Shape Coexistence and Competing Symmetries in Nuclei

A. Leviatan Racah Institute of Physics The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel

N. Gavrielov (HU)

Workshop on ``Probing exotic structure of short-lived nuclei by electron scattering", ECT\*, Trento, Italy, July 16 – 20, 2018

Shape Coexistence in Nuclei

Exp: prolate-oblate spherical-prolate-oblate spherical-prolate Kr, Se, Hg neutron-deficient isotopes <sup>186</sup>Pb Sr neutron-rich isotopes <sup>96</sup>Zr, <sup>78</sup>Ni

- Shell model approach
  - Multiparticle-multihole intruder excitations across shell gaps
  - Drastic truncation of large SM spaces
- Mean-field approach (EDF)
  - Coexisting shapes associated with different minima of an energy surface
  - Beyond MF methods: restoration of broken symmetries
- Symmetry-based approach
  - Dynamical symmetries  $\leftrightarrow$  phases
  - Geometry: coherent (intrinsic) states

Dynamical Symmetry

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{\rm dyn} \supset & G & \supset \cdots \supset G_{\rm sym} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [N] & \langle \Sigma \rangle & & \Lambda \end{array}$$

 $\hat{H} = \mathop{\scriptscriptstyle \sum}_{G} a_G \, \hat{C}_G$ 

Solvability of the complete spectrum

• Quantum numbers for **all** eigenstates

 $E = E_{[N]\langle \Sigma \rangle \dots \Lambda}$  $|[N]\langle\Sigma\rangle\Lambda\rangle$ 

Dynamical Symmetry

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{\rm dyn} \supset & G & \supset \cdots \supset G_{\rm sym} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [N] & \langle \Sigma \rangle & & \Lambda \end{array}$$

 $\hat{H} = \mathop{\scriptscriptstyle \sum}_G a_G \, \hat{C}_G$ 

- Solvability of the complete spectrum  $E = E_{[N]\langle\Sigma\rangle...\Lambda}$ • Quantum numbers for all eigenstates  $|[N]\langle\Sigma\rangle\Lambda\rangle$
- IBM: s (L=0) , d (L=2) bosons, N conserved (Arima, Iachello 75)

 $G_{dyn} = U(6), G_{sym} = SO(3)$ 

 $\begin{array}{ll} U(6) \supset U(5) \supset SO(5) \supset SO(3) & | [N] n_d \tau n_{\Delta} L \rangle & \text{Spherical vibrator} \\ U(6) \supset SU(3) \supset SO(3) & | [N] (\lambda, \mu) K L \rangle & \text{Prolate-deformed rotor} \\ U(6) \supset \overline{SU(3)} \supset SO(3) & | [N] (\overline{\lambda}, \overline{\mu}) \overline{K} L \rangle & \text{Oblate-deformed rotor} \\ U(6) \supset SO(6) \supset SO(5) \supset SO(3) & | [N] \sigma \tau n_{\Delta} L \rangle & \gamma\text{-unstable deformed rotor} \end{array}$ 

## Geometry

Global min: equilibrium shape ( $\beta_0, \gamma_0$ )

 $\beta_0 = 0$  spherical  $\beta_0 > 0$  deformed:  $\gamma_0 = 0$  (prolate),  $\gamma_0 = \pi/3$  (oblate),  $0 < \gamma_0 < \pi/3$  (triaxial)

Intrinsic state ground band  $|\beta_0,\gamma_0; N\rangle$ , L-projected states  $|\beta_0,\gamma_0; N,x,L\rangle$ 

	$U(6) \supset \mathbf{G}_1 \supset G_2 \supset \dots \ SO(3)$	$ N, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, L\rangle$
U(5)	$\beta_0 = 0$	n <sub>d</sub> = 0
SU(3)	$(\beta_0 = \sqrt{2}, \gamma_0 = 0)$	$(\lambda,\mu) = (2N,0)$
SU(3)	$(\beta_0 = \sqrt{2}, \gamma_0 = \pi/3)$	$(\overline{\lambda},\overline{\mu}) = (0,2N)$
SO(6)	$(\beta_0 = 1, \gamma_0 \text{ arbitrary})$	$\sigma = N$

- Dynamical symmetry corresponds to a particular shape ( $\beta_0, \gamma_0$ )
- $|\beta_0,\gamma_0; N\rangle$  lowest (highest) weight state in a particular irrep  $\lambda_1$  of leading subalgebra  $G_1$

**Dynamical Symmetry** 

 $U(6) \supset G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \dots SO(3)$ 

$$|N, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, L\rangle$$

- Complete solvability
- Good quantum numbers for all states
- DS: benchmark for a single shape

