EICUG: Second Detector Working Group 30 September 2022

## DVCS on Nuclei with a 2<sup>nd</sup> EIC Detector

Charles Hyde Old Dominion University





Ostello di Trento ("Trint"), watercolour, 19.8 x 27.7, painted by A. Dürer on his wayback from Venice (1405)

British Museum, Londor





### **DVCS Bin Migration Comparison**

- α(e,e'γ)α:
  - (10 GeV)x(137.5 GeV/u)
  - $Q^2 \in [12,36] \text{ GeV}^2$
  - Orsay-Perugia (TOPEG) Generator
  - PbWO<sub>4</sub>:  $1\% \oplus \frac{2\%}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus \frac{1\%}{E}$
  - EMCal:  $\frac{12\%}{\sqrt{E}}$
- Bin Migration grows with x<sub>B</sub> and strongly depends on EMCal resolution.



DVCS on Nuclei at EIC

#### Coherent DVCS on light nuclei. Unfolding the Bin Migration

TOPEG event generator DELPHES FastMC

- Systematic uncertainty in reconstructed cross section estimated by varying PbWO<sub>4</sub> resolution event-byevent ±10%
- Error bars from uncertainty of bin-migration remain small.



# Comment on Diffractive Minima in Nuclear

- Sharp diffractive minima in (e,e') Form Factors
  - In heavy nuclei, these minima are smoothed out in the (e,e') cross section by Coulomb effects in the Dirac Equation (DWBA, not PWBA).
- DVCS & BH amplitudes interfere in Z(e,e'γ)Z
  - Even for light nuclei, the diffractive patterns have different minima: Charge distribution  $\neq$  Mass distribution:  $q - \overline{q} \neq q + \overline{q}$
  - Diffractive minima will wash out in phi-averaged cross sections.
  - Diffractive minima of both BH & DVCS amplitudes should be visible in DVCS\*BH interference terms, such as electron helicity difference  $\overrightarrow{d\sigma} - \overleftarrow{d\sigma}$



## Example Azimuthal Distributions: $\alpha(e, e'\lambda)\alpha$

- (10 GeV)x(137.5 GeV/u)
- $y \in [0.62, 0.90]$
- $\langle x_B \rangle \approx 0.004$
- Projected counts at 10/fb/nucleus
- Error bars are MC, (not data) statistics!
- Fits are simple Fourier, not |BH+DVCS|<sup>2</sup>



9/30/2022

Example Azimuthal Distributions:  $\alpha(e, e'\lambda)\alpha$ 

- (10 GeV)x(137.5 GeV/u)
- $y \in [0.06, 0.32]$
- $\langle x_B \rangle \approx 0.012$
- Projected counts at 10/fb/nucleus
- Error bars are MC, (not data) statistics!
- Fits are simple Fourier, not |BH+DVCS|<sup>2</sup>



9/30/2022



•  $\langle x_B \rangle \approx 0.012$ 

9/30/2022

- Projected counts at
- Error bars are MC, (not data)
- Fits are simple Fourier, not

### Next Steps

- Extend MC & Simulation to higher  $-\Delta^2$ 
  - Cross sections shown are large!
- Simulate |BH|<sup>2</sup> to obtain DVCS signal(s)
- Figure out how to include Real part of Compton Form Factor in a finite amount of computation time (<1 week on JLab farm)
- Three energy settings: 10x137.5, 10x100, 5x41 GeV<sup>2</sup>
  - Higher  $x_B$  at lower s and/or higher  $Q^2$ ?
  - Evaluate separation of  $|DVCS|^2$  and  $\mathcal{R}e[DVCS^*BH]$  via *s*-dependence

### Conclusions

- High Resolution EMCal in full range  $\eta < 0$  is an enabling technology for nuclear DVCS
  - Combining DVCS and Deep Virtual  $\phi$  or J/ $\psi$  allows separation of gluon and quark distributions in light nuclei
- Need event generators for heavier nuclei (e.g. <sup>16</sup>O), even approximate