Gravitational Waves: Past, Present and Future



Thursday Afternoon Session: Anna Puecher



Neutron Stars meet Bayesian Inference Model Building for the understanding of atomic nuclei and neutron stars



The Nobel Prize in Physics 2004



oto from the Nobel oundation archive. David J. Gross rize share: 1/3



Photo from the Nobel Foundation archive. H. David Politzer Prize share: 1/3



Frank Wilczek Prize share: 1/3

Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) is the fundamental theory of the strong interactions

- Although the basic equations can be written in a coffee cup, their exact solution in the region of interest to atomic nuclei and neutron stars are unknown
- One must then resort to models that (hopefully!) embody the properties of QCD
- One such model is Density Functional Theory



Walter Kohn Nobel Laureate Chemistry 1998

Covariant Density Functional Theory

- Empirical parameters calibrated to physical observables
- Ground state properties emerge from functional minimization

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \bar{\psi} \left[g_{\text{s}} \phi - \left(g_{\text{v}} V_{\mu} + \frac{g_{\rho}}{2} \tau \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mu} + \frac{e}{2} (1 + \tau_3) A_{\mu} \right) \gamma^{\mu} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{self}} = \frac{\kappa}{3!} (g_{\text{s}} \phi)^3 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} (g_{\text{s}} \phi)^4 + \frac{\zeta}{4!} g_{\text{v}}^4 (V_{\mu} V^{\mu})^2 + \Lambda_{\text{v}} \left(g_{\rho}^2 \, \mathbf{b}_{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{\mu} \right) \left(g_{\text{v}}^2 \, \mathbf{b}^2 \,$$









A Brief Introduction to Machine Learning

 $= 5 \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right) \left\{ x_n \right\} C R_y$ $\begin{cases} x_n \\ n \\ n \rightarrow \infty \end{cases} \frac{n^2 - x}{3}$ $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset R \underset{n=0}{\geq}$ $lim\left(1+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ $y_n^{\gamma} \neq 0 < \Rightarrow y_n \neq 0$ $\forall_n \in \mathcal{N}$ to $\underbrace{\{X_n\}}_{\mathcal{B}}$ $\{y_n\} df \overline{y_n}$ $N \rightarrow R x : \rho$ $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{A} = 1$ $\sqrt[n]{4^{n}+\cos 2n}/n^{2}+n-1}$ VneNxn < yn < Zn $n \ge n_0:(x_n)$ $(x), f(x)) \leq 0$ lo kal. max; $\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{R} \quad n \ge n_0 \cdot (x_n - g) < \mathcal{E}$ $\{\mathcal{X}_n\}: \mathcal{X}_n =$ $f(x) \stackrel{\neq}{=} =] g \in [0,1]: \forall x, x \in \mathcal{X}$ $\left\{ x_{n}^{7} \sqrt[n]{0+0+0} < +13^{n} \right\}$ 13 + 13 n' $(x_n - q) < \varepsilon$ $n \ge n_0 \cdot (x_n - q) < \varepsilon$ $n \sqrt{4} \cdot \sqrt{13} n \sqrt{13^n}$ lim min lok. min $\{x_n\} \cdot \{y_n\} = \{x_n + y_n\}; 13$ 4"+ N+1 $x_n \in y_n \in Z_n$ n -> c> N→∞ $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}_{df} = \{x_n, y_n\}; \}$

... it has to be brief since I am just learning it myself ...

The Tools of the Trade



Covariant Density Functional Theory $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \bar{\psi} \left[g_{\text{s}} \phi - \left(g_{\text{v}} V_{\mu} + \frac{g_{\rho}}{2} \tau \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mu} + \frac{e}{2} (1 + \tau_3) A_{\mu} \right) \gamma^{\mu} \right] \psi$ Walter Kohn Nobel Laureate $\mathcal{L}_{\text{self}} = \frac{\kappa}{3!} (g_{\text{s}}\phi)^3 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} (g_{\text{s}}\phi)^4 + \frac{\zeta}{4!} g_{\text{v}}^4 (V_\mu V^\mu)^2 + \Lambda_{\text{v}} \left(g_\rho^2 \,\mathbf{b}_\mu \cdot \mathbf{b}^\mu\right) \left(g_{\text{v}}^2 V_\nu V^\nu\right)$ Chemistry 1998 δ o: intermediate range scalar attraction (2π exchange) Anatomy of a self-consistent Covariant DFT calculation $\sim \omega$: short-range vector repulsion (contact term in χ EFT) The Hohenberg-Kohn Theorem: The ground state energy ρ: isospin (flavor) dependent short-range interaction can be obtained variationally: the density that minimizes the total energy is the exact ground state density \sim γ : long-range Coulomb repulsion between protons Empirical parameters calibrated to physical observables Ŏ Ground state properties emerge from functional minimization 8 From finite nuclei to neutron stars!











