Use of the gradient flow in matching the QED 2+1 Hamiltonian and Lagrangian

Simone Romiti L. Funcke, C. Groß, K. Jansen, S. Kühn, C. Urbach

HISKP - University of Bonn, Germany

20-24 March 2023



Quantum simulations

Resources

NISQ era: O(10 - 100) noisy qubits.



æ

QED 2+1

• Toy model for QCD (confinement, dynamical mass generation)

$$V(r) = \frac{\alpha}{r} + \sigma r + b \log r$$

• Cheaper than QCD: $N_c = 1$, $d = 3 \rightarrow$ Hamiltonian simulations

э

QED 2+1

• Toy model for QCD (confinement, dynamical mass generation)

$$V(r) = \frac{\alpha}{r} + \sigma r + b \log r$$

• Cheaper than QCD: $N_c = 1$, $d = 3 \rightarrow$ Hamiltonian simulations

- Hamiltonian simulations: either $\beta \ll 1$ (electric basis) or $\beta \gg 1$ (magnetic basis). Small volumes \rightarrow significant Finite Volume Effects
- Lagrangian simulations: can go to large L, but $\beta \sim O(1)$.
- \rightarrow we need to match the 2 formalisms to cover all values of β .

The gradient flow provides a natural choice for setting the scale

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Table of contents

Theory, motivation and main ideas

Hamiltonian limit

- Hamiltonian limit from anisotropic lattices
- ξ_R from the Gradient Flow
- 3 $\beta^H \beta^L$ point-by-point matching
- ${f 4}$ Running coupling and Λ parameter



Hamiltonian simulations

Advantages

• Real time dynamics

$$\left|\psi(t)\right\rangle = e^{-iHt} \left|\psi(0)\right\rangle$$

- No sign problem (finite baryon density, topological terms, ...)
- and out-of-equilibrium dynamics
- No critical slowing down

But at present...

At the moment only toy models [1]

- (1+1) and (2+1) dimensions
- Small volumes
- Low spectrum cutoff

Motivation

In the continuum Lagrangian and Hamiltonian are equivalent (!) \rightarrow at finite a_s the need to be matched

Pure gauge theory [2]:

$$H \sim \sum_{\vec{x}} \frac{1}{eta} E^2(\vec{x}) + eta \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr} U_{\mu\nu}(\vec{x})$$

 $S_W \sim -eta \sum_{t,\vec{x}} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr} U_{\mu\nu}(t,\vec{x})$

But β in H is not the same as in L! H sums are only spatial...

Question: How do I find β_H from β_L ?

Hamiltonian limit

We need to find the "Hamiltonian limit", i.e. send a_t while keeping a function of a_s fixed.

• Usual approach: Send the anisotropy $a_t/a_s \rightarrow 0$ while a_s is constant:

$$O^H = \lim_{a_t \to 0, a_s = \text{const.}} O^L$$

• Brute force: choose a set of matching observables and find the relations among the couplings

$$\vec{g}^H = f(\vec{g}^L)$$

Look at a renormalized quantity, and match it up to lattice artifacts.

In pure gauge theory

• Static potential

$$V(r) = \frac{\alpha}{r} + \sigma r + b \log r$$

• Plaquette:

$$\langle P \rangle \sim \sum_{x} \sum_{i,j} P_{ij}(x)$$

• Mass gap of the theory and ground state:

 $\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle \to E_0$

• First excited states: E_1, \ldots

QED 2+1 observables

States beyond the ground state:

- noisy correlators
- truncation bias in the Hamiltonian simulations
- Static potential:
 - $\bullet\,$ At small volume FVEs are large $\rightarrow\,$ really bad signal-to-noise ratio
 - Very small volumes on the Hamiltonian \rightarrow in practice we can compute only V(a) and $V(a\sqrt{2})$.

QED 2+1 observables

States beyond the ground state:

- noisy correlators
- truncation bias in the Hamiltonian simulations
- Static potential:
 - ullet At small volume FVEs are large \rightarrow really bad signal-to-noise ratio
 - Very small volumes on the Hamiltonian \rightarrow in practice we can compute only V(a) and $V(a\sqrt{2})$.
- Mass gap (glueball 0^{--}): ok but λ_{Compton} must fit the spatial box \rightarrow large volumes with the MC simulations
 - \rightarrow match with Hamiltonian requires extrapolation to small L.
- Plaquette expectation value

Theory, motivation and main ideas

Hamiltonian limit

- Hamiltonian limit from anisotropic lattices
- ξ_R from the Gradient Flow

3 $\beta^H - \beta^L$ point-by-point matching

 Φ Running coupling and Λ parameter



$$S_W = \frac{\beta}{\xi_0} \sum_{x,i} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 - P_{0i}(x) \right) + \beta \xi_0 \sum_{x,i>j} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 - P_{ij}(x) \right)$$

Note: $\xi_R = a_t/a_s \neq \xi_0$

- Start at a given β and $\xi_0 = 1$. Find r_0/a_s .
- Change ξ_0 (\leq previous one), and change β such that r_0/a_s stays constant.
- Repeat

Up to $O(a_s)$ artifacts:

$$\lim_{a_t \to 0, a_s = \text{const}} \ldots = \lim_{\xi_R \to 0, r_0/a_s = \text{const}} \ldots$$

э

Naive Hamiltonian limit



э

Matching steps (continued)



20-24 March 2023 13 / 31

ξ_R found from the static potential



Gradient flow evolution [3]

$$\dot{U}_{\mu}(x,\tau) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \left[\nabla_{\mu}(x) S_W(U) \right] U_{\mu}(x,\tau) ,$$

