## **New developments in studies of the** QCD PHASE DIAGRAM

ECT\* Trento, Italy September 9 - 13, 2024

# QCD EOS IN STRONG MAGNETIC FIELDS AND NON-ZERO BARYON DENSITY

**ARPITH KUMAR**<sup> $\dagger$ </sup>

Heng-Tong Ding Jin-Biao Gu Sheng-Tai Li



VA NORME



# **CENTRAL CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY**

- Partially based on *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 201903 (2024)
- and ongoing work

<sup>†</sup> arpithk@ccnu.edu.cn





Equilibrium description of strong interacting matter  $p, \epsilon, \sigma, \ldots \equiv f(T, \mu, eB, \ldots)$ 

thermodynamic obs. control parameters



Equilibrium description of strong interacting matter  $p, \epsilon, \sigma, \ldots \equiv f(T, \mu, eB, \ldots)$ 

thermodynamic obs. control parameters

## EARLY UNIVERSE

Energy, evolution  $\rightarrow$  Friedmann eq.

m(r) of NS relations  $\rightarrow$  TOV eq.

## MAGNETARS

HEAVY ION-COLLISION

 $QGP \rightarrow Hadronization \rightarrow Freeze-out$ 





Equilibrium description of strong interacting matter  $p, \epsilon, \sigma, \ldots \equiv f(T, \mu, eB, \ldots)$ 

## thermodynamic obs.

## EARLY UNIVERSE

## Energy, evolution $\rightarrow$ Friedmann eq.

## **Cosmological Magnetic Field: a fossil of density** perturbations in the early universe

January 6, 2006 | Science National Astronomical Observatory of Japan

Ichiki *et al.*, *Science*, *311*, 827-829, 2006

Vachaspati, *Phys. Lett. B* 265 (1991) Enqvist, *Phys. Lett. B* **319** (1993)

Duncan & Thompson, Astrophys. J. Lett. 392 (1992) L9 Anderson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 191101

EoS and interplay with magnetic fields is ubiquitous!





control parameters

## MAGNETARS

## **HEAVY ION-COLLISION**

m(r) of NS relations  $\rightarrow$  TOV eq.

Schematic of XTE J1810-197

## $QGP \rightarrow Hadronization \rightarrow Freeze-out$



Kharzeev et al., Nucl. Phys. A 803 (2008) Bali et al., JHEP 07 (2020) 183 Astrakhantsev et al., PRD 102 (2020) 054516





















★ Interest in rich QCD phase structure at finite *T* and non-zero  $\mu$ !

★ Pressure Taylor expanded as fluctuations of conserved charges  $\mathscr{C} \in \{B, Q, S\}$ ,

$$\hat{p}(T, eB, \hat{\mu}) \equiv \frac{p}{T^4} = \frac{1}{VT^3} \ln \mathcal{Z}_{GC}(T, eB, V, \hat{\mu}_{\mathscr{C}})$$
$$= \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!j!k!} \chi_{ijk}^{BQS} \hat{\mu}_B^i \hat{\mu}_Q^j \hat{\mu}_S^k$$

(Lattice computable, theory meets experiment)

$$\chi_{ijk}^{\text{BQS}} \equiv \chi_{ijk}^{\text{BQS}}(T, eB) = \frac{\partial^{i+j+k}}{\partial \hat{\mu}_{\text{B}}^{i} \partial \hat{\mu}_{\text{Q}}^{j} \partial \hat{\mu}_{\text{S}}^{k}} \hat{p}(T, eB, \hat{\mu})$$

# SIGN-PROBLEM TAYLOR EXPAND

 $\mu_f \longleftrightarrow \mu_{\mathscr{C}} \qquad \chi_{ijk}^{uds} \longleftrightarrow \chi_{ijk}^{BQS}$   $\mu_u = \frac{1}{3}\mu_B + \frac{2}{3}\mu_Q \qquad (2+1) \text{ QCD}$   $\mu_d = \frac{1}{3}\mu_B - \frac{1}{3}\mu_Q$   $\mu_s = \frac{1}{3}\mu_B - \frac{1}{3}\mu_Q - \mu_S$ 

Allton et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 074507 HotQCD, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 054504





