

Office of Science









# EW precision studies in HI and UPC at LHC

THE UNIVERSITY OF

<u>Georgios K Krintiras</u>

The University of Kansas

Diffraction and gluon saturation at the LHC and the EIC

## Probing initial state with EW production

JHEP 05 (2021) 182 PRL 128 (2022) 122301



• Left: Forward-backward  $\sigma$  ratio  $R_{FB} \equiv 1$  in the absence of nuclear effects

### **Probing initial state with EW production**

<u>JHEP 05 (2021) 182</u> PRL 128 (2022) 122301



• Left: Forward-backward  $\sigma$  ratio  $R_{FB} \equiv 1$  in the absence of nuclear effects

• Right: EW bosons in central PbPb unmodified contrary to hadrons (R<sub>AA</sub>!=1)

### Probing initial state with EW production

<u>JHEP 05 (2021) 182</u> PRL 128 (2022) 122301



• Left: Forward-backward  $\sigma$  ratio  $R_{FB} \equiv 1$  in the absence of nuclear effects

- Right: EW bosons in central PbPb unmodified contrary to hadrons (R<sub>AA</sub>!=1)
- <u>W bosons</u>, <u>dijets</u>, <u>top quarks</u> sensitive to gluon nPDF at different Bjorken-x<sup>4</sup>

## $Z/\gamma^* \& W$ production in pPb

JHEP 05 (2021) 182 PLB 800 (2020) 135048



- First Z/ $\gamma^*$  study in an extended m<sub>µµ</sub> range
  - low m<sub>uu</sub> sensitive to NNLO corrections

## $Z/\gamma^* \& W$ production in pPb

<u>JHEP 05 (2021) 182</u> PLB 800 (2020) 135048



- First  $Z/\gamma^*$  study in an extended  $m_{uu}$  range
  - $\circ$  low m<sub>uu</sub> sensitive to NNLO corrections

### • Observation of nuclear effects in W boson production

• included in all recent nPDF fits



### RELATIVISTIC HEAVY-ION PHYSICS WITHOUT NUCLEAR CONTACT

The large electromagnetic field generated by a fast heavy nucleus allows investigation of new electromagnetic processes not accessible with real photons.

#### Carlos Bertulani and Gerhard Baur

An increasing number of physicists are investigating nuclear collisions at relativistic energies. (See figure 1.) Accelerators completely devoted to the study of these collisions (such as the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider at Brookhaven National Laboratory) are under construction. So are hadron colliders (such as the Large Hadron Colmately by  $b/\gamma v$  and that the electric (or magnetic) field during this time interval is very intense:  $E = \gamma Ze/b^2$ . The factor  $\gamma$ , which is  $(1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$ , is very large (on the order of  $10^4 - 10^2$ ) in relativistic heavy-ion colliders.

Theory

**COVER:** Inside of a compact high-frequency linear accelerator for heavy ions developed at the **Technical University of Munich** and at GSI in Darmstadt. Germany. The polished copper structure uses a quadrupole field to focus highly charged ions. Accelerators of this design at GSI and CERN bring ions up to high enough energies that the main accelerators can take them to relativistic energies. In their article on page 22, Carlos Bertulani and Gerhard Baur discuss the physics one can probe by colliding relativistic heavy ions without nuclear contact.



- A rich physics program, unique on its own
  - Seminal results by all 4 major LHC experiments



### 237 pages



- A rich physics program, unique on its own
  - Seminal results by all 4 major LHC experiments
- Featured in the high-density QCD reviews by <u>ALICE</u> and <u>CMS</u>