Scale setting

$$E(\tau) = 2 \sum_{x} \sum_{\mu > \nu} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr} \left[1 - P_{\mu\nu}(x, \tau) \right] .$$

$$\tau^2 E(\tau)|_{\tau = \tau_0} = c ,$$

æ

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >



2

Plaquette energy contributions

$$E(\tau) = 2 \sum_{x} \sum_{\mu > \nu} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr} \left[1 - P_{\mu\nu}(x, \tau) \right] .$$
$$E_{ts} \sim a_t^2 a_s^2 \sum_{x} \sum_{i} F_{0i}^2(x, \tau) = a_t^2 a_s^2 V(d-1) \tilde{E}_{ts} ,$$
$$E_{ss} \sim a_s^4 \sum_{x} \sum_{i \neq j} F_{ij}^2(x, \tau) = a_s^4 V \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} \tilde{E}_{ss} ,$$

$$\zeta(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{d-2}{2} \frac{E_{ts}(\tau)}{E_{ss}(\tau)}}$$

Note: this is analogous to what done for QCD in [4]

< A[™]



æ

Wilson flow VS static potential



20-24 March 2023

19/31

1 Theory, motivation and main ideas

Hamiltonian limit

- Hamiltonian limit from anisotropic lattices
- ξ_R from the Gradient Flow

(3) $\beta^H - \beta^L$ point-by-point matching

4) Running coupling and Λ parameter



plot $\langle P \rangle$ VS β

Plaquette as a function of β



S. Romiti

∃ → 20-24 March 2023

A LL P A DP P

3

Theory, motivation and main ideas

Hamiltonian limit

- Hamiltonian limit from anisotropic lattices
- ξ_R from the Gradient Flow

3 $\beta^H - \beta^L$ point-by-point matching

 ${f 4}$ Running coupling and Λ parameter

5 Backup

Goal

Find the Λ parameter of QED 2+1 by matching to 1-loop lattice perturbation theory.

Be build numerically the step scaling function:

$$\sigma(\alpha(r)) = \alpha(s\,r)$$

where s is the scaling factor.

We match in the weak coupling region:

$$V(r) \approx \frac{\alpha}{r}$$

Steps

 $\alpha(r_0) = u$

Note: on th lattice we find $\alpha(r_0/a_0, g_0)$.

2 Go to $r_1 = sr_0$, and adjust g such that:

$$\alpha(r_1/a_1, g_1) = \alpha(sr_0/a_0, g_0)$$

and $r_1/a_1 = r_0/a_0$.

Steps

① Start at small g in the Hamiltonian simulations and compute:

 $\alpha(r_0) = u$

Note: on th lattice we find $\alpha(r_0/a_0, g_0)$.

2 Go to $r_1 = sr_0$, and adjust g such that:

$$\alpha(r_1/a_1, g_1) = \alpha(sr_0/a_0, g_0)$$

and $r_1/a_1 = r_0/a_0$.

- By construction, $\alpha(sr_0) = \alpha(r_1)$. In the perturbative regime the running coupling function is invertible $\implies r_1 = sr_0$ in physical units.
- Continue until one can match to the Lagrangian simulations and make contact to phenomenology.

$$g_{0} \qquad g_{1} \qquad g_{2} \qquad \cdots$$

$$r_{0}: \quad \alpha(r_{0}, g_{0}^{2}) = \alpha(r_{1}, g_{1}^{2})$$

$$4r_{0}: \qquad \alpha(2r_{0}, g_{0}^{2}) = \alpha(2r_{1}, g_{1}^{2}) = \alpha(r_{2}, g_{2}^{2})$$

$$\vdots$$

→ < ∃ →</p>

2

٠

At this point (say in step p), we can determine r_p in physical units:

- **(**) Go to large L on the Lagrangian simulation \rightarrow neglect FVEs.
- **2** Find a_p with the gradient flow.
- **③** Find r_p in physical units and each $r_i = r_p/s^{p-i}$

 \implies we have determined the running of the coupling α .

- $\bullet\,$ We can connect β in the Lagrangian with the β in the Hamiltonian
- Support for both periodic and open boundary conditions
- \bullet We have the setup for finding the Λ parameter of QED 2+1

Next steps

- Include fermions on the Hamiltonian sector:
- Account for $O(a_s)$ corrections in ξ_R from the Wilson flow

Topics for discussion

- Value of c in $\tau_0^2 E(\tau_0) = c$: we find $c = 1.628(91) \cdot 10^{-3}$
- Λ parameter (see yesterday talks): can we extract it from $\alpha(\tau)$?

A (10) × A (10) × A (10)

Thank you for your attention!

Main references

- Lena Funcke et al. "Review on Quantum Computing for Lattice Field Theory". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.00467 (2023) (cit. on p. 6).
- [2] Angus Kan et al. "Investigating a (3+1)D topological θ-term in the Hamiltonian formulation of lattice gauge theories for quantum and classical simulations". In: *Phys. Rev. D* 104 (3 Aug. 2021),
 p. 034504. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.104.034504. URL: https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.034504 (cit. on p. 7).
- [3] Martin Lüscher. "Properties and uses of the Wilson flow in lattice QCD". In: *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2010.8 (2010), pp. 1–18 (cit. on p. 17).
- [4] Szabolcs Borsányi et al. "Anisotropy tuning with the Wilson flow". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:1205.0781 (2012) (cit. on p. 19).

(4) (日本)

Mass for the glueball with J^PC = mm



Effect of boundary conditions

Overview plots

In this section are shown the FVEs on the plaquette energy for the different choices of boundary conditions.



FVEs on the plaquette energy at $\beta = 1$

æ

Effect of boundary conditions





æ

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >