# Classical and quantum corrections in jet quenching



#### Jet Quenching Workshop, ECT\* Trento, February 14 2024



#### NUCLÉAIRE **& PARTICULES**



agence nationale de la recherche

SERVICE DE LA SCIENCE

Jacopo Ghiglieri, SUBATECH, Nantes

#### In this talk

- Introduction to classical and quantum physics in jet broadening Double-logarithmic quantum corrections
- - In the literature
  - In a weakly-coupled QGP, and their connection with classical physics Work done in collaboration with **Eamonn Weitz**,
- PhD@Nantes in late 2023
- No data (harmed) in (the making of) this talk

JG Weitz JHEP11 (2022), E. Weitz's Ph.D. thesis 2311.04988



• Consider the broadening of a single parton:  $\hat{q}$  is given by the second moment of the broadening probability with  $\mu$  process-dependent cutoff

$$\hat{q} \equiv \frac{\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle}{L} = \frac{1}{L} \int^{\mu} \frac{d^2 k_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} k_{\perp}^2 \mathcal{P}(k_{\perp})$$

•  $P(k_{\parallel})$  from a light-cone Wilson loop

$$\mathcal{P}(k_{\perp}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{b}} e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}\cdot\boldsymbol{b}} \exp\left[-\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{b})\right]$$



Broadening probability

$$\mathcal{P}(k_{\perp}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{b}} e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}\cdot\boldsymbol{b}} \exp\left[-\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{b})L\right]$$

• IR Gaussian from multiple soft scatterings

$$\mathcal{P}(k_{\perp})_{\mathrm{HO}} \propto \exp\left(-\frac{k_{\perp}^2}{\hat{q}L}\right)$$

harmonic oscillator (HO) approximation



Barata *et al* **PRD104** (2021)



Broadening probability

$$\mathcal{P}(k_{\perp}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{b}} e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}\cdot\boldsymbol{b}} \exp\left[-\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{b})L\right]$$

• IR Gaussian from multiple soft scatterings  $\mathcal{P}(k_{\perp})_{\mathrm{HO}} \propto \exp\left(-\frac{k_{\perp}^2}{\hat{a}L}\right)$ 

harmonic oscillator (HO) approximation

 asymptotic freedom ⇒ it has to make way for the rare large momentum scatterings

$$\mathcal{P}(k_{\perp})_{\text{Coulomb}} \propto \frac{\alpha_s^2 T^3 L}{k_{\perp}^4}$$



Barata *et al* **PRD104** (2021)



- $\hat{q}$  is also given by the second moment of the scattering kernel  $\hat{q} = \int^{\mu} \frac{d^2 k_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} k_{\perp}^2 \mathcal{C}(k_{\perp})$
- $C(k_{\perp})$  from the light-cone Wilson loop  $\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{b}) = \int \frac{d^2 k_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[ 1 - e^{i\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}} \right] \mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp})$

real term and probability-conserving virtual term



### Classical gluons in the scattering kernel

- Classical (soft gluon) corrections to the scattering/broadening kernel can be problematic for perturbation theory, Linde problem
- Breakthrough: soft classical modes at space-like separations become Euclidean and time-independent
- Horrible HTL perturbative calculation or extremely challenging 4D lattice on the light-cone become **3D** Electrostatic QCD (EQCD).



 $n_B(p) \sim T/p \gtrsim 1/g$ 

Caron-Huot **PRD79** (2008)



#### Classical gluons in the scattering kernel

- Classical (soft gluon) corrections to the scattering/broadening kernel can be problematic for perturbation theory, Linde problem
- Breakthrough: soft classical modes at space-like separations become Euclidean and time-independent





Caron-Huot **PRD79** (2008)



### Classical gluons in the scattering kernel

- Classical (soft gluon) corrections to the scattering/broadening kernel can be problematic for perturbation theory, Linde problem
- Breakthrough: soft classical modes at space-like separations become Euclidean and time-independent Caron-Huot PRD79 (2008)
- Horrible HTL perturbative calculation or extremely challenging 4D lattice on the light-cone become **3D** Electrostatic QCD (EQCD). New strategy: lattice for  $b \ge 1/gT$ , pQCD for  $b \le 1/gT$ b
- Recently: continuum-extrapolated EQCD lattice data for the scattering kernel and merging with pQCD Moore Schlusser PRD101 (2020) Moore Schlichting Schlusser Soudi **JHEP2110** (2021)

 $n_B(p) \sim T/p \gtrsim 1/g$ 







#### Non-perturbative classical contribution



- LO and NLO perturbative EQCD: Aurenche Gelis Zaraket (2002) Caron-Huot (2008)LO UV ( $q_{\perp} > gT$ ) pQCD and matching: Arnold Xiao (2008) JG Kim (2018)
- Significant deviations from pQCD
- Non-perturbative magnetic "screening" means  $q_{\perp}^{-3}$  instead of Molière  $q_{\perp}^{-4}$

