

## Work in progress on analytic continuation from imaginary chemical potential

Marco Aliberti<sup>1,2</sup>

In collaboration with F. Di Renzo, P. Dimopoulos (Parma) and the Bielefeld-Parma collaboration

Spetember 13, 2024

<sup>1</sup>Università degli Studi di Parma

<sup>2</sup>INFN - Sezione di Milano Bicocca Gruppo Collegato di Parma





Motivations

## The Sign Problem

- The study of the phase diagram requires finite baryon number density
  - Finite density lattice simulations  $\Rightarrow$  chemical potential  $\mu \neq 0$
  - Generic  $\mu \Rightarrow$  complex Dirac determinant, leads to sign problem
- For purely imaginary values of μ the Dirac determinant remains real
- Methods to extrapolate physical functions of real µ from the imaginary axis are needed







**DI PARMA** 



**DI PARMA** 



### The Methods

Taylor Expansion: 
$$P_n(\mu) = \sum_{k=0} c_k \mu^k$$

Padé analysis<sup>1</sup>: 
$$R_m^n(\mu) = rac{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k \mu^k}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^m q_j \mu^j}$$

Cauchy's Theorem integrated numerically<sup>2</sup>

• Power series of  $\mu^2$ , from  $\mu^2 < 0$  to  $\mu^2 > 0$ 

<sup>1</sup>Explained in detail by C. Schmidt on Monday
<sup>2</sup>Explained in detail by F. Di Renzo on Tuesday





### The Methods

• Taylor Expansion: 
$$P_n(\mu) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \mu^k \chi_n(T, V, \mu_B) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_B}\right)^n \frac{\ln Z(T, V, \mu_B)}{VT^3}$$

Padé analysis<sup>1</sup>: 
$$R_m^n(\mu) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k \mu^k}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^m q_j \mu^j}$$
  $\mu \equiv \mu_B/T$ 

Cauchy's Theorem integrated numerically<sup>2</sup>

• Power series of  $\mu^2$ , from  $\mu^2 < 0$  to  $\mu^2 > 0$ 

<sup>1</sup>Explained in detail by C. Schmidt on Monday
<sup>2</sup>Explained in detail by F. Di Renzo on Tuesday





#### The Methods

Taylor Expansion: 
$$P_n(\mu) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \mu^k \chi_n(T, V, \mu_B) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_B}\right)^n \frac{\ln Z(T, V, \mu_B)}{VT^3}$$

Padé analysis<sup>1</sup>: 
$$R_m^n(\mu) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k \mu^k}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^m q_j \mu^j}$$
  $\mu \equiv \mu_B/T$ 

Cauchy's Theorem integrated numerically<sup>2</sup>

T = 157.5 MeVPhysical pion mass

HISQ  $N_f = 2 + 1$ ,  $N_{\tau} = 6$ ,

Power series of  $\mu^2$ , from  $\mu^2 < 0$  to  $\mu^2 > 0$ 

From the Bielefeld-Parma collaboration

Disclaimer: For now, only the central values will be shown (without errors).

<sup>1</sup>Explained in detail by C. Schmidt on Monday
<sup>2</sup>Explained in detail by F. Di Renzo on Tuesday





The Methods

#### Input Data





The Methods

## **Taylor Expansion**

#### Dataset

 $\{\chi_1(\mu_0), \ldots, \chi_1(\mu_{N-1})\}$ 

$$\chi_1(\mu_i) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k!} \chi_{1+k}(0) \mu_i^k + O(\mu^N)$$





The Methods

### **Taylor Expansion**

#### Dataset

$$\begin{cases} \chi_1(\mu_i) = \sum_{k=0}^{N+M-1} \frac{1}{k!} \chi_{1+k}(0) \mu_i^k + O(\mu^{N+M}) \\ \\ \chi_2(\mu_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{N+M-1} \frac{k}{k!} \chi_{1+k}(0) \mu_j^{k-1} + O(\mu^{N+M-1}) \end{cases}$$