**Focus of this talk** 



CERN-EP-2024-057 2024/05/20

CMS-HIN-23-011

Overview of high-density QCD studies with the CMS experiment at the LHC

The CMS Collaboration\*

#### Abstract

The heavy ion (HI) physics program has proven to be an essential part of the overall physics program at the Large Hadron Collider at CERN. Its main purpose has been to provide a detailed characterization of the quark-gluon plasma (QGP), a deconfined state of quarks and gluons created in high-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions. From the start of the LHC HI program with lead-lead collisions, the CMS Collaboration has performed measurements using additional data sets in different center-of-mass energies with xenon-xenon, proton-lead, and proton-proton collisions. A broad collection of observables related to high-density quantum chromodynamics (QCD), precision quantum electrodynamics (QED), and even novel searches of phenomena beyond the standard model (BSM) have been studied. Major advances toward understanding the macroscopic and microscopic QGP properties were achieved at the highest temperature reached in the laboratory and for vanishingly small values of the baryon chemical potential. This article summarizes key QCD, QED, as well as BSM physics, results of the CMS HI program for the LHC Runs 1 (2010-2013) and 2 (2015-2018). It reviews findings on the partonic content of nuclei and properties of the QGP and describes the surprising QGP-like effects in collision systems smaller than lead-lead or xenon-xenon. In addition, it outlines the scientific case of using ultrarelativistic HI collisions in the coming decades to characterize the QGP with unparalleled precision and to probe novel fundamental physics phenomena.

Submitted to Physics Reports



• "Standard candle" to unveil NLO QED emissions and calibrate γ fluxes

- precision goal: to model these effects at **1% level** (stat unc is negligible)
  - another method to calibrate luminosity (considered as <u>"golden channel"</u>)





VV-

• "Standard candle" to unveil NLO QED emissions and calibrate γ fluxes

- precision goal: to model these effects at **1% level** (stat unc is negligible)
  - another method to calibrate luminosity (considered as <u>"golden channel"</u>)

#### • Studies of correlation with forward neutron emissions

- γγ interactions occur in conjunction with ion excitation denoted as AnAn
  - AnAn-dependent production  $\rightarrow$  reflects the **initial \gamma energy distribution** 10



• ATLAS/CMS optimize their low-E<sub>T</sub> reconstruction to maximize statistics

◦ signal dominantly in the  $E_{\tau}$ <10 GeV region → default reco has to be <u>tuned</u>



- ATLAS/CMS optimize their low-E<sub>T</sub> reconstruction to maximize statistics
  - signal dominantly in the  $E_{\tau}$ <10 GeV region → default reco has to be <u>tuned</u>
- Combined with increased luminosity  $\rightarrow$  detailed differential studies
  - probing two orders of magnitude in inv mass (5–100 GeV)
    - NLO QED predictions in better agreement with data

#### PRD 108 (2023) 112004

### $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow //$ differential production in pPb



#### even lower inv mass

#### ALICE extends the inv mass reach

- consistent picture with UPC pPb: LO QED predictions up to  $3\sigma$  away from data Ο
  - the measurement will profit from more pPb data (foreseen for Run 4..)
  - dedicated workshop at <u>CERN in July</u>; everyone is kindly invited : )

## **T lepton** pair production in UPC PbPb

#### PRL **131** (2023) 151802 PRL **131** (2023) 151803





- Observation of  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$  at LHC
  - ATLAS: full Run 2, multiple final states
  - CMS: part of Run 2, with a single but clean state
  - Pheno projections for ALICE/LHCb here



## **T** lepton pair production in UPC PbPb

PRL 131 (2023) 151802 PRL 131 (2023) 151803



- **Observation of \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- at LHC** 
  - ATLAS: full Run 2, multiple final states Ο
  - CMS: part of Run 2, with a single but clean state Ο
  - Pheno projections for ALICE/LHCb here Ο
- **Model-dependent constraints on a\_ obtained** 
  - competing with LEP II limits; complementary to the pp search by CMS Ο



g\_-Z

Best-fit value

0.1

 $a_{\tau}$ 

15

68% CL

95% CL

### **Counting tracks**

- Photon-induced processes are exceptionally clean...
- ... but proton-proton collisions are incredibly busy
  - Average of > 30 pileup interactions in 2018



## **Counting tracks**

Define z position of di-tau vertex as average z position of selected tau leptons

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

Method also described in 2403.06336 (L. Beresford et al)

## **Counting tracks**

- Define z position of di-tau vertex as average z position of selected tau leptons
- Define N<sub>tracks</sub> as the number of tracks
  - with  $p_T > 0.5$  GeV and  $|\eta| < 2.5$
  - within a window of **0.1 cm** around the di-tau vertex
  - Excluding tracks from tau leptons

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

 About 30% of the windows at the center of the beamspot do not contain any pileup track

#### Cécile Caillol, LPCC seminar, March 12th

Method also described in 2403.06336 (L. Beresford et al)

Extraordinary tracking capabilities of the CMS detector!

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Postfit N<sub>tracks</sub> distribution for m<sub>vis</sub> > 100 GeV
  - We can model well the N<sub>tracks</sub>
    distribution for backgrounds
  - The signal is seen as an excess of events at very low N<sub>tracks</sub>

Cécile Caillol, LPCC seminar, March 12th

## How it translates in this analysis

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Changing  $a_{\tau}$  from its SM value modifies the  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\tau$  prediction
- Differences between SM and BSM a<sub>τ</sub> scenarios increase with m<sub>vis</sub>
- $a_{\tau}$  can be constrained from the same  $m_{vis}$ distributions used to observe  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\tau$
- m<sub>vis</sub> < 500 GeV to remain far from new physics scale and preserve validity of EFT interpretation

45

### **Comparing to previous results**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

## The precision journey has just started...

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

The majority of CMS data has not been collected yet. Exciting complementary approaches for upcoming Runs!

Coherent  $J/\psi$  production in UPC PbPb

<u>PRL **131** (2023) 262301</u> JHEP **10** (2023) 119

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Cross section** 

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

### • Using ZDCs, higher energy photons are extracted w/o increasing $\sqrt{s}$

- experimental uncertainty correlated across or W<sup>Pb</sup><sub>VN</sub>
  - **models cannot predict**  $\sigma(J/\psi)$  vs.  $W_{vN}^{Pb}$  evolution

## **Coherent J/w production in UPC PbPb**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

### • Using ZDCs, higher energy photons are extracted w/o increasing $\sqrt{s}$

- experimental uncertainty correlated across or W<sub>VN</sub>
  - **models cannot predict**  $\sigma(J/\psi)$  vs.  $W_{VN}^{Pb}$  evolution
- An unprecedentedly low-x gluon regime is probed (10<sup>-4</sup>-10<sup>-5</sup>)
  - LHC data seem to **consistently point** to a common *x* evolution

Coherent ψ(2S) production in UPC PbPb

### $\psi$ (2S) cross section

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

• The first ψ(2S) photo-induced measurement in the forward region

- data unc << than QCD scale and nPDF unc</li>
  - can constrain higher order QCD effects and less precise nPDFs at high y
  - bump in 3<y<4 reproduced by QCD calculations</p>

ρ<sup>0</sup>, J/ψ, ψ(2s)

Pb

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

- The first ψ(2S) photo-induced measurement in the forward region
  - data unc << than QCD scale and nPDF unc</li>
    - can **constrain** higher order QCD effects and less precise nPDFs at high y
    - bump in 3<y<4 reproduced by QCD calculations</p>

### • Systematic uncertainties largely cancel in the ratio with $J/\psi$

• beneficial for dipole scattering models (relying on meson wave function)

### Spin density elements

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

- J/ $\psi$ 's are indeed transversely polarized  $\rightarrow$  carrying photons polarization
  - helped clarifying a standing discrepancy between H1 and ZEUS at HERA
    - harder selection in ZEUS → photons more virtual so partially longitudinally polarized

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

- J/ $\psi$ 's are indeed transversely polarized  $\rightarrow$  carrying photons polarization
  - helped clarifying a standing discrepancy between H1 and ZEUS at HERA
    - harder selection in ZEUS → photons more virtual so partially longitudinally polarized

#### • Three orders of magnitude in |t|with a HERA-like accuracy

• Large-|t| (i.e., incoherent) production sensitive to **sub-nucleon fluctuations** 

arXiv: 2405.14525 arXiv: 2404.07542

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Angular modulation**

- The amplitude of this modulation increases from large to small IPs
  - $\circ$  here the angle is between the sum and the difference of the two pions  $p_{\tau}$ 
    - manifestation of quantum interference: which nuclei emitted the photon?
    - interference effects are also studied in <u>K<sup>±</sup>K<sup>±</sup> photoproduction</u>

arXiv: 2405.14525 arXiv: 2404.07542

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

### • The amplitude of this modulation increases from large to small IPs

- $\circ$  here the angle is between the sum and the difference of the two pions  $p_{\tau}$ 
  - manifestation of quantum interference: which nuclei emitted the photon?
  - interference effects are also studied in <u>K<sup>±</sup>K<sup>±</sup> photoproduction</u>

#### • First measurement of $4\pi$ production to search for $\rho$ resonances

- o data favor the **two-resonance scenario** with  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$
- $\circ$   $\rho / \rho^0$  production ratio **lower than at RHIC**: Reggeon exchange contributions?

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

- After its first evidence in 2015, observation in 2019
  - $\circ$   $\,$  extensive studies since then by ATLAS and CMS  $\,$ 
    - 2σ excess seen in ATLAS not reproduced by CMS (yet stricter event selection)

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

- After its first evidence in 2015, observation in 2019
  - $\circ$   $\,$  extensive studies since then by ATLAS and CMS  $\,$ 
    - 2σ excess seen in ATLAS not reproduced by CMS (yet stricter event selection)

### • Limits are set on axion-like particle (ALP) resonant production

- UPC PbPb uniquely cover the **1–100 GeV** mass range
- ATLAS (CMS) better limits at high (lower) mass due to event count (trigger)

### Angular correlations in **vPb and vp**

Phys. Rev. C **104** (2021) 014903 PLB 844 (2023) 137905

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

Bridging large with exceedingly small systems (UPC PbPb)

- hierarchy of flow in **pPb** vs **γPb** reproduced by (3+1)D dynamical simulations
- Challenging to go smaller in N<sub>trk</sub>: tiny flow signal competes to nonflow
  - PYTHIA8 describes  $v_2$  in  $\gamma p \rightarrow$  jet-like correlations still dominate

### Angular correlations in **vPb and vp**

Phys. Rev. C **104** (2021) 014903 PLB 844 (2023) 137905 CMS-PAS-HIN-22-004

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

Bridging large with exceedingly small systems (UPC PbPb)

• hierarchy of flow in **pPb** vs **γPb** reproduced by (3+1)D dynamical simulations

Challenging to go smaller in N<sub>trk</sub>: tiny flow signal competes to nonflow

- PYTHIA8 describes  $v_2$  in  $\gamma p \rightarrow$  jet-like correlations still dominate
- same for events with large rapidity gaps

### **Bright** future ahead

- Exciting time for UPCs in Runs 3 and 4 (order of magnitude increase in lumi)
- Experiments collected Run 3 PbPb data with major improvements to Run 2
  pPb only in Run 4
- Precision measurements, low-mass/exotic resonances as well as new physics!
- Strangeness, open charm, heavy quarkonia (Y) in UPCs
- New physics searches: ALPs, g<sub>1</sub>-2, ..
- Yet nonexhaustive list: jet production, particle collectivity, ..
- Most welcome to join the effort!

### **UPC performance in Run 3**

![](_page_34_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Key characteristics of the nPDF global fits

