Chiral Anomaly in Effective Open Quantum Systems

Sharareh Sayyad

MPI for the Science of Light, Erlangen, Germany

In collaboration with:

Julia Hannukainen (KTH, Sweden) Adolfo Grushin (Institut Néel, France)

S.Sayyad, et al., Phys. Rev. Research 4, L042004 (2022)









Closed quantum systems
$$\mathcal{H}_{tot} = \mathcal{H}_{sys} \otimes 1_{env} + 1_{sys} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{env} + \mathcal{H}_{sys-env}$$















Nonunitary & Dissipative dynamics:

$$\frac{d\rho_{\text{sys}}}{dt} = -i[\mathscr{H}_{\text{sys}}, \rho_{\text{sys}}] + \sum_{i} \left(2L_{i}^{\dagger}\rho_{\text{sys}}L_{i} - \{L_{i}^{\dagger}L_{i}, \rho_{\text{sys}}\} \right) \equiv \hat{\mathscr{L}}[\rho_{\text{sys}}]$$



Nonunitary & Dissipative dynamics:

$$\frac{d\rho_{\text{sys}}}{dt} = -i[\mathcal{H}_{\text{sys}}, \rho_{\text{sys}}] + \sum_{i} \left(2L_{i}^{\dagger}\rho_{\text{sys}}L_{i} - \{L_{i}^{\dagger}L_{i}, \rho_{\text{sys}}\} \right) \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}[\rho_{\text{sys}}]$$



Nonunitary & Dissipative dynamics:

$$\frac{d\rho_{\text{sys}}}{dt} = -i[\mathcal{H}_{\text{sys}}, \rho_{\text{sys}}] + \sum_{i} \left(2L_{i}^{\dagger}\rho_{\text{sys}}L_{i} - \{L_{i}^{\dagger}L_{i}, \rho_{\text{sys}}\} \right) \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}[\rho_{\text{sys}}]$$

$$\rho_{\rm sys,i}(t) = e^{-i\lambda_i t} \rho_{\rm sys,i}(0)$$



S. Lieu, et al. PRL(2020)



Quantum optics

Strong light-matter interaction



Quantum optics

Strong light-matter interaction



Quantum transport

Light-driven systems In prethermal regime







#Effective description of open quantum systems

$$\frac{d\rho_{\text{sys}}}{dt} = -i[\mathscr{H}_{\text{sys}}, \rho_{\text{sys}}] + \sum_{i} \left(2L_{i}^{\dagger}\rho_{\text{sys}}L_{i} - \{L_{i}^{\dagger}L_{i}, \rho_{\text{sys}}\} \right) \equiv \hat{\mathscr{L}}[\rho_{\text{sys}}]$$

Y. Ashida, et al, Adv. in Phys. (2020)R. El-Ganainy, et al, Nature Phys. (2018)

K. Kawabata, et al, PRX (2019) H. Lau, et al, Nature Comm. (2018)

#Effective description of open quantum systems

$$\frac{d\rho_{\text{sys}}}{dt} = -i[\mathcal{H}_{\text{sys}}, \rho_{\text{sys}}] + \sum_{i} \left(2L_{i}^{\dagger}\rho_{\text{sys}}L_{i} - \{L_{i}^{\dagger}L_{i}, \rho_{\text{sys}}\} \right) \equiv \hat{\mathscr{L}}[\rho_{\text{sys}}]$$

Generating effective **non-Hermitian** Hamiltonians

Momentum-based effective Hamiltonian

Vectorized form of Lindbladian

Third quantization

Effective recycled Hamiltonian

Effective Hamiltonian

Dynamical matrix

Y. Ashida, et al, Adv. in Phys. (2020)

R. El-Ganainy, et al, Nature Phys. (2018)

K. Kawabata, et al, PRX (2019) H. Lau, et al, Nature Comm. (2018)

#Effective description of open quantum systems

$$\frac{d\rho_{\text{sys}}}{dt} = -i[\mathscr{H}_{\text{sys}}, \rho_{\text{sys}}] + \sum_{i} \left(2L_{i}^{\dagger}\rho_{\text{sys}}L_{i} - \{L_{i}^{\dagger}L_{i}, \rho_{\text{sys}}\} \right) \equiv \hat{\mathscr{L}}[\rho_{\text{sys}}]$$

