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## Introduction $(1 / 2)$

Goal Overcome the critical slowing down and topological freezing to perform efficient simulations on fine lattices.

g-2 window update
RBC/UKQCD (incl NM) 2301.08696



- A partial solution may be to introduce the open boundary condition;

Lüscher-Schaefer 1105.4749
however, since many statistical techniques assume translational invariance, we want to keep the periodic boundary condition if possible.

- We here develop the field-transformative methods combined with HMC, which was originally proposed by the seminal work of Lüscher. Lüscher 0907.5491


## Introduction (2/2)

## Short timeline

- Original proposal of the algorithm Lüscher 0907.5491
(Name borrowed from Nicolai 80)
- LO approximation in $C P^{N-1}$ model Engel-Schaefer $\mathbf{1 1 0 2 . 1 8 5 2}$
"The reduction in the forces, ..., is compensated by the computational overhead"
- Wilson flow and its generalizations using Schwinger-Dyson eq [4D SU(3) pure gauge] Jin LATTICE 2021 poster


## Boyle-Izubuchi-Jin-Jung-NM-Lehner-Tomiya LATTICE2022 [2212.11387]



Tunneling rate can increase, but still overwhelmed by the overhead.
E.Cellini; in effective string theory, Mon
P.Bialas; w/o action derivative, Tue
M.Gerges; $\varphi^{4}$ transfer learning, Tue
L. Vaitl; continuous flow in 4dYM, Tue
A.Nada; w/ stochasticity, Thu
J.Komijani; improve scaling, Fri
J.Urban; instantaneous generation, Fri

- We develop a variant of the trivializing map, which we refer to as decimation map.

The trivialization is divided into several stages and each stage corresponds to integrating out local degrees of freedom, which we can interpret as coarse-graining transformations.
cf. Kadanoff 75, Migdal 76


In fact, this map induces the effective action that exactly corresponds to the one we obtain after integrating out the local DOF.
integrate $\mathcal{T}$

$$
Z=\underset{\substack{\text { to be reminder } \\ \text { trivialized }}}{\int(d \mathcal{T})(d \mathcal{R}) e^{-S(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{R})} \longrightarrow \int(d \mathcal{R}) e^{-S_{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathcal{R})}}
$$

## Short summary of this work $(2 / 2)$

- To show this map can be useful, we apply it to the 2D $U(1)$ model with the guided MC Time series of $Q(\beta=7.1,16 \times 16$, two-stage transformation $)$

$\underline{\tau_{\text {int }}}(Q) \quad$ (fixed physical volume $V_{\text {phys }} g^{2}=4 \times 4$ )

In Monte Carlo (MC) unit


In wall-clock time


- The algorithm is exact, scaling is known and controlled.
- Gradient flow ansatz:

$$
\dot{U}_{t} U_{t}^{-1}=-\partial K_{t} \quad K_{t}: \text { flow kernel }
$$

$\begin{cases}U_{t=0} \equiv V & \text { for simulation (to be trivial) } \\ U_{t=1} \equiv U & \text { for estimation (physical) }\end{cases}$


- Require

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\text {eff }, t}(V) & \triangleq \Delta S\left(U_{t}\right)-\ln \operatorname{det} J_{t} \quad\left(J_{t} \equiv \frac{\partial U_{t}}{\partial V}\right) \\
& * \text { requirement } \\
& =(1-t) S\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}(V)\right) \\
& \nabla d / d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation for the kernel function $K_{t}$ :

$$
-\partial^{2} K_{t}-t \partial S \cdot \partial K_{t} \stackrel{*}{=}-S \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { (up to const; hereafter as well) } \\
& \text { merely a linear differential equation! }
\end{aligned}
$$

- From the properties of the differential operator:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{t} \equiv-\partial^{2}-t \partial S \cdot \partial \quad\left(\mathcal{L}_{t} K_{t} \stackrel{*}{=}-S\right)
$$

the existence of the inverse is proven. Lüscher 0907.5491

As for the Fokker-Planck Hamiltonian, it can be symmetrized to an elliptic nonnegative differential operator.

## Recap: trivializing map $(2 / 2)-t$ expansion

- Formally expand in power series:

