## Legacy of HERA: Quarkonium production at ZEUS and H1

## Alessia Bruni, INFN Bologna, Italy Quarkonia in exclusive & diffractive processes Quarkonia in inclusive reactions

https://www-h1.desy.de/publications/H1publication.short\_list.html

https://www-zeus.desy.de/zeus\_papers/zeus\_papers.html

Synergies between LHC and EIC for quarkonium physics, 8-12 July 2024 https://indico.ectstar.eu/event/219/overview





This workshop is part of a project that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 824093.



Center-of-mass energies 318 GeV - 225 GeV

200

400

600

800

1000 1200

1400 days of running

>

# Quarkonia in exclusive & diffractive processes H1 and ZEUS paper on J/ $\psi$ , $\psi$ (2S), Y production

Photoproduction	<ul> <li>H1, Elastic and p-diss J/ψ in PHP Eur.Phys.J.C73 (2013) 2466</li> <li>H1, Elastic J/ψ in PHP and DIS Eur.Phys.J.C46 (2006) 585</li> <li>ZEUS, Exclusive PHP of J/ψ mesons Eur. Phys. J. C 24 (2002) 345</li> <li>H1, Elastic J/ψ and Y in PHP Phys.Lett.B483 (2000) 23</li> <li>H1, Elastic and Inelastic J/ψ in PHP Nucl.Phys.B472 (1996) 3</li> </ul>		
Deep Inelastic Scattering	ZEUS, Exclusive J/ψ in DIS Nucl. Phys. B 695 (2004) 3 H1, Charmonium Production in Deep Inelastic Scattering at HERA, Eur.Phys.J.C10 (1999) 373-393 H1, Elastic ρ0 and J/ψ at large Q2 Nucl.Phys.B468 (1996) 3 ZEUS, Exclusive ρ0 and J/ψ in DIS Eur. Phys. J. C 6 (1999) 603		
High-t, Mandelstam variable	ZEUS, p-dissociative J/ψ in PHP at large t JHEP 05 (2010) 085 H1, Diffractive PHP of J/ψ with large t Phys Lett B568 (2003) 205		
ψ(2S)	Ψ(2S) / J/ψ ratio in PHP, JHEP 12 (2022) 164 ZEUS, R(σψ(2S)/σJ/ψ(1S) in DIS Nucl. Phys. B 909 (2016) 934 H1, Diffractive PHP of ψ(2S) Phys.Lett.B541 (2002) 251		
Y	ZEUS, Y in PHP (t-dependence), Phys.Lett. B 708 (2011) 14         ZEUS, Exclusive Y in PHP, Phys.Lett. B 680 (2009) 4         H1, Elastic J/ψ and Y in PHP Phys.Lett.B483 (2000) 23         ZEUS, Elastic Y production, Phys.Lett. B 437 (1998) 432		

## Kinematics



Exclusive production of Vector Mesons fully described by:

•Q<sup>2</sup> photon virtuality, kinematic regimes:

photoproduction,  $Q^2 \approx 0 \text{ GeV}^2$ 

Deep Inelastic Scattering: Q<sup>2</sup> > 1 GeV<sup>2</sup>

•Wγp photon-proton centre-of-mass energy

•t=(p-p')<sup>2</sup> – four momentum transfer squared at proton vertex

•x–Bjorken x–fraction of proton's momentum carried by struck quark/gluon x~1/W<sup>2</sup>γp

**Kinematic variable fully reconstructed** usually measuring **scattered electron** (in DIS) and vector meson decay products or final photons or jets. **Scattered p** detected with lower acceptance

Diffractive vector meson production studied at HERA as a function of several scales:  $Q^2$ , MV, t over a wide range of Wyp.

