# Synergies between LHC and EIC for quarkonium physics



Laboratoire de Physique des 2 Infinis

# Exclusive quarkonium-production studies for the EIC and LHC

### **Chris A. Flett**

Université Paris-Saclay CNRS, IJCLab, Orsay, France



This project is supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant agreement no. 824093

11/07/24











### two aspects of **exclusive quarkonium production** related to EIC and LHC physics:



# Synergies



UPCs at the LHC -> flux of onshell Q<sup>2</sup> ~ 0 photons and low-x reach on target

photoproduction



### two aspects of **exclusive quarkonium production** related to EIC and LHC physics:



### Complementary regions in x,Q plane

 $log(Q^2)$ 

# Synergies

UPCs at the LHC -> flux of onshell Q<sup>2</sup> ~ 0 photons and low-x reach on target

photoproduction







- Based on results in CAF et al. JHEP 08 (2021) 150 and those to appear elsewhere

## Outline

- two aspects of **exclusive quarkonium production** related to EIC and LHC physics:

  - Theory and phenomenology of exclusive quarkonium electroproduction at the EIC
    - o Kinematics and set-up
    - o Results
    - o Phenomenology





Exclusive quarkonium photoproduction at the LHC as • **constraints** on the low-x and low-scale gluon PDF

o Inclusion of framework into xFitter

o Two ongoing studies

2.

## Outline

two aspects of **exclusive quarkonium production** related to EIC and LHC physics:



# 1. Exclusive quarkonium electroproduction at the EIC

### Notation and collinear factorisation

- Fluctuation of *space-like* incoming photon into pair of heavy quarks
- Pair interacts with proton (or nucleus) via two-parton colour-singlet exchange
- Modelling of heavy quark pair recombination into *time-like* exclusive vector meson made within NRQCD
- Decompose the three linearly independent momenta in a high-energy Sudakov basis spanned by  $\{p,n,\Delta_{ot}\}$
- Define analogy of Bjorken variable
- Proton (or nucleus) recoils *slightly* with net momentum exchange  $2\xi$  along light-cone direction in Bjorken limit



### Notation and collinear factorisation

<ul> <li>Fluctuation of space-like incoming photon into pair of heavy quarks</li> </ul>		/ /
<ul> <li>Pair interacts with proton (or nucleus) via two-parton colour-singlet exchange</li> <li>Hard-scatterin</li> </ul>	a	q
<ul> <li>Modelling of heavy quark pair recombination into <i>time-like</i> exclusive vector meson made within NRQCD</li> </ul>	9.	
- Decompose the three linearly independent momenta in a high-energy Sudakov basis spanned by $\{p,n,\Delta_{\perp}\}$		F
<ul> <li>Define analogy of Bjorken variable</li> </ul>	$P^{\mu}$ =	= p
• Proton (or nucleus) recoils <i>slightly</i> with net momentum exchange $2\xi$ along light-cone	$q^{\mu}$ = $\Delta^{\mu}$ =	= -

direction in Bjorken limit



### Notation and collinear factorisation

<ul> <li>Fluctuation of space-like incoming photon into pair of heavy quarks</li> </ul>	2
<ul> <li>Pair interacts with proton (or nucleus) via two-parton colour-singlet exchange</li> </ul>	<i>q</i> -
<ul> <li>Modelling of heavy quark pair recombination into <i>time-like</i> exclusive vector meson made within NRQCD</li> </ul>	
- Decompose the three linearly independent momenta in a high-energy Sudakov basis GPDs: spanned by $\{p,n,\Delta_{\perp}\}$	P
<ul> <li>Define analogy of Bjorken variable</li> </ul>	$P^{\mu} = p^{\mu}$
• Proton (or nucleus) recoils <i>slightly</i> with net momentum exchange $2\xi$ along light-cone direction in Bjorken limit	$q^{\mu} = -\zeta$ $\lambda^{\mu} = -2$



9





### **Compute to NLO in pQCD:**

 $\gamma^*(p_4) + g(p_1) \to Q(-p_5) + \bar{Q}(-p_3) + g(-p_2)$ 

### Kinematics

Bjorken limit simplification: three independent variables, basis of two light-like vectors and P defines the collinear direction

he incoming p	photon (outgoing	HVM) is	off-shell (	(on-shell).
---------------	------------------	---------	-------------	-------------

$+\Delta^{\mu}/2)^2 = -\widetilde{Q}^2\left(1+rac{\xi}{x_B} ight) = Q^2,$	(5.10)
$(-\Delta^\mu/2)^2 = -\widetilde{Q}^2\left(1-rac{\xi}{x_B} ight) = M^2,$	(5.11)