$$
K_{t}=\sum_{m \geq 0} t^{m} K^{(m)}
$$

Plug into

$$
-\partial^{2} K_{t}-t \partial S \cdot \partial K_{t}=-S
$$

order by order matching

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{L}_{0} K^{(0)}=-S \\
\mathcal{L}_{0} K^{(m)}=\partial S \cdot \partial K^{(m-1)}(m \geq 1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Solution for the Wilson action [4D SU(3)]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{t} & =-\frac{\beta}{32} W_{0} \longleftarrow \text { LO: plaquette } \\
& +t \frac{\beta^{2}}{192}\left(-\frac{4}{33} W_{1}+\frac{12}{119} W_{2}+\frac{1}{33} W_{3}-\frac{5}{119} W_{4}+\frac{3}{10} W_{5}-\frac{1}{5} W_{6}+\frac{1}{9} W_{7}\right) \\
\checkmark & \text { NLO: rectangle, chair, twisted rectangle } \ldots \\
& +O\left(t^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{0}=\sum(\underset{\square}{\square}+\text { c.c. }) \\
& W_{1}=\sum(\stackrel{F}{E-1}+\sqrt[A]{\square}+\text { c.c. }) \quad W_{2}=\sum\left(\frac{\square}{\square \rightarrow}+\underset{\square}{\square}+\text { c.c. }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{7}=\sum \text { 気 }
\end{aligned}
$$

## More on the $t$-expansion $(1 / 1)$

- $t$-expansion can be seen as the power series expansion:

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{t}=\frac{1}{-\partial^{2}-t \partial S \cdot \partial}(-S)=\frac{1}{1-t M} \cdot(-S)=\left(1+t M+t^{2} M^{2}+\cdots\right)(-S) \\
M \equiv-\partial^{-2} \circ \partial S \cdot \partial
\end{gathered}
$$

As referred to in Lüscher 0907.5491,
the radius of convergence is finite: $t\|M\|_{2}<1.0 \quad\left(\|M\|_{2}\right.$ : largest eigenvalue of $M$ in magnitude $\left.\sim O(\beta)\right)$

How good is the $t$-expansion?

- For the sake of demonstration:
 $\mathrm{U}(1)$ 1-plaquette system
- Parameterize the function space, solve the linear equation exactly: $K_{t}=-\left(\mathcal{L}_{t}\right)^{-1} S$

- Radius of convergence: $\beta t<2.40$ (quite coarse)

Functional form of the kernel

milder angular dependence from the higher irreps

Idea trivialize links step by step; "decimation map"





- The formulation of Lüscher is applied to each block; we construct a differential equation for the kernel $K_{t}$ :

$$
\mathcal{L}_{t} K_{t}=-S^{(\mathrm{loc})}
$$

and solve it with conjugate gradient (CG).
Existence of the inverse follows from basically the same argument.

- The function space is small enough to keep track of.
- Net cost increases only by addition as we increase the stages and thus the trivialized region.





- When these maps are obtained exactly, the effective action for the remaining links agree with the one we obtain by decimation:

Dividing the system into $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{R}$,
to be trivialized remainder


- The effective action can be obtained as a bi-product of the CG via the zero-modes.


## Decimation map (3/4)

- Decimation map leaves the physics in the large units exactly unchanged.

Can be seen as a renormalization group transformation.
Wilson-Kogut 74, Kadanoff 75, Migdal 76 M. Ozawa after coffee break


Fixed-pt/Perfect action:
P.Hasenfratz-Niedermayer 93,

DeGrand-A.Hasenfratz-P.Hasenfratz
-Niedermayer-Wiese 95,
Niedermayer-Rüfenacht-Wenger 01, P.Hasenfratz-Hauswirth-Jorg-

Niedermayer-Holland 02
$\underline{U}$. Wenger, K, Holland next talks

- Using (the inverse of) the decimation map, we integrate in the decimated links from the coarse-grained system.
- Thus the acceleration happens for the two-fold reasons:
- Increase of the trivialized region
- Coarse action for the remaining variables


## Comment on Lüscher's remark

- Lüscher considered $\beta$-changing maps by successively applying trivializing maps and their inverses.

(f) Renormalization group. By composing the trivializing map $U=\mathcal{F}_{1}(V)$ in the Wilson theory with its inverse at another value of the gauge coupling, one obtains a group of transformations whose only effect on the action is a shift of the coupling. The locality properties of these transformations are not transparent, however, and could be quite different from the ones of a Wilsonian "block spin" transformation.

Lüscher 0907.5491; Commun.Math.Phys.293:899-919,2010

- Such maps connect lattice ensembles of the same lattice volume and of the same lattice action, and is different from the decimation map.


## $2 \mathrm{D} U(1)(1 / 1)$

Simplest lattice gauge system with topology: 2D U(1) pure gauge

## Wilson action

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(U) & \equiv-\beta \sum_{x} \operatorname{Re}\left(U_{x, 0} U_{x+0,1} U_{x+1,0}^{\dagger} U_{x, 1}^{\dagger}\right) \\
& =-\beta \sum_{x} \cos \kappa_{x}
\end{aligned} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\kappa_{x} \equiv \frac{1}{i} \log \left(U_{x, 0} U_{x+0,1} U_{x+1,0}^{\dagger} U_{x, 1}^{\dagger}\right): \text { plaquette angle } \\
\beta=\frac{1}{(a g)^{2}} \quad \text { Note that }[g]=\kappa_{x}<\pi
\end{array}\right.
$$

Exact formulas can be easily obtained. cf. Brower-Nauenberg 80, Rusakov 90

## Characteristic features

- Topological charge: $Q=\frac{-1}{2 \pi} \sum_{x} \kappa_{x} \in \mathbb{Z}$
- Energy density: UV divergent $\langle e\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2 V} \int d^{2} x F_{01}^{2} \sim \frac{g^{2}}{2 a^{2}}$.
- Zero correlation length; theory is ultralocal.
- topological susceptibility is finite: $\chi_{Q} \sim \frac{g^{2}}{(2 \pi)^{2}}$.


