# SYMBOLIC REGRESSION AS A TOOL FOR STUDYING GPDS

Marija Čuić (University of Virginia) for the EXCLAIM collaboration

Towards improved hadron tomography with hard exclusive reactions August 5-9, ECT\*, Trento





## CAN WE EXTRACT PHYSICAL LAWS OUT OF DATA?

### Johannes Kepler's third law of planetary motion: (period)<sup>2</sup> $\propto$ (radius)<sup>3</sup>

| Planet  | Semimajor<br>axis (10 <sup>10</sup> m) | Period<br>T (y) | $T^{2}/a^{3}$<br>(10-34y <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>3</sup> ) |
|---------|--|-----------------|---|
| Mercury | 5.79                                   | 0.241           | 2.99  |
| Venus   | 10.8                                   | 0.615           | 3.00  |
| Earth   | 15.0                                   | 1               | 2.96  |
| Mars    | 22.8                                   | 1.88            | 2.98  |
| Jupiter | 77.8                                   | 11.9            | 3.01  |
| Saturn  | 143                                    | 29.5            | 2.98  |
| Uranus  | 287                                    | 84              | 2.98  |
| Neptune | 450                                    | 165             | 2.99  |
| Pluto   | 590                                    | 248             | 2.99  |

On the 8th of March of this year 1618, if exact information about the time is desired, it appeared in my head. But I was unlucky when I inserted it into the calculation, and rejected it as false. Finally, on May 15, it came again and with a new onset conquered the darkness of my mind, whereat there followed such an excellent agreement between my seventeen years of work at the Tychonic observations and my present deliberation that I at first believed that I had dreamed and assume the sought for in the supporting proofs. But it is entirely certain and exact that the proportion between the periodic times of any two planets is precisely one and a half times the proportion of the mean distances.



A.Anjum, S. Mishra, 2020

Johannes Kepler





# **GENETIC ALGORITHMS**





## PYSR

### Computationally inexpensive and highly customizable



### [ARXIV:2305.01582V3]



### Input: Data (with uncertainties)

Software : Goodness of form (max complexity, possible operators, etc...) Goodness of fit (MSE+custom loss) Hyperparameters (number of iterations, measure for choosing best model, etc...)

### Output: Pareto front/Hall of fame with best model



# LATTICE GPDS AND PHENOMENOLOGICAL MODELS



Huey-Wen Lin, 2021

Training Testing

We encourage parametrizations:

1) 
$$H^{u-d}(x,t) = P(x)F(t)$$
  
2)  $H^{u-d}(x,t) = x^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta}P(x,t)$   
3)  $H^{u-d}(x,t) = x^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta}P(x)F(t)$ 

with custom loss:

- MSE + Non-Factorization Penalty
- MSE + Regge behavior,

complexity:

• Maximum complexity allowed for power operator,

and forcing the PDF form:

• replace eq. 2) with  $H^{u-d}(x, y, t) = x^{\alpha}y^{\beta}P(x, t)$ ,

where y = 1 - x, and do a 3D fit.





## PARETO FRONT

### Lattice unfactorized

|    | LOSS    | EQUATION   |
|----|---------|--|
| 1  | 43.2423 | 0.0616   |
| 3  | 15.5882 | 0.8262 - x   |
| 5  | 6.5251  | (0.35775 / x) - 0.4188   |
| 7  | 3.4833  | (0.8373 - x) / (ltl + x)   |
| 9  | 1.7014  | (2.1328 - Itl) * ((0.0027^ x) ^ x)   |
| 11 | 0.4980  | (((0.0006 ^ x) ^ x) / (0.4583+ Itl)  |
| 13 | 0.0627  | ((-0.0184 + ((0.0053 ^ x) ^ x)) / (2.7513 ^ Itl)) * 2.6767   |
| 15 | 0.0425  | ((((0.0054 ^ x) ^ x) -0.0185) / (Itl +1.1378)) * (3.1019 - Itl)  |
| 17 | 0.0232  | ((((0.0034 ^ x) ^ x) -0.0126) / (Itl + 1.0742)) * ((2.7933 + x) - Itl)   |
| 19 | 0.0185  | ((((0.0034^ x) ^ x) -0.0126) / ((Itl ^ 0.9381) + 1.0721)) * (x + (2.8084 - Itl))   |
| 21 | 0.0162  | ((((0.0034^ x) ^ x) -0.0126) / ((Itl ^ 0.9381) + 1.0742)) * ((2.7654 + (x / 0.9003)) - Itl)  |
| 23 | 0.0160  | (((2.7654 + (x / 0.9033)) - Itl) +0.0126) * ((((0.0034 ^ x) ^ x) -0.0126) / ((Itl ^ 0.9223) + 1.0742))   |
| 25 | 0.0159  | ((((0.0034 ^ x) ^ x) -0.0126) / ((ItI ^ 0.9223) + 1.0742)) * (((2.7654 + ((x / 1.0742) / 0.8533)) - ItI) +0.0126)                              |
| 27 | 0.0138  | ((x + ((2.8084 - Itl) + x)) * (((((0.0030 / 1.0486) ^ x) ^ x) -0.0110) / (((x * 1.6675) ^ 0.0770) + Itl))) * 0.8197                            |
| 29 | 0.0138  | ((x + (x + (2.8084 - Itl))) * (((((0.0030 / 1.0486) ^ x) ^ x) -0.0110) / (((x * (1.7154 - 0.0770)) ^ 0.0770) + Itl))) * 0.8197                 |
| 31 | 0.0130  | ((-0.0107+ ((0.0028 ^ x) ^ x)) * (((((1.5143 / 1.2897) + 2.5251) + x) - ltl) - (((ltl / 0.4269) + ltl) ^ 0.4417)) * ((1.0796^ x) + -0.         |
| 35 | 0.0106  | ((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((   |
| 37 | 0.0096  | (((((0.0004 ^ x) * ((((((14.4235 / x) / 1.4601) + ltl) + ((ltl / 0.5526) - 0.3370)) ^ x) - ((ltl * (ltl +0.1937)) * ltl))) ^ x) -0.0148) / (   |
| 39 | 0.0094  | (((((0.0004 ^ x) * ((((((14.4235 / x) / 1.4601) + (Itl + Itl)) + ((Itl * 0.7243) -0.2396)) ^ x) - ((Itl * (Itl +0.1937)) * Itl))) ^ x) -0.014  |
| 41 | 0.0091  | ((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((   |
| 43 | 0.0089  | ((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((   |
| 45 | 0.0087  | (((((((((14.4235 / x) / 1.4601) + 2ltl) + ((ltl ^ 1.4625) - 0.3370)) ^ x) - ((ltl * (ltl - ((-0.1937 * ltl) * (ltl +0.1937)))) * ltl)) * (0.00 |