#### Schlichting Soudi **PRD105** (2022)



#### Non-perturbative classical contribution



- Only classical corrections here, what happens with **quantum corrections** for  $q_{+} > gT?$
- Similar lattice EQCD+pQCD programme in progress for the in-medium jet mass Schlusser Moore PRD102 (2020) JG Moore Schicho Schlusser JHEP02 (2022) JG Schicho Schlusser Weitz 2312.11731

#### Schlichting Soudi **PRD105** (2022)





#### Non-perturbative classical contribution

#### ASK ME ADOUT THE asymptotic mass

- Only classical corrections here, what happens with **quantum corrections** for  $q_{+} > gT?$
- Similar lattice EQCD+pQCD programme in progress for the in-medium jet mass Schlusser Moore PRD102 (2020) JG Moore Schicho Schlusser JHEP02 (2022) JG Schicho Schlusser Weitz 2312.11731

#### See backup slides

udi **PRD105** (2022)





#### The scattering kernel: quantum corrections

• Radiative corrections to momentum broadening are enhanced by soft and collinear logarithms in the single scattering regime  $\Rightarrow$  double logarithm

$$\delta \hat{q} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} \hat{q}_0 \int_{\text{single}}$$

Liou Mueller Wu (2013) Blaizot Dominguez Iancu Mehtar-Tani (2013)

Caucal Mehtar-Tani **PRD106** (2022) **JHEP09** (2022)

$$\frac{d\omega}{\omega}\frac{dk_{\perp}^2}{k_{\perp}^2} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi}\hat{q}_0 \ln^2\left(\frac{L}{\tau_0}\right)$$





#### The scattering kernel: quantum corrections

 Radiative corrections to momentum broadening are enhanced by soft and collinear logarithms in the single scattering regime  $\Rightarrow$  double logarithm

$$\delta \hat{q} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} \hat{q}_0 \int_{\text{singl}}$$

Liou Mueller Wu (2013) Blaizot Dominguez Iancu Mehtar-Tani (2013)

• This log<sup>2</sup> renormalises the LO qhat. *Resum* these logs

$$\begin{split} \hat{q}(\tau, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}) &= \hat{q}^{(0)}(\tau_{0}, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}) + \int_{\tau_{0}}^{\tau} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau'}{\tau'} \int_{Q_{s}^{2}(\tau')}^{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{k}_{\perp}'^{2}}{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}'^{2}} \ \bar{\alpha}_{s}(\mathbf{k}_{\perp}'^{2}) \ \hat{q}(\tau', \mathbf{k}_{\perp}'^{2}) \\ Q_{s}^{2}(\tau) &= \hat{q}(\tau, Q_{s}^{2}(\tau))\tau \,, \end{split}$$

by solving the above numerically and semi-analytically

- $\int_{\text{sle}} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \frac{dk_{\perp}^2}{k_{\perp}^2} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} \hat{q}_0 \ln^2 \left(\frac{L}{\tau_0}\right)$





 $\rightarrow$ 

 $au_0$ 

Caucal Mehtar-Tani **PRD106** (2022) **JHEP09** (2022)





#### Classical and quantum corrections

- Classical: large  $\hat{q}_0(1 + \mathcal{O}(g))$  corrections, non-perturbative all-order determinations. Affect also NLO transport coefficients
- Quantum: large  $\hat{q}_0(1 + \mathcal{O}(g^2 \ln^2(LT)))$ corrections, resummations and renormalisations. Affect also double splitting



#### Classical and quantum corrections

- Classical: large  $\hat{q}_0(1 + \mathcal{O}(g))$  corrections, non-perturbative all-order determinations. Affect also NLO transport coefficients
- Where do they meet in a weakly-coupled plasma? Is there a hierarchy or an interplay?

Quantum: large  $\hat{q}_0(1 + \mathcal{O}(g^2 \ln^2(LT)))$ corrections, resummations and renormalisations. Affect also double splitting





• Radiative correction to the scattering kernel for a medium of scattering centers

$$\delta \mathcal{C}(k_{\perp})_{\mathrm{rad}}^{N=1} = 4\alpha_s C_R \int \frac{dk^+}{k^+} \int \frac{d^2 l_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{C}_0(l_{\perp}) \left[\frac{k_{\perp}}{k_{\perp}^2} - \frac{k_{\perp} + l_{\perp}}{(k_{\perp} + l_{\perp})^2}\right]^2$$