 $\widetilde{Q}^2 = -rac{Q^2 + M^2}{2}$  and  $rac{\xi}{x_B} = rac{Q^2 - M^2}{Q^2 + M^2},$ 

$$p_1^{\mu} = (1 + r_1/r_3)p^{\mu}$$
$$p_2^{\mu} = -(1 - r_1/r_3)p^{\mu}$$
$$p_3^{\mu} = p_5^{\mu} = \frac{(r_2 - r_1)}{2r_3}p^{\mu} + \frac{r_3}{2}n^{\mu}$$
$$p_4^{\mu} = -\frac{(r_1 + r_2)}{r_3}p^{\mu} - r_3n^{\mu}$$

$$r_{1,2} = \frac{Q^2 \mp 4m^2}{4}$$
 and  $r_3 = \frac{Q^2 - 4m^2}{4\hat{\xi}}$ 

Loop-induced Quark Process:

(5.12)

 $\gamma^*(p_4) + q(p_1) \rightarrow Q(-p_5) + \bar{Q}(-p_3) + q(-p_2)$ 









### Heavy Vector-Meson spin pro

S-wave, spin-triplet LO NRQCD projection:

**i,j:** colour indices, alpha, beta: spinor indices

$$v_{\alpha}^{i}(-p_{3})\bar{u}_{\beta}^{j}(-p_{5}) \rightarrow \delta^{ij}\langle O_{1}\rangle_{V}^{\frac{1}{2}} [\mathscr{C}_{S}^{*} \dots]_{\alpha\beta} \qquad p_{3} = p_{5}$$
  
quark-antiquark pair. 
$$\langle O_{1}\rangle_{V} - \text{NRQCD matrix element} \propto \Gamma_{ee}$$

Colour correlated heavy

### Kinematics

Bjorken limit simplification: three independent variables, basis of two light-like vectors and P defines the collinear direction

he incoming photon (outgoing	HVM) is off-shell (on-shell).
------------------------------	-------------------------------

$$+ \Delta^{\mu}/2)^{2} = -\tilde{Q}^{2} \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{x_{B}}\right) = Q^{2}, \qquad (5.10)$$
$$- \Delta^{\mu}/2)^{2} = -\tilde{Q}^{2} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{x_{B}}\right) = M^{2}, \qquad (5.11)$$

$$-\frac{Q^2 + M^2}{2}$$
 and  $\frac{\xi}{x_B} = \frac{Q^2 - M^2}{Q^2 + M^2},$  (5.12)

$$p_1^{\mu} = (1 + r_1/r_3)p^{\mu}$$
$$p_2^{\mu} = -(1 - r_1/r_3)p^{\mu}$$
$$p_3^{\mu} = p_5^{\mu} = \frac{(r_2 - r_1)}{2r_3}p^{\mu} + \frac{r_3}{2}n^{\mu}$$
$$p_4^{\mu} = -\frac{(r_1 + r_2)}{r_3}p^{\mu} - r_3n^{\mu}$$

$$r_{1,2} = \frac{Q^2 \mp 4m^2}{4}$$
 and  $r_3 = \frac{Q^2 - 4m^2}{4\hat{\xi}}$   
Diffection



# Generalised Parton Distribution (GPD) spin projections

Non-perturbative contributions of the quark and gluon amplitudes can be expressed in terms of GPDs contained in so-called Parton density matrices

Quark: Quark GPD contraction implemented as a spin projection of the *on-shell* quark scattering matrix

Ji hep-ph/9801260 hep-ph/9603249

Radyushkin hep-ph/9604317 hep-ph/9605431



 $<sup>\</sup>langle P' | \overline{\psi}_q(y) \mathcal{P}\{\} \psi_q(0) | P \rangle$ 

et colour flow over no. of colours ts in trace at **amplitude** level

# Generalised Parton Distribution (GPD) spin projections

Non-perturbative contributions of the quark and gluon amplitudes can be expressed in terms of GPDs contained in so-called Parton density matrices

Quark: Quark GPD contraction implemented as a spin projection of the *on-shell* quark scattering matrix

Gluon: Gluon GPD contraction implemented as a spin projection of the on-shell gluon scattering matrix

$$\epsilon_1^{\mu}\epsilon_2^{*\nu} 
ightarrow rac{\delta_{ab}}{(N_c^2-1)}rac{1}{d-2}rac{F^g(x,\xi)}{(x-\xi+i\varepsilon)(x+\xi-i\varepsilon)}g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}$$

Ji hep-ph/9801260 hep-ph/9603249

Radyushkin hep-ph/9604317 hep-ph/9605431

$$P \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ x+\xi \\ \mathcal{H}_q(x,\xi,t) \end{array}} y \\ \mathcal{H}_q(x,\xi,t)$$

