

C. Yero (On behalf of the CaFe collaboration)

Workshop: SHORT-DISTANCE NUCLEAR STRUCTURE AND PDFS

July 17, 2023

the Area

Spokespeople: D. Higinbotham (JLab), F. Hauenstein (JLab), O. Hen (MIT), L. Weinstein (ODU)

THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE SECOND







an me altill

What have we learned about SRCs?

(e,e'): scaling

above $k_{\rm F} \sim 250\,$ MeV/c all nuclei have similar nucleon momentum distributions (i.e., scaling)

(e,e'p): np-dominance

almost all high-momentum nucleons ($k_{\rm F}>250$ MeV/c) belong to np-SRC pairs ("np-dominance")





L.L. Frankfurt, M.I. Strikman, D.B. Day, and M.M. Sargsyan, Phys. Rev. C 48, 2451 (1993)

K. Sh. Egiyan et al. Phys.Rev.C 68, 014313 (2003)

E. Piasetzky, M. Sargsian, L. Frankfurt, M. Strikman, and J. W. Watson Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 162504 (2006)

K. S. Egiyan et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 082501 (2006)

N. Fomin et al. Phys.Rev.Lett.108, 092502 (2012)

Ryckebusch et al.PLB79221 (2019)



Schmookler et al. Nature, 566, 354 (2019)

Motivation





tells us abundances, but cannot distinguish *pp, nn, np* —> need (e, e'p) for different A and N/Z

Motivation

► (e,e'N):

SRC pairs:

- account for almost all high-p (>250 MeV/c) nucleons in nuclei
- are predominantly np, even in neutron-rich nuclei

Target	Z (protons)	N (neutrons)
C12	6	6
AI27	13	14
Fe56	26	30
Pb208	82	126



Motivation

► (e,e'p):

CaFe will answer:

- Which nucleons form pairs?
- How does adding neutrons speed up protons?
- How does NN-SRC pairing change with A and N/Z?

Target Z (protons) N (neutrons)



Be9 5 4 5 5 **B10 B11** 5 6 6 6 **C12** Al27 13 14 **Ca40** 20 20 **Ca48** 20 28 26 28 **Fe54** 26 30 Fe56 79 118 Au197 Pb208 82 126





- e- scattering off high-momentum (SRC) bound nucleon with internal momenta, $\overrightarrow{p_i} > 250 \text{ MeV/c}$
- reconstructed (undetected) recoil nucleon momenta, $\vec{p}_r = \vec{q} \vec{p}_f$
- plane-wave impulse approximation (PWIA)
 - no further re-interaction between knocked-out and recoil nucleon
 - recoil momentum unchanged, $\vec{p}_r \sim \vec{p}_i$
 - \vec{p}_r can be used to access internal nucleon momentum distributions





controlling final-state interactions (FSI)



Boeglin et al. (Hall A) Phys.Rev.Lett. 107, 262501 (2011) K. S. Egiyan et al. (CLAS) Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 262502 (2007)

L. L. Frankfurt, M. M. Sargsian, and M. I. Strikman Phys. Rev. C561124 (1997)

CaFe (online) statistics collected



CaFe Analysis Status

Study	Status	Leading Effort
BCM calibration	COMPLETE	C. Yero
ref. times / time windows / detector calibrations	COMPLETE	C. Yero / N. Swan
SHMS optics	COMPLETE	H. Szumila-Vance
proton absorption	IN-PROGRESS	N. Swan
cuts sensitivity studies (analysis cuts systematics)	IN-PROGRESS	C. Yero
data-to-simulations h(e,e'p), c(e,e'p) checks	IN-PROGRESS	C. Yero / D. Nguyen / N. Swan
other sources of systematics (BCM, live time, efficiencies, kinematics, etc.)	PENDING	D. Nguyen
target boiling	PENDING	N. Swan / D. Nguyen

Data Quality Checks



Data Quality Checks performed by Noah Swan (Hall C CaFe graduate student)

Data Quality Checks



Data Quality Checks performed by Noah Swan (Hall C CaFe graduate student)



- Ca48 oil contamination
- rate-dependence
- double coin. time peak
- H(e, e'p) optics optimization
- data-to-simulation

Hypothesis:

- pure mineral oil (C + H) at surface of Ca-48 "washed off" on its own
- high beam current helped with decontamination process



- ~3 % absolute drop (3.1 to 0.65 %) in H-scaled Carbon contamination @ MF kin
- ~3 % relative drop in charge-normalized T2 (e- singles) scalers @ SRC kin

independent measurements of absolute and relative contamination consistent !





