

QED theory of hyperfine structure

Krzysztof Pachucki

University of Warsaw



Nucleon Spin Structure at Low Q: A Hyperfine View
ECT Trento, July 2-6, 2018

QED theory of compound particles

- Proton radius puzzle: how come r_p from (electronic) H differs by 4% from that of μH ?
- High precision test of the lepton universality by comparison of hfs in eH and μH , and as well as in other systems
- QED of point particles with the anomalous magnetic moment is not renormalizable
- Nontrivial QED expansion the for finite size particles
- One can not separate QED from the nuclear structure effects in hfs
- Little progress in accurate calculation of nuclear structure effects for nuclei $\neq p$
- Cancellation between elastic and inelastic contributions
- Several misconceptions and missing contributions: (inelastic) three photon exchange

Measurements of atomic properties

Measurement of transition frequencies can be very accurate
[Garching, 2013]

- $\nu(1S - 2S)_H = 2466\,061\,413\,187\,018(11)$ Hz
- sensitive to the nuclear size and to the nuclear polarizability
- from $\nu(1S - 2S)_{H-D}$: $r_d^2 - r_p^2 = 3.820\,07(65)$ fm²

Another example: hydrogen(-like) hyperfine splitting:

- $\nu(H) = 1\,420\,405\,751.768(1)$ Hz, $\frac{\nu_{\text{exp}} - \nu_{\text{QED}}}{\nu_F} = -33$ ppm
- $\nu(D) = 327\,384\,352.522\,2(17)$ Hz, $\frac{\nu_{\text{exp}} - \nu_{\text{QED}}}{\nu_F} = 138$ ppm
- $\nu(^3\text{He}^+) = -8\,665\,649\,867(10)$ Hz, $\frac{\nu_{\text{exp}} - \nu_{\text{QED}}}{\nu_F} = -213$ ppm

Proton charge radius puzzle

- $\delta_{fs} E = (2 \pi \alpha / 3) \phi^2(0) \langle r_p^2 \rangle$
- $H(2S - 4P)$ by Maisenbacher *et al*, Garching (2017),
 $r_p = 0.8335(95)$ fm, (in agreement with μH)
- $H(1S - 3S)$ by Fleurbaey *et al*, Paris (2018), $r_p = 0.877(13)$ fm,
(in agreement with the previous eH)
- $H(1S - 3S)$ by Matveev *et al*, Garching, announced on PSAS
(2018), $r_p = \dots$ fm, (in agreement with μH)
- If the hydrogen spectroscopy gets into an agreement with μH ,
what is the impact on interpretation of e-p scattering data and on
hfs predictions ?

QED theory of hfs in H

- $E_{\text{hfs}} = E_{\text{hfs}}(\alpha, m/M, r_E, g_N, \dots)$
- power expansion in α at constant values of nuclear parameters (which in principle depend on α)
- $E_{\text{hfs}}(\alpha) = E^{(4)} + E^{(5)} + E^{(6)} + E^{(7)} + o(\alpha^8)$
- $E^{(4)}$ corresponds to 1γ , $E^{(5)}$ corresponds to 2γ , $E^{(6)}$ corresponds to $3 - \infty, \gamma$, and so on
- a convenient collection of expansion terms involve α and $Z\alpha$, to distinguish exchange from self-energy photons

Nonrecoil, point nucleus QED

- $E_{\text{hfs}}(\alpha, Z\alpha) = E_{\text{hfs}}(Z\alpha) + \alpha E_{\text{hfs}}^{(1)}(Z\alpha) + \alpha^2 E_{\text{hfs}}^{(2)}(Z\alpha) + O(\alpha^3)$
- $E_F = \frac{8}{3} (Z\alpha)^4 (1 + \kappa) \frac{\mu^3}{mM} c^2$, for both $s = 1/2$.
- The electrom magnetic moment anomaly to all orders
 $\delta E_{\text{hfs}} = a_e E_F$
- $E_{\text{hfs}} = \langle \bar{\psi} | \mathbf{e} \vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{A}_I | \psi \rangle = E_F \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} (Z\alpha)^2 + \frac{17}{8} (Z\alpha)^4 + \dots \right)$
- $E_{\text{hfs}}^{(1)} = e^2 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4 i} \frac{1}{k^2} \delta_{\text{hfs}} \langle \bar{\psi} | \gamma^\mu \frac{1}{\not{p} - \not{k} - \gamma^0 V - m} \gamma_\mu | \psi \rangle$
 $E_{\text{hfs}}^{(1)} = E_F \left[\alpha (Z\alpha) (\ln 2 - \frac{13}{4}) + \alpha (Z\alpha)^2 \dots \right]$, beyond amm
- $E_{\text{hfs}}^{(2)} = E_F \alpha^2 (Z\alpha) \dots$, beyond amm
- all α^3 corrections (in external field approximation) are known