Generating effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonians

Momentum-based effective HamiltonianThird quantizationVectorized form of LindbladianEffective recycled HamiltonianEffective HamiltonianDynamical matrix
$$\dot{\rho}_{sys} = -i(H_{eff}\rho_{sys} - \rho_{sys}H_{eff}^{\dagger}) + \sum_{i} 2L_i\rho_{sys}L_i^{\dagger}$$
Dynamical matrix $\mu_{eff} = H_{sys} - i\sum_{l} L_l^{\dagger}L_l$ Dynamical matrix

Y. Ashida, et al, Adv. in Phys. (2020)

R. El-Ganainy, et al, Nature Phys. (2018)

K. Kawabata, et al, PRX (2019) H. Lau, et al, Nature Comm. (2018)

	Hermitian QM	Non-Hermitian QM
Eigenvectors		
Spectrum		
		Y. Ashida, et al, Adv. in Phys. (2020)



	Hermitian QM	Non-Hermitian QM
ectors	Orthogonal Eigenvectors	Bi-orthogonal/Self-orthogonal Eigenvectors
Eigenv		Localization of bulk eigenvectors close to boundaries (NH skin effect)
	Real-valued Eigenvalues	Complex-valued Eigenvalues
pectrum	Degenerate eigenvalues	Degenerate eigenvalues/ Coalescence of eigenvalues and eigenvectors
S	Real-line gaps	Real/imaginary-line gaps & point gaps
		Y. Ashida, et al, Adv. in Phys. (202

	Hermitian QM	Non-Hermitian QM
ectors	Orthogonal Eigenvectors	Bi-orthogonal/Self-orthogonal Eigenvectors
Eigenv		Localization of bulk eigenvectors close to boundaries (NH skin effect)
	Real-valued Eigenvalues	Complex-valued Eigenvalues
pectrum	Degenerate eigenvalues	Degenerate eigenvalues/ Coalescence of eigenvalues and eigenvectors
S	Real-line gaps	Real/imaginary-line gaps & point gaps
		Y. Ashida, et al, Adv. in Phys. (202



S. Sayyad, et al, PRR (2022)









 $\mathscr{H} |\psi_{R\pm}\rangle = E_{\pm} |\psi_{R\pm}\rangle \qquad \qquad |\psi_{R\pm}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \pm \sqrt{\alpha} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

 $\langle \psi_{L\pm} | \mathcal{H} = E_{\pm} \langle \psi_{L\pm} | \qquad \qquad \langle \psi_{L\pm} | = \left(1 \quad \pm \sqrt{\alpha} \right)$





 $\mathcal{H} | \psi_{R\pm} \rangle = E_{\pm} | \psi_{R\pm} \rangle$

 $\langle \psi_{L+} | \mathcal{H} = E_+ \langle \psi_{L+} |$

 $|\psi_{R\pm}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \pm \sqrt{\alpha} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $\langle \psi_{L\pm}| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm \sqrt{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$

Biorthogonal QM $\langle \psi_{L\pm} | \psi_{R\mp} \rangle = 0$





$$\langle \psi_{L\pm} | \psi_{R\mp} \rangle = 0$$

 $\langle \psi_{L\pm} | = (1 \pm \sqrt{\alpha})$

 $\langle \psi_{L+} | \mathscr{H} = E_+ \langle \psi_{L+} |$

 $H_{\rm sys} = \pm \left[b_0 + \frac{M_i^j}{i} (k_j \mp b_j) \sigma^i \right]$

Real Fermi velocity: $M = \text{diag}[v_1, v_2, v_3]$



 $H_{\rm sys} = \pm \left[b_0 + \frac{M_i^j}{i} (k_j \mp b_j) \sigma^i \right]$

Real Fermi velocity: $M = \text{diag}[v_1, v_2, v_3]$



$$H_{\text{eff}} = H_{\text{sys}} - iL^{\dagger}L$$
$$(L^{\dagger}L)_{mn} \propto e^{-\beta|\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n|}$$

 $H_{\rm sys} = \pm \left[b_0 + \frac{M_i^j}{(k_i \mp b_i)\sigma^i} \right]$

Real Fermi velocity: $M = \text{diag}[v_1, v_2, v_3]$



 $H_{\rm eff} = H_{\rm sys} - iL^{\dagger}L$

 $(L^{\dagger}L)_{mn} \propto e^{-\beta|\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n|}$

 k_y

S.Sayyad, et al., (In prep.)