The Methods

### **Taylor** Expansion

#### Dataset







The Methods

### **Taylor** Expansion

#### Dataset







The Methods

### **Taylor** Expansion

#### Dataset







Charge conjugation symmetry  $\implies \chi_1(-\mu) = -\chi_1(\mu)$ 





Charge conjugation symmetry  $\Longrightarrow \chi_1(-\mu) = -\chi_1(\mu)$ 

$$\chi_{1}(\mu_{i}) = \sum_{k=0}^{N+M-1} \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} \chi_{2+2k}(0) \mu_{i}^{2k+1} + O(\mu^{2N+2M})$$
$$\chi_{2}(\mu_{j}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N+M-1} \frac{2k+1}{(2k+1)!} \chi_{2+2k}(0) \mu_{j}^{2k} + O(\mu^{2N+2M-1})$$





Charge conjugation symmetry  $\implies \chi_1(-\mu) = -\chi_1(\mu)$ 

$$\begin{split} \chi_{1}(\mu_{i}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N+M-1} \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} \chi_{2+2k}(0) \mu_{i}^{2k+1} + O(\mu^{2N+2M}) \\ \chi_{2}(\mu_{j}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{N+M-1} \frac{2k+1}{(2k+1)!} \chi_{2+2k}(0) \mu_{j}^{2k} + O(\mu^{2N+2M-1}) \end{split}$$

 Higher number of significant derivatives (with same input data)





Charge conjugation symmetry  $\implies \chi_1(-\mu) = -\chi_1(\mu)$ 

$$\begin{split} & \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(\mu_i) = \sum_{k=0}^{N+M-1} \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} \chi_{2+2k}(0) \mu_i^{2k+1} + O(\mu^{2N+2M}) \\ & \chi_2(\mu_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{N+M-1} \frac{2k+1}{(2k+1)!} \chi_{2+2k}(0) \mu_j^{2k} + O(\mu^{2N+2M-1}) \end{split}$$

 Higher number of significant derivatives (with same input data)

Worse condition number





The Methods

## **Taylor Plots**





### Padé Analysis

Rational function

- Interpolates singularities
- Can have only poles

 Branch cuts are represented as a series of poles and zeros







The Methods

## Single-Point Padé

#### Dataset

### $\chi_1(0), \chi_2(0), \ldots, \chi_N(0)$

$$R_m^n(\mu) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k \mu^k}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^m q_j \mu^j} \quad \text{with} \quad n+m = N$$

Conditions:  $R(0) = \chi_1(0), R'(0) = \chi_2(0), \dots, R^{(N-1)}(0) = \chi_N(0)$ 





The Methods

## Single-Point Padé

#### Dataset

 $\chi_1(0), \chi_2(0), \ldots, \chi_N(0)$ 

$$R_m^n(\mu) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k \mu^k}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^m q_j \mu^j} \quad \text{with} \quad n+m = N$$

Conditions:  $R(0) = \chi_1(0), R'(0) = \chi_2(0), \dots, R^{(N-1)}(0) = \chi_N(0)$ 

**Problem**: Noisy high derivatives  $\implies$  Few parameters





## Multi-Point Padé

#### Dataset

 $\chi_1(\mu_1), \chi_1(\mu_2), \ldots, \chi_1(\mu_N), \quad \chi_2(\mu_{N+1}), \chi_2(\mu_{N+2}), \ldots, \chi_2(\mu_{N+M})$ 

$$R_m^n(\mu) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k \mu^k}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^m q_j \mu^j} \quad \text{with} \quad n+m = N + N$$

$$R(\mu_1) = \chi_1(\mu_1), R(\mu_2) = \chi_1(\mu_2), \dots, R(\mu_N) = \chi_1(\mu_N)$$
$$R'(\mu_{N+1}) = \chi_2(\mu_{N+1}), \dots, R'(\mu_{N+M}) = \chi_2(\mu_{N+M})$$