## Event topology/selection: exclusive di-muon /di-electron production

Very clean signature: J/ $\psi$  mesons identified via the decay channels:  $\mu+\mu-$ , e+e-

Photoproduction: trigger and selection driven by tracking + muon chambers / electrons in CAL
 DIS: trigger given by scattered electron in CAL

Selection: 2 tracks matched with calorimeter (MIPs or electron clusters), no additional CAL cluster. For electron channel, 1 track + 1 CAL electron is also possible Experimental challenge:

 trigger on exclusive events while rejecting non ep background: halo mu and cosmics

Analysis requires:

- a good understanding of trigger efficiencies
- forward detector to tag/study p-dissociative process





## Signal extraction from invariant mass distributions





#### J/ψ, ψ(2S), Y ->μ+μ-, e+e-

 only background source from QED non-resonant muon/electron pair production γγ->l+l- (Bethe-Heitler process) subtracted by QED simulation, usually normalized in control region, as it contains p-diss contribution, not perfectly simulated

#### signal events:

- fitted
- or counted in signal region, procedure insensitive to low mass tail due to QED radiation losses and Bremsstrahlung, large for electrons and sizeble for muons; adeguate in regions at low and high W were mass resolution is poor.

## Theoretical models

Regge phenomenology: Vector Dominance Model + Soft Pomeron IP exchange



- > In the presence of a hard scale ( $M_{VM}$ ,  $Q^2$ , t) calculations in **pQCD** are possible.
- > Exchange of a gluon ladder. Fast increase of the cross section with energy  $\gamma$   $\gamma$  q q V  $\gamma$   $\gamma$  q q V  $\gamma$   $\gamma$  q q V  $\gamma$  q q  $Q^2 + M_V^2$   $\mu^2 \propto (Q^2 + M_V^2)$
- Generalised Parton Densities relevant here. Calculations performed now at NLO.

### Wyp dependence of Elastic Vector Meson Photoproduction

The cross section dependence on W can be parameterised as  $\sigma \sim W^{\delta} \gamma p$ 

Increasing VM mass, process gets harder



Low mass VM consistent with soft model,  $\delta \sim 0.2$ 

**σ** ∝ **[x g(x, μ)]**<sup>2</sup>, with **x~1/W**<sup>2</sup>γ**p** For high mass VM, rapid rise of cross section with Wγp related to the increasing gluon density with decreasing of fractional momentum

## Universality of VM production



- Despite cross sections of light VM and J/ $\psi$  differ by order of magnitude, they are close when corrected for factors accounting VM charge ( rho : phi: J/ $\psi$  = 9 : 2 : 8) and plotted vs scaling variable  $\mu^2 = (Q^2 + M_V^2)/4 \simeq 3-5 \text{ GeV}^2$
- Ratios, scaled according to quark charge content, close to 1 (up to Wave Function effects) once plotted vs (Q<sup>2</sup>+M<sup>2</sup><sub>V</sub>)

This supports the dipole approach of VM production at high energy: cross sections are essentially determined by the dipole size

## Wyp dependence of J/ $\psi$ in photoproduction and DIS







Since 2015 "data J/ $\psi$  p can included in the global PDF fits to determine the gluon in the low x regime" (1507.06942 Jones, Martin, Ryskin, Teubner)





#### •A. Martin et al Phys. Rev. D 102, 114021 (2020)

No hint of saturation observed in exclusive J/ $\psi$  data at the scale  $\mu^2$ = 2.4 GeV<sup>2</sup> and x down to 10<sup>-5</sup>.

## Regge models - Pomeron trajectory



W dependance investigated using parametrisation inspired by Regge theory, interactions mediated by particles Differential cross sections d $\sigma$ /dt as a function of W for fixed ranges of t as W^4( $\alpha_{IP}$  (t)-1)

Pomeron trajectory associated to  $J/\psi$  is not soft In BFKL approach,  $\alpha'$  is related to the average kt of gluons around the ladder in their random walk, expected to be small





## t-dependence of J/ $\psi$ photoproduction

- \* t- dependence of cross section d $\sigma$  / dt  $\simeq e^{bt}$
- In optical model approach, b is related to the quadratic size of interacting objects:
- >  $b \sim (R_{p}^{2} + R_{VM}^{2})/4$
- in p-diss events proton breaks and size is smaller. As σ is similar for exclusive and pdiss. events, p-diss dominates at high-t





## t-dependence of J/ $\psi$ photoproduction - low t

H1 data HE

4

Fit HE, H1(03)

6

-t [GeV<sup>2</sup>]

8



- H1:
- Use forward detectors (FTS, Plug, LAr) to tag proton dissociative process • at low |t|.
- Use data from HERA low energy run to extends the range to lower Wyp • Simultaneous measurement of elastic and proton-dissociative process.