# **RECENT LATTICE WORKS:**





# (2+1)-FLAVOR QCD LATTICE INGREDIENTS

- HISQ & tree-level improved Symanzik gauge action
- Lattice:  $N_{\sigma}/N_{\tau} = 4$  and  $N_{\tau} = 8$ ,  $12 \rightarrow \text{cont. est.}$ (one additional  $N_{\tau} = 16$ )
- Physical pion mass:  $m_s^{\text{phy}}/m_{\mu/d} = 27$ ,  $M_{\pi} \approx 135 \text{ MeV}$
- Non-zero  $\mu$  and T: Taylor expansion, around  $T_{pc}$  $T \equiv [145 - 166) \text{ MeV}$
- Magnetic field:  $\overrightarrow{B} = \overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{A}$ : no sign-problem!  $B_{z}$ : Landau gauge. Stokes theorem implies quantization: Elia et al., Phys. Rev. D. 82 (2010) 051501

$$eB = 6\pi N_b \ a^{-2} N_\sigma^{-2}$$

Fixed U(1) factor to links. PBC : constrains flux:  $N_{h} = [1 - 32]$  $eB \equiv [M_{\pi}^2 - 45M_{\pi}^2) \sim [0.02 - 0.8) \text{ GeV}^2$ 







# <u>Thermodynamics</u>: <u>Observables of Interest</u> $\mathcal{O}(T, eB, \hat{\mu})$



$$\hat{\mu}_{B} \\ \hat{\mu}_{Q} \\ \hat{\mu}_{S}$$
 
$$\chi_{LO}^{BQS} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{2}^{B} & \chi_{11}^{BQ} & \chi_{11}^{BS} \\ \chi_{11}^{BQ} & \chi_{2}^{Q} & \chi_{11}^{QS} \\ \chi_{11}^{BS} & \chi_{11}^{QS} & \chi_{2}^{S} \end{pmatrix}$$

LO : 
$$i + j + k = 2$$

$$\Xi_{\rm LO}^{\rm BQS} \equiv T \frac{\partial \chi_{\rm LO}^{\rm BQS}}{\partial T}$$

## ENERGY AND ENTROPY DENSITY

$$\hat{\Delta} \equiv \frac{\epsilon - 3P}{T^4} = T \frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial T} = \sum_{ijk} \frac{\Xi_{ijk}^{BQS}}{i!j!k!} \hat{\mu}_B^i \hat{\mu}_Q^j \hat{\mu}_S^k$$

$$+ 3\hat{p} = \sum_{ijk} \frac{\Xi_{ijk}^{BQS} + 3\chi_{ijk}^{BQS}}{i!j!k!} \hat{\mu}_B^i \hat{\mu}_Q^j \hat{\mu}_S^k \qquad \hat{e}_{LO} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mu}^T \left( \Xi_{LO}^{BQS} + 3\chi_{LO}^{BQS} \right),$$

$$+ \hat{p} - \sum_{\mathscr{G}} \hat{\mu}_{\mathscr{G}} \hat{n}^{\mathscr{G}} \qquad \hat{\sigma}_{LO} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mu}^T \left( \Xi_{LO}^{BQS} + 2\chi_{LO}^{BQS} \right),$$

$$= \frac{\Xi_{ijk}^{BQS} + [4 - (i + j + k)] \chi_{ijk}^{BQS}}{i!j!k!} \hat{\mu}_B^i \hat{\mu}_Q^j \hat{\mu}_S^k$$







# **INITIAL NUCLEI CONDITIONS**

$$\hat{p}(T, eB, \hat{\mu}) = \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!j!k!}$$

Strangeness neutrality :  $n^{S} = 0$ 

$$\hat{\mu}_{Q/S} \equiv \hat{\mu}_{Q/S}(T, eB, \hat{\mu}_B) \qquad \mu_Q / \mu_B = q_1 - q_{2k-1}, s_{2k-1} \qquad \mu_S / \mu_B = s_1 - q_1 - q_2 - q_2 - q_2 - q_1 - q_2 - q_$$

$$q_{1} = \frac{r\left(\chi_{2}^{B}\chi_{2}^{S} - \chi_{11}^{BS}\chi_{11}^{BS}\right) - \left(\chi_{11}^{BQ}\chi_{2}^{S} - \chi_{11}^{BS}\chi_{11}^{QS}\right)}{\left(\chi_{2}^{Q}\chi_{2}^{S} - \chi_{11}^{QS}\chi_{11}^{QS}\right) - r\left(\chi_{11}^{BQ}\chi_{2}^{S} - \chi_{11}^{BS}\chi_{11}^{QS}\right)}$$