 $[G_1 = U(5), SU(3), \overline{SU(3)}, SO(6)]$ 

Spherical, prolate-, oblate-, y-unstable deformed



**Dynamical Symmetry** 

$$U(6) \supset G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \dots SO(3)$$

$$|N, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, L\rangle$$

- Complete solvability
- Good quantum numbers for all states
- DS: benchmark for a single shape

 $[G_1 = U(5), SU(3), \overline{SU(3)}, SO(6)]$ 

Spherical, prolate-, v-unstable deformed



Partial Dynamical Symmetry

- Some states solvable and/or with good quantum numbers
- G<sub>1</sub>, G<sub>2</sub> incompatible (non-commuting) symmetries
- PDS: benchmark for shape coexistence



 $\beta_2$ 

 $\beta_3$ 

 $\beta_1$ 

Construction of Hamiltonians with a single PDS

$$\begin{array}{ll} G_{\mathrm{dyn}} \supset \ G \ \supset \cdots \supset G_{\mathrm{sym}} \\ \hline \left[\mathbf{N}\right] & \langle \mathbf{\Sigma} \rangle & \Lambda \end{array}$$

$$\hat{T}_{\left[n\right] \langle \sigma \rangle \lambda} | \left[\mathbf{N}\right] \langle \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{0}} \rangle \Lambda \rangle = \mathbf{0} \qquad \text{for all possible } \Lambda \text{ contained} \\ \text{in the irrep } \langle \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{0}} \rangle \text{ of } \mathbf{G} \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{T}_{\left[n\right] \langle \sigma \rangle \lambda} | \left[\mathbf{N}\right] \langle \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{0}} \rangle \rangle = \mathbf{0} \qquad | \text{Lowest weight state } \rangle$$

• Condition is satisfied if  $\langle \sigma \rangle \otimes \langle \Sigma_0 \rangle \notin [N-n]$ 

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} u_{\alpha\beta} \hat{T}^{\dagger}_{\alpha} \hat{T}_{\beta}$$

n-particle

operator

annihilation

**Equivalently:** 

DS is **broken** but solvability of states with  $\langle \Sigma \rangle = \langle \Sigma_0 \rangle$  Is preserved Construction of Hamiltonians with a single PDS

$$\begin{array}{c|c} G_{\rm dyn} \supset \ G \ \supset \cdots \supset G_{\rm sym} \\ \hline \left[ \mathbf{N} \right] & \langle \mathbf{\Sigma} \rangle & \Lambda \\ \\ \hat{T}_{\left[ n \right] \left\langle \sigma \right\rangle \lambda} | \left[ \mathbf{N} \right] \left\langle \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{0}} \right\rangle \Lambda \right\rangle = \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \hat{T}_{\left[ n \right] \left\langle \sigma \right\rangle \lambda} | \left[ \mathbf{N} \right] \left\langle \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{0}} \right\rangle = \mathbf{0} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{for all possible } \Lambda \text{ contained} \\ \text{in the irrep } \left\langle \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{0}} \right\rangle \text{ of } \mathbf{G} \\ \\ \hline \hat{T}_{\left[ n \right] \left\langle \sigma \right\rangle \lambda} | \left[ \mathbf{N} \right] \left\langle \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{0}} \right\rangle = \mathbf{0} \\ \end{array}$$

• Condition is satisfied if  $\langle \sigma \rangle \otimes \langle \Sigma_0 \rangle \notin [N-n]$ 

 $\hat{H} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} u_{\alpha\beta} \hat{T}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{T}_{\beta}$   $\bullet \text{PDS Hamiltonian} \quad \hat{H}' = \hat{H} + \hat{H}_{c} \quad \text{Intrinsic collective resolution}$ 

Intrinsic part:  $H | [N] \langle \Sigma_0 \rangle \Lambda \rangle = 0$ 

n-particle

operator

annihilation

**Equivalently:** 

Collective part:  $H_c$  composed of Casimir operators of conserved  $G_i \subset G$  in the chain

Multiple PDS and Shape Coexistence

$$U(6) \supset G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \ldots \supset SO(3) \qquad |N, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, L\rangle \qquad (\beta_1, \gamma_1)$$

Single PDS Single shape

$$\hat{H}|\beta_1,\gamma_1;N,\lambda_1=\Lambda_0,\lambda_2,\ldots,L\rangle=0$$



**Multiple PDS and Shape Coexistence** 

$$U(6) \supset G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \ldots \supset SO(3) \qquad |N, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, L\rangle \qquad (\beta_1, \gamma_1)$$