FSUGold

Covariant Density Functional Theory

Relativistic Density Functional: The Effective Lagrangian Density

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{int}} = g_{\text{s}} \bar{\psi} \psi \phi - g_{\text{v}} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi V_{\mu} - \frac{\kappa}{3!} (g_{\text{s}} \phi)^3 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} (g_{\text{s}} \phi)^4 + \Lambda_{\text{v}} (g_{\text{v}}^2)^4$$

The Encoding:

- g_s and g_v : saturation properties ($\rho_0, \varepsilon_0 \rightarrow \text{masses}$, charge radii)
- g_{ρ} : symmetry energy ($J \equiv a_4 \rightarrow$ masses, charge radii)
- κ and λ : nuclear compressibility ($K_0 \rightarrow \text{ISGMR}$)
- ζ : high-density component of EOS (limiting neutron-star mass)



 $\frac{g_{\rho}}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{\mu}\psi-\boldsymbol{e}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\tau_{\rho}\psi\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu}$ $\boldsymbol{V}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{V}_{\mu})(g_{\rho}^{2}b^{\mu}b_{\mu})+\frac{\zeta}{4!}g_{v}^{4}(\boldsymbol{V}_{\mu}\boldsymbol{V}^{\mu})^{2}$

• Λ_v : slope symmetry energy ($L \rightarrow$ neutron skins, neutron-star radii)





Neutron Stars meet Bayesian Inference Model Building for the understanding of atomic nuclei and neutron stars P(D|M)P(M)Prior Posterior $\leftarrow P(M|D)$

M: A theoretical MODEL with parameters and biases D: A collection of experimental and observational DATA

From finite nuclei to neutron stars!

Likelihood



Marginal Likelihood



Thomas Bayes (1701 - 1761)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \bar{\psi} \left[g_{\text{s}} \phi - \left(g_{\text{v}} V_{\mu} + \frac{g_{\rho}}{2} \tau \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mu} + \frac{e}{2} (1 + \tau_{3}) A_{\mu} \right) \gamma' \right]$$

$$g_{\text{elf}} = \frac{\kappa}{3!} (g_{\text{s}} \phi)^{3} - \frac{\lambda}{4!} (g_{\text{s}} \phi)^{4} + \frac{\zeta}{4!} g_{\text{v}}^{4} (V_{\mu} V^{\mu})^{2} + \Lambda_{\text{v}} \left(g_{\rho}^{2} \mathbf{b}_{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{\mu} \right) \left(g_{\text{s}}^{2} (g_{\text{s}}^{2}, g_{\text{v}}, g_{\rho}, \kappa, \lambda, \Lambda_{\text{v}}) \right) \iff \left(\rho_{0}, \epsilon_{0}, M^{*}, K, J^{2} \right)$$

$$The Prior P(M): \text{An insightful transformation in DF'}$$

$$The Likelihood provides new evidence to update P(R)$$

$$P(D/M) \simeq \exp(-\chi^2/2)$$
$$\chi^2(D,M) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\left(O_n^{(\text{th})}(M) - O_n^{(\exp)}(D)\right)^2}{\Delta O_n^2}$$

The marginal likelihood (or evidence) is an overall normalization factor in Monte Carlo simulations



Covariant Density Functional Theory: From Finite Nuclei to Neutron Stars

or messy aspects of a process that are usually not made public.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \bar{\psi} \left[g_{\text{s}} \phi - \left(g_{\text{v}} V_{\mu} + \frac{g_{\rho}}{2} \tau \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mu} + \frac{e}{2} (1 + \tau_3) A_{\mu} \right) \gamma^{\mu} \right] \psi$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{self}} = \frac{\kappa}{3!} (g_{\text{s}} \phi)^3 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} (g_{\text{s}} \phi)^4 + \frac{\zeta}{4!} g_{\text{v}}^4 (V_{\mu} V^{\mu})^2 + \Lambda_{\text{v}} \left(g_{\rho}^2 \mathbf{b}_{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{\mu} \right) \left(g_{\text{v}}^2 V_{\nu} V^{\nu} \right)$$