soft DGLAP ( $k^+ \ll p^+$ ) x LO (elastic) scattering kernel x dipole factor

#### LMW: Liou Mueller Wu NPA916 (2013) BDIM: Blaizot Dominguez Iancu Mehtar-Tani JHEP06 (2013) 13





• Radiative correction to the scattering kernel for a medium of scattering centers  $\delta \mathcal{C}(k_{\perp})_{\mathrm{rad}}^{N=1} = 4\alpha_s C_R \int \frac{dk^+}{k^+} \int \frac{d^2 l_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{C}_0(l_{\perp}) \left[\frac{k_{\perp}}{k_{\perp}^2} - \frac{k_{\perp} + l_{\perp}}{(k_{\perp} + l_{\perp})^2}\right]^2 \sim \frac{l_{\perp}^2}{k_{\perp}^4}$ 

soft DGLAP ( $k^+ \ll p^+$ ) x LO (elastic) scattering kernel x dipole factor

• In principle just the first term in opacity series. If  $k_{\parallel} \gg l_{\parallel}$  single-scattering regime  $\alpha_s C_R \int \frac{dk^+}{k^+} \int^{\mu} \frac{d^2 k_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2 k_{\perp}^2} \int \frac{d^2 l_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} l_{\perp}^2 C_0(l_{\perp})$ 

$$\delta \hat{q} = \int^{\mu} \frac{d^2 k_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} k_{\perp}^2 \, \delta \mathcal{C}(k_{\perp})_{\text{rad}}^{\text{single}} = 4\alpha$$

a **triple logarithm**. What are the boundaries? LMW: Liou Mueller Wu NPA916 (2013) BDIM: Blaizot Dominguez Iancu Mehtar-Tani JHEP06 (2013) 13





• Introduce formation time  $\tau \equiv k^+/k_{\perp}^2$ :

$$\int_{a}^{L} \delta \hat{q} = 4\alpha_s C_R \int \frac{dk^+}{k^+} \int_{a}^{\mu} \frac{d^2 k_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2 k_{\perp}^2} \int \frac{d^2 l_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} l_{\perp}^2 C_0(l_{\perp})$$

$$\delta \hat{q} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{\pi} \, \hat{q}_0 \int \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \int \frac{dk^+}{k^+}$$





- Introduce formation time  $\tau \equiv k^+/k_\perp^2$ :
- At double-log accuracy
  - Require  $\mu > k_1$ :  $\tau > k^+/\mu^2$

$$\int_{a}^{L} \delta \hat{q} = 4\alpha_s C_R \int \frac{dk^+}{k^+} \int_{a}^{\mu} \frac{d^2 k_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2 k_{\perp}^2} \int \frac{d^2 l_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} l_{\perp}^2 C_0(l_{\perp})$$

$$\delta \hat{q} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{\pi} \, \hat{q}_0 \int \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \int \frac{dk^+}{k^+}$$





- Introduce formation time  $\tau \equiv k^+/k_{\perp}^2$ :
- At double-log accuracy
  - Require  $\mu > k_{\perp}$ :  $\tau > k^+/\mu^2$
  - Require single scattering  $\tau < \sqrt{k^+/\hat{q}_0}$

$$\hat{\delta}_{K+L} = \delta \hat{q} = 4\alpha_s C_R \int \frac{dk^+}{k^+} \int^{\mu} \frac{d^2 k_\perp}{(2\pi)^2 k_\perp^2} \int \frac{d^2 l_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} l_\perp^2 C_0(l_\perp)$$

$$\hat{\delta}_{K} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{\pi} \hat{q}_0 \int \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \int \frac{dk^+}{k^+}$$

 $\ln k^+$ 







- Introduce formation time  $\tau \equiv k^+/k_{\perp}^2$ :
- At double-log accuracy
  - Require  $\mu > k_{\perp}$ :  $\tau > k^+/\mu^2$
  - Require single scattering  $\tau < \sqrt{k^+/\hat{q}_0}$
  - Enforce instantaneous approx  $\tau > \tau_{\Gamma}$ with  $\tau_{\min} \sim 1/T$

$$\delta \hat{q} = 4\alpha_{s}C_{R}\int \frac{dk^{+}}{k^{+}}\int^{\mu} \frac{d^{2}k_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{2}k_{\perp}^{2}}\int \frac{d^{2}l_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{2}}l_{\perp}^{2}C_{0}(l)$$

$$\delta \hat{q} = \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{R}}{\pi}\hat{q}_{0}\int \frac{d\tau}{\tau}\int \frac{dk^{+}}{k^{+}}$$

$$\ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\hat{q}_{0}}$$

$$\ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\hat{q}_{0}}$$

$$\ln \tau_{\min} = \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\hat{q}_{0}}$$

$$\ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\hat{q}_{0}}$$



- Introduce formation time  $\tau \equiv k^+/k_+^2$ :
- At double-log accuracy
  - Require  $\mu > k_{\perp}$ :  $\tau > k^+/\mu^2$
  - Require single scattering  $\tau < \sqrt{k^+/\hat{q}_0}$
  - Enforce instantaneous approx  $\tau > \tau_{min}$ with  $\tau_{min} \sim 1/T$

$$\delta \hat{q} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{\pi} \, \hat{q}_0 \int_{\tau_{\min}}^{\mu^2/\hat{q}_0} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \int_{\hat{q}_0\tau^2}^{\mu^2\tau} \frac{dk^+}{k^+} =$$

