

**Raphaël Dupré**

Univ. Paris-Saclay

# Two Pictures of the Nucleus

## Two ways to describe nuclei



- As an ensemble of nucleons
- As an ensemble of quarks and gluons

## Traditional nuclear physics

- This picture is very effective
- Nuclear properties well reproduced
- Needs good NN and NNN forces
- Sometimes effective forces

## Do we need to go beyond



- If we have major issues to resolve



# The Nucleus Quark Structure

## The nuclear PDFs show many surprises

- Quarks are affected by the nuclear medium
- The EMC effect at large  $x$ , the shadowing effect at lower  $x$
- The dependence of this effect appears to have complex dependence to nuclear density



# Measuring Nuclear DVCS

## Nuclei give control over the spin

- Spin-0  $\rightarrow$  2 GPD ; Spin-1/2  $\rightarrow$  8 GPDs ; Spin-1  $\rightarrow$  18 GPDs
- Half of these intervene in DVCS


## In the nucleus two processes

- Coherent and incoherent channels
  - Similar to elastic and quasi-elastic
- Probe the whole nucleus and the bound nucleons

## A perfect tool to study the EMC effect

- Coherent DVCS gives access to the full nucleus
  - Including non-nucleonic degrees of freedom
- Incoherent DVCS gives access to the bound nucleon
  - To test modifications of the bound nucleon structure

R. Dupré and S. Scopetta. 3D Structure and Nuclear Targets. Eur. Phys. J., A52(6):159, 2016



# HERMES Results



## Nuclear DVCS measurement by HERMES

- Charge and beam-spin asymmetries
- No clear nuclear dependence
- In a rather pure coherent sample

## This is a problem...

- In the coherent process we expect a significant increase
- Argument about the way coherent and incoherent are separated

## Can we measure it directly ?



# The CLAS experiment at JLab




## Jefferson Laboratory


- 6 GeV electron beam (now 12 GeV)
- High stability, 100 % duty factor

## The CLAS spectrometer

- 2n acceptance
  - Luminosity  $\sim 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$
- Upgraded for DVCS measurements
    - A Low angle calorimeter for photons
    - A Solenoid to protect it from secondaries



# Detecting Recoil Nuclei



## Recoil nuclei are evasive

- They usually do not make it out of the target...

## How to handle that ?

- Use a light nuclei : Helium
  - It is also spin-0 which is nice for simplicity
- Use a light target : a straw
  - Filled at 5 Atm with 50  $\mu\text{m}$  thick walls
- Get very close to it : Radial TPC
  - 3 cm away from the target


## The experiment ran in 2009



# The Coherent Helium DVCS

## Coherent DVCS on helium

- Fully exclusive
- We observed large beam spin asymmetry
  - About twice the one on the proton, as expected from theory



## Interpretation of the results



- This strong signal shows we fully isolated coherent DVCS
- The amount of data is too little for advanced interpretation
- But enough to check if we can extract the CFF !

*M. Hattawy et al. (CLAS Coll.) Phys. Rev. Lett., 119(20):202004, 2017.*





# Nuclear CFF Extraction



## The Helium CFF extraction

- Simplified by the spin-0 (1 GPD/CFF)

## This is done using the different contributions in phi

- They are calculable within pQCD


$$A_{LU}(\phi) = \frac{\alpha_0(\phi) \Im m(\mathcal{H}_A)}{\alpha_1(\phi) + \alpha_2(\phi) \Re e(\mathcal{H}_A) + \alpha_3(\phi) (\Re e(\mathcal{H}_A)^2 + \Im m(\mathcal{H}_A)^2)}$$

- The fit converges immediately

*M. Hattawy et al. (CLAS Coll.) Phys. Rev. Lett., 119(20):202004, 2017.*



# (First) Model independent CFF extraction



# Incoherent Helium DVCS

## Gives a "generalized" EMC

- Strongly suppressed in particular for anti-shadowing
- Strange behavior compared to the models



## A New kind of EMC effect?

- It could be a nuclear effect
- Or it could be due to final state interactions
  - Can be very complicated in DVCS

*M. Hattawy et al. (CLAS Coll.) Phys. Rev. Lett., 123(3):032502, 2019.*

## More work is ongoing on these questions

- On the theoretical side for a better description
- On the experimental side with nitrogen data



# The ALERT Detector

## A Low Energy Recoil Tracker

- Hyperbolic drift chamber
- Time-of-Flight array


## It will be used for a large array of experiments

- Nuclear DVCS, DVMP...
- Tagged processes (detailed later)

## Collaborative effort within CLAS12

- ANL, IJCLab, JLab, NMSU, and Temple
- We tested a prototype with a nuclear beam in the Fall at the ALTO facility (Orsay, France)

## We hope to take data in 2023 or 2024




# What results do we expect ?

## Tomography of a nucleus

- A view into the nucleus in three dimensions
- Using the wider phase space and larger statistics

## Extension to the gluons

- We will measure DVMP (Phi meson)
- We hope to obtain a similar result for gluon tomography



# Tagging to Access Offshellness

## Tagging connects EMC to nucleon kinematics


- Linked through virtuality of the nucleon
- Can differentiate mean field from SRC nucleons

## This will test models and more

- Comparison between deuterium and helium
- It unequivocally resolve the link between the EMC effect and nucleon momentum

## Different nuclei

- Cover different momentum ranges
- Mean field vs SRC




# Understanding the Incoherent DVCS

## Tagging the incoherent DVCS

- A tagged measurement can pin down the origin of the strong BSA suppression in incoherent DVCS
- By better controlling the initial and final states independently

## Proposed for JLab 12 GeV

- This is probably an important addition for all incoherent processes in the future



# The EIC Project

---

## We are preparing for nuclear DVCS at the EIC

- Measuring nuclear DVCS at much lower  $x$
- Make a 3D image of the shadowing region

## We developed A New Monte-Carlo Event Generator

- ROOT based event generator use the T Foam class to generate a grid and then events
- Use of a recent model tested against data

*Sara Fucini, Sergio Scopetta, Michele Viviani Phys.Rev.C 98 (2018) 1, 015203*

- We named it TOPEG (The Orsay Perugia Event Generator)

*<https://gitlab.in2p3.fr/dupre/nopeg>*

## These simulations are part of the EIC Yellow Report (2021)

- New models are in preparation for this event generator !








# EIC Projections

## We expect very nice results from the EIC

- The key detector for this is the Roman pot
- Detecting the nuclear recoil very close to the beam line
- Here we show profile extractions
  - For transverse momentum thresholds of 0.1 (left), 0.2 (center) and 0.3 GeV (right)



# Summary

---

## **We do not understand the nucleus well within QCD**

- Either we do not understand the mechanisms at play
- Or we lack a quantitative calculation to demonstrate it

## **There is hope in nuclear GPDs**

- We measured coherent and incoherent nuclear DVCS
  - **Confirming some of our understanding and raising new questions at once**
- More measurements are coming to reach high precision

## **Two important new elements are coming**

- Using tagging will constrain the theoretical models
- We will go into completely new territory with EIC

