + d + u.
- infinitely many gluons and sea-quark.
- These quarks and gluons are bound together by the strong interactions, described by quantum chromodynamics (QCD).
- QCD's Running Coupling is getting large as energy scales decrease.



- Proton
 - Proton(p): u + u + d; Neutron(n): d + d + u.
 - infinitely many gluons and sea-quark.
 - These quarks and gluons are bound together by the strong interactions, described by quantum chromodynamics (QCD).
 - QCD's Running Coupling is getting large as energy scales decrease.





Dyson-Schwinger Equations (DSEs) are a system of infinitely coupled equations for Schwinger functions.

DSEs provide a widely used approach to hadron structure.

Roberts:1994dr



Dyson-Schwinger Equations (DSEs) are a system of infinitely coupled equations for Schwinger functions.

DSEs provide a widely used approach to hadron structure.

Roberts:1994dr

• Gap Equation: DSEs for quark propagator: S(p)

 Bethe-Salpeter Equations: DSEs for two quark bound state: Γ(q, P)







Dyson-Schwinger Equations (DSEs) are a system of infinitely coupled equations for Schwinger functions.

DSEs provide a widely used approach to hadron structure.

Roberts:1994dr







- Baryons appear as poles in the six-point Green-function.
- The relativistic three-body bound-state equation satisfy a homogeneous integral equation...Faddeev Equation

Eichmann:2009qa Qin:2018dqp



- Baryons appear as poles in the six-point Green-function.
- The relativistic three-body bound-state equation satisfy a homogeneous integral equation...Faddeev Equation

Eichmann:2009qa Qin:2018dqp Faddeev Amplitude:

$$\Psi_{ABCD}(p,q,P) = \left(\sum_{\rho=0}^{1} \psi^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\mathcal{I}}(p,q,P) \otimes \mathbf{F}^{\rho}_{abcd}\right) \otimes \frac{\epsilon_{rst}}{\sqrt{6}},$$

• the color term $\frac{\epsilon_{rat}}{\sqrt{6}}$ fixes the baryon to be a color singlet;





- Baryons appear as poles in the six-point Green-function.
- The relativistic three-body bound-state equation satisfy a homogeneous integral equation...Faddeev Equation

Eichmann:2009qa Qin:2018dqp Faddeev Amplitude:



- the color term $\frac{\epsilon_{rst}}{\sqrt{6}}$ fixes the baryon to be a color singlet;
- the flavor terms \mathbf{F}^{ρ}_{abcd} are the SU(2)-symmetric representations.

PABLO

Universidad



- Baryons appear as poles in the six-point Green-function.
- The relativistic three-body bound-state equation satisfy a homogeneous integral equation...Faddeev Equation

Eichmann:2009qa Qin:2018dqp Faddeev Amplitude:

$$\Psi_{ABCD}(p,q,P) = \left(\sum_{\rho=0}^{1} \psi^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\mathcal{I}}(p,q,P) \otimes \mathbf{F}^{\rho}_{abcd}\right) \otimes \frac{\epsilon_{rst}}{\sqrt{6}},$$

- the color term ^{€rst}/_{√6} fixes the baryon to be a color singlet;
- the flavor terms \mathbf{F}^{ρ}_{abcd} are the SU(2)-symmetric representations.
- $\psi^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\mathcal{I}}(p,q,P)$ is the spin-momentum Faddeev amplitude.

$$\psi^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\mathcal{I}}(p,q,P) = \sum_{i}^{64} f^{\rho}_{i} X_{i,\alpha\beta\gamma\mathcal{I}}(p,q,P)$$

PARIC

Universidae



Nucleon gravitational form factors

Z.-Q. Yao^{1,2,a}, Y.-Z. Xu^{1,2}, D. Binosi³, Z.-F. Cui^{4,5}, M. Ding^{4,5,b}, K. Raya¹, C. D. Roberts^{4,5,c}, J. Rodríguez-Quintero¹, S. M. Schmidt^{6,7}

¹ Dpto. Ciencias Integradas, Centro de Estudios Avanzados en Fis., Mat. y Comp., Fac. Ciencias Experimentales, Universidad de Huelva, 21071 Huelva, Spain

² Dpto. Sistemas Físicos, Químicos y Naturales, Univ., Pablo de Olavide, 41013 Sevilla, Spain

³ European Centre for Theoretical Studies in Nuclear Physics and Related Areas (ECT*), Villa Tambosi, Strada delle Tabarelle 286, 38123 Villazzano, TN, Italy

⁴ School of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210093, China

⁵ Institute for Nonperturbative Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, Jiangsu, China