LMW: Liou Mueller Wu NPA916 (2013) BDIM: Blaizot Dominguez Iancu Mehtar-Tani JHEP06 (2013)



### In a weakly coupled QGP

• In a weakly-coupled QGP at first order in the opacity one has

$$\delta \mathcal{C}(k_{\perp})_{\rm wQGP}^{N=1} = 4\alpha_s C_R \int \frac{dk^+}{k^+} \left[ 1 + 2n_{\rm B}(k^+) \right] \int \frac{d^2 l_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{C}_0(l_{\perp}) \left[ \frac{k_{\perp}}{k_{\perp}^2 + m_{\infty}^2} - \frac{k_{\perp} + l_{\perp}}{(k_{\perp} + l_{\perp})^2 + m_{\infty}^2} \right]^2$$

obtained by explicit calculation in Eamonn's thesis, can be derived from AMY

## In a weakly coupled QGP

• In a weakly-coupled QGP at first order in the opacity one has

$$\delta \mathcal{C}(k_{\perp})_{\rm wQGP}^{N=1} = 4\alpha_s C_R \int \frac{dk^+}{k^+} \left[ 1 + 2n_{\rm B}(k^+) \right] \int \frac{d^2 l_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{C}_0(l_{\perp}) \left[ \frac{k_{\perp}}{k_{\perp}^2 + m_{\infty}^2} - \frac{k_{\perp} + l_{\perp}}{(k_{\perp} + l_{\perp})^2 + m_{\infty}^2} \right]^2$$

- Asymptotic mass  $m_{\infty}^2 \sim g^2 T^2$  in the dipole factor for the jet partons
  - $k_{\perp} \gtrsim gT$  and the dipole factor suppre
  - $\tau_{\min} \sim 1/l_{\perp} \lesssim 1/gT$  and these soft scatterings happen at a rate  $\Gamma_{\text{soft}} \sim g^2T$
  - LPM regime when to  $\tau_{\rm LPM} \gtrsim 1/g^2 T$ .
  - $m_{\infty}^2$  irrelevant in the double-log region where  $k_{\perp} \gg l_{\perp}$  and  $k_{\perp} \gg gT$

obtained by explicit calculation in **Eamonn's thesis**, can be derived from **AMY** 

esses 
$$l_{\perp} \ll k_{\perp} \Rightarrow l_{\perp} \gtrsim gT$$

Indeed 
$$\sqrt{k^+/\hat{q}_0} \sim \sqrt{k^+/T} \times 1/g^2 T$$

## In a weakly coupled QGP

- In a weakly-coupled QGP at first order in the opacity one has  $\delta \mathcal{C}(k_{\perp})_{\rm wQGP}^{N=1} = 4\alpha_s C_R \int \frac{dk^+}{k^+} \left[1 + 2n_{\rm B}(k^+)\right] \int \frac{d^2 l_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{C}_0(l_{\perp}) \left[\frac{k_{\perp}}{k_{\perp}^2 + m_{\rm eq}^2} - \frac{k_{\perp} + l_{\perp}}{(k_{\perp} + l_{\perp})^2 + m_{\rm eq}^2}\right]^2$ 
  - obtained by explicit calculation in Eamonn's thesis, can be derived from AMY
- Bose-Einstein distribution  $n_{\rm B}(k^+)$ : not just scattering centers in the medium
  - Stimulated emission

Absorption



#### • Taking LMW/BDIM at face value



- Taking LMW/BDIM at face value
- $1/g^2T$  minimum LPM time going through the triangle



- Taking LMW/BDIM at face value
- $1/g^2T$  minimum LPM time going through the triangle
- $\hat{q}_0 \tau_{\min}^2 \sim g^4 T \Rightarrow$  parts of the triangle at  $k^+ \lesssim T$



- Taking LMW/BDIM at face value
- $1/g^2T$  minimum LPM time going through the triangle
- $\hat{q}_0 \tau_{\min}^2 \sim g^4 T \Rightarrow$  parts of the triangle at  $k^+ \lesssim T$ 
  - $k^+ = T$  for  $gT < \mu < T$



- Taking LMW/BDIM at face value
- $1/g^2T$  minimum LPM time going through the triangle
- $\hat{q}_0 \tau_{\min}^2 \sim g^4 T \Rightarrow$  parts of the triangle at  $k^+ \lesssim T$ 
  - $k^+ = T$  for  $gT < \mu < T$
  - $k^+ = T$  for  $\mu > T$