#### $\langle P' | \overline{\psi}_q(y) \mathcal{P}\{\} \psi_q(0) | P \rangle$

t colour flow over no. of colours ts in trace at **amplitude** level

See hep-ph/0204191 for more discussion about +ie prescription



### **Tensor Decomposition**

Strip off polarisation vectors and work with amputated amplitude 

$$T^{(\mu
u)} = Ag^{\mu
u} + Bp^{\mu}n^{
u} + Cn^{\mu}p^{
u} + Dp^{\mu}p^{
u} + En^{\mu}n^{
u}$$
  
ation at the photon vertex (Ward identity)  $p_{4,\mu}T^{(\mu
u)} = 0$  and  $K_{
u}T^{(\mu
u)} = 0$ 

Impose local current conserva

$$T^{(\mu\nu)} = -g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}T_{\perp} + \left(\frac{p_{4}\cdot p}{p_{4}\cdot n}n^{\mu} - p^{\mu}\right)\left(\frac{K\cdot p}{K\cdot n}n^{\nu} - p^{\nu}\right)\frac{\tilde{T}_{L}}{4} = -g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}T_{\perp} + \ell^{\mu\nu}T_{L}$$

• Same non-axial terms one derives in Generalised Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (GDVCS), e.g. see 1212.6674

$$\varepsilon_{\pm,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{\pm,\nu}^{V*} T^{(\mu\nu)} = -\varepsilon_{\pm,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{\pm,\nu}^{V*} g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} T_{\perp} = -\varepsilon_{\pm}^{\gamma} \cdot \varepsilon_{\pm}^{V*} T_{\perp} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} T^{(\mu\nu)} = \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} T^{(\mu\nu)} = \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} T^{(\mu\nu)} = \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} T^{(\mu\nu)} = \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} T^{(\mu\nu)} = \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} T^{(\mu\nu)} = \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} T^{(\mu\nu)} = \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} T^{(\mu\nu)} = \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} T^{(\mu\nu)} = \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} T^{(\mu\nu)} = \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} T^{(\mu\nu)} = \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} T^{(\mu\nu)} = \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{V*} \varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{V*} \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{V*} \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{V*} \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{V*} \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{V*} \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{V*} \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{V*} \ell^{\mu\nu} T_{L} = T_{\perp} \quad \& \quad \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{V*} \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{V*}$$

With this choice of normalisation of I^{mu nu} the process transverse and longitudinal form factors coincide with the helicity amplitudes

$$A^{\pm\pm} = \varepsilon^{\gamma}_{\pm,\mu} \varepsilon^{V*}_{\pm,\nu} T^{(\mu\nu)} = T_{\perp}$$

$$A^{00} = \varepsilon_{L,\mu}^{\gamma} \varepsilon_{L,\nu}^{V*} T^{(\mu\nu)} = T_L$$



### **Tensor Decomposition**

Strip off polarisation vectors and work with amputated amplitude 

$$T^{(\mu
u)} = Ag^{\mu
u} + Bp^{\mu}n^{
u} + Cn^{\mu}p^{
u} + Dp^{\mu}p^{
u} + En^{\mu}n^{
u}$$
  
ation at the photon vertex (Ward identity)  $p_{4,\mu}T^{(\mu
u)} = 0$  and  $K_{\nu}T^{(\mu
u)} = 0$ 

Impose local current conserva

$$T^{(\mu\nu)} = -g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}T_{\perp} + \left(\frac{p_4 \cdot p}{p_4 \cdot n}n^{\mu} - p^{\mu}\right)\left(\frac{K \cdot p}{K \cdot n}n^{\nu} - p^{\nu}\right)\frac{\tilde{T}_L}{4} = -g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}T_{\perp} + \ell^{\mu\nu}T_L$$

- Same non-axial terms one derives in Generalised Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (GDVCS), e.g. see 1212.6674
- In collinear factorisation

in



Passarino-Veltman (PV) & Linear reduction (LR)

 $p_1 \propto p_2$ => linear dependence to cure => generalised partial-fractioning  $p_3 = p_5$ 

$$I^{\mu\nu}(v_1,\ldots,v_N) = \int [dl] \frac{l^{\mu}l^{\nu}}{D_1^{v_1}\ldots D_N^{v_N}} \xrightarrow{I(\tilde{v}_1,\ldots,\tilde{v}_N)} = \int [dl] \frac{\mathcal{N}(l\cdot l,p_i\cdot l)}{D_1^{\tilde{v}_1}\ldots D_N^{\tilde{v}_N}} \xrightarrow{(\mathsf{PV})} I(\hat{v}_1,\ldots,\hat{v}_N) = \int [dl] \frac{1}{D_1^{\hat{v}_1}\ldots D_N^{\hat{v}_N}}$$

