EXPLORING MACROSCOPIC QUANTUM MECHANICS WITH THE LEVITATED OPTOMECHANICS TOOLBOX

Yaakov Fein University of Vienna Quantum sensing and fundamental physics with levitated mechanical systems 01.08.2023





- Levitated optomechanics: the platform of choice
- Overview of group activities
- Levitated optomechanics for macroscopic superpositions
 - Protocol and experimental implementation
 - UHV loading
 - The role of internal temperature
 - Pulse control and stabilization
- Outlook and summary



Quantum control of optomechanical systems

Macroscopic **clamped oscillators** have been manipulated into the quantum regime for over a decade¹

- Can engineer high f_m to achieve "thermal freeze-out" in cryo²
- Ground state via resolved-sideband cooling also demonstrated^{3,4}
 - ... but challenging at room temperature:

$$Q_m f_m \gtrsim \frac{k_B T_{bath}}{h} \approx 6 \times 10^{12}$$



- 1. Aspelmeyer et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. **86**, 1391 (2014)
- 2. O'Connell et al., Nature 464, 697 (2010)
- 3. Teufel et al., Nature 475, 359 (2011)
- 4. Chan et al., Nature **478**, 89 (2011)



Levitated systems

For studying macroscopic quantum mechanics, **levitated systems** area particularly promising¹

- Freedom from substrate dramatically increases coherence
 - Extremely high quality factors possible²
- Flexible potential landscapes
- Flexible platforms: optical, electric, magnetic- and combinations thereof



- 1. Gonzalez-Ballestero et al., Science **374**, 6564 (2021)
- 2. Dania et al., https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.02408v1 (2023)

Cooling techniques

Generating "interesting" quantum states often requires a pure starting state \rightarrow ground-state cooling

- Passive: Resolved-sideband cooling
 - *Red-detuned drive in resolved-sideband regime*
 - Coherent scattering avoids laser phase noise, co-trapping





- Active: feedback
 - Measure near Heisenberg limit and provide feedback to particle motion
 - Kalman filter for optimal control
 - No cavity required

Gonzalez-Ballestero et al., Science 374, 6564 (2021)

universität



Fundamental goals

We now have mesoscopic systems in their quantum ground states... what can we do with them?

Macroscopic quantum superpositions

- New mass regime to probe linearity of QM
- *Robustly rule out modifications (collapse, etc.)*

Quantum gravity

- What is the spacetime metric of a superposed object?
- Can masses be entangled by gravity?



Bose et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 240401 (2017) Mareltto and Vedral, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 240402 (2017)



Levitated optomechanics: the platform of choice

Overview of group activities

- Levitated optomechanics for macroscopic superpositions
 - Protocol and experimental implementation
 - UHV loading
 - The role of internal temperature
 - Pulse control and stabilization
- Outlook and summary

Trap arrays

Goal: explore richer physics beyond single nanoparticles in harmonic traps

- Tunable dipole-dipole coupling¹ and collective states from nonequilibrium dynamics
- Coulomb entanglement between charged nanoparticles²





universität wien

Rieser et al., Science **377** (2022)
 Rudolph et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 193602 (2022)

Potential landscape engineering

Goal: Exploit non-quadratic optical potential landscapes in levitated experiments

- **Double well**: out-of-equilibrium thermodynamics
- Inverted harmonic: "dark trapping" to avoid internal heating











Maglev

Goal: develop magnetic levitation of superconductors for quantum experiments



- Larger masses than optical levitation schemes (µg vs fg)
- Cryo environment
- Readout schemes: optical, DC/microwave SQUIDs



Hofer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 043603 (2023)



Classical gravity experiments

Goal: top-down approach to isolate gravitational force at unprecedented scales



- "Milli-g": scaled-down Cavendish experiment
 - Smallest measured gravitational source mass (92 mg)
- "ISLE": probe gravity at 10 μm separations
 - Probe existence of a gravitational extra dimension



Westphal et al., Nature 591, 225 (2021)



- Levitated optomechanics: the platform of choice
- Overview of group activities
- Levitated optomechanics for macroscopic superpositions
 - Protocol and experimental implementation
 - UHV loading
 - The role of internal temperature
 - Pulse control and stabilization
- Outlook and summary

State of the art

COM:

- Heaviest: 25,000 amu, beam of organic molecules in Talbot-Lau configuration¹
- Longest hold time: 70 s, in lattice atom interferometer²
- Largest separation: 54 cm in 10-m atomic fountain³

Non-COM:

- Most atoms: 10¹⁷ atoms in vibrational state of μg resonator⁴
- **Biggest current:** 2-3 μA of 10⁹ Cooper pairs in SQUID⁵

- 1. Fein et al., Nature Physics **15**, 1242 (2019)
- 2. Panda et al., https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.07289v2 (2022)
- 3. Kovachy et al., Nature 528, 530 (2015)
- 4. Bild et al., Science **380**, 274 (2023)
- 5. Friedman et al., Nature 406, 43 (2000)









Options to create non-Gaussian states

How to do this with 10⁹ amu?