- Consider for illustration  $gT < \mu < T$
- Blue:  $\tau > 1/g^2T$  and  $k^+ > T$ .  $n_B(k^+)$ irrelevant, few-scattering regime single << few << many (deep LPM)
- Ochre:  $\tau_{int} < \tau < 1/g^2 T$  with  $1/gT < \tau_{int} < 1/g^2 T$  intermediate regulator to separate the few and single scattering regimes



![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

- Consider for illustration  $gT < \mu < T$
- Blue:  $\tau > 1/g^2T$  and  $k^+ > T$ .  $n_{\rm B}(k^+)$ irrelevant, few-scattering regime single << few << many (deep LPM)</pre>
- Ochre:  $\tau_{int} < \tau < 1/g^2 T$  with  $1/gT < \tau_{int} < 1/g^2T$  intermediate **regulator** to separate the few and single scattering regimes
- Hence **regions 1+2** give at double-log accuracy

$$\delta \hat{q}^{\text{few}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{\pi} \hat{q}_0 \int_{\tau_{\text{int}}}^{\mu^2 / \hat{q}_0} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \int_{\hat{q}_0 \tau^2}^{\mu^2 \tau} \frac{dk^+}{k^+} \left[ 1 + 2n_{\text{B}}(k^+) \right] = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{2\pi} \hat{q}_0 \left\{ \frac{2T}{\hat{q}_0 \tau_{\text{int}}^2} + \ln^2 \frac{\mu^2}{\hat{q}_0 \tau_{\text{int}}} - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{\omega_T}{\hat{q}_0 \tau_{\text{int}}^2} \right\}$$

![](_page_33_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_9.jpeg)

 $\omega_T = 2\pi e^{-\gamma_E} T$ 

$$\delta \hat{q}^{\text{few}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{\pi} \hat{q}_0 \int_{\tau_{\text{int}}}^{\mu^2/\hat{q}_0} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \int_{\hat{q}_0\tau^2}^{\mu^2\tau} \frac{dk^+}{k^+} \left[1 + 2n_{\text{B}}(k^+)\right] =$$

• When  $k^+ < T$   $n_{\rm B}(k^+ \ll T) \approx \frac{T}{l_{*}+} - 1/2$ 

- log gets replaced by power-law in  $\tau_{int}$  from classical term
- The contribution from the 2 triangle gets subtracted off from the 1+2 triangle
- At DLA all still a matter of areas of triangles, what happens left of  $\omega_T \sim T$  is not double-log enhanced but power-law (1/g) enhanced
- Need to sort out regulator dependence and classical terms

![](_page_34_Figure_7.jpeg)

$$\delta \hat{q}^{\text{few}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{\pi} \hat{q}_0 \int_{\tau_{\text{int}}}^{\mu^2/\hat{q}_0} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \int_{\hat{q}_0\tau^2}^{\mu^2\tau} \frac{dk^+}{k^+} \left[1 + 2n_{\text{B}}(k^+)\right] =$$

• When  $k^+ < T$   $n_{\rm B}(k^+ \ll T) \approx \frac{T}{l_{*}+} - 1/2$ 

- log gets replaced by power-law in  $\tau_{int}$  from classical term
- The contribution from the 2 triangle gets subtracted off from the 1+2 triangle
- At DLA all still a matter of areas of triangles, what happens left of  $\omega_T \sim T$  is not double-log enhanced but power-law (1/g) enhanced
- Need to sort out regulator dependence and classical terms

![](_page_35_Figure_7.jpeg)

## The single-scattering regime

- Consider for illustration  $gT < \mu < T$
- Magenta:  $\tau < \tau_{int} < 1/g^2T$ , genuine single soft scattering regime
- Here the **formation time overlaps** with the duration (  $\sim 1/gT$ ) of the soft scattering. Need to go beyond instantaneous approximation

![](_page_36_Figure_5.jpeg)

## The single-scattering regime

- for *L* integration JG Hong Kurkela Lu Moore Teaney JHEP1305 (2013)
- Regulator-dependent  $(k_{\text{TR}}^+)$  classical contribution
- Double-log is area of triangle 3, corresponds to **instantaneous approx**
- Non harmonic, non-instantaneous subleading terms. First appearance of **Debye mass**

$$\delta \hat{q}^{\text{single}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{2\pi} \hat{q}_0 \begin{cases} \frac{4Tf(k_{\text{IR}}^+)}{k_{\text{IR}}^+} \end{cases}$$

• **Regions 3+4** can be dealt with using semi-collinear processes, reduce again to EQCD

![](_page_37_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### Connection to classical regime

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Caron-Huot computed the same diagrams for  $K \sim L \sim gT$
- $1/k_{IR}^+$  regulator dependence cancels at the boundary. No double counting
- $n_{\rm B}(k^+ \ll T) \approx T/k^+ 1/2$  naturally switches off quantum corrections and turns them into the classical **ones** within the same diagrams

![](_page_38_Figure_5.jpeg)