### Main workflow, D-dim traces, colour algebra & assignment of diagrams to an 'Auxiliary topology'

Integral family, propagators related to each other via shift of loop momentum

#### REDUZE2 1201.4330

Reduze database for each topology Scalar integrals -> Master integrals





Insert Master integrals

# Results (high-energy limit)

Here: CAF et al. JHEP 08 (2021) 150



 $A_{\rm HE}^{\pm\pm}\sim -rac{4{
m i}\pi^2 g_e e_q}{N_c \epsilon}$ Ivanov et al (hep-ph/0401131):  $\times \left[ \alpha_s F^g(\xi,\xi) + \frac{\alpha_s^2 N_c}{\pi} \ln \left( \frac{m^2}{\mu_r^2} \right) \int_{\xi}^{1} \right]$  $+\frac{\alpha_s^2 C_F}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{m^2}{\mu_F^2}\right) \int_{\xi}^1 \mathrm{d}X \left(F^q(X,\xi) - F^q(-X,\xi)\right) \bigg].$ 

$$\left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\left(\frac{dX}{X}F^g(X,\xi)\right)$$

$$F^q(-X,\xi))\right].$$

$$\left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}X}{\mathrm{d}X} F^g(X,\xi)$$

 High-energy limit: where the photonparton system centre-of-mass energy is so much greater than the mass of heavy vector meson  $W^2 >> M^2$ 

 $\xi 
ightarrow 0$ 

 Apt choice of factorisation scale allows for resummation of large double logarithms at small x:

$$\sim \alpha_s^2 \ln(1/\xi) \ln(\bar{Q}^2/\mu_F^2)$$

- Q<sup>2</sup> -> 0 limit is smooth and maps onto Photoproduction calculation by Ivanov et al.
- m<sup>2</sup> -> 0 limit cannot be taken because would introduce a collinear divergence in the photon quark-antiquark vertex

### Phenomenology



- $$\begin{split} & C_q^{(1)}|_{Q^2\to\infty}\sim \ln(Q^2/m^2) \\ & C_g^{(1)}|_{Q^2\to\infty}\sim \ln(Q^2/m^2)^2 \end{split}$$



• Need for resummation evident in the data already?

• Errors shown are reflective of the PDF error only, factorisation scale dependency large at low Q<sup>2</sup> in conventional approach, alleviated through Q<sub>0</sub> subtraction or NLO CF + DLA HEF (see later, not shown here). At large scales, this dependency small.

• EIC will provide increased data coverage, complementing HERA and help resolve some discrepancies within current statistic-limited HERA data

## Phenomenology



- $C_q^{(1)}|_{Q^2 \to \infty} \sim \ln(Q^2/m^2)$  $C_g^{(1)}|_{Q^2 \to \infty} \sim \ln(Q^2/m^2)^2$



to appear

• Need for resummation evident in the data already?

• Errors shown are reflective of the PDF error only, factorisation scale dependency large at low  $Q^2$  in conventional approach, alleviated through  $Q_0$  subtraction or NLO CF + DLA HEF (see later, not shown here). At large scales, this dependency small.

• EIC will provide increased data coverage, complementing HERA and help resolve some discrepancies within current statistic-limited HERA data

# 2. Exclusive quarkonium photoproduction at the LHC

## eA vs. hadron-hadron

### Exclusive J/psi photoproduction to date (fixed target + ep, pp, pPb)







**Probe of nucleon gluon PDF** 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}t}(\gamma^* p \to J/\psi p) \bigg|_{t=0} = \frac{\Gamma_{ee}^{J/\psi} M_{J/\psi}^3 \pi^3}{48\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}} \left[\frac{\alpha_s(\bar{Q}^2)}{\bar{Q}^4} R_g x g(x, \bar{Q}^2)\right]^2 \left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_{J/\psi}^2}\right)$$

Sensitive to GPD not PDF! but can relate PDF & GPD at low x reliably via the so-called Shuvaev transform CAF, Jones, Martin, Ryskin, Teubner 1908.08398 & 2006.13857

(1)
(2)
UPC -> large W photoproduction -> constraints on gluon PDF

In pPb, survival factor close to unity and there is less modelling dependence in (1) as compared to pp

There will be data from EIC in eA where such modelling does not play a role but then the energy range is limited. In pp/pPb at the LHC we can access larger W, in pPb we can push the precision of (2), i.e. that of low x and low scale exclusive quarkonium data as constraints on the gluon PDF

