$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ multipole decomposition of QCD matrix elements for any spin

Wim Cosyn

Towards improved hadron tomography with hard exclusive reactions

August 5-9, 2024



In collaboration with Frank Vera (JLab)



Matrix elements for composite particles with arbitrary spin

 Decompose matrix element in independent non-perturbative objects while maintaining manifest Lorentz invariance

$$\left\langle d' \left| J^{\mu} \right| d \right\rangle = -\left(\left\{ \left. G_{1} \left[\epsilon^{'*} \cdot \epsilon \right] - G_{3} \frac{\left(\epsilon^{\prime *} \cdot q \right) \left(\epsilon \cdot q \right)}{2m_{d}^{2}} \right\} 2P^{\mu} + G_{M} \left[\epsilon^{\mu} \left(\epsilon^{\prime *} \cdot q \right) - \epsilon^{\prime * \mu} (\epsilon \cdot q) \right] \right) \right\}$$

- Spin-j fields embedded in objects with > 2j + 1 components → 4-vector, Rarita-Schwinger, Fierz-Pauli, ...
 - Need for constraints
 - Kinematical singularities
- ► Use (2j + 1)-component (chiral) spinors [(j, 0) & (0, j) irreps.] [Joos; Barut-Muzinich-Williams; Weinberg 63+]

Advantages of chiral spinor construction

- ► Leads to **systematic approach** for any spin *j*
- "Basic" algebraic construction $su(2) \rightarrow su(N) \rightarrow sl(2,\mathbb{C})$
- ► Covariant "multipole" basis emerges → physical interpretation
- ► Parity conserving interactions → generalized Dirac algebra
- ► Easy to implement different types of spin → canonical, helicity, light-front
- Exact degrees of freedom, no need for constraints

► Algebra for Generators of the Lorentz group

$$[\mathbb{J}_{I},\mathbb{J}_{m}]=i\epsilon_{lmn}\mathbb{J}_{n},\quad [\mathbb{J}_{I},\mathbb{K}_{m}]=i\epsilon_{lmn}\mathbb{K}_{n},\quad [\mathbb{K}_{I},\mathbb{K}_{m}]=-i\epsilon_{lmn}\mathbb{J}_{n}$$

• Two independent su(2) subalgebras \rightarrow irreps (j_A, j_B)

$$\mathbb{A}_{m} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{J}_{m} + i\mathbb{K}_{m}) \quad , \quad \mathbb{B}_{m} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{J}_{m} - i\mathbb{K}_{m})$$
$$[\mathbb{A}_{I}, \mathbb{A}_{m}] = i\epsilon_{Imn}\mathbb{A}_{n} \quad , \quad [\mathbb{B}_{I}, \mathbb{B}_{m}] = i\epsilon_{Imn}\mathbb{B}_{n} \quad , \quad [\mathbb{A}_{I}, \mathbb{B}_{m}] = 0$$

Simplest irreps that contain spin- $j \rightarrow (2j + 1 \text{ components})$

Right-handed (j, 0): $\mathbb{K}_m \to -i\mathbb{J}_m$

• Left-handed (0, j):
$$\mathbb{K}_m \to +i\mathbb{J}_m$$

4/17

Weinberg's causal chiral fields (massive)

 Lorentz invariant S-matrix using a Hamiltonian density built up from causal fields

$$U_{[\wedge,a]}\psi_{\sigma}(x)U_{[\wedge,a]}^{-1} = \sum_{\sigma'} \left(D_{[\wedge^{-1}]}^{(j)} \right)_{\sigma\sigma'} \psi_{\sigma'}(\wedge x + a)$$

- ► No EoM for chiral fields (only obey KG eq.)
- Spinors appearing in the fields (not invariants, depend on choice boost)

Canonical
$$\rightarrow$$
 $D^{(j)}_{[L(p)]} = e^{-\eta \hat{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}^{(j)}}$
 $\overline{D}^{(j)}_{[L(p)]} = e^{+\eta \hat{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}^{(j)}}$