The Kohn-Sham (Mean-field like) Equations

In the particular case of the Lagrangian density given in Equation 1, the classical meson fields satisfy Klein–Gordon equations containing both nonlinear meson interactions and ground-state baryon densities as source terms. That is,

$$egin{aligned} & \left(
abla^2 - m_{
m s}^2
ight) \phi_0(r) - rac{\partial U_{
m eff}}{\partial \phi_0} = -g_{
m s}
ho_{
m s}(r), \ & \left(
abla^2 - m_{
m v}^2
ight) V_0(r) + rac{\partial U_{
m eff}}{\partial V_0} = -g_{
m v}
ho_{
m v}(r), \ & \left(
abla^2 - m_{
ho}^2
ight) b_0(r) + rac{\partial U_{
m eff}}{\partial b_0} = -rac{g_{
ho}}{2}
ho_3(r) \end{aligned}$$

In turn, the Coulomb field satisfies the much simpler Poisson's equation,

$$\nabla^2 A_0 = -e\rho_p,$$

HOW THE SAUSAGE IS MADE is the practical and often unpleasant

5a. 5b.

5c.

6.

$$\left[-i\boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}+g_{v}V_{0}(r)+\frac{g_{\rho}}{2}\tau_{3}b_{0}(r)+e\tau_{p}A_{0}(r)+\beta\left(M-g_{s}\phi_{0}(r)\right)\right]\psi(\mathbf{r})=E\psi(\mathbf{r}).$$

The above set of equations—Equations 5–7—represents the effective KS equations for the nuclear many-body problem. As such, this set of mean-field equations must be solved self-consistently. That is, the single-particle orbitals satisfying the Dirac equation are generated from the various meson fields, which in turn satisfy Klein-Gordon equations with the appropriate ground-state densities as the source terms. This process demands an iterative procedure in which mean-field potentials of the Wood–Saxon form are initially provided to solve the Dirac equation for the occupied nucleon orbitals, which are then combined to generate the appropriate densities for the meson field. The Klein–Gordon equations are then solved with the resulting meson fields providing





Model Building: From Finite Nuclei to Neutron Stars

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 90, 044305 (2014)

Wei-Chia Chen^{*} and J. Piekarewicz[†] Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \bar{\psi} \left[g_{\text{s}}\phi - \left(g_{\text{v}}V_{\mu} + \frac{g_{\rho}}{2}\tau \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mu} + \frac{e}{2}(1+\tau_3)A \right) \right]$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{self}} = \frac{\kappa}{3!} (g_{\text{s}}\phi)^3 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} (g_{\text{s}}\phi)^4 + \frac{\zeta}{4!} g_{\text{v}}^4 (V_{\mu}V^{\mu})^2 + \Lambda_{\text{v}} \left(g_{\rho}^2 \mathbf{b}_{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mu} \right) \right]$$

Nuclear Density Functional Theory (DFT)

Ab-initio calculations of heavy nuclei remains daunting task Search for energy functional valid over a large physics domain *"From finite nuclei all the way to neutron stars"* Incorporate physics insights into the construction of the functional Accurately calibrated to various properties of finite nuclei masses, charge radii, and giant monopole resonances Empirical constants encode physics beyond mean field Substitution of a quality measure

Building relativistic mean field models for finite nuclei and neutron stars

Nucleus	Observable	Experiment	NL3	FSU	FSU2
¹⁶ O	B/A	7.98	8.06	7.98	8.00
	$R_{ m ch}$	2.70	2.75	2.71	2.73
⁴⁰ Ca	B/A	8.55	8.56	8.54	8.54
	$R_{ m ch}$	3.48	3.49	3.45	3.47
⁴⁸ Ca	B/A	8.67	8.66	8.58	8.63
	$R_{ m ch}$	3.48	3.49	3.48	3.47
⁶⁸ Ni	B/A	8.68	8.71	8.66	8.69
	$R_{ m ch}$		3.88	3.88	3.86
⁹⁰ Zr	B/A	8.71	8.70	8.68	8.69
	$R_{ m ch}$	4.27	4.28	4.27	4.26
¹⁰⁰ Sn	B/A	8.25	8.30	8.24	8.28
	$R_{ m ch}$		4.48	4.48	4.47
¹¹⁶ Sn	B/A	8.52	8.50	8.50	8.49
	$R_{ m ch}$	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.61
¹³² Sn	B/A	8.36	8.38	8.34	8.36
	$R_{ m ch}$	4.71	4.72	4.74	4.71
¹⁴⁴ Sm	B/A	8.30	8.32	8.32	8.31
	$R_{ m ch}$	4.95	4.96	4.96	4.94
²⁰⁸ Pb	B/A	7.87	7.90	7.89	7.88
	$R_{ m ch}$	5.50	5.53	5.54	5.51