#### Probe of nucleon gluon PDF

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}t}(\gamma^* p \to J/\psi p) \bigg|_{t=0} = \frac{\Gamma_{ee}^{J/\psi} M_{J/\psi}^3 \pi^3}{48\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}} \left[ \frac{\alpha_s(\bar{Q}^2)}{\bar{Q}^4} R_g x g(x, \bar{Q}^2) \right]^2 \left( 1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_{J/\psi}^2} \right)$$

#### Framework: NLO collinear factorisation (CF) with Shuvaev



#### Probe of nucleon gluon PDF

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}t}(\gamma^* p \to J/\psi p) \bigg|_{t=0} = \frac{\Gamma_{ee}^{J/\psi} M_{J/\psi}^3 \pi^3}{48\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}} \left[ \frac{\alpha_s(\bar{Q}^2)}{\bar{Q}^4} R_g x g(x, \bar{Q}^2) \right]^2 \left( 1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_{J/\psi}^2} \right)$$

#### Framework: NLO collinear factorisation (CF) with Shuvaev + scale-fixing + Q0 subtr. 1908.08398 & 2006.13857





See also CAF, Lansberg, Nabeebaccus, Nefedov, Sznajder, Wagner for complementary approach (c.f. Saad talk), to appear

#### **Probe of nucleon gluon PDF**

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}t}(\gamma^* p \to J/\psi p) \bigg|_{t=0} = \frac{\Gamma_{ee}^{J/\psi} M_{J/\psi}^3 \pi^3}{48\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}} \left[ \frac{\alpha_s(\bar{Q}^2)}{\bar{Q}^4} R_g x g(x, \bar{Q}^2) \right]^2 \left( 1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_{J/\psi}^2} \right)$$

#### Framework: NLO collinear factorisation (CF) with Shuvaev. + scale-fixing + Q0 subtr. 1908.08398 & 2006.13857



pp@LHCb excl. J/psi UPCs currently probes down to x~3x10<sup>-6</sup> ... unconstrained domain in PDF fits!



Standalone fits + reweighting studies using pp data, but not yet considered in a larger fitting framework.....

# xFitter implementation



•

Public PDF fitting tool to perform a variety of tasks: a<sub>S</sub> extraction, PDF reweightings, fits...

- QCDNUM/APFEL for QCD evolution
- MINUIT/CERES for minimisation in various mutually consistent approaches • interfaces to independent codes
- various output formats (e.g. LHAPDF6,..)
- Default config. based on set-up of HERAPDF2.0

Incorporate new 'JPSI' reaction via xFitter's ReactionTheory class

i) PDF profiling -> exclusive J/psi datasets + theory ii) PDF fitting -> gluon PDF pseudodata + HERA DIS RunI+II datasets ii) PDF fitting -> exclusive J/psi+HERA DIS RunI+II datasets + theory

# (i) PDF profiling:



# (ii) Fitting: approach 1: gluon pseudodata

Generate effective gluon PDF pseudodata from experimental data:

taken from 2006.1

$$g_{\rm eff}(x_i, \mu_{\rm opt}) = g_{\rm fit}(x_i, \mu_{\rm opt}) \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_+(\text{data})_i}{\sigma_+(\text{fit})_i}}$$
.3857 
$$\delta g_{\rm eff}(x_i) = \frac{1}{2} g_{\rm eff}(x_i) \frac{\delta \sigma_+(\text{data})_i}{\sigma_+(\text{data})_i}$$

# (ii) Fitting: approach 1: gluon pseudodata: Results

### • Generate effective gluon PDF pseudodata from experimental data:



$$g_{\text{eff}}(x_i, \mu_{\text{opt}}) = g_{\text{fit}}(x_i, \mu_{\text{opt}}) \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_+(\text{data})_i}{\sigma_+(\text{fit})_i}}$$
8857 
$$\delta g_{\text{eff}}(x_i) = \frac{1}{2} g_{\text{eff}}(x_i) \frac{\delta \sigma_+(\text{data})_i}{\sigma_+(\text{data})_i}$$

	$\chi^2_{ m min}/ m d.o.f~(DIS)$	$\chi^2_{\rm min}/{\rm d.o.f}$ (DIS+eff. gluon pts.)
2 NCep 820	80/73	79/73
2 NCep 460	220/207	220/207
2 CCep	43/39	44/39
2 NCem	221/159	220/159
2 CCem	54/42	56/42
2 NCep 575	223/257	227/257
2 NCep 920	465/391	470/391
$J/\psi~pp$ 7 TeV	N/A	8.95/10
$J/\psi~pp~13~{ m TeV}$	N/A	3.51/10
$\Upsilon~pp$ 7,8 TeV	N/A	3.23/3
/d.o.f	$1412/1154 \sim 1.22$	$1444/1177 \sim 1.23$
20		