Propagator and spinors: *t*-tensors

- $\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Propagator numerator} \\ & \Pi_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(j)}(p) = m^{2j} D_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(j)}[L_p] \left(D_{\sigma'\sigma''}^{(j)}[L_p] \right)^{\dagger} = m^{2j} \left(e^{-2\eta \hat{\rho} J^{(j)}} \right)_{\sigma\sigma'} \\ & \overline{\Pi}_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(j)}(p) = m^{2j} \overline{D}_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(j)}[L_p] \left(\overline{D}_{\sigma'\sigma''}^{(j)}[L_p] \right)^{\dagger} = m^{2j} \left(e^{2\eta \hat{\rho} J^{(j)}} \right)_{\sigma\sigma'} \end{aligned}$
- ► Introduction of 2j-rank *t*-tensors (symmetric/traceless) $\Pi_{\sigma\sigma'}^{(j)}(p) = t_{\sigma\sigma'}^{\mu_1\mu_2...\mu_{2j}} p_{\mu_1}p_{\mu_2}...p_{\mu_{2j}}$
- ► Central role of *t*-tensors $D_{[L(p)]}^{(j)} = t^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2j}} \tilde{p}_{\mu_1} \tilde{p}_{\mu_2} \dots \tilde{p}_{\mu_{2j}}$ used to construct boosts/spinors $\overline{D}_{[L(p)]}^{(j)} = \bar{t}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2j}} \tilde{p}_{\mu_1} \tilde{p}_{\mu_2} \dots \tilde{p}_{\mu_{2j}}$

 $(\tilde{p}^{\mu} \text{ not } 4\text{-vectors})$ Canonical: $\tilde{p}_{C}^{\mu} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2m(m+p^{0})}}(p^{0}+m, p)$ Same for any spin! For helicity and LF spinors similar expression (but \mathbb{C} -numbers)

t-tensors

- Generalization of $\sigma^{\mu}=(1, \sigma)$ $\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}=(1, -\sigma^{\mu})$ to arbitrary spin
- Intertwining map:

Recursion relation between different spins (CG)

$$t_{\sigma \dagger}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2j}} = \langle j\sigma | j - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1 \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2 \rangle \; \langle j \dot{\tau} | j - \frac{1}{2} \dot{\tau}_1 \frac{1}{2} \dot{\tau}_2 \rangle \; t_{\sigma_1 \dot{\tau}_1}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2j-1}} \; t_{\sigma_2 \dot{\tau}_2}^{\mu_{2j}}$$

• Contain a basis of su(N=2j + 1): use to expand $\langle \lambda' | \hat{O} | \lambda \rangle$.

Bi-spinors $(j, 0) \oplus (0, j)$

- ► For Parity conserving interactions the direct sum of both chiral representations is used, **like the spin 1/2 case**
- ▶ The bispinor satisfy the Dirac eq.

$$\left(\gamma^{\mu_{f 1}\cdots\mu_{f 2 j}}p_{\mu_{f 1}}\cdots p_{\mu_{f 2 j}}-m^{2j}
ight)u^{(j)}(p,s)=0$$

$$ar{u}^{(j)}(p,s)\left(\gamma^{\mu_{\mathbf{1}}\cdots\mu_{\mathbf{2}j}}p_{\mu_{\mathbf{1}}}\cdots p_{\mu_{\mathbf{2}j}}-m^{2j}
ight)=0$$

Gamma matrices (chiral rep.)

$$\gamma^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{2j}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & t^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{2j}} \\ \bar{t}^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{2j}} & 0 \end{array}\right) \quad ; \quad \beta = \gamma^{0\cdots0} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1^{(j)} \\ 1^{(j)} & 0 \end{array}\right) \quad ; \quad \gamma_{5} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} -1^{(j)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1^{(j)} \end{array}\right)$$