$$\star P_2 \equiv f(\chi_{ijk}^{BQS}, q_1, s_1)$$



Fukushima & Hidaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 102301



 $\mu_0/\mu_B$  in presence of *eB* 





0.046

0.044

0.042

0.000

0.005

0.010

 $1/N_{ au}^2$ 

0.015

## A. Lattice data + spline interpolation 20 30 0.25 $-\mu_Q/\mu_B$ , $n_Q/n_B=0.4$ $-\mu_Q/\mu_B$ , $n_Q/n_B=0.4$ 0.25 0.20 0.20 0.15 0.15 $32^3 \times 8$ 0.10 📕 T=144.954 MeV 0.10 T=151.001 MeV T=156.78 MeV 0.05 0.05 🗼 T=162.246 MeV 👎 T=165.981 MeV 1.0 1.2 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 *eB* [GeV<sup>2</sup>] eB [GeV<sup>2</sup>] **B.** Continuum estimates 20 cont. est. $-(\mu_0/\mu_B)_{LO}, n_0/n_B=0.4$ 0.25 $(-\mu_{\rm Q}/\mu_{\rm B})_{\rm LO}, n_{\rm Q}/n_{\rm B}=0.4$ 0.052 cont. extr. $T = 156.92 \text{ MeV}, eB = 0.087 \text{ GeV}^2$ $\mathbf{\bullet}$ $N_{\tau} = 8$ $I_{\tau} = 12$ 0.20 0.050 $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ $N_{\tau} = 16$ 0.15 0.048

0.020

0.10

0.05

0.0







0.4

*eB* [GeV<sup>2</sup>]

0.2













 $\mu_0/\mu_B$  in the presence of eB



A.  $q_1$  is negative! Grows/more -ve with *eB*! B. Good agreement with PDG-HRG and QM-HRG for smaller *eB* and low *T* 

$$\frac{p_{\text{HRG}}^c}{T^4} = \frac{|q_i|B}{2\pi^2 T^3} \sum_{s_z = -s_i}^{s_i} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_0$$

$$\times \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\pm 1)^{k+1} \frac{e^{k\mu_i/T}}{k} K_1\left(\frac{k\epsilon_0}{T}\right)$$
where  $\epsilon_0 = \sqrt{m_i^2 + 2|q_i|B(l+1/2-s_z)}$ 

Fukushima & Hidaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 102301 Ding, Li, Shi & Wang, Eur. Phys. J.A 57 (2021) 6, 202



 $\mu_0/\mu_B$  in the presence of eB





 $\mu_0/\mu_B$  in the presence of eB



- A.  $q_1$  is negative! Grows/more -ve with *eB*!
- B. Good agreement with PDG-HRG and QM-HRG for smaller *eB* and low *T*
- C. At very strong *eB* saturation to free limit
- D. Crossing in *T* & sign of slope changes at strong enough *eB* 
  - near HRG: low  $T \rightarrow$  small  $q_1$
  - near ideal: low  $T \rightarrow \text{large } q_1$



 $\mu_{\rm S}/\mu_{\rm B}$  in presence of *eB* 



 $\star$  Lattice results better agreement with QM-HRG than PDG-HRG



# MAGNETIC EOS: PRESSURE

$$\Delta \hat{p} \equiv \hat{p}(T, eB, \mu_{\rm B}) - \hat{p}(T, eB, 0) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_{2k}(T, eB)}{P_{2k}(T, eB)}$$

$$P_{2}(T, eB) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \chi_{2}^{B} + \chi_{2}^{Q} q_{1}^{2} + \chi_{2}^{S} s_{1}^{2} \right)$$

$$+ \chi_{11}^{BQ} q_{1} + \chi_{11}^{BS} s_{1} + \chi_{11}^{QS} q_{1} s_{1}$$
0.20
A. HRG agreement? Subject to smaller *eB* and low *T*
0.10
0.05

0.00





# MAGNETIC EOS: PRESSURE

$$\Delta \hat{p} \equiv \hat{p}(T, eB, \mu_{\rm B}) - \hat{p}(T, \mu_{\rm B})$$

$$P_{2}(T, eB) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \chi_{2}^{B} + \chi_{2}^{Q} q_{1}^{2} + \chi_{2}^{S} s_{1}^{2} \right)$$

$$+ \chi_{11}^{BQ} q_{1} + \chi_{11}^{BS} s_{1} + \chi_{11}^{QS} q_{1} s_{1}$$
0.20
$$A. HRG agreement? Subject to smaller eB and low T$$
0.10
$$B. P_{2} \text{ grows with } eB, \text{ ideal gas saturation for fixed } eB expected at very high T$$
0.00