# (ii) Fitting: approach 2: cross section

Profiling: precomputed GPD grids for each LHAPDF member set Fitting: Generate JPSI theory prediction using an input GPD grid  $G^{(0)}$  constructed from a given LHAPDF member set  $S^{(0)}$ After each fit iteration *i*,

- 1. xFitter outputs an updated member set  $S^{(i)}$  in LHAPDF format
- corresponding updated GPD grid  $G^{(i)}$
- perform iteration i+14.
- repeat steps 1)–4) until convergence of fit 5.

```
2. which is interfaced to an independent GPD routine to produce a
3. which can be used for the JPSI theory prediction in iteration i+1
                                                  feasible?
                                 30
```

# (ii) Fitting: approach 2: cross section

Profiling: precomputed GPD grids for each LHAPDF member set Fitting: Generate JPSI theory prediction using an input GPD grid  $G^{(0)}$  constructed from a given LHAPDF member set  $S^{(0)}$ After each fit iteration *i*,

- <sup>1.</sup> xFitter outputs an updated member set  $S^{(i)}$  in LHAPDF format
- corresponding updated GPD grid **G**(i)
- 3.
- 4. perform iteration i+1
- repeat steps 1)–4) until convergence of fit 5.



# (ii) Fitting: approach 2: cross section: **2D->1D simplification**

Can reduce computationally intensive Shuvaev transform routine to **simpler** 1D numerical integration at the the point **x=xi**:

$$H_g(x/2, x/2) = \frac{4x}{\pi} \int_{x/4}^1 \mathrm{d}y y^{1/2} (1-y)$$

Example: further assuming pure-power behaviour of gluon PDF gives famous Rg formula commonly used

Computing this on the fly a more tractable exercise than

In progress...



For given input gluon distribution, this gives the result of the full Shuvaev transform at the point **x=xi** 

For (x-xi)>0.1(x+xi), this is already a 10% deviation from the full result

#### **Full Transform:**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{q}(x,\xi) &= \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x' \left[ \frac{2}{\pi} \mathrm{Im} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{y(s)\sqrt{1-y(s)x'}} \right] \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x'} \left( \frac{q(x')}{|x'|} \right), \\ \mathcal{H}_{g}(x,\xi) &= \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x' \left[ \frac{2}{\pi} \mathrm{Im} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}s(x+\xi(1-2s))}{y(s)\sqrt{1-y(s)x'}} \right] \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x'} \left( \frac{g(x')}{|x'|} \right), \\ y(s) &= \frac{4s(1-s)}{x+\xi(1-2s)}. \end{aligned}$$
[Shuvaev et. al 1999]

32

# Summary

EIC: Exclusive quarkonium **electro**production from HERA and EIC Confront with theoretical predictions at NLO

Need for resummation at large photon virtualities or lack of data statistics -> more resolving power from EIC



LHC: Exclusive quarkonium **photo**production from LHC Integration of NLO framework into xFitter to analyse exclusive data constraints within a larger fitting machinery.

Synergies between EIC and LHC for exclusive quarkonium physics

Proof of concept with first numerical insights

33

- Amplitude for production of two on-shell heavy quarks is computed and projected onto outgoing heavy quarkonium state
- To leading order in the NRQCD expansion, the momenta of the quark and antiquarks are equal
- The S-wave, spin-triplet projection can be written to leading order in the heavy quark-antiquark relative velocity as

i,j: colour indices, alpha, beta: spinor indices

 $v^i_{\alpha}(-p_3)\bar{u}^j_{\beta}(-p_5)$ 

Colour correlated heavy quark-antiquark pair.

$$\rightarrow \delta^{ij} \langle O_1 \rangle_V^{\frac{1}{2}} [e_S^* \dots]_{\alpha\beta}$$

 $\langle O_1 \rangle_V - \text{NRQCD}$  matrix element  $\propto \Gamma_{ee}$ 

### **Tensor Decomposition**

Strip off polarisation vectors and work with amputated amplitude 

$$T^{(\mu
u)} = Ag^{\mu
u} + Bp^{\mu}n^{
u} + Cn^{\mu}p^{
u} + Dp^{\mu}p^{
u} + En^{\mu}n^{
u}$$
ation at the photon vertex (Ward identity)  $p_{4,\mu}T^{(\mu
u)} = 0$ 