Nonrecoil, finite size nucleus

- \vec{A}_I and V includes finite nuclear size (elastic formfactors only) and all initial formulas hold
- The leading order (in α) correction is given by the Zemach radius $\delta_Z E^{(5)} = -2(Z\alpha) m r_Z E_F$, where $r_Z = \int d^3r d^3r' \rho_E(r) \rho_M(r') |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|$
- $O(Z\alpha)^3$ finite size corrections are obtained numerically (Indelicato)
- radiative finite size corrections $\sim E_F \alpha (Z\alpha) \dots$ (KP, Eides, Martynenko)

Recoil, finite size, nuclear polarizability

- Complete treatment of the two-photon exchange $\sim (Z\alpha) E_F$:
 $\delta E = \delta_Z E + \delta_R E + \delta_{\text{pol}} E = \Delta E_F$, for μH :
 $\Delta = -6201(20)\text{ppm}$ (Tomalak 2017), (many works, next talks)
- three photon exchange with recoil

$$\delta E = (Z\alpha)^2 \frac{\mu^2}{mM} \tilde{E}_F \left\{ \left[2(1 + \kappa) + \frac{7\kappa^2}{4} \right] \ln(Z\alpha)^{-1} - \left[8(1 + \kappa) - \frac{\kappa(12 - 11\kappa)}{4} \right] \ln 2 + \frac{65}{18} + \frac{\kappa(11 + 31\kappa)}{36} \right\}$$

- radiative recoil $\sim \alpha (Z\alpha) \frac{m}{M} E_F$ only estimations for H: $10^{-7} E_F$
- three photon inelastic contribution and the radiative loop on the nucleon line are unknown for H and μH , needs to be estimated !
- lack of correct calculation of nuclear polarizability correction to hfs in μD !

Hyperfine splitting with compound nucleus

$$E_F = -\frac{2}{3} \langle \psi | \vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{\mu}_e \delta^3(\mathbf{r}) | \psi \rangle = \frac{Z e^2}{6} \frac{\psi^2(0)}{M m} g \vec{S} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$$

For deuterium

$$\frac{(\nu_{\text{exp}} - \nu_{\text{QED}})}{\nu_F} = 138 \text{ ppm},$$

Zemach correction

$$\frac{\delta E_{\text{Zemach}}}{E_{\text{hfs}}} = \frac{2\alpha m}{\pi^2} \int \frac{d^3k}{k^4} \left[\frac{G_E(-k^2) G_M(-k^2)}{1 + \kappa} - 1 \right] = -2\alpha m r_Z$$

does not properly accounts for the nuclear size effect, except for hydrogen ...

Leading nuclear structure correction to hfs

$$\delta E_{\text{hfs}} = \frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(\omega^2 - k^2)^2} \left(\delta^{ik} - \frac{k^i k^k}{\omega^2} \right) \left(\delta^{jl} - \frac{k^j k^l}{\omega^2} \right) t^{ji} T^{kl} \psi^2(0)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} t^{ji} &= e^2 \left[\langle \bar{u}(p) | \gamma^j \frac{1}{\not{p} - \not{k} - m} \gamma^i | u(p) \rangle + \langle \bar{u}(p) | \gamma^i \frac{1}{\not{p} + \not{k} - m} \gamma^j | u(p) \rangle \right] \\ &= e^2 i \omega \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k \frac{2(\omega^2 - k^2)}{(\omega^2 - 2m\omega - k^2)(\omega^2 + 2m\omega - k^2)} \end{aligned}$$

p is the momentum at rest, T^{kl} is the corresponding virtual Compton scattering amplitude off the nucleus.

One splits the nuclear structure correction δE_{hfs} into three parts:

$$\delta E_{\text{hfs}} = \delta E_{\text{Low}} + \delta E_{\text{Zemach}} + \delta E_{\text{pol}}.$$

The main idea behind this expansion is the existence of an expansion parameter in the effective nuclear Hamiltonian, namely the ratio of the characteristic momentum Q of a nucleon to its mass m_p , which is about 0.10 – 0.15 in typical nuclei.

δE_{Low} is the leading correction to hfs of order $Z \alpha m r_N$, [Friar, 2005].

$$\delta E_{\text{Low}} = \frac{\alpha}{16} \psi^2(0) \vec{\sigma} \sum_{a \neq b} \frac{\mathbf{e}_a \mathbf{e}_b}{m_b} \left\langle 4 r_{ab} \vec{r}_{ab} \times \vec{p}_b + \frac{g_b}{r_{ab}} [\vec{r}_{ab} (\vec{r}_{ab} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_b) - 3 \vec{\sigma}_b r_{ab}^2] \right\rangle$$

δE_{Zemach} is a coherent sum of Zemach radii from all nucleons

$$\delta E_{\text{Zemach}} = \frac{e^2}{6} \frac{\psi^2(0)}{m_p m} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (-2 \alpha m) \left\langle \sum_a g_a \vec{s}_a r_{aZ} \right\rangle.$$

The proton and neutron Zemach radius are 1.086(12) fm and -0.042 fm respectively.

δE_{pol} accounts for all the nuclear structure corrections, which are Q/m_p smaller than the leading δE_{Low} contribution.

Interaction of nucleus with the electromagnetic field

Universal interaction Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{\text{int}} = & \frac{\vec{\pi}^2}{2M} + ZeA^0 - \frac{Ze}{2M} g \vec{S} \cdot \vec{B} + \frac{Ze}{4M^2} (g-1) \vec{S} \cdot (\vec{\pi} \times \vec{E} - \vec{E} \times \vec{\pi}) \\
 & - \left[\sum_a \frac{e_a}{2m_a} (\vec{l}_a + g_a \vec{s}_a) - \frac{Ze}{2M} g \vec{S} \right] \cdot \vec{B} \\
 & - \sum_a e_a \vec{x}_a \left(\vec{E} + \frac{1}{2M} \vec{\pi} \times \vec{B} - \frac{1}{2M} \vec{B} \times \vec{\pi} \right) \\
 & + \sum_a \left\{ -\frac{e_a}{2} (x_a^i x_a^j - x_a^2 \delta^{ij}/3) E_{,j} - \left[\frac{e_a}{2m_a} (g_a - 1) - \frac{Ze}{2M} \right] \vec{s}_a \times \vec{x}_a \cdot \partial_t \vec{E} \right. \\
 & \left. - \frac{e_a}{6m_a} (l_a^j x_a^i + x_a^i l_a^j) B_{,i}^j - \frac{e_a}{2m_a} g_a x_a^i s_a^j B_{,i}^j + \frac{Ze}{6M} (\vec{l}_a \times \vec{x}_a - \vec{x}_a \times \vec{l}_a) \cdot \partial_t \vec{E} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

does not depend on the internal nucleon interactions

Vector polarizability

We will identify the antisymmetric part of T^{ij} with the vector polarizability

$$\alpha^{ij} = (T^{ij} - T^{ji})/2.$$

For small photon momenta the elastic part of the vector polarizability is

$$\alpha^{ij} = i \epsilon^{ijk} \frac{(Z e)^2}{M^2 \omega} \left[\omega^2 S^k (g - 1) - \vec{k}^2 S^k g/2 - k^k (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{S}) g (g - 2)/4 \right]$$

and the corresponding correction to the hyperfine splitting δE_{hfs} is

$$\delta E_{\text{hfs}} = e^2 (Z e)^2 \psi^2(0) \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{S}}{M^2} \frac{1}{64 \pi^2} \left[\ln \left(\frac{2\Lambda}{m} \right) (g^2 - 4g - 12) + \frac{1}{6} (g^2 + 124g + 4) \right]. \quad (1)$$