Single PDS Single shape

$$\hat{H}|\beta_1,\gamma_1;N,\lambda_1=\Lambda_0,\lambda_2,\ldots,L\rangle=0$$

$$U(6) \supset G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \ldots \supset SO(3) \qquad |N, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, L\rangle \qquad (\beta_1, \gamma_1, \beta_2, \beta_1)$$
$$U(6) \supset G'_1 \supset G'_2 \supset \ldots \supset SO(3) \qquad |N, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, L\rangle \qquad (\beta_2, \gamma_2)$$

Multiple PDS Multiple shapes

$$\begin{cases} \hat{H}|\beta_1, \gamma_1; N, \lambda_1 = \Lambda_0, \lambda_2, \dots, L \rangle = 0\\ \hat{H}|\beta_2, \gamma_2; N, \sigma_1 = \Sigma_0, \sigma_2, \dots, L \rangle = 0 \end{cases}$$





 $\mathrm{G}_1\neq\mathrm{G}_1'$ 

Critical-point Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}' = \hat{H} + \hat{H}_c$ G<sub>1</sub> -PDS & G'<sub>1</sub> -PDS

Intrinsic part:  $\hat{H}$  determines  $E(\beta,\gamma)$  band structure Collective part:  $\hat{H}_c = \sum_{G_i} a_{G_i} \hat{C}_{G_i}$  rotational splitting  $\widehat{G}_i \xrightarrow{}$  conserved  $\widehat{G}_i$  in both chains **Departure from the Critical Point** 

$$U(6) \supset G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \ldots \supset SO(3) \qquad |N, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, L\rangle \qquad (\beta_1, \gamma_1)$$
$$U(6) \supset G'_1 \supset G'_2 \supset \ldots \supset SO(3) \qquad |N, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, L\rangle \qquad (\beta_2, \gamma_2)$$



 $\mathrm{G}_1\neq\mathrm{G}_1'$ 

$$\hat{H}' = \hat{H}'_{\rm cp} + \alpha \, \hat{C}[\mathbf{G}_1]$$

 $\hat{H}' = \hat{H}'_{\rm cp} + \alpha \, \hat{C}[\mathbf{G}'_1]$ 

Symmetry Approach to Shape-Coexistence

 $U(6) \supset U(5) \supset SO(5) \supset SO(3)$  $U(6) \supset SU(3) \supset SO(3)$  $U(6) \supset \overline{SU(3)} \supset SO(3)$ U(6)  $\supset$  SO(6)  $\supset$  SO(5)  $\supset$  SO(3)  $\gamma$ -unstable deformed rotor  $\beta$  =1,  $\gamma$  arbitrary

Spherical vibrator **Prolate-deformed rotor**  $\beta = \sqrt{2}, \gamma = 0$ Oblate-deformed rotor  $\beta = \sqrt{2}, \gamma = \pi/3$ 

 $\beta = 0$ 

Multiple PDS and Multiple Shapes

- $G_1 = U(5)$   $G_2 = \frac{SU(3)}{G_1 = SU(3)}$  $G_1 = \frac{SU(3)}{G_2 = SU(3)}$  $G_1 = U(5)$   $G_2 = SO(6)$ 
  - spherical prolate prolate – oblate & spherical -  $\gamma$ -unstable +



Triple coexistence

 $G_1 = U(5)$   $G_2 = SU(3)$   $G_3 = \overline{SU(3)}$  spherical-prolate-oblate \*

- Leviatan, Shapira, PRC 93, 051302(R) (2016)
- Leviatan, Gavrielov, Phys. Scr. 92, 114005 (2017) arXiv:1803.03982 [nucl-th] (2018)







#### Prolate-Oblate Shape Coexistence

Intrinsic part of C.P. Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}|N, (\lambda, \mu) = (2N, 0), K = 0, L\rangle = 0$$
  
 $\hat{H}|N, (\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}) = (0, 2N), \bar{K} = 0, L\rangle = 0$ 

 $\Gamma = \cos 3\gamma$ 

 $\hat{H} = h_0 P_0^{\dagger} \hat{n}_s P_0 + h_2 P_0^{\dagger} \hat{n}_d P_0 + \eta_3 G_3^{\dagger} \cdot \tilde{G}_3 \qquad P_0^{\dagger} = d^{\dagger} \cdot d^{\dagger} - 2(s^{\dagger})^2 \qquad G_{3,\mu}^{\dagger} = \sqrt{7} [(d^{\dagger} d^{\dagger})^{(2)} d^{\dagger}]_{\mu}^{(3)}$ 