 $H_{\text{sys}} = \pm [b_0 + \frac{M_i^j}{(k_i \mp b_j)\sigma^i}]$

Real Fermi velocity: $M = \text{diag}[v_1, v_2, v_3]$



 $H_{\rm eff} = H_{\rm sys} - iL^{\dagger}L$

 $(L^{\dagger}L)_{mn} \propto e^{-\beta|\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n|}$

$$H_{\text{eff}} \approx \pm \left[A_0^{5c} + M_i^{cj} (k_j \mp A_j^{5c}) \sigma^i \right]$$

Complex-valued

$$H_{\text{eff}} \approx \pm \left[A_0^{5c} + M_i^{cj}(k_j \mp A_j^{5c})\sigma^i\right]$$
Complex-valued
$$\mathcal{Z} \propto \int \mathscr{D}\Psi \mathscr{D}\bar{\Psi}e^{-\int d^d x \left[\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu} \left(M_{\mu}^{c\nu}\partial_{\nu} - iM_{\mu}^{c\nu}A_{\nu}^c + i\gamma_5 M_{\mu}^{c\nu}A_{\nu}^{5c}\right)\Psi\right]} \qquad M^c = \text{diag}[1, v_1, v_2, v_3]$$

$$H_{\text{eff}} \approx \pm \left[A_{0}^{5c} + M_{i}^{cj}(k_{j} \mp A_{j}^{5c})\sigma^{i}\right]$$

$$Complex-valued$$

$$\mathcal{Z} \propto \int \mathscr{D}\Psi \mathscr{D}\bar{\Psi}e^{-\int d^{d}x \left[\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}\left(M_{\mu}^{c\nu}\partial_{\nu} - iM_{\mu}^{c\nu}A_{\nu}^{c} + i\gamma_{5}M_{\mu}^{c\nu}A_{\nu}^{5c}\right)\Psi\right]} \qquad M^{c} = \text{diag}[1, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}]$$

$$\mathscr{D}[A^{c}, A^{5c}] \neq \mathscr{D}^{\dagger}[A^{c}, A^{5c}]$$

$$H_{\text{eff}} \approx \pm \left[A_0^{5c} + M_i^{cj}(k_j \mp A_j^{5c})\sigma^i\right]$$

$$Complex-valued$$

$$\mathcal{Z} \propto \int \mathscr{D}\Psi \mathscr{D}\bar{\Psi}e^{-\int d^d x \left[\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu} \left(M_{\mu}^{c\nu}\partial_{\nu} - iM_{\mu}^{c\nu}A_{\nu}^c + i\gamma_5 M_{\mu}^{c\nu}A_{\nu}^{5c}\right)\Psi\right]} \qquad M^c = \text{diag}[1, v_1, v_2, v_3]$$

$$\mathscr{D}[A^c, A^{5c}] \neq \mathscr{D}^{\dagger}[A^c, A^{5c}]$$

Conserved classical currents

$$\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} = 0 \qquad \qquad \partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{5} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{eff}} &\approx \pm \left[A_0^{5c} + M_i^{cj}(k_j \mp A_j^{5c})\sigma^i\right] \\ & \text{Complex-valued} \end{aligned}$$
$$\mathcal{Z} \propto \int \mathscr{D}\Psi \mathscr{D}\bar{\Psi}e^{-\int d^d x \left[\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu} \left(M_{\mu}^{c\nu}\partial_{\nu} - iM_{\mu}^{c\nu}A_{\nu}^{c} + i\gamma_5 M_{\mu}^{c\nu}A_{\nu}^{5c}\right)\Psi\right]} \\ \mathscr{D}[A^c, A^{5c}] \neq \mathscr{D}^{\dagger}[A^c, A^{5c}] \end{aligned}$$
$$M^c = \text{diag}[1, v_1, v_2, v_3]$$

Conserved classical currents

$$\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} = 0 \qquad \qquad \partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{5} = 0$$

How about divergence of quantum currents?

Fujikawa method + Heat-kernel regularization

$$H_{\text{eff}} \approx \pm [A_0^{5c} + M_i^{cj}(k_j \mp A_j^{5c})\sigma^i]$$
Complex-valued
$$\mathscr{Z} \propto \int \mathscr{D}\Psi \mathscr{D}\bar{\Psi}e^{-\int d^d x \left[\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu} (M_{\mu}^{c\nu}\partial_{\nu} - iM_{\mu}^{c\nu}A_{\nu}^c + i\gamma_5 M_{\mu}^{c\nu}A_{\nu}^{5c})\Psi\right]} \qquad M^c = \text{diag}[1, v_1, v_2, v_3]$$

$$\mathscr{D}[A^c, A^{5c}] \neq \mathscr{D}^{\dagger}[A^c, A^{5c}]$$

Conserved classical currents

$$\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} = 0 \qquad \qquad \partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{5} = 0$$

How about divergence of quantum currents?