Other contributions are obtained from H_{int}

Vector polarizability correction to hfs

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta E_{\text{pol}} = & \frac{e^2}{64 \pi^2} \psi^2(0) \sigma^k \left\{ -12 i \epsilon^{ijk} \langle D^i \text{Ln } D^j \rangle \right. \\
 & + 4 i \epsilon^{ijk} \langle (\mu^i - \langle \mu^i \rangle) \text{Ln} (\mu^j - \langle \mu^j \rangle) \rangle - \frac{3 i}{M^2} \epsilon^{ijk} \langle Q^{i'l} \text{Ln } Q^{j'l} \rangle \\
 & + \frac{4 i}{M} \sum_a \left[\frac{\mathbf{e}_a}{m_a} \left(\frac{g_a}{3} + 1 \right) + \frac{Z \mathbf{e}}{M} \right] \langle Q^i \text{Ln } x_a^i s_a^k - \text{h.c.} \rangle \\
 & + \frac{2 i}{M} \sum_a \left[\frac{\mathbf{e}_a}{m_a} + \frac{3 Z \mathbf{e}}{4 M} \right] \langle Q^i \text{Ln} (l_a^k x_a^i + x_a^i l_a^k) - \text{h.c.} \rangle \\
 & - \frac{16}{M^2} \epsilon^{ijk} \langle D^i \text{Ln } Q^j \rangle - \frac{14}{M^2} \langle \vec{D} \times \vec{Q} \rangle^k + \left(\frac{Z \mathbf{e}}{M} \right)^2 s^k \left[\frac{3}{2} g^2 + 26 g - 10 \right] \\
 & \left. - \sum_a \left(\frac{\mathbf{e}_a}{m_a} \right)^2 \langle s_a^k \rangle \left[\frac{3}{2} g_a^2 + 26 g_a - 10 \right] \right\} + \sum_a \frac{e^2}{6 m m_a} \psi^2(0) \vec{\sigma} \langle \vec{s}_a \rangle \delta g_a,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Ln} = \ln[2(H_N - E_N)/m]$, $\vec{D} = \sum_a \mathbf{e}_a \vec{x}_a$, $\vec{Q} = \sum_a \mathbf{e}_a \vec{q}_a/m_a$,
 $Q^{ij} = \sum_a \mathbf{e}_a [x_a^i q_a^j + q_a^i x_a^j - (\vec{x}_a \vec{q}_a + \vec{q}_a \vec{x}_a) \delta^{ij}/3]/m_a$.

Vector polarizability correction to deuterium hfs

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta E_{\text{pol}} = & \frac{e^2}{64 \pi^2} \psi^2(0) \sigma^k \left\{ -12 i \epsilon^{ijk} \langle e R^i / 2 \ln[2(H - E)/m] e R^j / 2 \rangle \right. \\
 & - S^k \left[\frac{e(g_p - g_n)}{2 m_p} \right]^2 \langle \ln[2(H_S - E)/m] \rangle \\
 & + \frac{4 S^k}{m_p} \left(\frac{g_p - g_n}{3} + 1 \right) \langle e R^i / 2 (H_T - E) \ln[2(H_T - E)/m] e R^i / 2 \rangle \\
 & + S^k \left(\frac{e}{m_p} \right)^2 \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{3}{2} g_d^2 + 26 g_d - 10 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2} (g_p^2 + g_n^2) + 26 g_p - 10 \right) \right] \\
 & \left. + \frac{e^2}{12 m m_p} \psi^2(0) \vec{\sigma} \vec{S} (\delta g_p + \delta g_n), \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{R} = \vec{r}_p - \vec{r}_n$ and H_T and H_S are nonrelativistic proton-neutron Hamiltonians in the triplet and singlet states respectively.

Li: ground state hyperfine structure

Fermi contact interaction

$$H_{\text{hfs}} = \frac{2g_N Z \alpha}{3mM} \sum_a \vec{I} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_a \pi \delta^3(r_a).$$

Finite nuclear size effect:

$$H_{\text{size}} = -H_{\text{hfs}} 2Z \alpha m r_Z$$

where

$$r_Z = \int d^3r d^3r' \rho_E(r) \rho_M(r') |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|$$

Li: hyperfine structure

	${}^7\text{Li}$ [MHz]	${}^6\text{Li}$ [MHz]
$A^{(4)}$	401.654 08(21)	152.083 69(11)
$A_{\text{rec}}^{(5)}$	-0.004 14	-0.001 80
$A^{(6)}$	0.260 08(2)	0.098 48(1)
$A^{(7)}$	-0.010 2(13)	-0.003 9(5)
A_{the} (point nucleus)	401.899 8(13)	152.176 5(5)
A_{exp}	401.752 043 3(5)	152.136 839(2)
$(A_{\text{exp}} - A_{\text{the}})/A_{\text{exp}}$	-368(3) ppm	-261(3) ppm
r_Z	3.25(3) fm	2.30(3) fm
r_E	2.390(30) fm	2.540(28) fm

significant dependence of r_Z on the isotope