Nucleus	TAMU	RCNP	NL3	FSU	FSU2
⁹⁰ Zr	17.81 ± 0.35	—	18.76	17.86	17.93 ± 0.09
116 Sn	15.90 ± 0.07	15.70 ± 0.10	17.19	16.39	16.47 ± 0.08
144 Sm	15.25 ± 0.11	15.77 ± 0.17	16.29	15.55	15.59 ± 0.09
²⁰⁸ Pb	14.18 ± 0.11	13.50 ± 0.10	14.32	13.72	13.76 ± 0.08



Bayesian Inference for Uncertainty Quantification: Model building for the understanding of atomic nuclei, neutron stars, and unveiling correlations



$$\begin{split} _{\mathrm{ukawa}} &= \bar{\psi} \left[g_{\mathrm{s}} \phi - \left(g_{\mathrm{v}} V_{\mu} + \frac{g_{\rho}}{2} \tau \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mu} + \frac{e}{2} (1 + \tau_{3}) A_{\mu} \right) \gamma^{\mu} \right] \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{3!} (g_{\mathrm{s}} \phi)^{3} - \frac{\lambda}{4!} (g_{\mathrm{s}} \phi)^{4} + \frac{\zeta}{4!} g_{\mathrm{v}}^{4} (V_{\mu} V^{\mu})^{2} + \Lambda_{\mathrm{v}} \left(g_{\rho}^{2} \mathbf{b}_{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{\mu} \right) \left(g_{\mathrm{v}}^{2} V_{\mathrm{v}} V_{\mu} V^{\mu} \right)^{2} \\ &= S, g_{\mathrm{v}}, g_{\rho}, \kappa, \lambda, \Lambda_{\mathrm{v}} \right) \Longleftrightarrow \left(\rho_{0}, \epsilon_{0}, M^{*}, K, J, M_{\mathrm{v}} \right) \end{split}$$

Neutron skins and stellar radii (quantities that differ by 18 orders of magnitude) are both sensitive to L!



Emulators and Reduced Order Models



Emulators are statistical ML models that faithfully reproduce the behavior of a complex physical system at a "tiny" fraction of the computational cost!

Neutron Stars meet Bayesian Emulators

- The influx of experimental/observational data will challenge future model building.
- Given that updates to the model are computationally expensive, an emulator provides an inexpensive and (hopefully) accurate alternative to the original high-fidelity model. Reduced order models — although widely used in other fields — are just making their
- entrance in the nuclear science arena.



Today's posterior is tomorrow's prior!

 $\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_{\lambda}(x) + \frac{\kappa(\kappa+1)}{x^2}\right)\phi_{n\kappa}(x) = \varepsilon\phi_{n\kappa}(x)$

A large set of parameters





Neutron Stars meet Bayesian Emulators **Reduced Basis Methods**

A "universal" reduced basis capable of accurately and efficiently reproduce the entire single-particle spectrum of a variety of nuclei at a small fraction in computational cost

1. Scaled Schrodinger equation as a function of some parameter(s) λ (for example, depth of the potential) $\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_{\lambda}(x) + \frac{\kappa(\kappa+1)}{x^2}\right)\phi_{n\kappa}(x) = \varepsilon\phi_{n\kappa}(x)$

2. Solve the exact "high-fidelity" model for a few values of the model parameters λ and collect all the the resulting eigenfunctions $\phi_{n\kappa}(x,\lambda_i)$

3. Implement a "singular value decomposition" (SVD) to construct the low-dimensional orthonormal and universal reduced basis:



4. Compute the single-particle spectrum of all nuclei of interest at a small fraction in computational cost (three orders of magnitude in speedup!)

Neutron Stars meet Bayesian Emulators **Reduced Basis Methods**

A "universal" reduced basis capable of accurately and efficiently reproduce the entire single-particle spectrum of a variety of nuclei at a small fraction in computational cost!