⁶ Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Bautzner Landstraße 400, 01328 Dresden, Germany

7 Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany

Received: 2 April 2025 / Accepted: 4 April 2025 © The Author(s) 2025

E-mail: vao.zhaogian@gmail.g

Abstract A symmetry-preserving analysis of strong interaction quantum field equations is used to complete a unified treatment of pion, kaon, and nucleon electromagnetic and gravitational form factors. Findings include a demonstration that the pion near-core pressure is roughly twice that in the proton, so both are significantly greater than that of a neutron star; parton species separations of the nucleon's three gravitational form factors, in which, *inter alia*, the glue-to-quark ratio for each form factor is seen to take the same constant value, independent of momentum transfer; and a determination of proton radii orderings, with the mechanical (normal These statements are a succinct expression of an emergent hadron mass (EHM) paradigm, developed via insightful use of continuum Schwinger function methods (CSMs) – see Ref. [6] and citations thereof. The three pillars of EHM are appearance of a gluon mass scale [7], infrared saturation and cessation of running in QCD's effective charge [8], and dynamical chiral symmetry breaking expressed in a nonzero chiral-limit running quark mass [9,10]. This paradigm is drawing support from results obtained using realistic simulations of lattice-regularised QCD (QCD) [1–5] and being/will be tested by comparisons between EHM-based predictions





- $A(Q^2)$: mass distribution form factor; $A(Q^2 = 0) = 1$
- $J(Q^2)$: spin distribution form factor; $J(Q^2 = 0) = 1/2$
- $D(Q^2)$: pressure distribution form factor. $D(Q^2 = 0) = ?$

 $\Gamma^{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is the graviton + quark vertex. Xu:2023izo

 $m_N \Lambda^{Ng}_{\mu\nu}(Q) =$ $-\Lambda_+(p_f) [K_\mu K_\nu A(Q^2)]$ $+ i K_{\{\mu} \sigma_{\nu\}\rho} Q_\rho J(Q^2)$ $+ \frac{1}{4} (Q_\mu Q_\nu - \delta_{\mu\nu} Q^2) D(Q^2)]\Lambda_+(p_i)$





Ψ 🗲



• $J(Q^2)$: spin distribution form factor; $J(Q^2 = 0) = 1/2$

• $D(Q^2)$: pressure distribution form factor. $D(Q^2 = 0) = ?$ $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{g}$ is the graviton + quark vertex. Xu:2023izo

+

$$m_N \Lambda^{Ng}_{\mu\nu}(Q) =$$

$$-\Lambda_+(p_f) [K_\mu K_\nu A(Q^2)]$$

$$+ i K_{\{\mu\sigma\nu\}\rho} Q_\rho J(Q^2)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} (Q_\mu Q_\nu - \delta_{\mu\nu} Q^2) D(Q^2)]\Lambda_+(p_i)$$







- $A(Q^2)$: mass distribution form factor; $A(Q^2 = 0) = 1$
- $J(Q^2)$: spin distribution form factor; $J(Q^2=0)=1/2$
- $D(Q^2)$: pressure distribution form factor. $D(Q^2 = 0) = ?$

$$m_N \Lambda^{Ng}_{\mu\nu}(Q) =$$

$$-\Lambda_+(p_f) [K_\mu K_\nu A(Q^2)$$

$$+ i K_{\{\mu} \sigma_{\nu\}\rho} Q_\rho J(Q^2)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} (Q_\mu Q_\nu - \delta_{\mu\nu} Q^2) D(Q^2)]\Lambda_+(p_i)$$

Last unknown global property of nucleon

Gravitational Form Factors $A(Q^2) \& J(Q^2)$

- Our prediction for $A(Q^2)\&J(Q^2)$ compare with IQCD's results from Hackett:2023rif
- Symmetry-preserving character of CSM analysis is evident in values of $A(Q^2 = 0) = 1$ and $J(Q^2 = 0) = 1/2$.
- The total GFFs are scale independent. However these GFFs for quarks and gluons are scale dependent.
- GFFs species decomposition
 We arrive at the ξ := ξ₂ = 2 GeV.