- Ca48 oil contamination
- rate-dependence
- double coin. time peak
- H(e, e'p) optics optimization
- data-to-simulation

problem: no trigger timing cuts made on T2 (and T3) triggers -> wrong (accidental) trigger used to form the coincidence lead to good coincidence signals blocked and drop of yield



- Ca48 oil contamination
- rate-dependence
- double coin. time peak
- H(e, e'p) optics optimization
- data-to-simulation

solution: apply timing cut to triggers (T2, T3) that form the coincidence signal -> use the correct trigger time to recover coincidences (and yield)

(T.coin.pTRIG2_ROC2_tdcTimeRaw-T.coin.pTRIG3_ROC2_tdcTimeRaw) {T.coin.pEDTM_tdcTimeRaw==0&&g.evtyp>=4}



(T.coin.pTRIG2_ROC2_tdcTimeRaw-T.coin.pTRIG3_ROC2_tdcTimeRaw) {T.coin.pEDTM_tdcTimeRaw>0&&g.evtyp>=4}



- Ca48 oil contamination
- rate-dependence
- double coin. time peak
- H(e, e'p) optics optimization
- data-to-simulation

problem:

- hardware trigger component offset +30 ns coincidence
 - part of good coincidence trigger offset
 - corresponding EDTM signal also offset (and missing)

solution:

• "missing EDTM" signal leads to lower DAQ live time and this also accounts for the lost (2nd peak) coincidence signals when correcting for the live time

H(e, e'p) kinematics (after optimization+centroid alignment)



- Ca48 oil contamination
- rate-dependence
- double coin. time peak
- H(e, e'p) optics optimization
- data-to-simulation

Thanks to **Holly Szumila-Vance** for H(e, e'p) angle/delta optimization (See references: [1], [2])

Invariant Mass W vs. SHMS x'tar (DATA)





- Ca48 oil contamination
- rate-dependence
- double coin. time peak
- H(e, e'p) optics optimization
- data-to-simulation

DATA W dependence on x'tar (relative out-of-plane) could distort location of W peak in each of the singles elastics runs (largest effect @ 6.8 deg)

Invariant Mass W vs. SHMS x'tar (SIMC)



- Ca48 oil contamination
- rate-dependence
- double coin. time peak
- H(e, e'p) optics optimization
- data-to-simulation

NO SIMC W dependence on x'tar (relative out-of-plane) as expected, but since DATA has dependence, can affect centroid alignment of W

Invariant Mass W (after optimization+centroid alignment)



- Ca48 oil contamination
- rate-dependence
- double coin. time peak
- H(e, e'p) optics optimization
- data-to-simulation

- ~1-2 MeV data/simc mis-alignment
- difficulty fitting higher order matrix elements to reduce x'tar dependence (*may be best optimized matrix that can be done ?!*)

H(e, e'p) Data / SIMC Yields



C(e, e'p) Data / SIMC Yields



Single Ratio Checks (per proton)

 $R = \frac{Y_A}{Y_{C12}}\Big|_{MF}$

$Y_{A} \equiv \frac{N_{A(e,e'p)}}{Q \cdot \epsilon_{htrk} \cdot \epsilon_{etrk} \cdot \epsilon_{mult.trk} \cdot \epsilon_{LT} \cdot T_{N} \cdot \sigma_{thick} \cdot Z/A}$

MF Single Ratio A/C12 (for **data** and **simc**)



MF Single Ratio A/C12 (for **data** and **simc**)



See D. M. ONeill Thesis (1994), Table 11 (p. 122), Table 12 (p. 126) for spectral function strength corrections