- Nonlinear measurement
 - $\widehat{M}_b = \langle b | U_{int} | \phi \rangle$ nonlinear
 - e.g., \hat{x}^2 measurement¹: $|x\rangle + |-x\rangle$
- Coupling to auxiliary nonlinear system
 - e.g., coupling motional states to a two level system²: $|\psi\rangle\otimes|$ qubit \rangle
 - Can combine them in the particle (e.g., spin-dependent force³)
- Non-quadratic potential
 - Manipulate \widehat{H}_{system}
 - Levitodynamics offers a possible route⁴



 \widehat{H}_{system} \widehat{H}_{int}

 $\widehat{H}_{meter'}$



Two-level ion



3. Wan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 143003 (2016)

 \blacksquare Measure $|b\rangle\langle b|$: $|\psi\rangle \propto \widehat{M}_{b}|\psi\rangle$

Harmonic trap

4. Roda-Llordes et al., arXiv:2303.07959v1 (2023)

- 1. Romero-Isart et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 020405 (2011)
- 2. Blatt and Wineland, Nature **453**, 1008 (2008)



Macroscopic superpositions

The goal: prepare COM non-Gaussian state of a macroscopic object via non-quadratic potential

The requirements:

- 1. Pure starting state
- 2. Non-quadratic potential
- 3. σ_x large enough to "see" the potential (1 and 3 $\rightarrow \xi$)
- 4. $\sigma_p < \Delta p_{\text{fringes}}$





Image: Emilio Pisanty, CC BY-SA 4.0

The challenge: time

- Free expansion takes time
- Mapping fringes from p to x takes time
- Decoherence gives a time budget

universität wien

Decoherence mechanisms

Levitated nanoparticles in vacuum are perfectly isolated, right...?

- Gas collisions
 - Source: single gas collision "localizes" particle
 - Solution: UHV
- Photon recoil
 - Source: photons scattering from nanoparticle provide COM information
 - Solution: limit light, increase λ (rate $\propto \lambda^{-3}$)
- Blackbody radiation
 - Source: Blackbody photons from environment **and** from the particle itself
 - Solution: avoid internal heating





- Levitated optomechanics: the platform of choice
- Overview of group activities
- Levitated optomechanics for macroscopic superpositions
 - Protocol and experimental implementation
 - UHV loading
 - The role of internal temperatue
 - Pulse control and stabilization
- Outlook and summary



The protocol¹

Step 4: Golectulilige tential

Wigner function:



Neumeier et al., arXiv:2207.12539v1 (2022)



Requirements

0					
	Harmonic	Free evolution	Cubic	Free evolution	Inv. harmonic
	(2 ms)	(1.34 ms)	(10 μs)	(0.66 ms)	(87 μs)

Ground-state cooling

Gravity comp. during free evolutions

Three potential landscapes

- 1. Harmonic
- 2. Cubic
- 3. Inverted harmonic
- (4. Free evolutions: *really* no light!)

Repeatability and stability

- a) Sequence timing
- b) Intensity of pulses

Potential landscapes

3 pulsed potentials: Harmonic, cubic, inverted harmonic

- Implement as phases of an optical standing wave
- Combine with **3d electrodes** for full control



- **1.** Linear compensation of cubic pulse (z)
- **2.** Linear feedback cooling (x, y, z)
 - Axially: $\bar{n} \approx 0.5$
 - Radially: $\bar{n} \approx 100$
- **3.** Gravity compensation (x)





universität



Sagnac configuration



- Phase noise suppression, high SNR dark-port detection
- Low trapping power: good for internal heating
- Potential control



- Levitated optomechanics: the platform of choice
- Overview of group activities
- Levitated optomechanics for macroscopic superpositions
 - Protocol and experimental implementation
 - UHV loading
 - The role of internal temperature
 - Pulse control and stabilization
- Outlook and summary

universität

The UHV challenge

Avoid gas collisions to stay coherent: ms protocols require $p \le 10^{-10}$ mbar (no collisions for 90% of runs)

 \rightarrow Avoid direct nebulizing

Load-lock

- Load into bad vacuum and transfer to UHV¹
- **Pros:** can use established loading methods
- Cons: moving parts, complexity, slow

Dry loading

- Piezo shaking², LIAD³
- **Pros:** clean, can be direct
- **Cons:** inefficient, high launch speeds, not universal



- 1. Mestres et al., App. Phys. Lett. **107**, 151102 (2015); Calamai et al., AIP Advances **11**, 025246 (2021)
- 2. Weisman et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. **93**, 115115 (2022); Khodaee et al., AIP Advances **12**, 125023 (2022)
- 3. Kuhn et al., Nano Lett. **15**, 5604 (2015); Bykov et al., APL **115**, 034101 (2019)



Hollow-core fiber loading





Stefan Lindner

Grass et al., App. Phys. Lett. **108**, 221103 (2016) Lindner et al., in preparation

400

500

Target

trap

HCF

HCF

tip

Ferrule

-80

-100

-120

-140 ⊨ 0

100

200

Frequency [kHz]

300

PSD [dB/\Hz]