### **LATTICE RESULTS**



Non-factorized:

$$H^{u_v - d_v}(x, 0, t) = \frac{1.69\left(\left(0.0004^x \left(-|t|^3 - 0.194|t|^2 + \left(2.81|t| - 0.337 + \frac{9.88}{x}\right)^x\right)\right)^x - 0.0148\right)}{|t| + 0.647}$$

wMSE = 0.0096



$$H^{u_v - d_v}(x, 0, t) = \frac{\left(0.0074^x - 0.0135\right)^x}{0.131^{|t|}} \frac{0.131^{|t|}}{1.58|t|^{|t|} + 1.11} + |t|$$

wMSE = 0.031

### Figure by A. Dotson

# X AND T FACTORIZATION ON LATTICE



Lattice does not fully factorize, mostly deviates at smaller and larger x, and larger t

### **COMPARISON TO NEURAL NETWORKS**



PySR extrapolation of lattice GPDs

Neural network extrapolation of lattice GPDs

### Neural networks known for poor extrapolation, SR seems to work better!

Figures by A. Dotson and Z. Panjsheeri



### PHENOMENOLOGICAL RESULTS



 $MSE = 2 \times 10^{-6}$  $MSE = 1 \times 10^{-5}$ 

Factorized MSE =  $5 \times 10^{-6}$ 

 $MSE = 2 \times 10^{-7}$ 

Factorized MSE =  $1 \times 10^{-3}$ 

Factorized MSE =  $1 \times 10^{-3}$ 

### **MORE ON VGG...**





- Source at  $|t_i| = 0.193626$ ٠
- wmse PySR Model
- – Forced-Factorized PySR Model
- Source at  $|t_i| = 0.387206$ ٠
- wmse PySR Model
- --- Forced-Factorized PySR Model
- Source at  $|t_i| = 0.774231$ • wmse PySR Model
- --- Forced-Factorized PySR Model
- Source at  $|t_i| = 0.967675$ ٠
- wmse PySR Model
- --- Forced-Factorized PySR Model

### Non-factorized:

$$H^{u-d}(x,0,t) = -\frac{0.00239x}{|t| + 0.335} + \frac{(0.00174^x)}{|t| + 2.52(|t| + 0.00174^x)}$$

### Factorized:

$$H^{u-v}(x,0,t) = \frac{0.582 \left( \left( 0.00269^x \right)^{1.11} \right)^x}{|t| + 0.836 + 1.35 \cdot 0.836^{-|t|} |t|}$$



### **REGGE ANSATZ**



$$H^{u_v - d_v}(x, 0, t) = x^{-0.09} (1 - x)^{1.57} e^{-0.68(\ln(1 - x))^2} e^{-t + 1.01} \qquad H^{u_v - d_v}(x, 0, t) = x^{t - 0.38} (1 - x)^{1.46} e^{(\ln(1 - x))^2} e^{0.32t + 0.38}$$
  
MSE = 0.001  
MSE = 7 × 10<sup>-7</sup>

 $H^{u_v - d_v}(x, 0, t) = x^{0.11}(1 - x)^{3.58}e^{1.39t + 0.41}$ 

MSE = 0.0003

Figures by A. Reddy Singireddy



# OUTLOOK

- Detailed study of uncertainties
- Study of convergence of the Pareto front
- Detailed study of lattice GPDs and GPD moments
- Building a brute-force custom symbolic regression/approximator