).8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.6

Neutron Stars meet Bayesian Emulators **Reduced Basis Methods**

Lu pasis capable of accurately and efficiently produce the entire single-particle spectrum of a variety of nuclei at a small fraction in computational cost ... and extrapolate!



•

Heaven and Earth: Nuclear EOS Density Ladder

No single method can constrain the EOS over the entire density domain. Instead, each rung on the ladder provides information that can be used to determine the **EOS** at neighboring rungs

NEW ERA OF DISCOVER **2023 LONG RANGE PLAN FOR NUCLEAR SCIENCE** NNLO **NSF**

HEAVEN AND EARTH

Connecting Atomic Nuclei to Neutron Stars – systems that differ in size by 18 orders of magnitude!



Chiral Effective Field Theory



The Nuclear Physics of Neutron Stars

How were the heavy elements from iron to uranium made?

Are there new states of matter at ultrahigh temperatures and densities?











DTP/TALENT TRAINING SCHOOL

Trento, 15 July - 2 August 2024



Nuclear theory for astrophysics

1. 4. 7. 1. 7. 7. 1.

Organizers

Almudena Arcones (TU Darmstadt), Bruno Giacomazzo (Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca) Jorge Piekarewicz (Florida State University)

Student coordinator and Advisor Bruno Giacomazzo



The school is truly multidisciplinary as it addresses fundamental questions in fields as diverse as astrophysics, gravitational physics, nuclear physics, and particle physics. Neutron stars are supported against gravitational collapse by nuclear interactions that become strongly repulsive at short densities leading, in turn, to an equation of state (EOS) capable of supporting neutron stars in excess of two solar masses. Neutron stars are unique cosmic laboratories that probe the strong interaction at the extremes of density and isospin asymmetry, and which may harbor exotic states of matter in their cores. Finally, the gravitational-wave and electromagnetic emission from the collision of binary neutron stars is starting to provide fundamental new insights into the astrophysical site for the r-process and on the nature of dense matter.

In this school we will discuss neutron stars and their EOS, core-collapse supernovae and neutron star mergers. These two high-energy events allow us to understand the extreme conditions in neutron stars as well as the origin of heavy elements in the universe.

THE REPORT OF A CONTRACT OF A

Keynote Speakers and Lecturers

Almudena Arcones (TU Darmstadt), Andre da Silva Schneider (Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina), Bruno Giacomazzo (Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca), Alejandra Gonzalez (University of Jena), Martin Obergaulinger (University of Valencia), Albino Perego (University of Trento), Jorge Piekarewicz (Florida State University), Anna Puecher (University of Potsdam), Adriana Raduta (IFIN-HH Bucharest), Moritz Reichert (University of Valencia), Concettina Sfienti (Johannes Gutenberg-

(IFIN-HH Bucharest), Moritz Reichert (University of Valencia), Concettina Sfienti (Johannes Gutenberg-Universität), Om Sharan Salafia (INAF), Irene Tamborra (Niels Bohr Institute), Serena Vinciguerra (University of Amsterdam), Anna Watts (University of Amsterdam)



I TOROBREAMS AND IN HUNDED

APPLICATIONS Applications for the ECT* DTP/TALENT Training School 2024 should be made electronically through the ECT* web page. It should include: a curriculum vitae, a 1-page description of academic and scientific achievements, a short letter expressing the applicants' personal motivation for participating in the School. In addition, a reference letter from the candidate's supervisor should be sent to Barbara Gazzoli (gazzoli@ectstar.eu) for the attention of Professor Gert Aarts - Director of ECT*. For further details see



The ECT* is part of the Fondazione Bruno Kessler. The Centre is funded by the Autonomous Province of Trento, funding agencies of EU Member and Associated states, and by INFN-TIFPA and has the support of the Department of Physics of the University of Trento.

1 Decin

Ad her





Theory Alliance facility for rare isotope beams





Nuclear Theory for Astrophysics **First Week: Heaven and Earth — Informing** the Equation of State of NS Matter (Adriana, Anna, Concettina, Serena; Jorge) Second Week: Core Collapse Supernovae (Andre, Francesco, Martin, Moritz; Almudena) **Third Week: Neutron Star Mergers** (Albino, Alejandra, Om; Bruno)





We are all part of one big family with the common goal of decoding the wonderful and enigmatic character of neutron stars!