Use recursion relation

$$t_{\sigma \dot{\tau}}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2j}} = \langle j\sigma | j - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1 \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2 \rangle \; \langle j\dot{\tau} | j - \frac{1}{2} \dot{\tau}_1 \frac{1}{2} \dot{\tau}_2 \rangle \; t_{\sigma_1 \dot{\tau}_1}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2j-1}} \; t_{\sigma_2 \dot{\tau}_2}^{\mu_{2j}}$$

Efficient in +-RL Lorentz coordinates

Pauli matrices have only 1 non-zero element (=2)

• $(t^{\mu_1\mu_2...\mu_{2j}})_{\lambda'\lambda}$ elements in that basis have only 1 non-zero matrix element \rightarrow position follows from +-RL counting \rightarrow value from CG recursion \rightarrow value depends only on j, λ', λ

Appropriate for efficient numerical implementation

Algebra of *t*-tensors: Cubic reduction

Reduction for Cubic Monomials

- Central role of the covariant *t*-tensors
 - \rightarrow spinors, boosts, propagators, gamma matrices
- Bilinear calculus involve products with alternating "barring" pattern: tīt...
- Matrices in *t*-tensors: su(2j + 1) basis \rightarrow Products can be **linearized**
- Cubic products (tt̄t)_{σσ} are reduced with an Invariant Tensor

$$t^{\mu_{\mathbf{1}}\cdots\mu_{2j}}\bar{t}^{\rho_{\mathbf{1}}\cdots\rho_{2j}}t^{\sigma_{\mathbf{1}}\cdots\sigma_{2j}} = \frac{1}{[(2j)!]^2} \mathop{\mathcal{S}}_{\left\{\rho_{\mathbf{1}}\dots\rho_{2j}\right\}\left\{\sigma_{\mathbf{1}}\dots\sigma_{2j}\right\}} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{2j} \mathcal{C}^{\mu_l\rho_l\sigma_l\sigma_l} \right) t_{\alpha_{\mathbf{1}}\cdots\alpha_{2j}}$$

$$\bar{t}^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{2j}}t^{\rho_{1}\cdots\rho_{2j}}\bar{t}^{\sigma_{1}\cdots\sigma_{2j}} = \frac{1}{[(2j)!]^{2}} \mathop{\mathcal{S}}_{\left\{\rho_{1}\dots\rho_{2j}\right\}\left\{\sigma_{1}\dots\sigma_{2j}\right\}} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{2j} \bar{\mathcal{C}}^{\mu_{l}\rho_{l}\sigma_{l}\sigma_{l}} \right) \, \bar{t}_{\sigma_{1}\cdots\sigma_{2j}}$$

 $C^{\mu\rho\alpha\beta} = g^{\mu\rho}g^{\alpha\beta} - g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\rho\beta} + g^{\mu\beta}g^{\rho\alpha} + i\epsilon^{\mu\rho\alpha\beta} = (\bar{C}^{\mu\rho\alpha\beta})^* \text{ (Lorentz Invariants)}$ Trade matrix multiplication by number multiplication

Algebra of *t*-tensors: Quadratic reduction

Reduction for **Quadratic** Monomials

► Central role of the covariant *t*-tensors → spinors, boosts, propagators, gamma matrices

Since,
$$t^{0\cdots0} = \bar{t}^{0\cdots0} = 1 \longrightarrow t^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_2j}\bar{t}^{\nu_1\cdots\nu_2j} = t^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_2j}\bar{t}^{\nu_1\cdots\nu_2j} \left(t^{\rho_1\cdots\rho_2j}\eta_{\rho_1}\cdots\eta_{\rho_{2j}}\right)$$

 $t^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{2j}}\bar{t}^{\rho_1\cdots\rho_{2j}} = \frac{1}{(2j)!} \mathop{\mathcal{S}}_{\{\rho_1\dots\rho_{2j}\}} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{2j} \mathcal{C}^{\mu_l\rho_l\sigma_l\alpha_l}\eta_{\sigma_l}\right) t_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{2j}}$
 $\eta^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$
 $\mathcal{C}^{\mu\rho\sigma\alpha}\eta_{\sigma} = g^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\alpha} - g^{\rho\alpha}\eta^{\mu} + g^{\mu\alpha}\eta^{\rho} + i\epsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma\alpha}\eta_{\sigma}$ (Rotational Invariant)