- Impose local current conservation at the photon vertex (Ward identity)
- As well as the relation  $K_{\nu}T^{(\mu\nu)} = 0$

$$v_{\alpha}^{i}(-p_{3})\bar{u}_{\beta}^{j}(-p_{5}) \rightarrow \delta^{ij}\langle O_{1}\rangle_{V}^{\frac{1}{2}} [\mathscr{C}_{S}^{*} \dots]_{\alpha\beta} \qquad \text{Modification to the HVM projector allows for gauge dependent terms to cancel at the diagram level}$$

$$T^{(\mu\nu)} = -g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}T_{\perp} + \left(\frac{p_{4}\cdot p}{p_{4}\cdot n}n^{\mu} - p^{\mu}\right)\left(\frac{K\cdot p}{K\cdot n}n^{\nu} - p^{\nu}\right)\frac{\tilde{T}_{L}}{4} = -g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}T_{\perp} + \ell^{\mu\nu}T_{L}$$

$$g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - p^{\mu}n^{\nu} - p^{\nu}n^{\mu} \qquad \ell^{\mu\nu} = \frac{N}{4}\left(\frac{p_{4}\cdot p}{p_{4}\cdot n}n^{\mu} - p^{\mu}\right)\left(\frac{K\cdot p}{K\cdot n}n^{\nu} - p^{\nu}\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad T_{L} = \tilde{T}_{L}/N$$

• Same decomposition one derives in Generalised Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (GDVCS), e.g. see 1212.6674

Ivanov et al (hep-ph/0401131):



- Problem of linear dependencies in diagram propagators due to external constraints
- Need to combat this linear dependence before using REDUZE2 otherwise leads to incomplete reduction
- Implement generalised partial-fractioning routine in line with the **Leonartas Algorithm** (dates back to the 70s)



$$I^{\mu\nu}(v_1, \dots, v_N) = \int [dl] \frac{l^{\mu} l^{\nu}}{D_1^{v_1} \dots D_N^{v_N}}$$

Rank 1: 
$$l^{\mu} = (n \cdot l)p^{\mu} + (p \cdot l)n^{\mu}$$
  
Rank 2:  $l^{\mu}l^{\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}T_{00} + p^{\mu}p^{\nu}T_{11} + p^{\mu}n^{\nu}$ 

### Linear reduction

 $p_1 \propto p_2$  $p_3 = p_5$ 

Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ) 22 (1978) 35–38 • Linear relations amongst propagators used to iteratively remove this linear dependence

# props of triangle = L(L+1)/2 + LE

L: # of loop momenta E: # of linearly independent external vectors

Starting tensor integrals, need at most a basis decomposition for rank-two tensor

 $T_{12} + n^{\mu} p^{\nu} T_{21} + n^{\mu} n^{\nu} T_{22}$ 

 $T_{ij} \equiv T_{ij}(l \cdot l, p \cdot l, n \cdot l)$ 



### Linear reduction cont.

Pass to linear reduction stage

**Upshot:** structure with N propagators now expressed as a linear combination of structures containing *N-1* propagators. Repeat until linear dependence removed.

# reduction algorithm: ach integral structure of the form $C \cdot D_1^{-\hat{v}_1} \dots D_N^{-\hat{v}_N}$ check linear dependency amongst the propagators pass structure to REDUZE2, ready for integral reduction need to linearly decompose dependence => there exists a relation of the form $\sum A_i D_i + B = 0$ not zero, then find resolution of unity as $1 = -\frac{1}{B}\sum_{i}A_{i}D_{i}$ vise, if B = 0, find $1 = -\frac{1}{A_k D_k} \sum_{i=1}^{k}$





### Full Transform:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_q(x,\xi) &= \int_{-1}^1 \mathrm{d}x' \left[ \frac{2}{\pi} \mathrm{Im} \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{y(s)\sqrt{1-y(s)x'}} \right] \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x'} \left( \frac{q(x')}{|x'|} \right), \\ \mathcal{H}_g(x,\xi) &= \int_{-1}^1 \mathrm{d}x' \left[ \frac{2}{\pi} \mathrm{Im} \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}s(x+\xi(1-2s))}{y(s)\sqrt{1-y(s)x'}} \right] \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x'} \left( \frac{g(x')}{|x'|} \right), \\ y(s) &= \frac{4s(1-s)}{x+\xi(1-2s)}. \end{aligned}$$

[Shuvaev et. al 1999]

### Interplay of quark and gluons at NLO



Quark contribution separated from hard scattering by at least *one* step of DGLAP evolution and is therefore removed after imposition of  $Q_0$  subtraction (as reflected in the numerics)  $\longrightarrow$  Gluon driven like at LO

