Sudakov Resummation for Small-x Physics

Feng Yuan Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory



EIC Science: from quark/gluon to cosmo

- How do the nucleonic properties such as mass and spin emerge from partons and their underlying interactions?
- How are partons inside the nucleon distributed in both momentum and position space?
- What happens to the gluon density in nucleons and nuclei at small x? Does it saturate at high energy, giving rise to gluonic matter with universal properties in all nuclei (and perhaps even in nucleons)?
- How do color-charged quarks and gluons, and jets, interact with a nuclear medium? How do confined hadronic states emerge from these quarks and gluons? How do the quark-gluon interactions generate nuclear binding?
- Do signals from beyond-the-standard-model physics manifest in electronproton/ion collisions? If so, what can we learn about the nature of these new particles and forces?
 EIC Whitepaper for LRP

QCD Whitepaper, 2303.02579



Transverse Momentum Distributions at small-x

- Consistency between the collinear TMD definitions and the small-x dipole calculations have been established
 Dominguez-Marquet-Xiao-Yuan 2011
- They are the most studied subjects in small-x phenomenology: inclusive, semi-inclusive processes
- Unique predictions of the TMDs from small-x formalism
 Significant linear polarization for the gluon (Metz-Zhou 2011)
 Spin (of hadron) dependence offers nontrivial QCD dynamics (Zhou et al, 2015; Kovchegov et al, 2016-2021)



Beyond leading order picture: additional dynamics comes in



BFKL vs Sudakov resummations (LL)



QCD evolution at high energy

BFKL/BK-JIMWLK (small-x)Sudakov (TMD)

Mueller-Xiao-Yuan 2013 Balistky-Tarasov 2014 Xiao-Yuan-Zhou 2016



Sudakov resummation at small-x

■ Take massive scalar particle production p+A→H+X as an example to demonstrate the double logarithms, and resummation





Explicit one-loop calculations



■ Collinear divergence → DGLAP evolution

Small-x divergence BK-type evolution

Dominguiz-Mueller-Munier-Xiao, 2011

Soft vs Collinear gluons

Radiated gluon momentum $k_q = \alpha_q p_1 + \beta_q p_2 + k_{q\perp} ,$ Soft gluon, $\alpha \sim \beta <<1$ Collinear gluon, $\alpha \sim 1$, $\beta <<1$ Small-x collinear gluon, $1-\beta <<1$, $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ □ Rapidity divergence



Final result with resummation

Double logs at one-loop order



$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma^{(\text{resum})}}{dyd^2k_{\perp}}|_{k_{\perp}\ll Q} &= \sigma_0 \int \frac{d^2x_{\perp}d^2x'_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} e^{ik_{\perp}\cdot r_{\perp}} e^{-\mathcal{S}_{sud}(Q^2,r_{\perp}^2)} S_{Y=\ln 1/x_a}^{WW}(x_{\perp},x'_{\perp}) \\ & \times xg_p(x,\mu^2 = c_0^2/r_{\perp}^2) \left[1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{\pi^2}{2} N_c\right] \;, \end{aligned}$$





Sudakov leading double logs+small-x logs in hard processes, e.g., dijet

Each incoming parton contributes to a half of the associated color factor in Sudakov

Initial gluon radiation, aka, TMDs

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dy_1 dy_2 dP_{\perp}^2 d^2 k_{\perp}} \propto H(P_{\perp}^2) \int d^2 x_{\perp} d^2 y_{\perp} e^{ik_{\perp} \cdot (x_{\perp} - y_{\perp})} \widetilde{W}_{x_A}(x_{\perp}, y_{\perp})$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{Sudakov} \\ \blacksquare \end{array} \hspace{0.5cm} H(P_{\perp}^2) \int d^2 x_{\perp} d^2 y_{\perp} e^{ik_{\perp} \cdot R_{\perp}} e^{-\mathcal{S}_{sud}(P_{\perp},R_{\perp})} \widetilde{W}_{x_A}(x_{\perp},y_{\perp}) \end{array}$$

Mueller-Xiao-Yuan 2013



TMD at small-x: Sudakov and BFKL (BK)

- Start with the factorized TMDs, with full operator definitions
- Calculate the high order corrections in dipole formalism
 With proper subtraction
- Solve the TMD evolution with BK-evolved dipole (quadrupole) amplitude