• General result $(Q_{red}^{\mu\rho\alpha} = -Q_{red}^{\rho\mu\alpha} \equiv C^{\mu\rho\sigma\alpha}\eta_{\sigma} - g^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\alpha})$

$$t^{\mu_{\mathbf{1}}\dots\mu_{\mathbf{2}j}} \overline{t}^{\rho_{\mathbf{1}}\dots\rho_{\mathbf{2}j}} = \sum_{m=0}^{2j} \frac{1}{(2j)!} \frac{\mathcal{S}}{\{\rho_{\mathbf{1}}\dots\rho_{\mathbf{2}j}\}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{B_m^{\mathbf{2}j}} \left(\prod_{l \in \pi_{m,n}} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{red}}^{\mu_l \rho_l \alpha_l} \prod_{k \in \pi_{m,n}^{\mathbf{C}}} g^{\mu_k \rho_k} \eta^{\alpha_k} \right) \right] t_{\alpha_{\mathbf{1}}\dots\alpha_{\mathbf{2}j}}$$

Terms can be linked to decomposition $(t\bar{t})_{\sigma}^{\tau} \sim (j, 0) \otimes (j, 0) \sim \bigoplus_{k=0}^{2j} (k, 0).$

Algebra of *t*-tensors: $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ multipoles

• The $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ multipole of order m is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_{m}^{\mu_{1}\rho_{1},\cdots,\mu_{m}\rho_{m}} = \frac{1}{m!} \underset{\{(\mu\rho)\}}{\mathcal{S}} \prod_{r=1}^{m} \mathbb{M}^{\mu_{r}\rho_{r}} - (\mathsf{Traces})$$

Relate terms of quadratic reduction to these multipoles:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{0}} = \mathbf{1}^{(j)} = t_{\alpha_{\mathbf{1}}\cdots\alpha_{\mathbf{2}j}} \prod_{r=\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{0}} \prod_{s=\mathbf{1}}^{2j} \eta^{\alpha_{s}} = t_{\mathbf{0}\cdots\mathbf{0}},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mu\rho} = \mathbb{M}^{\mu\rho} = i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{red}}^{\mu\rho\alpha\mathbf{1}} \begin{pmatrix} 2j \\ \prod_{s=2}^{j} \eta^{\alpha_s} \end{pmatrix} (j) t_{\sigma_{\mathbf{1}}\cdots\sigma_{\mathbf{2}j}} = i \left(g^{\mu\alpha}\eta^{\rho} - g^{\rho\alpha}\eta^{\mu} + i\epsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma\alpha}\eta_{\sigma}\right) J_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -iJ_{\mathbf{1}} & -iJ_{\mathbf{2}} & -iJ_{\mathbf{3}} \\ iJ_{\mathbf{1}} & 0 & J_{\mathbf{3}} & -J_{\mathbf{2}} \\ iJ_{\mathbf{2}} & -J_{\mathbf{3}} & 0 & J_{\mathbf{1}} \\ iJ_{\mathbf{3}} & J_{\mathbf{2}} & -J_{\mathbf{1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\mu_{\mathbf{1}},\mu_{\mathbf{2}},\rho_{\mathbf{2}}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \mathcal{M}^{\mu_{\mathbf{1}}\rho_{\mathbf{1}}}, \mathcal{M}^{\mu_{\mathbf{2}}\rho_{\mathbf{2}}} \right\} + \frac{1}{3} j(j+1) \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{red}}^{\mu_{\mathbf{1}}\rho_{\mathbf{1}}\mu_{\mathbf{2}}\rho_{\mathbf{2}}} \mathbf{1}^{(j)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} j(2j-1) \left(-\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{red}}^{\mu_{\mathbf{1}}\rho_{\mathbf{1}}} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{red}}^{\mu_{\mathbf{2}}\rho_{\mathbf{2}}\rho_{\mathbf{2}}} t_{\beta_{\mathbf{1}}\beta_{\mathbf{2}}\mathbf{0}\cdots\mathbf{0}} + \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{red}}^{\mu_{\mathbf{1}}\rho_{\mathbf{1}}\mu_{\mathbf{2}}\rho_{\mathbf{2}}} \mathbf{1}^{(j)} \right) \end{split}$$

► Decompose operators with physical interpretation for each term → mono-, di-, quadrupole, ...