Fujikawa method + Heat-kernel regularization

Hermitian

$$\mathscr{D}_{\rm herm}^2 |\psi_n\rangle = \lambda_n^2 |\psi_n\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{eff}} &\approx \pm \left[A_0^{5c} + M_i^{cj}(k_j \mp A_j^{5c})\sigma^i\right] \\ & \text{Complex-valued} \\ \mathcal{Z} &\propto \int \mathscr{D}\Psi \mathscr{D}\bar{\Psi}e^{-\int d^d x \left[\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu} \left(M_{\mu}^{c\nu}\partial_{\nu} - iM_{\mu}^{c\nu}A_{\nu}^c + i\gamma_5 M_{\mu}^{c\nu}A_{\nu}^{5c}\right)\Psi\right]} \\ & \mathscr{D}[A^c, A^{5c}] \neq \mathscr{D}^{\dagger}[A^c, A^{5c}] \end{aligned} \qquad M^c = \text{diag}[1, v_1, v_2, v_3] \end{aligned}$$

Conserved classical currents

$$\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} = 0 \qquad \qquad \partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{5} = 0$$

How about divergence of quantum currents?

Fujikawa method + Heat-kernel regularization

Hermitian

 $\mathscr{D}_{\rm herm}^2 |\psi_n\rangle = \lambda_n^2 |\psi_n\rangle$

Non-Hermitian

$$ilde{\mathcal{D}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} |\eta_n\rangle = |\lambda|_n^2 |\eta_n\rangle, \quad ilde{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} \tilde{\mathcal{D}} |\xi_n\rangle = |\lambda|_n^2 |\xi_n\rangle,$$

 $ilde{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} |\eta_n\rangle = \lambda_n^* |\xi_n\rangle, \quad ilde{\mathcal{D}} |\xi_n\rangle = \lambda_n |\eta_n\rangle.$

$$\mathcal{Z} \propto \int \mathcal{D}\Psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi} e^{-\int d^{d}x \left[\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu} (M^{c\nu}_{\mu}\partial_{\nu} - iM^{c\nu}_{\mu}A^{c}_{\nu} + i\gamma_{5}M^{c\nu}_{\mu}A^{5c}_{\nu})\Psi\right]} M^{c} = \operatorname{diag}[1, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}]$$
$$\mathcal{D}[A^{c}, A^{5c}] \neq \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}[A^{c}, A^{5c}]$$

$$\mathscr{Z} \propto \int \mathscr{D} \Psi \mathscr{D} \bar{\Psi} e^{-\int d^d x \left[\bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left(M^{c\nu}_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} - i M^{c\nu}_{\mu} A^c_{\nu} + i \gamma_5 M^{c\nu}_{\mu} A^{5c}_{\nu} \right) \Psi \right]} \qquad M^c = \operatorname{diag}[1, v_1, v_2, v_3]$$
$$\mathscr{D}[A^c, A^{5c}] \neq \mathscr{D}^{\dagger}[A^c, A^{5c}]$$

Divergence of covariant quantum currents:

$$\mathcal{Z} \propto \int \mathcal{D} \Psi \mathcal{D} \bar{\Psi} e^{-\int d^d x \left[\bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left(M^{c\nu}_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} - i M^{c\nu}_{\mu} A^c_{\nu} + i \gamma_5 M^{c\nu}_{\mu} A^{5c}_{\nu} \right) \Psi \right]} \qquad M^c = \operatorname{diag}[1, v_1, v_2, v_3]$$
$$\mathcal{D}[A^c, A^{5c}] \neq \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}[A^c, A^{5c}]$$