## Putting everything together

## Putting everything together

$$\delta \hat{q}^{\text{few}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{2\pi} \hat{q}_0 \left\{ \frac{2T}{\hat{q}_0 \tau_{\text{int}}^2} + \ln^2 \frac{\mu^2}{\hat{q}_0 \tau_{\text{int}}} - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{\omega_T}{\hat{q}_0 \tau_{\text{int}}^2} \right\}$$
$$\delta \hat{q}^{\text{single}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{2\pi} \hat{q}_0 \left\{ \frac{4T f(k_{\text{IR}}^{\dagger})}{k_{\text{IR}}^{\dagger}} + \ln^2 \frac{\mu^2 \tau_{\text{int}}}{\omega_T} \right\}$$

To double-log accuracy

$$\delta \hat{q} = \delta \hat{q}^{\text{few}} + \delta \hat{q}^{\text{single}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{4\pi} \, \hat{q}_0 \ln^2 \frac{\mu^4}{\hat{q}_0 \omega_T}$$

![](_page_40_Figure_5.jpeg)

This corresponds to the area of 1+3, significant reduction from the original triangle

![](_page_40_Picture_8.jpeg)

## Putting everything together

$$\delta \hat{q}^{\text{few}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{2\pi} \hat{q}_0 \left\{ \frac{2T}{\hat{q}_0 \tau_{\text{int}}^2} + \ln^2 \frac{\mu^2}{\hat{q}_0 \tau_{\text{int}}} - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{\omega_T}{\hat{q}_0 \tau_{\text{int}}^2} \right\}$$
$$\delta \hat{q}^{\text{single}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{2\pi} \hat{q}_0 \left\{ \frac{4T f(k_{\text{IR}}^{\dagger})}{k_{\text{IR}}^{\dagger}} + \ln^2 \frac{\mu^2 \tau_{\text{int}}}{\omega_T} \right\}$$

To double-log accuracy

$$\delta \hat{q} = \delta \hat{q}^{\text{few}} + \delta \hat{q}^{\text{single}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{4\pi} \, \hat{q}_0 \ln^2 \frac{\mu^4}{\hat{q}_0 \omega_T}$$

![](_page_41_Figure_5.jpeg)

This corresponds to the area of 1+3, significant reduction from the original triangle

![](_page_41_Picture_8.jpeg)

Higher  $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle$ :  $\mu > T$ 

 $\ln \frac{\mu^2}{\hat{a}_0}$ 

- Our approach can be extended here
- Larger  $\langle l_1^2 \rangle$  semi-collinear rate unavailable
- Previous calculation still valid  $\ln au_{
  m int}$ to DLA if we subtract triangle  $\ln au_{
  m min}$ below  $au_{\min}$  $\ln rac{\omega_T}{\mu^2}$  -

Higher  $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle$ :  $\mu > T$ 

 $\ln k^+$ 

![](_page_43_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_8.jpeg)

- Our approach can be extended here
- Larger  $\langle l_1^2 \rangle$  semi-collinear rate unavailable
- Previous calculation still valid to DLA if we subtract triangle below  $\tau_{\min}$
- Difference with LMW/BDIM smaller. Vertical line cuts the original triangle in two halves of equal surface

 $\ln au_{
m int}$ 

 $\ln au_{
m min}$ 

 $\ln \frac{\omega_T}{\mu^2}$  -

Higher  $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle$ :  $\mu > T$ 

![](_page_44_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_11.jpeg)

$$\delta \hat{q} = \frac{\alpha_s C_R}{4\pi}$$

- logs are unavailable and the scale of  $\hat{q}_0$  is unclear
- thermal effects, generalizing LMW and Iancu JHEP10 (2014)
- (2021) Isaksen Tywoniuk JHEP09 (2023) could be used

## Outlook: beyond DLA

$$\hat{q}_0 \ln^2 \frac{\mu^4}{\hat{q}_0 \omega_T} + \dots$$

• Difficult to gauge impact of these double logs when single logs or smaller double

• Way forward: we present a resummation equation for  $\delta C(k_{\perp})$ , including all needed

• Its solution would **smoothly interpolate** between single, few and many scatterings, shedding light on these issues by going beyond the harmonic oscillator approx

Methods such as improved opacity expansion (Barata Mehtar-Tani Soto-Ontoso Tywoniuk JHEP09 (2021)) or numerics of Andres *et al* JHEP07 (2020), JHEP03

![](_page_45_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_14.jpeg)

#### Conclusions

- The emergence of statistical functions in a weakly-coupled QCD seals off the low-frequency slice of the original LMW triangle to double logs
- There, double-log-enhanced quantum physics makes way to power-law enhanced classical physics
- These results can be used as low  $\tau$  seed to the long- $\tau$  resummations of Caucal and Mehtar-Tani
- Evaluations beyond DLA could shed light on the hierarchy of classical and quantum corrections

![](_page_46_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Vacuum-thermal cancellation

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$< T \ll \nu_{\rm UV}$$

$$+ \underbrace{\frac{2T}{\nu_{\mathrm{IR}}} - \ln \frac{2\pi T}{\nu_{\mathrm{IR}} e^{\gamma_{E}}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\nu_{\mathrm{IR}}}{T}, \exp(-\nu_{\mathrm{UV}}/T)\right)}_{\text{thermal}}$$
$$\ln \frac{\nu_{\mathrm{UV}} e^{\gamma_{E}}}{2\pi T} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\nu_{\mathrm{IR}}}{T}, \exp(-\nu_{\mathrm{UV}}/T)\right)$$

#### Semi-collinear processes

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$\hat{q}(\rho; l^{-}) = g^2 C_A T \int^{\rho} \frac{d^2 l_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{m_D^2 l_{\perp}^2}{(l_{\perp}^2 + l^{-2})(l_{\perp}^2 + l^{-2} + m_D^2)},$$

$$\hat{q}(\rho; l^{-})_{\text{subtr}} = \alpha_s C_A T \left\{ \underbrace{m_D^2 \ln\left(\frac{\rho^2}{m_D^2}\right)}_{\text{HO}} \underbrace{-l^{-2} \ln\left(1 + \frac{m_D^2}{l^{-2}}\right) - m_D^2 \ln\left(1 + \frac{l^{-2}}{m_D^2}\right)}_{l^{-} - \text{dependent}} \right\}$$

$$\hat{d}k^{+} \frac{dk^{+}}{k^{+}} (1 + n_{\rm B}(k^{+}))\hat{q}\left(\rho; \frac{k_{\perp}^{2}}{2k^{+}}\right)$$

#### The resummation equation

$$\delta \mathcal{C}(x_{\perp}) = -2\alpha_s C_R \operatorname{Re} \int \frac{dk^+}{k^{+3}} \left( \frac{1}{2} + n_{\mathrm{B}}(k^+) \right) \int_0^{L_{\mathrm{med}}} d\tau \, \nabla_{\boldsymbol{B}_{2\perp}} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{B}_{1\perp}} \left[ \tilde{G}(\boldsymbol{B}_{2\perp}, \boldsymbol{B}_{1\perp}; \tau) - \operatorname{vac} \right] \Big|_{\boldsymbol{B}_{2\perp}=0, \boldsymbol{B}_1}^{\boldsymbol{B}_{2\perp}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\perp}, \boldsymbol{B}_{2\perp}}$$

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$(|\boldsymbol{B}_{\perp} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\perp}|) - \mathcal{C}_g(x_{\perp})) \bigg\} \tilde{G}(\boldsymbol{B}_{\perp}, \boldsymbol{B}_{1\perp}; \tau)$$

![](_page_50_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Hard partons through the medium • Imagine a hard quark propagating through a medium with $p^+ \equiv \frac{p^0 + p^z}{2} \gg T$ . Dispersive and dissipative interactions $qA^{-}$ $q^2 A_{\perp}^2 / n^+$

- $qA^-$
- $\mathcal{C}(k_{\perp}) \sim g^2 \int_{O} G^{--}(Q) \delta(q^-) \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}_{\perp} \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp})$
- The mass shift is then  $m_{\infty}^2 = g^2 T^2/3$  for a hard quark close to the mass shell

Klimov (1981-82) Weldon (1982)

![](_page_51_Picture_6.jpeg)

### The asymptotic mass

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Classical gluons and the asymptotic mass

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Half of the bosonic integral comes from the  $q \leq T$  region

#### Classical gluons and the asymptotic mass

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

• We can then expect large contributions from soft classical gluons

#### Classical gluons and the asymptotic mass

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### The asymptotic mass, non-perturbatively

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_4.jpeg)

## The asymptotic mass, non-perturbatively

- From Feynman diagrams to EFT operators, concentrate on  $Z_{g}$ 
  - $Z_{\rm g} \equiv \frac{1}{d_{\Lambda}} \left\langle v_{\alpha} F^{\alpha \mu} \frac{1}{(v \cdot D)^2} v_{\nu} F^{\nu}{}_{\mu} \right\rangle$  $= \frac{2}{d_{\Lambda}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}LL \operatorname{Tr} \left\langle U(-\infty;L) v_{\alpha} F^{\alpha\mu}(L) U(L;0) v_{\nu} F^{\nu} \mu(0) U(0;-\infty) \right\rangle$
- Breakthrough: soft classical modes at space-like separations become Euclidean and time-independent. Light-like limit possible, see main talk before for caveats in the case of  $\hat{q}$ .
- Horrible HTL perturbative calculation or extremely challenging 4D lattice on  $Tm_{D}$ the light-cone become 3D Electrostatic QCD (EQCD). NLO  $\delta Z_g = 2\pi$ Caron-Huot (2008)

