Electric Conductivity and Chiral Anomaly — perturbative and holographic perspectives

ANDA, ANDA, ANDA, ANDA, ANDA, ANDA ANDA, ANDA, ANDA, ANDA, ANDA, ANDA, ANDA, ANDA, ANDA

Kenji Fukushima

The University of Tokyo

— Holographic perspectives on chiral transport —

Chiral Anomaly

From a review (Aspects of Chiral Sym) by Smilga (2000)

$$K^{AB, \mathcal{H}}_{\mu\nu}(q) = i \int \langle T\{j^{A}_{\mu5}(x)j^{B}_{\nu}(0)\} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e^{iqx} d^{4}x$$
('t Hooft)

One loop calculation leads to

$$K^{AB, \mathcal{H}}_{\mu\nu}(q) = -\frac{\mathcal{H}}{2\pi^2} \frac{q_{\mu}\tilde{\epsilon}_{\nu\alpha}q^{\alpha}}{q^2} \cdot N_c \cdot \frac{1}{2}\delta^{AB}$$

This formula tells us a lot: CME is included, the difference in the order of limits is included, etc.

Chiral Magnetic Effect

ANDER AND AND AND AND AND A



If this linear rise is balanced by "relaxation" $\,\sigma_{ m CME}\,\propto\,B^2$

Chiral Magnetic Effect

$$j = (\sigma_{\rm Ohm} + \sigma_{\rm CME})E$$
 $\sigma_{\rm CME} \propto B^2$

Li et al. Nature Phys. 12, 550 (2016)



Son-Spivak (2012)

Two Questions:

- * Microscopic calculation of σ_{CME} beyond the relaxation time approx. ?
- * *B* dependece in the Ohmic part: σ_{Ohm} ?

Electric Conductivity

Tensor Decomposition



Perturbative Approach

ALAR ALAR ALARA ALARA

Magnetic field opens $1 \rightarrow 2 \ (2 \rightarrow 1)$ scattering channels. (Compton scattering with one photon replaced by *B* + Pair creation/annihilation processes)



Fukushima-Hidaka, PRL (2018) / JHEP (2020)

Calculated the conductivity for $T \sim \sqrt{eB} \gg gT$ (dropping the thermal screening effects)

The question: is chiral anomaly included?

Perturbative ApproachKubo formula \rightarrow Pinch singularities \rightarrow Kinetic equation $f + \delta f \propto E$ Linearlized kinetic eq. solved $\rightarrow \sigma$



Fukushima-Hidaka (2018 / 2020)

The question: is chiral anomaly included?

Perturbative Approach



At first, we thought that $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ at $m \rightarrow 0$ is an artifact from the LLL

No scattering in (1+1)D

Referee pointed out : $\sigma \to \infty$ must be the answer! The problem was: our δf did not include chiral charge. n_5 should be treated as a hydro mode...

Sakai-Sugimoto Model



Fukushima-Okutsu (2022)

Chiral symmetry is realized in the same way as QCD.

Chiral anomaly is easily seen from the equation of motion.

CME has been well investigated: Yee, Rebhan, Schmitt, Stricker, etc.

ALVA, ALVA

Suggestive Phase Structure in Sakai-Sugimoto Model



(per one flavor)

Hawking-Page Transition $T_c = M_{\rm KK}/(2\pi)$ $M_{KK} = 0.95 \,{\rm GeV}$ $\lambda = 16.63$

Compute the current with $E \to \sigma = j/E$ $\begin{bmatrix} B=0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \frac{\sigma}{C_e T} = \frac{2\lambda N_c T}{27\pi M_{\rm KK}} = \frac{\lambda}{9\pi^2} \left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right) \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} \sigma/(C_e T) \\ \text{This work} \\ \text{Lattice-QCD} \end{bmatrix}}$

 $\sigma/(C_eT)$ $1.1T_c$ $1.3T_c$ $1.5T_c$ This work0.2060.2430.281Lattice-QCD [52]0.201-0.7030.203-0.3880.218-0.413

Fukushima-Okutsu (2022)

ANDAL ANDAL ANDAL ANDAL ANDAL AND ANDAL ANDAL ANDAL ANDAL ANDAL ANDAL ANDAL ANDA

With nonzero B, transverse σ is easy to compute.

$$\sigma_{\perp} = \frac{\sigma(B=0)}{\sqrt{1+B^2 u_T^{-3}}}$$

Conductivity suppressed for large *B***, consistent with the Landau orbit picture.**

With nonzero *B*, a time-independent term appear from the Chern-Simons term, leading to $\sigma_{\parallel} \rightarrow \infty$!

- * We can adjust the anomaly to be zero...
 - Coefficient α in the Chern-Simons term changed.
- * We can drop the divergent term to be zero...

Extracting the Ohmic part of the conductivity.



Bad news — conductivity is enhanced even without the Chern-Simons term (maybe without the chiral anomaly).



Good news — Ohmic part with the chiral anomaly shows the *positive* magnetoresistance, not contaminating the CME signature (negative mag.).

Conclusions / Outlooks

- Still, the interpretation of the conductivity in terms of chiral anomaly is a subtle issue...
- Full perturbative calculation with the chiral charge taken into account is needed (maybe somebody already did it?)
- How to reliably calculate the magnetic dependence in the Ohmic part? Is this a well-defined question?
- Personally, I am interested in a question of how to formulate the relaxation time in a way calculable in the lattice or in the holographic model.