Energy Surface  $\tilde{E}(\beta,\gamma) = (1+\beta^2)^{-3} \left\{ (\beta^2 - 2)^2 \left[ h_0 + h_2 \beta^2 \right] + \eta_3 \beta^6 \sin^2(3\gamma) \right\}$ =  $z_0 + (1+\beta^2)^{-3} [A\beta^6 + B\beta^6 \Gamma^2 + D\beta^4 + F\beta^2]$ 

Two degenerate P-O global minima

 $(\beta = \sqrt{2}, \gamma = 0)$  and  $(\beta = \sqrt{2}, \gamma = \pi/3)$  [or equivalently  $(\beta = -\sqrt{2}, \gamma = 0)$ ]

#### oblate-prolate



Saddle points support a barrier separating the various minima

Normal modes:

$$\epsilon_{\beta 1} = \epsilon_{\beta 2} = \frac{8}{3}(h_0 + 2h_2)N^2$$
$$\epsilon_{\gamma 1} = \epsilon_{\gamma 2} = 4\eta_3 N^2$$





 $T(E2) = e_B(d^{\dagger}s + s^{\dagger}\tilde{d}) \quad (1,1) \oplus (2,2) \text{ tensor}$ E2 selection rule:  $g_1 \nleftrightarrow g_2$ 

$$Q_L = \mp e_B \sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{40}} \frac{L}{2L+3} \frac{4(2N-L)(2N+L+1)}{3(2N-1)}$$

 $B(E2;g_i, L+2 \rightarrow g_i, L) =$ 

ANALYTIC expressions !

 $e_B^2 \frac{3(L+1)(L+2)}{2(2L+3)(2L+5)} \frac{(4N-1)^2(2N-L)(2N+L+3)}{18(2N-1)^2}$ 



 $T(E0) \propto \hat{n}_d$  (0,0)  $\oplus$  (2,2) tensor E0 selection rule:  $g_1 \nleftrightarrow g_2$ 







Spherical vibrator Prolate-deformed rotor Oblate-deformed rotor

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$n_d = 2  {}^{4^+}_{2^+}$ $n_d = 1  {}^{2^+}_{2^+}$	0+	$6^+$ $4^+$ $2^+$ $0^+$	$\begin{array}{c} 4^{+}_{2^{+}_{-}} 4^{+}_{3^{+}_{-}_{-}} \\ 2^{+}_{-} 2^{+} \\ 0^{+} \end{array}$ (2N-4,2)	
<u>SU(3)</u> (0,2N	)	n <sub>d</sub> = 0 0+	U(5)	(2N,0)		SU(3)





Spherical-Prolate-Oblate Shape Coexistence

Intrinsic part of C.P. Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}|N, (\lambda, \mu) = (2N, 0), K = 0, L\rangle = 0$$
  
$$\hat{H}|N, (\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}) = (0, 2N), \bar{K} = 0, L\rangle = 0$$
  
$$\hat{H}|N, n_d = 0, \tau = 0, L = 0\rangle = 0$$

 $\hat{H} = h_2 P_0^{\dagger} \hat{n}_d P_0 + \eta_3 G_3^{\dagger} \cdot \tilde{G}_3 \qquad P_0^{\dagger} = d^{\dagger} \cdot d^{\dagger} - 2(s^{\dagger})^2 \qquad G_{3,\mu}^{\dagger} = \sqrt{7}[(d^{\dagger}d^{\dagger})^{(2)}d^{\dagger}]_{\mu}^{(3)}$ Energy Surface  $\tilde{E}(\beta,\gamma) = \beta^2 [h_2(\beta^2 - 2)^2 + \eta_3\beta^4 \sin^2(3\gamma)](1+\beta^2)^{-3}$ • Three degenerate S-P-O global minima:  $\beta$ =0, ( $\beta$ = ± $\sqrt{2}$ , $\gamma$  = 0)
Complete Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}' = h_2 P_0^{\dagger} \hat{n}_d P_0 + \eta_3 G_3^{\dagger} \cdot \tilde{G}_3 + \alpha \hat{\theta}_2 + \rho \hat{C}_2[SO(3)]$ 

#### oblate-spherical-prolate

Triple coexistence

Saddle points support a barrier separating the various minima

Normal modes:

$$\epsilon_{\beta 1} = \epsilon_{\beta 2} = \frac{16}{3} h_2 N^2$$
$$\epsilon_{\gamma 1} = \epsilon_{\gamma 2} = 4\eta_3 N^2$$
$$\epsilon = 4h_2 N^2$$