## The asymptotic mass, non-perturbatively

• From Feynman diagrams to EFT operators, concentrate on  $Z_g$ 

![](_page_58_Figure_2.jpeg)

- **Our strategy**: lattice EQCD for  $L \gtrsim 1/m_D$ , pQCD for  $L \leq 1/m_D \sim 1/gT$ What does it mean in practice?
- Recently: continuum-extrapolated EQCD lattice data for the scattering kernel and merging with pQCD Moore Schlusser **PRD101** (2020) Moore Schlichting Schlusser Soudi **JHEP2110** (2021) Schlichting Soudi **PRD105** (2022)

$$\left\langle L(L;0) v_{\nu} F^{\nu} \mu(0) U(0;-\infty) \right\rangle$$

#### EQCD

$$Z_{\rm g} = \frac{2}{d_A} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}LL \,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Big\langle U(-\infty;L)\Big\rangle$$

$$S_{\text{EQCD}} = \int_{\vec{x}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} F_{ij} F_{ij} + \operatorname{Tr} [D] \right\}$$

Kajantie Laine Rummukainen Shaposhnikov (1995-97) Braaten Nieto (1994-95)

perturbatively at all orders. But how?

 $\left| v_{\alpha} F^{\alpha\mu}(L) U(L;0) v_{\nu} F^{\nu} \mu(0) U(0;-\infty) \right\rangle$ 

• EQCD is the *dimensionally-reduced* (3D) EFT for the classical modes, which correspond to the Euclidean zero modes. 3D SU(3) + adjoint Higgs ( $A_0 \rightarrow \Phi$ )

 $D_i, \Phi][D_i, \Phi] + m_D^2 \operatorname{Tr} \Phi^2 + \lambda_E (\operatorname{Tr} \Phi^2)^2 \}$ 

• By putting EQCD on the lattice we can get the classical contribution non-

![](_page_59_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

$$Z_{\rm g} = \frac{2}{d_A} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}LL \,\mathrm{Tr}\left\langle U(-\infty;L)v_\alpha F^{\alpha\mu}(L) \,U(L;0) \,v_\nu F^\nu \,\mu(0)U(0;-\infty)\right\rangle$$

- In practice, we get continuum-extrapolated results for  $\operatorname{Tr}\left\langle U(-\infty;L)F(L) U(L;0) F(0)U(0;-\infty) \right\rangle_{\text{EOCD}}$  at a few discrete values of *L*. Moore Schlusser PRD102 (2020) JG Moore Schicho Schlusser JHEP02 (2021)
- We need to match to the 4D continuum, since EQCD has the wrong UV
- Start by computing the EQCD correlator to NLO

![](_page_60_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### EQCD

![](_page_60_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### EQCD results

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### EQCD results

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

- IR tails modeled by non-perturbative exp. falloff (magnetic screening)
- UV tails handled by perturbative EQCD

#### JG Moore Schicho Schlusser (2021)

## Matching to full QCD

- Integration UV-divergent  $(L \rightarrow 0)$
- EQCD super-renormalizable,  $\langle FF \rangle$
- is easily verified for the power law, that can simply be subtracted
- $-c_2 \frac{g^2 T}{I^2} \theta(L_0 L)$  and integrate numerically the UV-subtracted EQCD data

$$Z_{g}^{EQCD} = \frac{T}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} dL L \left( \langle EE \rangle - \langle BB \rangle - \langle EB \rangle \right)$$
$$F(L \to 0) = c_{0} \frac{1}{L^{3}} + c_{2} \frac{g^{2}T}{L^{2}} + \dots$$

• Only the first two terms give rise to power-law and log divergences. They must cancel with the IR limits of a bare calculation in full thermal QCD. This

• For the log in a first stage we introduce an intermediate cutoff regulator

#### JG Moore Schicho Schlusser (2021)

![](_page_63_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_13.jpeg)

## Matching to full QCD

thermal QCD

![](_page_64_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Only diagram *c* matters in Feynman gauge
- Translated the cutoff to dimensional regularisation. UV pole of EQCD cancels IR pole of QCD, leaving behind a  $g^2 T^2 \ln(T/m_D)$  term. Regulator dependence gone! Regulator-independent classical contribution negative

• Proper handling of the log divergence requires the two-loop calculation in

![](_page_64_Picture_9.jpeg)

JG Schicho Schlusser Weitz 2312.11731

![](_page_64_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_13.jpeg)

## Matching to full QCD

thermal QCD

![](_page_65_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Only diagram *c* matters in Feynman gauge
- Remainder of the calculation suggests emergence of double-logarithmic enhancements in the jet's energy

• Proper handling of the log divergence requires the two-loop calculation in

![](_page_65_Picture_8.jpeg)

Hard

IG Schicho Schlusser Weitz 2312.11731

![](_page_65_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_12.jpeg)