Phenomenological fits:

$$d\sigma/dt = N_{el} e^{-b_{el}|t|}$$

 $d\sigma/dt = N_{pd} (1 + (b_{pd}/n)|t|)^{-n}$ 

## t-dependence of J/ $\psi$ photoproduction – b slope

#### H1 PHP. pdiss $m_p < M_Y < 10 \text{ GeV}$

Data period	Process	Parameter	Fit value	Correlation
HE	$\gamma p  o J/\psip$	$b_{el}$	$(4.88 \pm 0.15) \mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$	$\begin{split} \rho(b_{el}, N_{el}) &= 0.50 \\ \rho(b_{el}, b_{pd}) &= 0.49 \\ \rho(b_{el}, n) &= -0.21 \\ \rho(b_{el}, N_{pd}) &= 0.68 \end{split}$
		$N_{el}$	$(305\pm17)\mathrm{nb}/\mathrm{GeV^2}$	$\begin{split} \rho(N_{el}, b_{pd}) &= 0.23 \\ \rho(N_{el}, n) &= -0.07 \\ \rho(N_{el}, N_{pd}) &= 0.46 \end{split}$
	$\gamma p  ightarrow J/\psi  Y$	$b_{pd}$	$(1.79\pm 0.12){\rm GeV^{-2}}$	$\begin{array}{ll} \rho(b_{pd},n) &=\!\!-0.78 \\ \rho(b_{pd},N_{pd})\!\!=\!\!0.76 \end{array}$
		n	$3.58\pm0.15$	$ ho(n,N_{pd})$ =-0.46
		$N_{pd}$	$(87\pm10)\rm nb/GeV^2$	
LE	$\gamma p  ightarrow J/\psi  p$	$b_{el}$	$(4.3 \pm 0.2) \mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$	$\begin{split} \rho(b_{el}, N_{el}) &= 0.37 \\ \rho(b_{el}, b_{pd}) &= 0.10 \\ \rho(b_{el}, N_{pd}) &= 0.41 \end{split}$
		$N_{el}$	$(213\pm18)\mathrm{nb}/\mathrm{GeV^2}$	$\label{eq:rho_el} \begin{split} \rho(N_{el}, b_{pd}) &= \text{-0.24} \\ \rho(N_{el}, N_{pd}) \text{=-0.10} \end{split}$
	$\gamma p \to J/\psi  Y$	$b_{pd}$	$(1.6\pm 0.2){\rm GeV^{-2}}$	$\rho(b_{pd}, N_{pd})$ =0.53
		$\overline{n}$	3.58 (fixed value)	
		$N_{pd}$	$(62\pm12)\mathrm{nb}/\mathrm{GeV^2}$	

#### • ZEUS Eur. Phys. J. C 24 (2002)

- Finite Mass Sum Rule (from Mueller generalized optical theorem) applied to proton diffraction provide constraints on M<sub>Y</sub> spectrum of proton diffractive state, which MC have to respect. It also explain why tdistribution is steeper for resonant part than for the continuum part of proton diffraction
- MC simulation: In the baryon resonance region, at low M<sub>Y</sub>, a resonant component with slope b = 6.5 GeV<sup>-2</sup> was considered. A second component due to non-resonant proton dissociation with slope b = 0.65 GeV<sup>-2</sup> was added. The two components were constrained to satisfy the first moment of the finite-mass sum rule. Ref: G. Alberi and G. Goggi, Phys. Rev. 74, 1 (1980)

ZEUS; proton-diss, continuum region for for  $3.5 < M_Y < 30$  GeV and  $p_T^2 < 10$  GeV<sup>2</sup>

- p-diss b = 0.65±0.10 GeV<sup>-2</sup>
- $\sigma \simeq 1/M_{\gamma}^{hota}$  with beta =2.6 +-0.3
- elastic b = 4.15 +0.05 (stat) +0.30 -0.18 (syst) GeV<sup>-2</sup>

