Nuclear Matter Properties in Neutron-Star Mergers

Max Jacobi



in collaboration with:
Federico Guercilena
Sabrina Huth
Giacomo Ricigliano
Almudena Arcones
Achim Schwenk

MICRA 2023
September 13, 2023

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT
EL EM EN TS
SFB 1245
erc
European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

Characterizing EOS effects in mergers

Usually: study EOS effects in mergers with selection of EOSs from literature

- Vary in many aspects and underlying microphysics

This work: use EOSs based on the same Skyrme EDF parametrization

Lattimer & Swesty, NuPhA 535 (1991), Schneider, Roberts, Ott, PRC 96 (2017)

- Consistent microphysics
- Systematically vary parameters one by one



The properties of nuclear matter



Expansion in density and proton fraction:

$$\chi = \frac{(n - n_0)}{3n_0} \qquad \beta = \frac{n_n - n_p}{n}$$

$$\frac{E}{A}(\chi, \beta) \approx -B + \frac{1}{2}K\chi^2 + S(\chi)\beta^2 + \mathcal{O}(\chi^3)$$

$$S(\chi) = E_{\rm sym} + L\chi + K_{\rm sym}\chi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\chi^3)$$

$$P(n = n_0) \propto L\beta^2 \qquad \frac{\partial P}{\partial n}(n = n_0) \propto K + K_{\rm sym}\beta^2$$

Change model parameters to vary expansion parameters

Skyrme parametrization

Parametrization of bulk energy density

Lattimer & Swesty, NuPhA 535 (1991)

$$\epsilon(n, Y_e, T) = \sum_t \frac{\tau_t(n, Y_e, T)}{2m^*(n)} - Y_e n \Delta + \left[a + 4bY_e(1 - Y_e)\right] n^2 + cn^{1+\delta}$$

- Fix n_0, B, E_{sym}, K

$\rightarrow$ 4 free parameters: a, b, c, δ

SROEOS code $\rightarrow$ add non-uniform nuclear matter, electrons, positrons, photons

Schneider, Roberts, Ott, PRC 96 (2017)

Schneider+, PRC 100 (2019) ; Yasin+, PRL 124 (2020) ; Andersen+, PRC 923 (2021) ; Fields+ APJ 952 (2023)

BNS simulations with the Einstein Toolkit

- One simulation per EOS
- Total mass $2.73 M_\odot$, mass ratio $= 1$
- $M_{\rm chirp} = 1.188 M_\odot$ (GW170817)

  Abbott+ PRL 119 (2017)
- Einstein Toolkit + WhiskyTHC

  einsteintoolkit.org; Radice+, CQGra 31, (2014)
- Neutrino transport:
  - Emission: local leakage scheme

    Galeazzi+, PRD 88 (2013)
  - Absorption: ray-by-ray "M0" scheme

    Radice+, MNRAS 460 (2016)

Remnant dynamics



Variations based on LS220 Yasin+, PRL 124 (2020)

Remnant dynamics



Variations based on LS220 Yasin+, PRL 124 (2020)

1. $K = (175\,, 220\,, 255)\,\mathrm{MeV}$
   - $\uparrow K \rightarrow \uparrow$ slope of $P_{cold}(\rho = \rho_0)$
   - $\uparrow P$ at high ρ
   - Collapse outcome



Remnant dynamics



Variations based on LS220 Yasin+, PRL 124 (2020)

2. $m^*/m_n = (1\,, 0.8\,, 0.634)$
   - $\downarrow m^*$
   - $\uparrow L,\, K_{sym} \rightarrow P_{cold}$



Remnant dynamics



Variations based on LS220 Yasin+, PRL 124 (2020)

2. $m^*/m_n = (1\,, 0.8\,, 0.634)$
   - $\downarrow m^*$
   - $\uparrow L, K_{sym} \to P_{cold}$

Slope of P → timescale of contraction



Remnant dynamics



Variations based on LS220 Yasin+, PRL 124 (2020)

3. Systematically match Shen EOS

Shen+, PThP 100 (1998)

- $\rho \approx \rho_0 \rightarrow$ similar
- $\rho > \rho_0 \rightarrow$ diverge



Remnant dynamics



Variations based on LS220 Yasin+, PRL 124 (2020)

- softer EOS $\rightarrow \uparrow$ shock heating
- $\uparrow \Gamma_{\rm th} \rightarrow \uparrow$ shock heating

$$\Gamma_{\rm th} := 1 + \frac{P_{\rm th}}{\epsilon_{\rm th}} \approx \frac{5}{3} - \frac{n}{m^*}\frac{\partial m^*}{\partial n}$$





Remnant dynamics







- Higher K, lower m^* $\rightarrow$ heavier disk
- Disk definition is ambiguous
- NS deformation linked to incompressibility?

Gravitational waves



- Post-merger GW amplitude decay
  → $m = 2$ deformation



Gravitational waves



- Post-merger GW amplitude decay $\rightarrow m = 2$ deformation
- Peak frequency correlated with compactness

$\Rightarrow$ Results match universal relations ($\pm 10\%$)

Rezzolla & Takami, PRD 93 (2016),

Bauswein+, EPJA 52 (2016),

Kiuchi+, PRD 101 (2020)

Gravitational waves



- Post-merger GW amplitude decay $\rightarrow m = 2$ deformation
- Peak frequency correlated with compactness

$\Rightarrow$ Results match universal relations ($\pm 10\%$)

Rezzolla & Takami, PRD 93 (2016),

Bauswein+, EPJA 52 (2016),

Kiuchi+, PRD 101 (2020)

- Shen and SkShen **very** similar

$\Rightarrow$ GW spectrum depends on EOS at n_0

Mass ejection



- Tidal ejecta: low Y_e, equatorial



Mass ejection



- Tidal ejecta: low Y_e, equatorial
- Shock heated ejecta: broad Y_e, θ



Mass ejection



- Tidal ejecta: low Y_e, equatorial
- Shock heated ejecta: broad Y_e, θ
- Disk ejecta: spiral wave + ν-driven wind



Mass ejection



Mass ejection



Talk by Giacomo Ricigliano tomorrow ⇒ Nucleosynthesis and lightcurves

Summary

- BNS simulations with systematic EOS variations
- Investigated dependence of
  - Remnant contraction, heating, & deformation
  - GW amplitude & frequencies
  - Ejection of matter
- Differentiate stiffness of EOS at nuclear & supranuclear densities



Summary

- BNS simulations with systematic EOS variations
- Investigated dependence of
  - Remnant contraction, heating, & deformation
  - GW amplitude & frequencies
  - Ejection of matter
- Differentiate stiffness of EOS at nuclear & supranuclear densities







Thank you for the attention