

TJNAF is managed by Jefferson Science Associates for the US Department of Energy



Emergent Phenomena in QCD



https://www.quantamagazine.org/inside-the-proton-themost-complicated-thing-imaginable-20221019/







CEBAF Today & Plans for the Future





Prepare for the Future...

 The community did a lot of work (science workshops, accelerator studies, cost estimating, profile development,...) to quickly prepare for the NSAC LRP

To investigate the other XYZP states, higher beam energy is required; the tetraquark candidate Zc states would be copiously produced at a high-<u>luminosity</u>, fixed-target electron machine operating above 20 GeV

"<u>The staged upgrade plan for CEBAF</u> foresees...[]...an energy upgrade of CEBAF to more than 20 GeV. Recently, the Cornell Brookhaven Electron Test Accelerator (CBETA) facility demonstrated eight-pass recirculation of an electron beam with energy recovery employing arcs of fixed-field alternating gradient magnets. This exciting new technology could enable a cost-effective method to double the energy of CEBAF, allowing wider kinematic reach for nucleon femtography studies in the existing tunnels and with no new cryomodules required."

CEBAF Phased Upgrade

Phase 1:

- New injector (123 MeV e⁺ & 650 MeV e⁻) in a former FEL ("LERF")
- Polarized positrons transported to CEBAF (proposed 12 GeV science program)



Phase 2:

- Recirculating injector energy upgrade to 650 MeV electrons
- Replace one set of arcs on each side with new FFA permanent magnet arcs to upgrade to 22 GeV – no new RF needed! No new cryomodules needed!



CEBAF FFA Upgrade – Baseline under Study

- Large momentum acceptance FFA (Fixed Field, Alternating Gradient) cell is configured with combined function permanent magnets capable of transporting multiple energy beams through the same string of magnets (six beams with energies spanning a factor of two)
- Arc composed of 75 cells, Lcell = 3.15 m .



Focusing Magnet BF L_{QF}= 1.67 m



Novel permanent magnets, CBET .- like used for power and cost savings



FFA@CEBAF Collaboration



- A prototype open midplane BF magnet was built and evaluated for mechanical integrity
- **Magnetic measurement** confirmed a robust design with >1.5 Tesla in good field region, 10⁻³ field accuracy
- **Testing magnetic materials** • for radiation resilience at **CEBAF - LDRD project** started Oct. 1, 2023



6

How can a 22 GeV upgrade help?



- A BRIDGE between JLab @ 12 GeV and EIC
- CRITICAL for the interpretation of some measurements @ EIC



A BETTER insight into our current program

• A NEW territory to explore

7

• $Zc(3900) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi$





The INITIAL Physics case with 22 GeV



Spatial Structure, Mechanical Properties, Emergent Hadron Mass

•

•

- Hadron-Quark Transition and Nuclear Dynamics at **Extreme Conditions**
- QCD Confinement and Fundamental Symmetries

- Build science case focusing on:
- Unique science (luminosity frontier, precision)
- Key science questions with 22 GeV
- Complementarity with EIC



The INITIAL Physics case with 22 GeV



 Spatial Structure, Mechanical Properties, Emergent Hadron Mass

•

•

- Hadron-Quark Transition and Nuclear Dynamics at Extreme Conditions
- QCD Confinement and Fundamental Symmetries

- Unique science (luminosity frontier, precision)
- Key science questions with 22 GeV
- Complementarity with EIC



Path Forward

- A small study group meets monthly to define the roadmap for development of the technology for the positrons and for the 22 GeV beams.
 - The ultimate outcome of this group would be the preCDR (~2 years)
- Bi-weekly meetings open to the whole community
 - The goal is to the refine the scientific case for the 22 GeV upgrade
- Next workshop https://www.jlab.org/conference/dec24luminosity22gev





Closing the loop on virtual Compton scattering

S. Stepanyan

JLAB Flagship program – accessing GPDs through measurements of beam/target asymmetries and the cross sections of hard exclusive reactions



- Jefferson Lab at the luminosity frontier is the <u>only place</u> in the world DDVCS can be measured!
- μCLAS12 in Hall B and SoLID in Hall A are the two proposed facilities capable of carrying out such measurements
 LOI12-16-004 (Hall B) LOI12-15-005 (Hall A)
 Jefferson Lab