Gravitational Form Factors $D(Q^2)$

- Nucleon pressure Form Factors $D(Q^2)$
- Nucleon "D-term": Our prediction: D(0) = -3.11(1) IQCD's results: z-expansion: -3.87(97); Dipole: -3.35(58)
 D: Cao:2024zlf;
 - Vector meson
 - Photo-production
 - Wang:2023fmx;
 - Chiral soliton model
 - Jung:2013bya.
- Light quarks alone $D^{u+d}(0;\zeta_2) = -1.73(5)$ Inference from available DVCS data yields $D^{u+d}(0;\zeta_2) = -1.63(29)$ Burkert:2018bqq





Gravitational Form Factors $D(Q^2)$

- Nucleon pressure Form Factors ${\cal D}(Q^2)$
- Nucleon "D-term": Our prediction: D(0) = -3.11(1)IQCD's results:

z-expansion: -3.87(97); Dipole: -3.35(58)

н

н

-2.5

-3.0

-3.5

-4.0

-4.5

-5.0

D

П

v

V

2 5

-3.0

-3.5

-4.0

-4.5

-5.0

Cao:2024zlf; Vector meson Photo-production Wang:2023fmx; Chiral soliton model Jung:2013bya.

• Light quarks alone $D^{u+d}(0;\zeta_2) = -1.73(5)$ Inference from available DVCS data yields $D^{u+d}(0;\zeta_2) = -1.63(29)$ Burkert:2018bqq





Gravitational Form Factors $D(Q^2)$

- Nucleon pressure Form Factors ${\cal D}(Q^2)$
- Nucleon "D-term": Our prediction: D(0) = -3.11(1)IQCD's results:

z-expansion: -3.87(97); Dipole: -3.35(58)

н

н

-2.5

-3.0

-3.5

-4.0

-4.5

-5.0

р

П

v

V

2 5

-3.0

-3.5

-4.0

-4.5

-5.0

Cao:2024zlf; Vector meson Photo-production Wang:2023fmx; Chiral soliton model Jung:2013bya.

• Light quarks alone $D^{u+d}(0;\zeta_2) = -1.73(5)$ Inference from available DVCS data yields $D^{u+d}(0;\zeta_2) = -1.63(29)$ Burkert:2018bqq





- The pressure density positive peak is near $0.15\,\mathrm{fm}$ and changes sign near $0.5\,\mathrm{fm}$. The shear density peak is near $0.4 \,\mathrm{fm}$.



A 0.025

0.020

0.015

0.010



Total m/2

Total π/2

2.0 2.5

- The pressure density positive peak is near $0.15\,\mathrm{fm}$ and changes sign near $0.5\,\mathrm{fm}$. The shear density peak is near $0.4 \,\mathrm{fm}$.
- Pion (green) peak values are roughly twice those in the proton





Δ 0.025

> 2 p(r) [GeV/fm] 0.015

0.020

0.010

0.005 0.000



Total π/2

- The pressure density positive peak is near 0.15 fm and changes sign near 0.5 fm. The shear density peak is near 0.4 fm.
- Pion (green) peak values are roughly twice those in the proton
- nucleon mass and mechanical radii: $r_{mass} = 0.81(5)r_{ch} > r_{mech} = 0.72(2)r_{ch}$
- Species decomposition(ζ₂)





r/fm

2.0

A 0.025

0.0



- The pressure density positive peak is near 0.15 fm and changes sign near 0.5 fm. The shear density peak is near 0.4 fm.
- Pion (green) peak values are roughly twice those in the proton
- nucleon mass and mechanical radii: $r_{mass} = 0.81(5)r_{ch} > r_{mech} = 0.72(2)r_{ch}$
- Species decomposition(ζ₂)

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad r^q_{mass} = 0.62(4) r_{ch} > r^g_{mass} = 0.52(3) r_{ch} \\ \bullet \quad r^q_{mech} = 0.55(2) r_{ch} > r^g_{mech} = 0.47(2) r_{ch} \end{array}$$





Total m/2

2.0

r/fm

²s(r) [GeV/fm]

0.0







Gravitational Form Factors

- $A(Q^2)\&J(Q^2)$
- Nucleon "D-term": D(0) = -3.11(1)Light quarks: $D^{u+d}(0; \zeta_2) = -1.73(5)$
- Mass and mechanical radii:

 $r_{mass} = 0.81(5)r_{ch} > r_{mech} = 0.72(2)r_{ch}$

Pressure & Shear-force

• Proton radius

 $r_A = 0.621(06) \, {
m fm} \, < r_{mass} = 0.718(51) \, {
m fm} \, < r_{ch} = 0.887(3) \, {
m fm}$





• Gravitational Form Factors

- $A(Q^2)\&J(Q^2)$
- Nucleon "D-term": D(0) = -3.11(1)Light quarks: $D^{u+d}(0; \zeta_2) = -1.73(5)$
- Mass and mechanical radii:

 $r_{mass} = 0.81(5)r_{ch} > r_{mech} = 0.72(2)r_{ch}$

- Pressure & Shear-force
- Proton radius

 $r_A = 0.621(06) \, {\rm fm} \, < r_{mass} = 0.718(51) \, {\rm fm} \, < r_{ch} = 0.887(3) \, {\rm fm}$