## Multi-Point Padé

#### Dataset

 $\chi_1(\mu_1), \chi_1(\mu_2), \ldots, \chi_1(\mu_N), \quad \chi_2(\mu_{N+1}), \chi_2(\mu_{N+2}), \ldots, \chi_2(\mu_{N+M})$ 

$$R_m^n(\mu) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k \mu^k}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^m q_j \mu^j} \quad \text{with} \quad n+m = N + N$$

Conditions:

$$R(\mu_1) = \chi_1(\mu_1), \ R(\mu_2) = \chi_1(\mu_2), \ \dots, \ R(\mu_N) = \chi_1(\mu_N), \ R'(\mu_{N+1}) = \chi_2(\mu_{N+1}), \ \dots, \ R'(\mu_{N+M}) = \chi_2(\mu_{N+M})$$

Problem: Convergence not rigorously defined





The Methods

UNIVERSITÀ

**DI PARMA** 

### "Simplified" /Multi-Point Padé



11/20



The Methods

### Padé Plots





The Methods

UNIVERSITÀ

**DI PARMA** 

#### **Inverse Problem**





The Methods

#### **Inverse Problem**





The Methods

#### **Inverse Problem**





The Methods

#### **Inverse Problem With Derivatives**





The Methods

#### **Inverse Problem With Derivatives**





The Methods

### **Inverse Problem Plots**



M. Aliberti



 $\mu = \{+0.3928i, +0.7853i, +1.178i, +1.571i, \dots\} \qquad \chi_1(\mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_{2k+1}(0)}{(2k+1)!} \mu^{2k+1}$ 





 $\mu = \{+0.3928i, +0.7853i, +1.178i, +1.571i, \dots\}$   $\chi_1(\mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_{2k+1}(0)}{(2k+1)!} \mu^{2k+1}$   $\mu^2 = \{-0.1543, -0.6167, -1.388, -2.468, \dots\}$   $\tilde{\chi}_1(\mu^2) = \chi_1(\mu)/\mu$ 





 $\mu = \{+0.3928i, +0.7853i, +1.178i, +1.571i, \dots\}$   $\chi_1(\mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_{2k+1}(0)}{(2k+1)!} \mu^{2k+1}$   $\mu^2 = \{-0.1543, -0.6167, -1.388, -2.468, \dots\}$   $\tilde{\chi}_1(\mu^2) = \chi_1(\mu)/\mu$ 

Polynomial fit in  $\mu^2$ 





 $\mu = \{+0.3928i, +0.7853i, +1.178i, +1.571i, \dots\}$   $\chi_1(\mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_{2k+1}(0)}{(2k+1)!} \mu^{2k+1}$   $\mu^2 = \{-0.1543, -0.6167, -1.388, -2.468, \dots\}$   $\tilde{\chi}_1(\mu^2) = \chi_1(\mu)/\mu$ 

Polynomial fit in  $\mu^2$ 

Recover the original function by multiplying by  $\mu$ 





The Methods

# $\mu^2$ Fit Plots





## **Results Comparison**





M. Aliberti



Error Analysis

UNIVER

**DI PARMA** 

#### What About the Errors?



19/20

M. Aliberti



Error Analysis

#### What About the Errors?







Error Analysis

UNIVERSITÀ

**DI PARMA** 

#### What About the Errors?





M. Aliberti



Error Analysis

UNIVERSITÀ

**DI PARMA** 

## What About the Errors?



19/20

M. Aliberti



#### In Conclusion...

Various methods, with very different ways of operation, have been used for analytical continuation from imaginary  $\mu_B/T$  to real  $\mu_B/T$ 

- ▶ There is a common region ( $\mu_B/T \lesssim 1.5$ ) where every method agree with each other, with *small* error bars
- Outside this region ( $\mu_B/T \gtrsim 1.5$ ), they significantly deviate from each other, but stay within the *much larger* error bars

Beyond a given treshold, each method has a high systematic sensitivity with respect to the choice of the input data

A thorough error analysis (both statistical and systematic) is being performed