# MAGNETIC EOS: PRESSURE

$$\Delta \hat{p} \equiv \hat{p}(T, eB, \mu_{\rm B}) - \hat{p}(T, \mu_{\rm B})$$

$$P_{2}(T, eB) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \chi_{2}^{B} + \chi_{2}^{Q} q_{1}^{2} + \chi_{2}^{S} s_{1}^{2} \right)$$

$$+ \chi_{11}^{BQ} q_{1} + \chi_{11}^{BS} s_{1} + \chi_{11}^{QS} q_{1} s_{1}$$
0.20
$$A. HRG agreement? Subject to smaller eB and low T$$
0.10
$$B. P_{2} \text{ grows with } eB, \text{ ideal gas saturation for fixed } eB expected at very high T$$

$$C. After eB \sim 0.6 \text{ GeV}^{2}, \text{ signs of } T$$

$$0.00 \text{ mode of the set o$$





# MAGNETIC EOS: BARYON DENSITY

 $\hat{n}^{\mathscr{C}} \equiv \partial_{\hat{\mu}_{\mathscr{C}}} \hat{p} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N_{2k-1}^{\mathscr{C}}(T, eB) \ \hat{\mu}_{B}^{2k-1}$ *k*=1

$$N_1^B(T, eB) = \chi_2^B + q_1\chi_{11}^{BQ} + s_1\chi_{11}^{BS}$$

 $\star$  Similar *eB* and *T* dependence as pressure

 $\star$  Magnitude appears to be shifted from  $2P_2$ 

0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0





# MAGNETIC EOS: BARYON DENSITY TO PRESSURE

$$2mP_{2m} = N_{2m-1}^{B} + r \sum_{j=1}^{m} (2j-1) q_{2j-1} N_{2m-2j+1}^{B}$$

$$\frac{N_1^B}{2P_2} = \frac{1}{1 + rq_1}$$
 1.10  
1.08

- 1.06  $\star$  Deviation from unity, reflects isospin symmetry breaking by  $rq_1$  factor 1.04
- $\star N_1^{\rm B}/2P_2$  saturates at very strong eB





# MAGNETIC EOS: PRESSURE VS T

★ Mild peak structure forms in  $P_2$ and appears to have shifted towards low *T* as *eB* grows.

 $\star$  Hints of  $T_{pc}$  lowering!







# MAGNETIC EOS: ENERGY AND ENTROPY DENSITY

$$\Delta \hat{\epsilon} \equiv \hat{\epsilon}(T, \mu_{\rm B}) - \hat{\epsilon}(T, 0) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2k}(T, eB) \hat{\mu}_{\rm B}^{2k} \qquad \Delta \hat{\sigma} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k}(T, eB) \hat{\mu}_{\rm B}^{2k}$$

$$\epsilon_2(T, eB) = 3P_2 + TP'_2 - rTq'_1N_1^B$$

$$\epsilon_2$$

$$\epsilon_2(T, eB) = \epsilon_2 + P_2 + TP'_2 - (1 + rq_1)N_1^B$$

$$\star P$$
in



Clearly, very strong eB modifies the T dependence of  $P_2$ , <sub>2</sub> and  $\sigma_2$ 

Peak structure developed in  $P_2$ , corresponds to decrease in magnitude of  $\epsilon_2$  and  $\sigma_2$ 



# TAKE HOME MESSAGE

- $\star$  Explored (2 + 1)-f QCD magnetic EoS at non-zero density, upto leading order, from first principle lattice calculation using Taylor expansion
- $\star$  HRG breaks down in strong eB regime. For smaller eB, good agreement with QM-HRG subject to lower T
- $\star$  Different growth rates of bulk observables with eB. Crossing in T, and mild peak shift of  $P_2$  towards low T as eB grows;  $T_{pc}$  lowering



## **THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME & ATTENTION!**





arpithk@ccnu.edu.cn











# SOME **BACKUPS!**



## **NEXT-TO-LEADING ORDER**

Ongoing work: insights on next-to-leading  $\star$ order contributions.  $n^{B}$  dominant to  $\Delta p$ (factor ~ 2), but interestingly as eB grows contributions reduce drastically.





## **CONTINUUM ESTIMATES VS EXTRAPOLATIONS**





# **TRANSITION LINE AND CHIRAL SUSCEPTIBILITY**



 $\star$  Finding the peak location of  $\chi_M$  at each value of eB to determine  $T_{pc}(eB)$ 

$$M = \frac{1}{f_K^4} \left[ m_s \left( \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_u + \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_d \right) - \left( m_u + m_d \right) \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_s \right]$$

 $\chi_M(eB) = \frac{m_s}{f_K^4} \left[ m_s \chi_l(eB) - 2 \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_s (eB = 0) - 4 m_l \chi_{su}(eB = 0) \right]$ 