**Ε(**β,γ)

E(β,γ=0)

bandhead spectrum

Triple Spherical-Prolate-Oblate Coexistence

#### U(5) decompositon



P-O bands show similar behavior as in P-O coexistence

New aspect: occurrence of spherical type of states  $(n_d=L=0)$  and  $(n_d=1,L=2)$  pure U(5)-DS Higher spherical states: pronounced (~70%)  $n_d=2$ 

$$\begin{array}{c}
 E & \beta_2 \\
 0.1 & \gamma_2 \\
 0.1 & n_d = 1 \\
 0.0 & g_2 \\
 g_2 \\
 n_d = 0 \\
 -g_1
\end{array}$$

#### oblate spherical prolate

**Coexisting Partial Dynamical Symmetries** 



The purity of selected sets of states with respect to SU(3), SU(3) and U(5), in the presence of other mixed states, are the hallmarks of coexisting SU(3)-PDS, SU(3)-PDS and U(5)-PDS



$$T(E2) = e_B(d^{\dagger}s + s^{\dagger}\tilde{d}) \qquad \Delta n_d = \pm 1$$

Spherical  $\rightarrow$  deformed E2 rates very weak

Deformed SU(3) & SU(3) DS states  $(g_1 \rightarrow g_1, g_2 \rightarrow g_2) Q_L \& B(E2) KNOWN!$ 

Spherical U(5)-DS states ( $n_d=1 \rightarrow n_d=0$ )

 $Q(n_d=1,L=2) = 0$ 

$$B(E2; n_d = 1, L = 2 \rightarrow n_d = 0, L = 0) = e_B^2 N$$



 $T(E0) \propto \hat{n}_d$  diagnal in n<sub>d</sub>

No E0 transitions involving these spherical states

The spherical states exhaust the  $(n_d=0,1)$  irreps of U(5)

The  $n_d=2$  component in the (L=0,2,4) states of the  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  bands is extremely small

U(5) and SO(6) Dynamical Symmetries

 $\mathsf{U}(6) \supset \mathsf{U}(5) \supset \mathsf{SO}(5) \supset \mathsf{SO}(3)$  $|[N] n_d \tau n_A L\rangle$  Spherical vibrator  $U(6) \supset SO(6) \supset SO(5) \supset SO(3)$  $|[N] \sigma \tau n_{\Lambda} L \rangle$  $\gamma$ -unstable rotor 4\* $n_d = 2 \quad 4^+_{2^+}$ 0+\_\_\_\_ common segment  $SO(5) \supset SO(3)$ σ=N-2  $n_d = 1 2^+$ U(5) **SO(6)** σ=N  $n_{d} = 0$  0+

U(5) and SO(6) Dynamical Symmetries



Spherical and  $\gamma$ -unstable deformed Shape Coexistence

Intrinsic part of C.P. Hamiltonian

$$\begin{cases} \hat{H}|N, \sigma = N, \tau, L\rangle = 0\\ \hat{H}|N, n_d = \tau = L = 0\rangle = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{H} = r_2 R_0^{\dagger} \hat{n}_d R_0 \qquad R_0^{\dagger} = d^{\dagger} \cdot d^{\dagger} - (s^{\dagger})^2$$

Energy Surface  $\tilde{E}(\beta) = r_2 \beta^2 (\beta^2 - 1)^2 (1 + \beta^2)^{-3}$ =  $(1 + \beta^2)^{-3} [A\beta^6 + D\beta^4 + F\beta^2]$ 

Two degenerate spherical and γ-unstable deformed global minima: β=0 and β=1

Spherical & y-unstable deformed

Energy surface independent of  $\gamma$ SO(5) symmetry

a barrier separates the spherical and γ-unstable deformed minima

0.5V 0.4β 0.3**Ε**(β,γ) 0.20.10.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 E 0.8  $E(\beta,\gamma=0)$ 0.4 0.0 0 β -1 1 E bandhead 0.1 spectrum  $_{n_d=1} n_d=1$  $_{n_d=0}$ 0.0 g

Normal modes:

$$\epsilon_{\beta} = 2r_2 N^2$$
$$\epsilon = r_2 N^2$$

0

#### Complete Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}' = r_2 R_0^{\dagger} \hat{n}_d R_0 + \rho_5 \hat{C}_2[SO(5)] + \rho_3 \hat{C}_2[SO(3)]$$



#### SO(6) decompostion

- g-band: pure SO(6)-DS (σ=N)
- Excited  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  bands: mixed
  - $\Rightarrow$  SO(6)-PDS

#### U(5) decompostion

- Spherical states: pure U(5)-DS with ( $n_d=\tau=L=0$ ) & ( $n_d=\tau=1,L=2$ )
- Higher spherical states: pronounced & coherent mixing