See also Skokov's talk Friday



Subtracted TMD at small-x

$$f_{g}^{(sub.)}(x, r_{\perp}, \mu_{F}, \zeta_{c}) = f_{g}^{unsub.}(x, r_{\perp}) \sqrt{\frac{S^{\bar{n}, v}(r_{\perp})}{S^{n, \bar{n}}(r_{\perp})S^{n, v}(r_{\perp})}}$$

CGC: WW-gluon Dipole gluon

Subtract the endpoint Singularity (Collins 2011)

$$\zeta_c^2 = x^2 (2v \cdot P)^2 / v^2$$



TMD evolution follows Collins 2011

 with resummation, doesn't depend on scheme

 Small-x evolution follows the relevant BK-evolution, respectively

 Dipole: BK
 WW: DMMX



Small-x gluon distribution with TMDresummationMueller-Xiao-Yuan, 2012;XCaucal-Salazar-Schenke-Version

Mueller-Xiao-Yuan, 2012;Xiao-Yuan-Zhou 2016 Caucal-Salazar-Schenke-Venugopalan 2022-23 Taels-Altinoluk-Beuf-Marquet 2022 Mukherjee-Skokov-Tarasov-Tiwari, 2023



14

Comments/open questions

- Compare (in some kinematics, match) to TMD gluon distribution in the collinear framework?
- Unambiguously determine gluon saturation
 Both predict x-dependence, hard to distinguish them
 Need a big nucleus to enhance the saturation effects, i.e., EIC!



Dijet-correlation in pp is much more complicated

Initial state and/or final state interactions





Boer-Vogelsang 03

Standard (naïve) Factorization breaks!

Becchetta-Bomhof-Mulders-Pijlman, 04-06 Collins-Qiu 08; Vogelsang-Yuan 08 Rogers-Mulders 10; Xiao-Yuan, 10



Modified factorization

Dilute system on a dense target, in the large Nc limit,

 $d\sigma^{(pA \to \text{Dijet} + X)}$

$$d\mathcal{P}.\mathcal{S}.$$

$$= \sum_{q} x_{1}q(x_{1}) \frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}}{\hat{s}^{2}} \left[\mathcal{F}_{qg}^{(1)} H_{qg \to qg}^{(1)} + \mathcal{F}_{qg}^{(2)} H_{qg \to qg}^{(2)} \right]$$

$$+ x_{1}g(x_{1}) \frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}}{\hat{s}^{2}} \left[\mathcal{F}_{gg}^{(1)} \left(H_{gg \to q\bar{q}}^{(1)} + H_{gg \to gg}^{(1)} \right) \right]$$

$$+ \mathcal{F}_{gg}^{(2)} \left(H_{gg \to q\bar{q}}^{(2)} + H_{gg \to gg}^{(2)} \right) + \mathcal{F}_{gg}^{(3)} H_{gg \to gg}^{(3)} \right],$$

Dominguez-Marquet-Xiao-Yuan 2011



5/20/24

Kt-dependent gluon distributions

$$\mathcal{F}_{qg}^{(1)} = xG^{(2)}(x, q_{\perp}), \quad \mathcal{F}_{qg}^{(2)} = \int xG^{(1)}(q_1) \otimes F(q_2) ,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{gg}^{(1)} = \int xG^{(2)}(q_1) \otimes F(q_2), \quad \mathcal{F}_{gg}^{(2)} = \int \frac{q_{1\perp} \cdot q_{2\perp}}{q_{1\perp}^2} xG^{(2)}(q_1) \otimes F(q_2) ,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{gg}^{(3)} = \int xG^{(1)}(q_1) \otimes F(q_2) \otimes F(q_3) ,$$

Dominguez-Marquet-Xiao-Yuan 2011



5/20/24

Extend to dijet in hadronic processes: count the leading double logs

Each incoming parton contributes to a half of the associated color factor

Initial gluon radiation, aka, TMDs

- Soft gluon radiation in collinear calculation also demonstrates this rule
 - □Sterman, et al
 - Sub-leading logs will be much complicated, usually a matrix form



Photon-Jet correlation

Leading order



Dipole gluon distribution



BK-evolution



5/20/24

21

Soft gluon radiation



- A² from (a,b) contribute to C_F/2 (jet)
- A² from (c,d) contribute to C_F
- Interference contribute to 1/2Nc





- $|A_1|^2 \rightarrow C_A, |A_2|^2 \rightarrow C_F/2, |A_3|^2 \rightarrow C_F/2$
- $= 2A_1^*(A_2 + A_3) \rightarrow -Nc/2$
- 2A₂*A₃, 1/Nc suppressed