Divergence of covariant quantum currents:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{d}_{\mu} j^{5,\mu} &\propto v_1 \tilde{E}_1^{\dagger} + v_1^* \tilde{E}_1 & \text{in } d = 2, \\ \\ \tilde{d}_{\mu} j^{5,\mu} &\propto v_1 v_2 v_3 (\tilde{E}^{\dagger} \cdot \tilde{B}^{\dagger} + \tilde{E}^{5\dagger} \cdot \tilde{B}^{5\dagger}) \\ &+ v_1^* v_2^* v_3^* (\tilde{E} \cdot \tilde{B} + \tilde{E}^5 \cdot \tilde{B}^5) & \text{in } d = 4. \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{Z} \propto \int \mathcal{D} \Psi \mathcal{D} \bar{\Psi} e^{-\int d^d x \left[\bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left(M^{c\nu}_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} - i M^{c\nu}_{\mu} A^c_{\nu} + i \gamma_5 M^{c\nu}_{\mu} A^{5c}_{\nu} \right) \Psi \right]} \qquad M^c = \operatorname{diag}[1, v_1, v_2, v_3]$$
$$\mathcal{D}[A^c, A^{5c}] \neq \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}[A^c, A^{5c}]$$

Divergence of covariant quantum currents:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{d}_{\mu} j^{5,\mu} &\propto v_1 \tilde{E}_1^{\dagger} + v_1^* \tilde{E}_1 & \text{in } d = 2, \\ \\ \tilde{d}_{\mu} j^{5,\mu} &\propto v_1 v_2 v_3 (\tilde{E}^{\dagger} \cdot \tilde{B}^{\dagger} + \tilde{E}^{5\dagger} \cdot \tilde{B}^{5\dagger}) \\ &+ v_1^* v_2^* v_3^* (\tilde{E} \cdot \tilde{B} + \tilde{E}^5 \cdot \tilde{B}^5) & \text{in } d = 4. \end{split}$$

Electric field

Magnetic field

 $\tilde{B}_i = \varepsilon^{ijk} \tilde{B}_{jk} = \varepsilon^{ijk} (e^{2i\phi_k} \partial_j A_k^c - e^{2i\phi_j} \partial_k A_j^c)$

 $\tilde{E}_i = e^{2i\phi_j}\partial_t A_i^c - \partial_j A_t^c$

Phase of complex Fermi velocity $\frac{v_j}{v_j^*} = e^{i2\phi_j}$

#Physical consequences of non-Hermitian chiral anomaly



For each Weyl node $j^{(c)} \propto B$



$$j^{(A)} \propto \overrightarrow{k_0} \times E$$

Y. Araki, Annalen der Physik (2020)

#Physical consequences of non-Hermitian chiral anomaly







$$j^{(A)} \propto \overrightarrow{k_0} \times E$$

Y. Araki, Annalen der Physik (2020)

$$M^{\alpha}_{\nu}j^{\nu} \propto \varepsilon^{0\nu\eta\xi} \operatorname{Re}[M^{\alpha*}_{\nu}M^{\iota}_{\eta}M^{\rho}_{\xi}\tilde{B}^{\dagger}_{\iota\rho}]$$

$$M^{\alpha}_{\nu}j^{\nu} \propto \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\eta\xi} \tilde{k}_{0\delta} \operatorname{Re}[M^{\alpha*}_{\nu}M^{\delta}_{\mu}M^{\rho}_{\xi}\tilde{E}^{\dagger}_{\rho}]$$

S.Sayyad, et al., PRR (2022)

#Conclusion

Effective NH Weyl semimetals

$$\frac{\tilde{d}_{\mu} j^{5,\mu} \propto v_{1} \tilde{E}_{1}^{\dagger} + v_{1}^{*} \tilde{E}_{1} \quad \text{in } d = 2, \\
\tilde{d}_{\mu} j^{5,\mu} \propto v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} (\tilde{E}^{\dagger} \cdot \tilde{B}^{\dagger} + \tilde{E}^{5\dagger} \cdot \tilde{B}^{5\dagger}) \\
+ v_{1}^{*} v_{2}^{*} v_{3}^{*} (\tilde{E} \cdot \tilde{B} + \tilde{E}^{5} \cdot \tilde{B}^{5}) \quad \text{in } d = 4.$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\epsilon^{\operatorname{eff}} \right]_{-3}^{-5} \underbrace{\left[e^{\operatorname{eff}} \right]_{-3}^{0} \underbrace{\left[e^{\operatorname{eff}} \right]_{-2,5}^{0} \underbrace{\left[e^{\operatorname{eff}} \right]_{$$

