#### Measurement of the ${}^{22}Ne + \alpha$ reactions at LUNA MV ECT\* - Trento - KRINA









INFN Naples University of Naples "Federico II"





A. Best (UniNa/INFN-Na)

 $^{22}Ne+\alpha$  at LUNA M

December 14, 2022

b 4 T b

#### Ancient history

#### THERMAL PULSES; *p*-CAPTURE, α-CAPTURE, *s*-PROCESS NUCLEOSYNTHESIS; AND CONVECTIVE MIXING IN A STAR OF INTERMEDIATE MASS\*

ICKO IBEN, JR.

University of Illinois, Champaign-Urbana Received 1974 June 17; revised 1974 September 16

#### NEON-22 AS A NEUTRON SOURCE, LIGHT ELEMENTS AS MODULATORS, AND *s*-PROCESS NUCLEOSYNTHESIS IN A THERMALLY PULSING STAR\*

ICKO IBEN, JR. University of Illinois, Champaign–Urbana Received 1974 August 21

"A very warm thanks to the referee of the first version of this paper for insisting that: not only can <sup>22</sup>Ne not act as a significant source of neutrons but, even if it does, both it and its progeny will use up all of the emitted neutrons, leaving none for the production of heavier s-process elements."

## $^{22}$ Ne( $\alpha$ , [n, $\gamma$ ]) $^{25,26}$ Mg physics case: production of the heavy elements, and more





Figure 10. The same as Fig. 8, but for the *s*-path region close to the *s*-only isotope  ${}^{96}Mo$  (red rectangle). While  ${}^{93}Zr$  is practically stable on the time-scale of the *s*-process,  ${}^{95}Zr$  acts as the main branching point.

- Main source for weak s process
- Effect on branch points in main s
- Formation of early solar system cosmic grains in meteorites
- Mg isotope observations in stellar atmospheres
- We need both n and  $\gamma$  channels
- S. Cristallo's talk

A D N A B N A B N A B N

#### $^{22}$ Ne( $\alpha$ , n) $^{25}$ Mg cross section



R matrix courtesy of R. J. deBoer, University of Notre Dame/JINA

- Capabilities on surface exhausted (20 years since last direct data)
- Current lowest rate 2 reactions/minute
- Covers one resonance close to Gamow
- 300 keV of upper limits...
- Many states that can contribute
- Need improvement by more than 2 orders of magnitude

#### Low-energy states

Table 1. Properties of states in <sup>26</sup>Mg between the neutron threshold and the 832 keV resonance. Values taken from [15], except for the last row, which is from [14].

E <sub>n</sub> [keV]	E <sub>x</sub> [keV]	E <sub>α</sub> [keV]	Jπ	Neutron width [eV]
19.92	11112	589	2+	2095
72.82	11163	649	2+	5310
79.23	11169	656	3-	1940
187.95	11274	779	2+	410
194.01	11280	786	3-	1810
243.98	11328	843 ?	?	171
235 [14]	11319	832	2+	Total width = $250 \text{ eV}$

- nTOF study of energies and neutron widths (Massimi et al. PLB 768 (2017), 1)
- 832 keV state still a bit unclear w.r.t.  $n/\alpha$  channel, energy
- No  $\alpha$  widths are known
- Many indirect studies, but discrepancies (Adsley, Talwar, Ota etc)

< □ > < A >

#### Beam-induced backgrounds



Q-values:

- ▶ <sup>22</sup>Ne = 478 keV
- $\blacktriangleright$  <sup>10</sup>B = 1059 keV
- ▶  $^{11}\mathrm{B} = 158~\mathrm{keV}$
- ▶  $^{13}\text{C} = 2216 \text{ keV}$

#### What to do?



- Drastic background reduction
- Large beam current increase
- Suppression/identification of beam-induced background

⇒ ▶

#### Advantages of going underground



- Direct low-energy measurements limited by natural background
- $\bullet~\text{LNGS}\approx3400$  m.w.e. underneath Gran Sasso mountain chain
- Cosmic-ray induced neutrons efficiently shielded against
- Residual flux from  $(\alpha, n)$  and fission in rocks
- $\bullet\,$  Neutron flux underground suppressed by  $\approx 1000$  w.r.t. surface

#### Background reduction



- Deep underground @ LNGS: Suppression of (thermal) neutron background by > 1000
- Additional clean detector material & PSD
- Extended gas target with enriched <sup>22</sup>Ne
- Coincidence/Anticoincidence
- Total background < 1 count/hour

A. Best (UniNa/INFN-Na)

 $^{22}$ Ne+ $\alpha$  at LUNA MV

#### Top-of-the-line accelerator



- Specifically designed to fit nuclear astrophysics needs
- Reaction rates of < 1/hour:
  - Beam current ( $\approx$  5× Jaeger et al.): push signal-noise ratio
  - Current stability: measurements of the order of weeks
  - Energy stability: must not drift over long periods
- 350 3500 kV: cover entire astrophysical energy range
- Installed underground, in final acceptance phase

A. Best (UniNa/INFN-Na)

 $^{22}$ Ne+ $\alpha$  at LUNA MV

#### SHADES - detector array





- Need to measure very low event rates
- Require some sort of energy sensitivity
- Hybrid detector array: <sup>3</sup>He counters & liquid scintillator
- High efficiency + energy sensitive
- Prototype built & tested





Goals



- Cover from threshold to 3.5 MeV
- > two orders of magnitude improvement
- Comprehensive *R* matrix analysis
- Perform nucleosynthesis calculations with new data

#### Status I





- 5(+1)-year, since February 2020
- Target+detector assembled
- Target characterisation at CIRCE started
- DAQ development underway
- Assembly at LNGS 2023
- Underground campaign at LUNA MV
- Data evaluation and astrophysical impact - collaboration with M. Pignatari/Budapest

#### Status II



• Detector background investigated - publication drafted





• Detector characterisation at FRANZ - under analysis

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

э

### $^{22}{\rm Ne}(lpha,\gamma)^{26}{\rm Mg}$ cross section



- Direct data Wolke et al. 1989 (!)
- Some remeaseurements of 830 keV res (TUNL)
- CASPAR + LUNA few new upper limits
- Vast terra incognita to explore

# EAS $\gamma$ Experimental and Astrophysical Study of ${}^{22}Ne(\alpha, \gamma){}^{26}Mg$

- MUR project started 1. December 2022 4 years
- Synergize with ERC setup
- Small modifications to target
- High-efficiency  $\gamma$ -detection array
- Shielding under investigation
- Map out cross section of  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  channel
- O. Straniero + astro postdoc for stellar analysis



 $^{22}Ne+\alpha$  at LUNA M

#### Summary + Outlook





- Steady influx of indirect data some cross sections would be nice
- Push direct cross section towards Gamow energy with SHADES and EAS  $\gamma$
- Installation in 2023, data taking 2023-2024 (n channel)
- Wait for exciting (?) first news in 2025



C. Ananna, A. Best, G. Imbriani, A. di Leva, D. Mercogliano, M. Junker, M. Pignatari, D. Rapagnani, O. Straniero + ???