|  | KSASG20         | nCTEQ15WZSIH      | TUJU21           | EPPS21           | nNNPDF3.0        |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Order in $\alpha_s$                                      | NLO & NNLO      | NLO               | NLO & NNLO       | NLO              | NLO              |
| IA NC DIS  | $\checkmark$    | ✓                 | ✓                | ~                | ✓                |
| $\nu A CC DIS$   | $\checkmark$    |                   | $\checkmark$     | $\checkmark$     | ~                |
| pA DY  | $\checkmark$    | $\checkmark$      |                  | $\checkmark$     | $\checkmark$     |
| $\pi A DY$   |                 |                   |                  | $\checkmark$     |                  |
| RHIC dAu $\pi^0, \pi^{\pm}$                              |                 | $\checkmark$      |                  | ✓                |                  |
| LHC pPb $\pi^0, \pi^{\pm}, K^{\pm}$                      |                 | $\checkmark$      |                  |                  |                  |
| LHC pPb dijets   |                 |                   |                  | ✓                | $\checkmark$     |
| LHC pPb D <sup>0</sup>                                   |                 |                   |                  | ✓                | √ reweight       |
| LHC pPb W,Z  |                 | $\checkmark$      | $\checkmark$     | $\checkmark$     | $\checkmark$     |
| LHC pPb $\gamma$   |                 |                   |                  |                  | $\checkmark$     |
|  |                 |                   |                  |                  |                  |
| Q, W cut in DIS  | 1.3, 0.0 GeV    | 2.0, 3.5 GeV      | 1.87, 3.5 GeV    | 1.3, 1.8 GeV     | 1.87, 3.5 GeV    |
| $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ cut in D <sup>0</sup> , <i>h</i> -prod. | N/A             | 3.0 GeV           | N/A              | 3.0 GeV          | 0.0 GeV          |
| Data points  | 4353            | <mark>94</mark> 8 | 2410             | 2077             | 2188             |
| Free parameters  | 9               | 19                | 16               | 24               | 256              |
| Error analysis   | Hessian         | Hessian           | Hessian          | Hessian          | Monte Carlo      |
| Free-proton PDFs   | CT18            | ~CTEQ6M           | own fit          | CT18A            | ~NNPDF4.0        |
| Free-proton corr.  | no              | no                | no               | yes              | yes              |
| HQ treatment   | FONLL           | S-ACOT            | FONLL            | S-ACOT           | FONLL            |
| Indep. flavours  | 3               | 5                 | 4                | 6                | 6                |
|  |                 |                   |                  |                  |                  |
| Reference  | PRD 104, 034010 | PRD 104, 094005   | arXiv:2112.11904 | arXiv:2112.12462 | arXiv:2201.12363 |

P. Paakkinen (DIS22)

## How to unambiguously access low-x gluons? The theo. solution

Guzey et al., EPJC 74 (2014) 2942

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

Entering a new regime of small  $x \sim 10^{-4}$ -10<sup>-5</sup> in nuclei w/o the need to increase the energy!

### Cross section ratio $(\rho \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-)/(\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$

Theory calculation from M. Klusek and D. Tapia Takaki Acta Phys. Polon. B 51 (2020) 6, 1393

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

Rapid reduction of Reggeon exchange for excited  $\rho$  at low center-of-mass energies.

|       |       | √sNN     |
|-------|-------|----------|
| STAR  | Au–Au | 200 GeV  |
| ALICE | Pb–Pb | 5.02 TeV |

Ratio (13.4  $\pm$  0.8  $\pm$  4.4) % (7.3  $\pm$  0.4  $\pm$  1.2) %

STAR Collaboration performed the measurement for the events with mutual nuclear excitation.

Theoretical calculation is performed as a function of  $W_{\gamma p}$ , so no direct comparison is possible, but a qualitative agreement is observed.

<u>Sasha Bylinkin (DIS24)</u>

16

### Improvements in Run 3 PbPb

#### CMS-DP-2023-011

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Improvements expected already in Run 3, e.g.,

- online: increased MB trigger efficiency in peripheral events with ZDC inclusion
- $\circ$  offline: better low-p<sub>T</sub> tracking thanks to innermost pixel layer consideration

#### • Overall CMS will record 25 kHz of MB PbPb events

representing an increase of 80x to 2015 and 3x to 2018

### CMS Phase 2 Upgrades (HI related)

#### CMS-DP-2021-037

#### Phase 2 Upgrade

#### CMS Phase 2 for Run 4

- Tracker |n|<4
- Muon ID up to |n|<2.8
- High Granularity Calorimeter
- **MIP timing detector** 
  - 4D vertexing
  - p/K/π PID (CMS MTD)
- L1 trigger update: 750 kHz for CMS
- DAQ: 51 GB/s for CMS
- L1 track triggers
- ZDC

1.7 1.6 1.5 p 1.4 1/B 1.3 1.2

- Main batch of CMS Upgrades in Run 4
  - Among others, unique hermetic particle identification coverage by CMS MTD

### Physics requests documented in past years over a diverse set of reports

WG5 HL-LHC, ATLAS+CMS Snowmass'22, QCD Town Meeting WP, CMS HIN Ο

#### p/K/π separation

![](_page_40_Figure_21.jpeg)