### Constraints from inclusive D meson production data

Idea: Construct ratios of observables in y and pt bins to combat various uncertainties



$$\begin{split} N_X^{ij} &= \frac{d^2 \sigma(\text{X TeV})}{dy_i^D d(p_T^D)_j} \middle/ \frac{d^2 \sigma(\text{X TeV})}{dy_{\text{ref}}^D d(p_T^D)_j} \\ R_{13/X}^{ij} &= \frac{d^2 \sigma(13 \text{ TeV})}{dy_i^D d(p_T^D)_j} \middle/ \frac{d^2 \sigma(\text{X TeV})}{dy_i^D d(p_T^D)_j} \end{split}$$

### find decreasing gluon at the lowest x they may probe

### Sensitivity to the MSbar gluon PDF

- Q0 is performed

$$\Delta \text{Im}\mathcal{M}^{q} = \frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}}{2\pi} \int_{\xi}^{1} dx \left(F_{q}(x,\xi,m_{c}) - F_{q}(-x,\xi,m_{c})\right) \left(\int_{0}^{Q_{0}^{2}} (M_{a}^{q} + M_{b}^{q}) \frac{2\pi m_{c}^{4}}{\hat{s}^{2}} dl^{2}\right)$$

Precisely this FINITE contribution that is subtracted from full MSbar processes only\*)



Remain in MSbar scheme with Q0 subtracted coefficient functions to NLO accuracy Subtraction does not affect IR or UV divergence renormalisation procedures Soft singularity at I=0 is removed after subtracting off the LO part of the NLO coefficient function before integral over loop momentum from 0 to

coefficient functions to avoid double counting inherent within MSbar scheme (subtraction fundamentally ubiquitous but numerically relevant for low scale

### Sensitivity to the MSbar gluon PDF

$$\Delta \mathrm{Im}\mathcal{M}^{q} = \frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}}{2\pi} \int_{\xi}^{1} dx \left( F_{q}(x,\xi,m_{c}) - F_{q}(-x,\xi,m_{c}) \right) \left( \int_{0}^{Q_{0}^{2}} (M_{a}^{q} + M_{b}^{q}) \frac{2\pi m_{c}^{4}}{\hat{s}^{2}} dl^{2} \right)$$

Precisely this FINITE contribution that is subtracted from full MSbar processes only)



• NLO contributions. Subtract off LO contribution (part given by LO over I is performed, cancelling soft singularity dI^2/I^2.

coefficient functions to avoid double counting inherent within MSbar scheme (subtraction fundamentally ubiquitous but numerically relevant for low scale

NLO diagrams for quark and gluon channel considered. Contain both LO and (generalised) DGLAP evolution P\_LO x C^0, see previous) before integration



At fact. scale.  $\mu_f$  , quark contribution is part of NLO hard matrix element At fact. scale  $\mu_F$ , absorbed quark contribution into LO result

$$A^{(0)}(\mu_f) = \left(C^{(0)} + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \ln\left(\frac{\mu_f^2}{\mu_F^2}\right) C^{(0)} \otimes V\right) \otimes F(\mu_F)$$

\* At small xi, this is the double logarithmic contribution  $\sim \ln(1/xi) \ln(muF^2/mc^2)$ 

Ideology: Use scale shifting to find optimal scale that removes the largest contribution from the NLO correction \*

Effect of scale change driven by (generalised, skewed) **DGLAP** evolution:

### Treatment of double logarithmic contribution



Choice muF = mc 'resums' the gluon ladder contributions, enhanced by this double logarithmic contribution. They are intrinsically resummed within the kt factorisation framework<sup>\*</sup> and here by judicious choice of <u>factorisation</u> scale

n from the NLO

The red gluon cannot be resummed in this scale shifting approach and so will always be treated as part of the higher order correction

<sup>\*</sup> But kt fact. framework treats only a subset of NLO corrections, those belonging to equivalence class of gluon-ladder diagrams

### Other results in UPC: Photon flux in Upsilon photoprod. in pp



For J/psi rapidity at border of LHCb acceptance (y \sim 4.3675) and sqrts = 7 TeV, find (ss1307.7099\*flux1307.7099)/(ssBudnev\*fluxBudnev)= 0.94901 ~ 5% effect

For J/psi rapidity outside border of LHCb acceptance (y \sim 5.125) and sorts = 7 TeV, find (ss1307.7099\*flux1307.7099)/(ssBudnev\*fluxBudnev)= 1.24832 ~ 25% effect

Upsilon photoproduction photon energies will be larger so discrepancy between fluxes (and survival factors) will be larger and we enter the region where the approximation of 1307.7099 flux breaks down at much lower rapidities and, importantly, within the acceptance of LHCb

=> use **Budney** flux (without negligence of **O**(x) terms)

=> large W unfolded photoproduction LHCb data should be shifted upwards