#Conclusion

Effective NH Weyl semimetals









Non-Hermitian effective model

$$H_{\text{eff}} \approx \pm [A_0^{5c} + M_i^{cj}(\vec{k} \mp A^{5c}) \cdot \sigma]$$
Complex-valued

$$\mathcal{Z} \propto \int \mathscr{D}\Psi \mathscr{D}\bar{\Psi} e^{-\int d^d x \left[\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu} (M_{\mu}^{c\nu}\partial_{\nu} - iM_{\mu}^{c\nu}A_{\nu}^c + i\gamma_5 M_{\mu}^{c\nu}A_{\nu}^{5c})\Psi\right]}$$

$$\mathscr{D}[A^c, A^{5c}] \neq \mathscr{D}^{\dagger}[A^c, A^{5c}]$$

 $\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} = 0 \qquad \qquad \partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{5} = 0$ **Conserved** classical currents

Divergence of quantum currents:

$$\begin{split} [M^{c\nu}_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}j^{\mu}]_{\rm cov} \propto \frac{1}{32\pi^{2} {\rm det}[B]} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \Big(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}[A^{c\dagger}]\tilde{F}_{\rho\lambda}[A^{5c\dagger}] + \tilde{F}^{\dagger}_{\mu\nu}[A^{c}]\tilde{F}^{\dagger}_{\rho\lambda}[A^{5c}] \Big) \\ [M^{c\nu}_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}j^{\mu}_{5}]_{\rm cov} \propto \frac{1}{32\pi^{2} {\rm det}[B]} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \Big(\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}[A^{c\dagger}]\tilde{F}_{\rho\lambda}[A^{c\dagger}] + \tilde{F}^{\dagger}_{\mu\nu}[A^{c}]\tilde{F}^{\dagger}_{\rho\lambda}[A^{c}] + \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}[A^{5c\dagger}]\tilde{F}_{\rho\lambda}[A^{5c\dagger}] + \tilde{F}^{\dagger}_{\mu\nu}[A^{5c}]\tilde{F}^{\dagger}_{\rho\lambda}[A^{5c}] \Big) \\ Related to M^{c} \qquad \qquad \tilde{F}^{\dagger}_{\mu\nu}[V^{\dagger}] = M^{c\eta}_{\mu}M^{c\beta}_{\nu}(\partial_{\eta}V_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}V_{\eta}) \\ \tilde{F}^{\dagger}_{\mu\nu}[V] = M^{c\eta*}_{\mu}M^{c\beta}_{\nu}(\partial_{\eta}V_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}V_{\eta}) \end{split}$$

#Chiral anomaly in \mathscr{PT} -symmetric systems

$$H_{\mathscr{PT}} = \gamma_0 \gamma \cdot \mathbf{k} + m\gamma_0 + m_5 \gamma_0 \gamma_5 - \mu (I - \frac{m_5}{m} \gamma_5) \qquad \qquad H_{\text{herm}} = S H_{\mathscr{PT}} S^{-1}$$

$$\left\langle J^3 \right\rangle = \frac{e^2 B_3}{2\pi^2} \frac{m_5}{m} \mu.$$



M. Chernodub, et al. Symmetry (2020)

#Effective Description of Open Quantum Systems











Y. Araki, Annalen der Physik (2020)

#Computer-discovery of Optical experiments



$$\left| \psi^{(3)} \right\rangle = \left| 0, -1, +1 \right\rangle - \left| -1, 0, +1 \right\rangle + \left| -1, +1, 0 \right\rangle - \left| 0, +1, -1 \right\rangle + \left| +1, 0, -1 \right\rangle - \left| +1, -1, 0 \right\rangle, \quad (85) \left| \psi^{(4)} \right\rangle = 2(\left| -1, 1, -1, 1 \right\rangle + \left| 1, -1, 1, -1 \right\rangle) + \left| 1, 0, -1, 0 \right\rangle - \left| 1, -1, 0, 0 \right\rangle + \left| 0, 1, 0, -1 \right\rangle - \left| 0, 1, -1, 0 \right\rangle - \left| 0, 0, 1, -1 \right\rangle + \left| 0, 0, 0, 0 \right\rangle - \left| 0, 0, -1, 1 \right\rangle - \left| 0, -1, 1, 0 \right\rangle + \left| 0, -1, 0, 1 \right\rangle - \left| -1, 1, 0, 0 \right\rangle - \left| 1, 0, 0, -1 \right\rangle + \left| -1, 0, 1, 0 \right\rangle - \left| -1, 0, 0, 1 \right\rangle. \quad (86)$$



C. Ruiz-Gonzalez, et al, arXiv:2210.09980 (2022)