- $|A_1|^2 \rightarrow C_F, |A_2|^2 \rightarrow C_F/2, |A_3|^2 \rightarrow C_A/2$
- $2A_3^*(A_1+A_2) \rightarrow -Nc/2$
- 2A₁*A₂, large Nc suppressed





■ $|A_1|^2 \rightarrow C_A$, $|A_2|^2 \rightarrow C_A/2$, $|A_3|^2 \rightarrow C_A/2$ ■ $2A_1^*(A_2 + A_3) + 2A_2^*A_3 \rightarrow -Nc$



5/20/24

pA collisions at the LHC



van Hameren, Kotko, Kutak, Sapeta, 1903.0136





Include Sudakov effects in the CGC for di-hadron correlations

Unintegrated gluon distributions w/ Sudakov, e.g.,



28

Real data teach us more on the physics

Compare pp to pA



Simple extraction of nuclear suppression indicates a Pt-broadening effects

- Suppression factor depends on the background subtraction
 STAR fit: constant background+simple Gaussian shows no Pt-broadening
- Pt-broadening is not as profound as our previous predictions
 - It may change if different background subtraction used





Looking forward

- We need more data
 - □ Cross check the background! E.g., through charged particle pairs, mixed pairs etc., and photon+hadron correlations

We need theory developments

- Complete NLL resummation for dijet in hadronic collisions in CGC (collinear framework done)
- Need BK-JIMWLK evolution for all different UGDs, at least qualitatively



Beyond the leading double logs: collinear

- Jet size-dependence is computed by averaging the azimuthal angle between the soft gluon and leading jet
- Matrix form due to colored final state Kidonakis-Sterman 1997

$$x_{1} f_{a}(x_{1}, \mu = b_{0}/b_{\perp}) x_{2} f_{b}(x_{2}, \mu = b_{0}/b_{\perp}) e^{-S_{\text{Sud}}(Q^{2}, b_{\perp})}$$

Tr $\left[\mathbf{H}_{ab \rightarrow cd} \exp\left[-\int_{b_{0}/b_{\perp}}^{Q} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \gamma^{s\dagger}\right] \mathbf{S}_{ab \rightarrow cd} \exp\left[-\int_{b_{0}/b_{\perp}}^{Q} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \gamma^{s}\right]$

(Sun, C.-P. Yuan, F. Yuan, PRL 2014)

$$S_{
m Sud}(Q^2, b_{\perp}) = \int_{b_0^2/b_{\perp}^2}^{Q^2} \frac{d\mu^2}{\mu^2} \left[\ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right) A + B + D_1 \ln \frac{Q^2}{P_T^2 R_1^2} + D_2 \ln \frac{Q^2}{P_T^2 R_2^2} \right]$$

D: color-factor for the jet

R: jet size

Compare to the full calculations



BERKELEY LAB

$$\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{q_\perp^2} \sum_{ab,a'b'} \sigma_0 \int \frac{dx'_1}{x'_1} \frac{dx'_2}{x'_2} x'_1 f_a(x'_1,\mu) x'_2 f_b(x'_2,\mu) \\
\times \left\{ h^{(0)}_{a'b'\to cd} \left[\xi_1 \mathcal{P}_{a'/a}(\xi_1) \delta(1-\xi_2) + \xi_2 \mathcal{P}_{b'/b}(\xi_2) \delta(1-\xi_1) \right. \\
\left. + \delta(1-\xi_1) \delta(1-\xi_2) \delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'} \left((C_a+C_b) \ln \frac{Q^2}{q_\perp^2} + C_c \ln \frac{1}{R_1^2} + C_d \ln \frac{1}{R_2^2} \right) \right] \\
\left. + \delta(1-\xi_1) \delta(1-\xi_2) \delta_{aa'} \delta_{bb'} \Gamma^{ab\to cd}_{sn} \right\} ,$$
(10)

full LO: Nagy 2002, NLOJET++

Compare to the data



NLL Resummation: Sun,C.P.Yuan, F.Yuan, PRL2014 $x_1 f_a(x_1, \mu = b_0/b_\perp) x_2 f_b(x_2, \mu = b_0/b_\perp) e^{-S_{\text{Sud}}(Q^2, b_\perp)}$ $\text{Tr} \left[\mathbf{H}_{ab \to cd} \exp[-\int_{b_0/b_\perp}^Q \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \gamma^{s\dagger}] \mathbf{S}_{ab \to cd} \exp[-\int_{b_0/b_\perp}^Q \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \gamma^s] \right]$

Full NLO: Nagy 2002, NLOJET++