Summary



- Gravitational Form Factors
 - $A(Q^2)\&J(Q^2)$
 - Nucleon "D-term": D(0) = -3.11(1)Light quarks: $D^{u+d}(0; \zeta_2) = -1.73(5)$
 - Mass and mechanical radii:

 $r_{mass} = 0.81(5)r_{ch} > r_{mech} = 0.72(2)r_c$

- Pressure & Shear-force
- Proton radius

 $r_A = 0.621(06) \, \text{fm} < r_{mass} = 0.718(51) \, \text{fm}$



Summary



- Gravitational Form Factors
 - $A(Q^2)\&J(Q^2)$
 - Nucleon "D-term": D(0) = -3.11(1)Light quarks: $D^{u+d}(0; \zeta_2) = -1.73(5)$
 - Mass and mechanical radii:

 $r_{mass} = 0.81(5)r_{ch} > r_{mech} = 0.72(2)r_c$

- Pressure & Shear-force
- Proton radius

 $r_A = 0.621(06) \, \text{fm} < r_{mass} = 0.718(51) \, \text{fm}$



Summary



r_{ch}

mass



- $A(Q^2) \& J(Q^2)$
- Nucleon "D-t ϵ " D(0)
- Light quarks: Mass and mec Thank you!

```
r_{mass} = 0.81(5)r_{ch} > r_{mech} = 0.72(2)r_c
```

9.11(1)

- Pressure & Shear-force
- Proton radius

 $r_A = 0.621(06) \, \text{fm} < r_{mass} = 0.718(51) \, \text{fm}$



• The key element is the quark+quark scattering kernel, for which the RL truncation is obtained by writing:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{tu}^{rs}(k) &= \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}(k) [i\gamma_{\mu} \frac{\lambda^{a}}{2}]_{ts} [i\gamma_{\nu} \frac{\lambda^{a}}{2}]_{ur} , \\ \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}(k) &= \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(y) T_{\mu\nu}(k) , \end{aligned}$$
(1a)

 $k^2 T_{\mu\nu}(k) = k^2 \delta_{\mu\nu} - k_{\mu}k_{\nu}$, $y = k^2 \cdot r, s, t, u$ represent colour, spinor, and flavour matrix indices (as necessary).

Supplementary Material



(2)

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(y) = \frac{8\pi^2}{\omega^4} D e^{-y/\omega^2} + \frac{8\pi^2 \gamma_m \mathcal{F}(y)}{\ln\left[\tau + (1 + y/\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2)^2\right]},$$

where $\gamma_m = 12/25$, $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} = 0.234 \text{ GeV}$, $\tau = e^2 - 1$, and $\mathcal{F}(y) = \{1 - \exp(-y/\Lambda_I^2)\}/y$, $\Lambda_I = 1 \text{ GeV}$.

We employ a mass-independent (chiral-limit) momentum-subtraction renormalisation scheme.

Contemporary studies employ $\omega = 0.8 \,\text{GeV}$. With $\omega D = 0.8 \,\text{GeV}^3$ and renormalisation point invariant quark current mass $\hat{m}_u = \hat{m}_d = 6.04 \,\text{MeV}$, which corresponds to a one-loop mass at $\zeta = 2 \,\text{GeV}$ of $4.19 \,\text{MeV}$, the following predictions are obtained:

$$m_{\pi} = 0.14 \,\text{GeV};$$

 $m_N = 0.94 \,\text{GeV};$
 $f_{\pi} = 0.094 \,\text{GeV}.$



• In isospin symmetry, the flavour separation of the charge and magnetic form factors ($e_u = 2/3$, $e_d = -1/3$):

$$G_E^p = e_u G_E^u + e_d G_E^d \,, \quad G_E^n = e_u G_E^d + e_d G_E^u \,.$$

- G_E^p possesses a zero because G_E^u/G_M^p falls with increasing Q^2 whereas G_E^d/G_M^p is positive and approximately constant.
- G_E^n does not exhibit a zero because $e_u > 0$, G_E^d/G_M^p is large and positive, and $|e_d G_E^u|$ is always less than $e_u G_E^d$.





state	$\mathrm{F}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}}$	$\mathrm{F}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}}}$
$p \\ n$	$\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(udu - duu)}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(udd - dud)}$	$\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2uud - udu - duu)}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(udd + dud - 2ddu)}$

Table: Baryon octet flavor amplitudes; we define $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 := \lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2 \otimes \lambda_3$, and, $u^{\dagger} := (1 \ 0)$, $d^{\dagger} := (0 \ 1)$.