## W-dependence of J/psi photoproduction – p. diss.



Process	Parameter	Fit value	Correlation
$\gamma p  o J/\psi  p$	$\delta_{el}$	$0.67\pm0.03$	$\begin{split} \rho(\delta_{el}, N_{el}) =& -0.08 \\ \rho(\delta_{el}, \delta_{pd}) = 0.01 \\ \rho(\delta_{el}, N_{pd}) =& 0.09 \end{split}$
	$N_{el}$	$81\pm3\mathrm{nb}$	$\label{eq:rho_el} \begin{split} \rho(N_{el}, \delta_{pd}) &= \text{-}0.27 \\ \rho(N_{el}, N_{pd}) \text{=-}0.18 \end{split}$
$\gamma p \to J/\psi Y$	$\delta_{pd} \\ N_{pd}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.42\pm0.05\\ 66\pm7\mathrm{nb} \end{array}$	$\rho(\delta_{pd},N_{pd})\!\!=\!\!0.09$

Ratio of elastic and proton dissociative cross is approximately equal to 1

A slight dependence of this ratio as a function of  $W_{\mbox{\tiny VP}}$  is observed

## Decay angular distribution, helicity frame

Measurement of VM production and decay angles give access to spin density matrix elements Angular distr.  $\rightarrow$  spin density matrix elements  $r_{ji}{}^{kl}$ ,  $\rightarrow$  helicity amplitudes  $T\lambda_{VM} \lambda\gamma$ 



S-channel helicity conservation: the outgoing VM retains the  $\gamma$  helicity

In the accessible  $Q^2$  range,  $J/\psi$ production is almost transverse, while for light VM the longitudinal amplitude dominates

 $\theta_h$ ,  $\phi_h$  angles of decay muons in the meson rest frame  $\Phi$  angle between scattering and production plane



17

## Ratio $R = \sigma_L / \sigma_T$ as a function of $Q^2$ , W and t





In the accessible  $Q^2$  range,  $J/\psi$  production is almost transverse No dependence from W or t

pQCD: during the interaction, the orbital angular momentum of  $qq^{-}$  can be modified due to the transfer of momentum of the gluons;  $\Rightarrow$  the helicity of the outgoing VM differs from the one of the  $\gamma$ , helicity flip between photon and meson is possible

## Photoproduction J/ $\psi$ at high t – test BFKL dynamics

- large values of |t| provide a hard scale
- for |t|>1 GeV<sup>2</sup>, process dominated by p-diss production

Hard scale at both ends of the exchanged gluon ladder, no strong kt ordering is expected (expected in DGLAP), as in typical BFKL evolution



HERA I data, 1996-2000, 112 pb<sup>-1</sup> of luminosity 30 < W < 160 GeV, 2 < |t| < 20 GeV<sup>2</sup> z > 0.95, inelasticity cut

## $J/\psi(1S)$ at large |t|, W dependence

ZEUS



H1 and ZEUS results in good agreement in the common kinematic region

#### Data rise with W for all t region

BFKL (EMP) predictions too steep DGLAP (GLMN) approach fails to describe  $\sigma$  rise at low x FKS: increase of  $\sigma$  due to gluon distribution in the proton

## $J/\psi(1S)$ at large |t|; t-dependence

ZEUS



Data cannot be described by a single exponential fit  $d\sigma/dt \simeq e^{bt}$  neither by a single power  $d\sigma/dt \simeq |t|^n$ 

Models predict n dependent on t

Models able to describe data but not for the full t (x) range

EMP (BFKL) below data GLMN (DGLAP) validity range of  $|t| < M_{J_2/\psi}$ FSZ describe data up to 12 GeV<sup>2</sup>

## $J/\psi(1S)$ at large |t|, W dependence as a function of t

Effective Pomeron trajectory  $d\sigma/dt = F(t) \cdot W^{4(\alpha P(t)-1)}$ 



Pomeron intercept consistent with soft  $\alpha_{PI}(0) = 1.0808$  Pomeron slope consistent with BFKL Pomeron H1  $\alpha_{PI}(0) = 1.167 \pm 0.048(\text{stat}) \pm 0.024(\text{syst})$ H1  $\alpha' = -0.0135 \pm 0.0074(\text{stat}) \pm 0.0051(\text{syst})$ 

# Helicity spin density matrix elements as a function of |t|



θh, φh angles

angles of decay muons in the meson rest frame estimated in different t bins Spin density matrix elements are extracted from fit to the angular distributions

 $r_{1-1}^{04}$  is related to interference between non-flip and double flip amplitude