See also [Cotogno, Lorcé, Lowdon, Morales PRD 2020)]

Wim Cosyn (FIU)

 $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ multipoles

Dirac Bilinear Calculus Generalization

Generalized Dirac basis (Weyl rep)

$$\gamma^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{2j}}$$
, $\gamma^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{2j}}\gamma_5$, $\gamma^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{2j}}\gamma^{\nu_1\cdots\nu_{2j}}$

Generalized Bilinears

• Chains of $t\bar{t}t$... contracted with \tilde{p}^{μ} and external 4-vectors (P, Δ , n)

$$\bar{u}^{(j)}_{(p_f,s_f)} \Gamma u^{(j)}_{(p_i,s_i)} = \overset{\circ}{u}^{(j)\,\dagger}_{s_f} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & t^{\beta_1 \cdots} \tilde{p}^f_{\beta_1 \cdots} \\ \bar{t}^{\beta_1 \cdots} (\tilde{p}^f_{\beta_1 \cdots})^* & 0 \end{array} \right) \Gamma \left(\begin{array}{cc} t^{\alpha_1 \cdots} \tilde{p}^i_{\beta_1 \cdots} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{t}^{\partial_1 \cdots} (\tilde{p}^i_{\alpha_1 \cdots})^* \end{array} \right) \overset{\circ}{u}^{(j)}_{s_i}$$

Canonical: $\tilde{p}_{C}^{\mu} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2m(m+p^{0})}}(p^{0} + m, p)$ > 2j-rank Tensor bilinear $\tilde{P} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{p}_{f} + \tilde{p}_{i}), \quad \tilde{\Delta} = \tilde{p}_{f} - \tilde{p}_{i}$

$$\bar{u}_{f}\gamma^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{2}j}u_{f} = m^{2j}\prod_{l=1}^{2j} \left[2\left(\tilde{P}^{\mu_{l}}\tilde{P}^{\tau_{l}} - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\Delta}^{\mu_{l}}\tilde{\Delta}^{\tau_{l}}\right) - \left(\tilde{P}^{2} - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\Delta}^{2}\right)g^{\mu_{l}\tau_{l}} + i\varepsilon^{\mu_{l}\tau_{l}}\tilde{P}\tilde{\Delta}\right] \langle\lambda_{f}|t_{\tau_{1}\cdots\tau_{2}j}|\lambda_{i}\rangle$$

 $+m^{2j}\prod_{l=1}^{2j}\left[2\left(\widetilde{P}^{\mu_l}\widetilde{P}^{\tau_l}-\frac{1}{4}\widetilde{\Delta}^{\mu_l}\widetilde{\Delta}^{\tau_l}\right)-\left(\widetilde{P}^2-\frac{1}{4}\widetilde{\Delta}^2\right)g^{\mu_l\tau_l}+i\varepsilon^{\mu_l\tau_l}\widetilde{P}\widetilde{\Delta}\right]^*\langle\lambda_f|\tilde{t}_{\tau_1\cdots\tau_{2j}}|\lambda_i\rangle$

► Generalized Gordon identities reduces number of independent bilinears

Wim Cosyn (FIU)