### $\Rightarrow$ U(5)-PDS

Coexisting U(5)-PDS & SO(6)-PDS



$$T(E2) = e_B(d^{\dagger}s + s^{\dagger}\tilde{d}) \quad \Delta \sigma = 0, \ \Delta n_d \& \Delta \tau = \pm 1$$

deformed  $\rightarrow$  spherical E2 rates very weak g-band exhausts the  $\sigma=N$  irrep of SO(6)

Deformed SO(6)-DS states ( $g \rightarrow g$ )

$$Q(\sigma=N,\tau)=0$$

$$B(E2; g; \tau + 1, L' = 2\tau + 2 \rightarrow g; \tau, L = 2\tau)$$
  
=  $e_B^2 \frac{\tau + 1}{2\tau + 5} (N - \tau) (N + \tau + 4)$ 



 $T(E0) \propto \hat{n}_d$  diagnal in n<sub>d</sub>

No E0 transitions involving these spherical states

Spherical U(5)-DS states ( $n_d=1 \rightarrow n_d=0$ )

 $Q(n_d=1,L=2) = 0$ 

 $B(E2; n_d = 1, L = 2 \rightarrow n_d = 0, L = 0) = e_B^2 N$ KNOWN !

#### The Cd problem



Most states good spherical vibrator  $B(E2; 2_1 \rightarrow 0_1) = 27.0$  (8) W.u.

BUT:	[W.u.] E	EXP	U(5)	
	$B(E2; 0_3 \to 2_1) < 7$	7.9	46.29.	$(n_d = 2 \rightarrow n_d = 1)$
	$B(E2; \frac{2}{5} \rightarrow 4_1) < \xi$	5	19.84	$(n_d = 3 \rightarrow n_d = 2)$
	$B(E2; \frac{2}{5} \rightarrow 2_2) < 0$	.7 <sup>+0.5</sup> -0.6	11.02	
	$B(E2; 0_4 \rightarrow 2_2) \text{ sm}$	nall BR	57.86	Garret et al. PRC (2012)



• Attempted solution: normal-intruder mixing

Requires **strong** (maximal) **mixing** to reproduce the observed pattern

B(E2;  $0_3 \rightarrow 2_1$ ) < 7.9 W.u.  $(n_d = 2 \rightarrow n_d = 1)$ 



Strong normal-intruder mixing is unsatisfactory

- It results in discrepancy in the decay pattern of n<sub>d</sub>=3 states (enhanced intruder-normal E2 decays in contrast to exp)
- Unmixed IBM calculations agree with data for (n<sub>d</sub>=3, L=6,4,3) yrast states, but seriously disagree for non-yrast states (n<sub>d</sub>=2,L=0) and (n<sub>d</sub>=3,L=0,2)
- E(intruder) rises away from neutron midshell (<sup>114</sup>Cd) ⇒ smaller mixing. In contrast, experimentally (Garrett, Batchelder PRC 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014) the discrepancy in two- & three-phonon states persists for <sup>A</sup>Cd (A=110-126)



Strong normal-intruder mixing refuted

- Claims: "Breakdown of vibrational motion in Cd isotopes" (Garrett PRC 2008) "Need for a paradigm change" "Serious questioning on the validity of the multi-phonon interpretation"
- Alternatives: γ-soft rotor (Garrett, PRC 2012), "Tidal wave" (Frauendorf 2011), EFT (Papenbrock PRC 2015)
- This talk: an approach to the problem based on partial dynamical symmetry

 $\mathsf{U(6)} \supset \mathsf{U(5)} \supset \mathsf{SO(5)} \supset \mathsf{SO(3)} \qquad | [\mathsf{N}] \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{d}} \tau \mathsf{n}_{\Delta} \mathsf{L} \rangle$ 



$$n_d = 1$$
  $\frac{2_1}{\tau} = 1$ 

$$n_d = 0 \qquad \frac{0_1}{\tau} = 0$$

good U(5) Class A:  $n_d = \tau = 0, 1, 2, 3 (n_\Delta = 0)$ 

 $0_1(0), 2_1(658), 4_1(1542), 2_2(1476)$  $6_1(2480), 4_2(2220), 3_1(2163)$ 

broken U(5)  $\begin{cases} \text{Class B: } n_d = \tau + 2 = 2,3 \text{ (} n_\Delta = 0\text{)} \\ \text{Class C: } n_d = \tau = 3 \text{ (} n_\Delta = 1\text{)} \end{cases}$ 

0<sub>3</sub>(1731), 2<sub>5</sub>(2356) 0₄(2079)

- Some states with good U(5) symmetry
- Some states break U(5) symmetry

 $\Rightarrow$  Partial Dynamical Symmetry



$$U(6) \supset U(5) \supset SO(5) \supset SO(3) \quad |[N] n_{d} \tau n_{\Delta} L \rangle$$

$$G_{0}^{\dagger} = [(d^{\dagger}d^{\dagger})^{(2)}d^{\dagger}]^{(0)}$$

$$K_{0}^{\dagger} = s^{\dagger}(d^{\dagger}d^{\dagger})^{(0)}$$

$$G_{0}|[N], n_{d} = \tau, \tau, n_{\Delta} = 0, L \rangle = 0 \quad L = \tau, \tau + 1, \dots, 2\tau - 2, 2\tau \quad \text{(Talmi 2004)}$$

 $K_0|[N], n_d = \tau, \tau, n_\Delta, L\rangle = 0$ 

$$\hat{V}_0 = r_0 \, G_0^{\dagger} G_0 + e_0 \left( G_0^{\dagger} K_0 + K_0^{\dagger} G_0 
ight)$$

 $\hat{H}_{PDS} = \hat{H}_{DS} + \hat{V}_0$  U(5)-PDS Hamiltonian

Class A: Solvable  $|N, n_d = \tau, \tau, n_{\Delta} = 0, L$   $0_1(0), 2_1(658), 4_1(1542), 2_2(1476)$   $0_1(2480), 4_2(2220), 3_1(2163)$ Class B: Class C: Mixed  $0_3(1731), 2_5(2356)$  $0_4(2079)$ 

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\text{normal}}^{(N)} + \hat{H}_{\text{intruder}}^{(N+2)} + \hat{V}_{\text{mix}}$$

IBM with configuration mixing (CM) (Duval, Barrett, Van Isacker, Garcia Ramos,...)

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\text{normal}}^{(N)} + \hat{H}_{\text{intruder}}^{(N+2)} + \hat{V}_{\text{mix}}$$

$$\hat{H}_{\text{normal}}^{(N)} = \hat{H}_{\text{PDS}}$$

$$\hat{H}_{\text{intruder}}^{(N+2)} = \kappa \hat{Q} \cdot \hat{Q} + \Delta$$

$$\hat{V}_{\rm mix} = \alpha \left[ (d^{\dagger}d^{\dagger})^{(0)} + (s^{\dagger})^2 + \text{H.c.} \right]$$

$$\hat{T}(E2) = e_B^{(N)} \,\hat{Q}^{(N)} + e_B^{(N+2)} \,\hat{Q}^{(N+2)} \,,$$

$$\hat{Q} = d^{\dagger}s + s^{\dagger}\tilde{d}$$

Normal and intruder levels in <sup>110</sup>Cd



Normal and intruder levels in <sup>110</sup>Cd



Majority of normal states are pure wrt U(5) (> 97%) with weak normal-intruder mixing

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0_3(1731): & (0.9\% \ n_d=2) \ , & (94\% \ n_d=3) \ , & (5.1\% \ intruder) \\ 0_4(2079): & (79.8\% \ n_d=2) \ , (2\% \ n_d=3), & (18\% \ intruder) \\ 2_5(2356): & (1.2\% \ n_d=3) \ , (95.8\% \ n_d=4) \ , (2.9\% \ intruder) \end{array}$$

$L_i$	$L_f$	EXP	U(5)-DS	U(5)-PDS-CM
$2_{1}^{+}$	$0^+_1$	27.0 (8)	27.00	27.00
$4_{1}^{+}$	$2_{1}^{+}$	42 (9)	46.29	45.93
$2_{2}^{+}$	$2^+_1$	30(5)	46.29	46.32
	$0^+_1$	$1.35 (20); 0.68 (14)^a$	0.00	0.00
$0^{+}_{3}$	$2^{+}_{2}$	$< 1680^{a}$	0.00	55.95
	$2_{1}^{+}$	$< 7.9^{a}$	46.29	0.25
$6_{1}^{+}$	$4^+_1$	40 (30); 62 (18) <sup><math>a</math></sup>	57.86	55.30
	$4_{2}^{+}$	$< 5^a$	0.00	0.00
	$4^+_{3;i}$	14 (10); 36 (11) <sup><math>a</math></sup>		2.39
$4_{2}^{+}$	$4_{1}^{+}$	$12^{+4}_{-6}$	27.55	27.45
	$2_{2}^{+}$	$32^{+10}_{-14}$	30.31	30.03
	$2^+_1$	$0.20\substack{+0.06 \\ -0.09}$	0.00	0.00
	$2^+_{3;i}$	$< 0.5^{a}$		0.005
$3_{1}^{+}$	$4^+_1$	$5.9^{+1.8}_{-4.6}$	16.53	16.48
	$2_{2}^{+}$	$32^{+8}_{-24}$	41.33	41.12
	$2^+_1$	$1.1^{+0.3}_{-0.8}; 0.85 \ (25)^a$	0.00	0.00
	$2^+_{3;i}$	$< 5^a$		0.012
$0^+_4$	$2^{+}_{2}$	$[< 0.65^{a}]$	57.86	1.24
	$2^+_1$	$[0.010^{a}]$	0.00	31.76
	$2^+_{3;i}$	$[100^{a}]$		16.32
$2_{5}^{+}$	$0^+_3$	24.2 $(22)^a$	27.00	22.28
	$4_{1}^{+}$	$<5^a$	19.84	0.19
	$2_{2}^{+}$	$^{a}0.7^{+0.5}_{-0.6}$	11.02	0.12
	$2_{1}^{+}$	$2.8^{+0.6}_{-1.0}$	0.00	0.00
	$2^+_{3;i}$	$< 5^a$		0.002
	$0^+_{2;i}$	$< 1.9^{a}$		0.20

Normal and intruder levels in <sup>110</sup>Cd

[W.u.]	EXP	U(5)-PDS-CM
$B(E2;0_3\to2_1)$	< 7.9	0.25
$B(E2; 2_5 \to 4_1)$	< 5	0.19
B(E2; $2_5 \rightarrow 2_2$ )	< 0.7 <sup>+0.5</sup> -0	0.6 0.12

$L_i$	$L_{f}$	EXP	U(5)-PDS-CM
$0^+_{2;i}$	$2_{1}^{+}$	$< 40^{a}$	14.18
$2^+_{3;i}$	$0^+_{2;i}$	$29 (5)^a$	29.00
	$0^+_1$	$0.31\substack{+0.08 \\ -0.12}$	0.08
	$2_{1}^{+}$	$0.7\substack{+0.3 \\ -0.4}$	0.00
	$2^{+}_{2}$	$< 8^a$	0.96
$2^+_{4;i}$	$2_{1}^{+}$	$0.019\substack{+0.020\\-0.019}$	0.10
$4^+_{3;i}$	$2_{1}^{+}$	$0.22\substack{+0.09\\-0.19}$	0.49
	$2_{2}^{+}$	$2.2^{+1.4}_{-2.2}$	0.00
	$2^+_{3;i}$	$120^{+50}_{-110}$	42.62
	$4_{1}^{+}$	$2.6^{+1.6}_{-2.6}$	0.00

PDS and coexisting normal and intruder states

- Vibrational structure of <sup>110</sup>Cd by means of **U(5) PDS**
- The PDS Hamiltonian retains good U(5) symmetry for yrast states, but breaks it in selected non-yrast states
- The **mixing** with the **intruder** levels is **weak**, and affects mainly the broken U(5)-DS states
- Most low-lying normal levels maintain the vibrational character.
   Only particular states exhibit a departure from this behavior, in line with the empirical data
- Calculations are underway (Gavrielov, Garcia-Ramos, Van Isacker, A.L.) to see if this approach can be implemented in other neutron-rich Cd isotopes



- A symmetry-based approach to shape coexistence Ingredients: spectrum generating algebra with several DS chains geometry: coherent states intrinsic-collective resolution of the Hamiltonian
- A single number-conserving rotational invariant H which conserves the dynamical symmetry for selected bands
   Multiple Partial Dynamical Symmetries relevant for shape-coexistence

U(5) and SU(3) PDS SU(3) and  $\overline{SU(3)}$  PDS U(5), SU(3) and  $\overline{SU(3)}$  PDS U5) and SO(6) PDS

spherical-prolate prolate-oblate spherical-prolate-oblate spherical - γ-unstable deformed

 Closed expressions for quadrupole moments and B(E2) values; selection rules for E2 & E0 transitions and isomeric states

#### **Concluding Remarks**







- Structure away from the critical point, can be studied by adding the Casimir operator of a particular DS chain
- PDS: solvable bands are unmixed.
   Band mixing can be incorporated by including in H kinetic terms which do not affect E(β,γ) but, if strong, may destroy the PDS



- Coexisting normal and intruder states in nuclei can exibit PDS
- Study of shape-coexistence and exotic structure in nuclei provides a fertile ground for exploring the role of competing and persisting symmetries and for the development of generalized notions of symmetries

# Thank you