 $r_{00}^{04}$  represents the probability that J $\psi$  has 0 helicity

 $Re(r_{00}^{04})$  is proportional to the single flip amplitude 10

These spin density elements expected to be 0 in SCHC

## $\psi(2S)$ in photoproduction and DIS

 $\psi(2\mathbf{S})$  and  $J/\psi(1\mathbf{S})$  have same quark content but different wave function



<r<sup>2</sup> $\psi$ (2S)> ~<2 r<sup>2</sup>J/ $\psi$ (1S)>  $\psi$ (2S) has a radial node at ~0.4 fm

models predicts  $\sigma_{\gamma p \rightarrow \psi(2S)p}$  suppressed w.r.t.  $\sigma_{\gamma p \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)}$ 

Ratio R = $\sigma_{\gamma p \rightarrow \psi(2s)p}/\sigma_{\gamma p \rightarrow J/\psi(1s)p}$ 

- sensitive to radial wave function of charmonium
- provides insight into the dynamics of the hard process

HIKT, Hufner et al.: dipole model, dipole-proton constrained by inclusive DIS data

AR, Armesto and Rezaeian: impact parameter dependent CGC and IP-Sat model KMW,Kowalski Motyka Watt: QCD description and universality of quarkonia production FFJS, Fazio et al.: two component Pomeron model

KNNPZZ, Nemchik et al.: color-dipole cross section derived from BFKL generalised eq. LM, Lappi and Mäntysaari: dipole picture in IP-Sat model

 $R = \sigma_{\gamma p \rightarrow \psi(2S)p} / \sigma_{\gamma p \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)} vs Q^2$ , t, W

ZEUS







ZEUS at  $Q^2 = 0$  GeV<sup>2</sup> R = 0.146±0.010(stat.)±0.020(syst.)

- no or moderate dependence in t
- no or moderated dependence on W
- Increase in Q<sup>2</sup>

pQCD model calculations predict R~0.17 in PHP, rising with Q^2 reaching plateau at Q2>>M2 $\psi$ 

# Quarkonia in inclusive reaction H1 and ZEUS paper on inelastic J/ $\psi$ , $\psi$ (2S) production

Photoproduction	ZEUS, inelastic J/ψ and ψ(2S) in PHP JHEP 02 (2013) 071 H1, inelastic J/ψ and ψ(2S) in PHP, Eur.Phys.J.C 68 (2010) 401 ZEUS, inelastic J/ψ and ψ(2S) in PHP Eur.Phys.J.C 27 (2003) H1, inelastic J/ψ in PHP, Eur.Phys.J.C 25 (2002) 25 ZEUS, inelastic J/ψ in PHP, Z.Phys.C 76 (1997) 599 H1, elastic and inelastic J/ψ in PHP, Nucl.Phys.B 472 (1996) 3
DIS	ZEUS, inelastic J/ψ in DIS <i>Eur.Phys.J.C</i> 44 (2005) H1, inelastic leptoproduction of J/ψ, <i>Eur.Phys.J.C</i> 25 (2002) 41 H1, charmonium production in DIS, <i>Eur.Phys.J.C</i> 10 (1999) 373

## Inelastic J/ $\psi$ , $\psi$ (2S) production





 $z = E_J/\psi / E_\gamma$  (p rest frame)

studied at HERA as a function of several scales: Q<sup>2</sup>, z, over a wide range of Wγp (30-270 GeV).

## H1 and ZEUS paper on inelastic J/ $\psi$ , $\psi$ (2S) production



Predictions based on  $k_T$  factorisation in the colour singlet model able to describe cross sections

## H1 and ZEUS paper on inelastic J/ $\psi$ , $\psi$ (2S) production



- Differential cross sections compared to a number of theory predictions
- Calculations based on collinear factorisation in the colour singlet model at NLO describe the shape but are lower in normalisation
- Calculations beyond NLO are necessary, contributions from colour octet states may be significant

## Summary

- Quarkonium is a clean experimental process, but precise measurements require a strategy for trigger and dedicated detectors to tag proton-dissociation
- At HERA, quakonium production was a field of continuos dialogue between data and theory, extremely interesting for pQCD understanding

• This dialogue will continue at EIC