Generalized Gordon Identities

$$\Gamma=1$$
 , γ_5 , $\gamma^{\mu_1\dots\mu_{2j}}$, $\gamma^{\mu_1\dots\mu_{2j}}\gamma_5$, $\gamma^{\mu_1\dots\mu_{2j}}\gamma^{\nu_1\dots\nu_{2j}}$ [A,S]

$$\begin{split} P_{\mu_{1}...\mu_{2j}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(p'_{\mu_{1}} \dots p'_{\mu_{2j}} + p_{\mu_{1}} \dots p_{\mu_{2j}} \right) \\ \Delta_{\mu_{1}...\mu_{2j}} &= p'_{\mu_{1}} \dots p'_{\mu_{2j}} - p_{\mu_{1}} \dots p_{\mu_{2j}} \\ P^{\mu_{1}...\mu_{2j}} & \Delta^{\mu_{1}...\mu_{2j}} \left(p', p \right) = -\Delta^{\mu_{1}...\mu_{2j}} \left(p, p' \right) \\ P^{\mu_{1}...\mu_{2j}} &= 0 \end{split}$$

Useful to reduce independent Dirac structures

▶ Rewrite independent terms to those with sl(2,C) multipoles appearing

Wim Cosyn (FIU)

Local EM current: spin-1 example

Local current has the form

$$\langle p_f, \lambda_f | j^{\mu}(0) | p_i, \lambda_i \rangle = \bar{u}(p_f, \lambda_f) \Gamma^{\mu}(P, \Delta) u(p_i, \lambda_i).$$

Using all constraints

$$\Gamma^{\mu} = P^{\mu} \left(F_{C}(\Delta^{2})\mathcal{M}_{0} + F_{Q}(\Delta^{2})\mathcal{M}_{2}^{\nu\rho,\xi\sigma}g_{\rho\sigma}\frac{\Delta_{\nu}\Delta_{\xi}}{M^{2}} \right) + \frac{i}{2M}F_{D}(\Delta^{2})\mathcal{M}_{1}^{\mu\rho}\Delta_{\rho}$$

$$\label{eq:Monopole} \qquad \mathcal{M}_{0} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{1}^{(j)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}^{(j)} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{1}_{00} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{\tilde{t}}_{00} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \blacksquare \quad \mbox{Quadrupole} \\ \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\mu_{1}\rho_{1},\mu_{2}\rho_{2}} = -\frac{j(2j-1)}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{Q}_{\rm red}^{\mu_{1}\rho_{1}\beta_{1}} \mathcal{Q}_{\rm red}^{\mu_{2}\rho_{2}\beta_{2}} & t_{\beta_{1}\beta_{2}} + \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{C}_{\rm red}^{\mu_{1}\rho_{1}\mu_{2}\rho_{2}} \mathbf{1}^{(j)} \\ 0 & \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\rm red}^{\mu_{1}\rho_{1}\beta_{1}} \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\rm red}^{\mu_{2}\rho_{2}\beta_{2}} & t_{\beta_{1}\beta_{2}} + \frac{1}{3} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\rm red}^{\mu_{1}\rho_{1}\mu_{2}\rho_{2}} \mathbf{1}^{(j)} \\ \end{array} \right)$$

▶ Bilinear expressions can be evaluated using *t*-algebra relations.

Wim Cosyn (FIU)

- Using basis of bilinears and Gordon identities we can identify minimal set of independent bilinears
- These will form the basis in decompositions of matrix elements of QCD operators (currents/correlators)
- ► Has multipole interpretation, construction is **identical** for all spin cases
- Unified framework to discuss spin in hadronic physics
- ▶ Intuition from spin-1/2 carries over
- Extensions possible to transition matrix elements

Summary

- Construction allows for efficient and manifestly covariant calculations
- ► Central role of the covariant *t*-tensors → spinors, boosts, propagators, gamma matrices
- ► Very simple "basis ingredient" → reps of generators of rotations
- ► Covariant *sl*(2,C)-multipole basis for operators
 - \rightarrow transparent interpretation
- ► Unique framework for any spin → intuition from spin 1/2
- Avoids calculations with (Dirac) matrices
 - \rightarrow everything reduces to number multiplication ($C^{\mu\rho\sigma\alpha}$, $Q^{\mu\rho\alpha}$)