Experimental integration



Trap alignment

Grazing measurements with HCF



In UHV: Triggering

Gradient force conservative, pulse Sagnac

Lowest-pressure handover to date: 8×10^{-10} mbar





Spatial resolution

Deposit

The HCF loading technique also enables deterministic loading with **high spatial resolution**:

- Can load specific particles into desired trap sites
- µm-level resolution





- Levitated optomechanics: the platform of choice
- Overview of group activities
- Levitated optomechanics for macroscopic superpositions
 - Protocol and experimental implementation
 - UHV loading
 - The role of internal temperature
 - Pulse control and stabilization
- Outlook and summary



 $\omega/2\pi$ [THz] 20

10

30

25

Blackbody decoherence

After gas collisions, photon recoil and **blackbody** remain dominant decoherence mechanisms

- Blackbody contributions:
 - 300 K environment
 - Nanoparticle itself, which can be far hotter
- Blackbody rates difficult to model due to unknown material properties¹



- $\operatorname{Im}[\alpha(\lambda)]$ at relevant λ
- *T*_{int} of nanoparticle



 10^{1}

(c)

100

50

30

1. Agrenius et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 093601 (2023)



Estimating *T*_{int}

 T_{int} determined via heat balance:

$$\frac{dT_{\text{int}}}{dt} \propto P_{\text{trap}}^{\text{abs}} + P_{\text{bb}}^{\text{abs}}(T_{\text{env}}) - P_{\text{bb}}^{\text{em}}(T_{\text{int}}) - P_{\text{gas}}$$

$$f(\text{Im}[n(\lambda_{trap})]) \quad f(\text{Im}[n(\lambda)]) \quad f(\text{Im}[n(\lambda)])$$



- Need $\text{Im}[n(\lambda_{trap})]$ and the spectrum $\text{Im}[n(\lambda)]^{1}$, but literature values vary
 - Likely to depend strongly on surface termination, impurities
- Can be extracted via reheating measurements²

- 1. Agrenius et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 093601 (2023)
- 2. Hebestreit et al., Phys. Rev. A 97, 043803 (2018)



Strategies to mitigate BB

- Keep experiments short
- **Reduce** T_{int}
 - Standing wave trap (e.g., Sagnac configuration)
 - Dark trapping^{1,2}
- Choose low-emissivity particle like silicon^{2,3}
 - *T_{int} may be higher, but bb emission reduced*
- Go to cryo environment

- 1. Almeida et al., arXiv:2302.01953v1 (2023)
- 2. Bateman et al., Nature Commun. 5, 4788 (2014)
- 3. Lepeshov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 233601 (2023)







- Levitated optomechanics: the platform of choice
- Overview of group activities
- Levitated optomechanics for macroscopic superpositions
 - Protocol and experimental implementation
 - UHV loading
 - The role of internal temperature
 - Pulse control and stabilization
- Outlook and summary



Pulse requirements

- Momentum variations in cubic pulse should be less than the $\Delta p_{\rm fringes}$: $\Delta A/A < 7 \times 10^{-6}$
- Also: High extinction ratio (up to 100 dB), precise timing, phase stability, etc.





- Must measure pulse area with high precision
 - Analog circuit to **integrate** pulses and **hold** the value
 - 24 bit ADC to read out
 - Noise floor < 10 μV RMS (including detection noise)



Stabilized optical pulsing





Gregor Meier



Continuous pre-stabilization



Feedback for CW stabilization

- Analog PID stabilizes to ultra-stable voltage reference¹
- Temperature drift = polarization drift = power drift
 - Actively stabilized to < 10 mK

Performance characterization

- Out-of-loop measurement
- $\Delta P/P < 7 \times 10^{-6}$ up to 1 hour
- Precursor for pulsing, sufficient for GS cooling²



- 1. Tricot et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. **89**, 113112 (2018)
- 2. Kamba et al., Opt. Express 30, 26716 (2022)



Pulse stabilization



Control and feedback for pulsing

- FPGA for sub-ns timing
- Analog PID on integrator signal

Preliminary results

- In-loop measurement with pre-stabilization
- 10 μs pulses, 200 Hz stabilized to 25 ppm rms





- Levitated optomechanics: the platform of choice
- Overview of group activities
- Levitated optomechanics for macroscopic superpositions
 - Protocol and experimental implementation
 - UHV loading
 - The role of internal temperature
 - Pulse control and stabilization
- Outlook and summary



Outlook

Immediate goals:

- Pulse stabilization below 7 ppm
 - Almost there in test setup, currently being implemented in Sagnac
- Gravity compensation- and confirm coherence
 - Single-tweezer setup for testing
- Ground-state cooling of 100 nm particles in Sagnac configuration
 - Ongoing work



Summary











The team: Stefan Lindner, Gregor Meier, Paul Juschitz, Jakob Rieser, Yaakov Fein, Mario Ciampini, Markus Aspelmeyer, Nikolai Kiesel

Theory: Lukas Neumeier, Oriol Romero-Isart









European Research Council Established by the European Commission



Der Wissenschaftsfonds.

THANK YOU!