## Update algorithm (1/1)

- To show that the map can be useful, we use the guided-Hamiltonian Monte Carlo, which is a variant of HMC: Horowitz $91 \quad \overline{\text { Duane et al. } 87}$
- We replace the action $S_{\text {eff }}(V)$ in $H$ by the approximate one calculated from CG.
- Detailed balance holds thanks to the Liouville theorem and the reversibility of the Hamiltonian evolution.

Pros - Simplifies the calculation of force

- Transparent separation of UV/IR


Cons - Energy conservation is only approximate;
 acceptance rate will be controlled both by MD step size and the flow step size, yet they are under control and it scales well.

- No gauge fixing, periodic boundary condition.
- Flow equation is solved with the midpoint integrator ( $: \therefore$ net discretization error $=O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$ )
- The map is ensured to be bijective by keeping the flow step size within a bound.
cf. Lüscher 0907.5491
- The flow step size is adaptively changed by referring to the bijection bound.





- Time series of $Q(\beta=7.1,16 \times 16)$


## Conventional HMC



Decimation map + guided MC


- Whole CG solves take a few seconds to a few minutes using GPU.

Iteration count $@ \beta=7.1: 1^{\text {st }}$ stage $~ \$ 140,2^{\text {nd }}$ stage $\leqslant 1300$

## Results with the two-stage transformation (2/2)

We check the cost scaling towards the continuum limit; physical volume fixed to $4 \times 4 / g^{2}$ :
Flow step size is scaled to keep the acceptance rate $\sim 0.8$ ]
$\tau_{\text {int }}(Q)$ in MC unit


Execution time/conf (no parallelization)

$\tau_{\text {int }}(Q)$ in wall-clock


## Remarks (1/2)

- We can expect larger gains with more stages.
- With the guided MC, we need to keep the flow step size small to keep the acceptance.

This complication can be circumvented by either increasing the order of the flow integrator (currently midpoint; $O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$ ) or switching to $F T H M C$, the HMC with the exact effective action; NM+ work in progress cf. Lüscher 0907.5491 the latter will be important anyhow with the fermion.

Force hierarchy ( $1^{\text {st }}$ stage)


## Remarks $(2 / 2)$

- The higher-dimensional counterparts of the decimation map can exist:
- One can define the trivializing map for codimension one surfaces. Then thanks to gauge invariance, the new minimum unit in the coarse-grained direction will be the $1 \times 2$ Wilson loops.


However, it is the special feature of 2D Wilson action that the links on the codimension one surface(=line) are independent; in higher dimensions, larger function space needs to be prepared.

- Another possibility may be to introduce frozen links surrounding a local volume and trivialize the links lying in its interior; the resulting effective action will be a "cage action", which still may be useful to generate dislocations.

- Representing $\mathcal{L}_{t}$ for the $\operatorname{SU}\left(N_{c}\right)$ cases will be more complicated (though I believe it is doable). Note that the basis of the function space can be taken linearly dependent in the CG.
- At least for $\mathrm{U}(1)$ and $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, it is possible to reduce the local trivialization problem to an ODE and avoid using the flow. Algorithms keeping exactness are under discussion. Brower-Taku-NM


## Summary and Outlook (1/1)

- We considered the use of trivializing maps in such a way that multiple stages of transformation can be regarded as coarse-graining transformations.
"decimation map"
This map allows us to use the effective action we obtain after integrating out the local DOF.
- We showed the results after the two-stage transformation, which reduces $\tau_{\mathrm{int}}(Q)$ by a factor of 1.8 in wall clock time. More gain is expected for the larger number of stages.

- It is true that the current investigation uses special features of 2 D and $\mathrm{U}(1)$; however, we believe that having a method that works on this simplest model and has possible generalization directions will be a good starting point for developing algorithms towards QCD.


## Active studies around BNL

- gradient flowed HMC w/ fermion in Grid P. Boyle
- Machine learning FTHMC X.Y.Jin 2201.01862
- L2HMC Foreman-X.Y.Jin-Osborn 2201.01582
- Fourier acceleration/Riemannian manifold MC

Sheta-Zhao-Christ 2108.05486, Parisi 84, Batrouni et al. 85,88,90

Thank you.