Spectral Function Strength Correction

$$C_{correl} = \frac{1}{I_{correl}} \int_{\mathcal{R}} dE_s d^3 p S(E_s, \mathbf{p}).$$
 "spectral strengt
(Integrated over finite
$$I_{correl} = 0.5 \left(\int_{\mathcal{R}} dE_s d^3 p S_{correl}(E_s, \mathbf{p}) + \int_0^\infty dE_s \int_{p_{m,min}}^{p_{m,max}} d^3 p S_{correl}(E_s, \mathbf{p}) \right)$$

"spectral strength correction factor" Integrated over finite acceptance range, R)

"due to uncertainties in the amount of correlated spectral function strength at large *Em*, the integral over R is averaged with the integral over all *Em*"

30

- after applying corrections to CaFe, data/simc ~ 97 %, in good agreement with previous CT experiments
- D. Nguyen currently finalizing the data-to-simulation comparisons

TABLE 12. Correlation tail correction to the PWIA calculation

A	C_{correl}
¹ H, ² H	1.00
^{12}C	1.11 ± 0.03
⁵⁶ Fe	1.26 ± 0.08
¹⁹⁷ Au	1.32 ± 0.08

MF Single Ratio A/C12 (momentum distributions)



- proton momentum distributions integrated up to k~250 MeV/c, and full Emiss range
- single ratios of A/C12 momentum distributions show ratio R \sim 1
 - Why A/C12 for Hall C data and SIMC shows A dependence?
 a) could be due to finite acceptance of spectrometer (cut-off in Emiss range)
 b) collaboration with RGM Hall B to verify A-dependence

High-Momentum (SRC) Single Ratio (per proton)



 $Y_A \equiv \frac{N_{A(e,e'p)}}{Q \cdot \epsilon_{htrk} \cdot \epsilon_{etrk} \cdot \epsilon_{mult.trk} \cdot \epsilon_{LT} \cdot T_N \cdot \sigma_{thick} \cdot Z/A}$

SRC Ca-48 / 40 Single Ratio (per proton)





Summary

- great data collected
- need to finalize analysis
 - data/simulation
 - proton absorption
 - systematic uncertainties
- unexpected and interesting Ca-48/40 results imply importance of nuclear structure
- expect final results this fall !

Holly Szumila-Vance Florian Hauenstein (Staff) (Staff)





Dien Nguyen (Isgur Fellow)



Carlos Yero (NSF Fellow)



Noah Swan (PhD student)



National

Science Foundation



D. Higinbotham (JLab), F. Hauenstein (JLab), O. Hen (MIT), L. Weinstein (ODU) Spokespeople:

"This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 2137604"

virtual photon - nucleus interactions



(For illustration purposes, Ca48 MF run 17096 is used)

CTime.epCoinTime_ROC2_center {g.evtyp>=4}





H.gtr.dp {g.evtyp>=4&&abs(CTime.epCoinTime_ROC2_center)<=2.5}







 Kinematic Cut to Suppress Meson-Exchange Currents (MEC)





 Kinematic Cut to select mean-field (MF) nucleons

(For illustration purposes, Ca48 SRC run 17057 is used)

** coincidence time + acceptance + PID cuts are same as (MF) kinematics



• Kinematic Cut to Suppress Meson-Exchange Currents (MEC)





- Angle between recoil system and virtual photon direction
- Kinematic Cut to suppress re-scattering of recoil SRC nucleon

(i.e., suppress final-state interactions)



 Kinematic Cut to select short-range correlated nucleon











Single Ratio Checks







- randomly-sampled gaussian for N=1000 distinct kinematical cut variations
- central kinematical cuts were varied by +/- 2 standard deviations
- data analysis performed for every N=1000 cut variations to determine the systematic spread



- systematic spread (gray) in integrated missing momentum yield due to different cut variations
- individual (colorful) contributions from each varied cut on the total integrated yield



- systematic spread (gray) in integrated missing momentum yield due to different cut variations
- individual (colorful) contributions from each varied cut on the total integrated yield



- Typical systematics on single SRC ratios (example shown for SRC Ca48/40)
- Systematic effects on single ratio of SRC/SRC seem to be ~ 1 %