High luminosity μCLAS12 and projections @ 11 GeV

12

Di-muon electroproduction, using upgraded CLAS12



Detector capable of measuring $ep \rightarrow e'p'\mu^+\mu^- @L > 10^{37}cm^{-2}sec^{-1}$

- Remove HTCC and block the CLAS12 forward with a W-shield and ${\rm PbWO_4}$ calorimeter to prevent flooding of DC by EM background
- Scattered electrons will be detected in the calorimeter, while the shield will work as a pion filter
- Remove CVT, instead use a high rate MPGDs for the central and forward (in front of the calorimeter) tracking.

- GRAPE event generator, BH only.
- The whole region is measured simultaneously.
- At 11 GeV, the interesting region is $Q'^2 > 2$ (GeV/c²)².



- ξ x bin fixes the ratio Q'^2/Q^2 while their values are unconstrained.
- For each ξ x bin asymmetry can be measured at different Q'^2 and Q^2 , can be a scaling test for GPDs.

DDVCS at 22 GeV



Projections: BSA 200 days @ 10³⁷cm⁻²sec⁻¹





Pion and Kaon Form Factors

G. Huber

- Pion's structure: determined by two valence quarks and the quark-gluon sea → attractive as QCD lab
- Asymptotic behavior of F_{π} rigorously calculable in perturbative QCD; at experimentally accessible Q² less certain. Around which value of Q² the hard scattering part of the pion form factor will dominate?
- Kaon's structure: how does meson structure change when s quark is substituted for d quark?

At larger Q^2 , F_{π} must be measured indirectly using the "pion cloud" of the proton via pion electroproduction $p(e,e'\pi^+)n$

At small –*t*, the pion pole process dominates the longitudinal cross section, σ_L In Born term model, F_{π}^2 appears as:

$$\frac{d\sigma_L}{dt} \propto \frac{-tQ^2}{(t-m_\pi^2)} g_{\pi NN}^2(t) F_\pi^2(Q^2,t)$$

Drawbacks of this technique

1. Isolating σ_l experimentally challenging

2. Theoretical uncertainty in form factor extraction





Measurement in Hall C – Phase 1

Phase 1: Maximum beam energy and higher Q^2 reach constrained by sum of HMS+SHMS maximum momenta (7.2 GeV/c HMS & 11.0 GeV/c SHMS) - **no major upgrades**

- Scattered electron and π^+/K^+ in coincidence with the two high performance spectrometers in Hall C
 - High momentum, forward angle (5.5°) meson detection is required, with **good Particle ID to separate** π^+ , K^+ , **p**
 - Good momentum resolution required to reconstruct crucial kinematics, such as M_{miss}, Q², W, t
 - Need to measure the **longitudinal cross section** $d\sigma_l/dt$ needed for form factor extraction

	10.6 GeV	18.0 GeV	Improvement in δ <i>F /F</i>
Q ² =8.5	Δε=0.22	Δε=0.40	16.8%→8.0%
Q ² =10.0	New high quality F data		
Q ² =11.5	Larger <i>F</i> extraction uncertainty due to higher $-t_{min}$		

Energy Upgrade

- Allows access to higher Q²
- Expanded range of γ^* polarization $\Delta \varepsilon = (\varepsilon_{HI} - \varepsilon_{LO})$, leading to reduced errors in the extraction of $d\sigma_L/dt$ (Uncertainty in $\sigma_L \sim 1/\Delta \varepsilon$,)



Measurement in Hall C – Phase 2

• Replace HMS with VHMS for π^+ , use SHMS for e'

- Dramatic increase in upper Q² $11.5 \rightarrow 15.0 \text{ GeV}^2$
- Error bars for Q²=8.5–11.5 GeV² substantially decrease due to smaller $-t_{min}$ (better $R=\sigma_T/\sigma_L$) and shorter running times
- Extends region of high quality F_{π} values to Q²=13 GeV²
- Highest Q² running time is "expensive" but would have very high scientific priority (even with larger errors)
- Provides MUCH improved overlap of F_{π} data set between JLab and EIC



Quality L/T-separations impossible at EIC (can't access ε<0.95)

JLab will remain ONLY source of quality L–T separated data!

Jefferson Lab

DVMP: L/T Separated Cross Sections



- Validate the understanding of the hard-exclusive reaction towards 3D imaging. The key to this validation is precision longitudinal-transverse (L/T) separated data.
- The handbag factorization, tells us that for asymptotically large Q² longitudinally polarized photons dominate
 - σ_L scales to leading order as Q⁻⁶
 - σ_T does not, expectation of Q⁻⁸
 - As Q² becomes large: σ_L >> σ_T



Q^{-*n*} scaling test range nearly doubles with 18 GeV beam and HMS+SHMS

Experimental validation of onset of hard scattering regime is essential for reliable interpretation of JLab GPD program results 18 Jefferson Lab

The proton's D(t) and pressure at 22 GeV

V. Burkert



Polarized beam, unpolarized targe

Unpol. DVCS x-section: Re $\mathcal{H}(\xi, t)$ $\Delta \sigma_{LU} \sim \sin \phi \text{ Im } \{\text{F1 } \mathcal{H}(\xi, t) + ...\}$



Jefferson Lab

Threshold Charmonium Photoproduction L. Pentchev

Model-dependent attempt to access the gluonic contribution to the mechanical properties of the proton (mass radius)



GPD

• Compton-like amplitudes $\mathscr{H}_{gC}(\xi,t)$,

 $\mathscr{E}_{gC}(\xi, t)$ and form-factors as in DVCS

 In contracts: threshold kinematics is very different: at high momentum transfer t and skewness ξ (hard process):

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt}\right)_{\gamma p \to J/\psi p} = F(E_{\gamma})\xi^{-4}[G_0(t) + \xi^2 G_2(t)] + \dots$$

- Leading terms in $G_0(t)$ and $G_2(t)$ contain gGFFs $A_g(t), B_g(t), C_g(t)$
- Absolute calculations, but require knowledge of gGFFs

GPD analysis by Guo, Ji, Yuan PRD 109 (2024)

Holographic Approach



- Using gauge/string correspondence
- In the double limit of large N_c and strong gauge coupling (soft process):

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt}\right)_{\gamma p \to J/\psi p} = H(E_{\gamma})[A_g^2(t) + \eta^2 8A_g(t)C_g(t)] + \dots$$

- Approximate theory, requires $1/N_{c}\ {\rm corrections}$
- Relative calculations ($H(E_{\gamma})$ normalized to
- GlueX total cross-sections), but predicts $A_g(t)$
- and $C_g(t)$ shapes from Regge trajectories

Holographic analysis by Mamo and Zahed PRD 106 (2022), PRD, PRD 101 (2020), Hatta and Yang PRD 98 (2018)



arXiv:2404.18776v1

Extraction of gluonic form factors from JLab J/Ψ data (GlueX + Hall C) cannot distinguish between two diametric theories, each with specific corrections (higher moments, 1/Nc)

Threshold Charmonium Fhotoproduction at 22 GeV era



Bound 3 Quark Structure of N*s and Emergence of Mass

22



- Q² evolution of the γ_vpN* electrocouplings could offer an insight into hadron mass generation and the emergence of the N* structure from QCD
- Simulations indicate JLab22 is the only foreseeable facility to extend these measurements up to 30 GeV2

Continuum Schwinger Method

• the solution of the QCD equations of motion for q/g fields reveals existence of dressed q/g with momentum-dependent masses.



• Q2 range(<35 GeV2) where the dominant portion of hadron mass is expected to be generated

Conclusions and Outlook

- The CEBAF uniqueness to run experiments at the luminosity frontier provides a powerful tool to understand the structure and dynamics of the strong interaction in the non-pQCD regime
- A CEBAF energy upgrade to 22 GeV is presently under technical development
- With CEBAF at higher energy some important thresholds would be crossed, a broader phase space will be available - important to understand better our current program, and an energy window which sits between JLab @ 12 GeV and EIC would be available.
- A strong science case for the upgrades is emerging come join the fun!

