# Result of *KNN* search at J-PARC and future projects

Takumi Yamaga (RIKEN)

ECT\* workshop 2022.10.17 – 21





#### The lightest $\bar{K}$ -nucleus

$$(\bar{K}[NN]^{I=1})^{I=1/2}$$
$$J^{\pi} = 0^{-1}$$
$$\sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}[\bar{K}N]^{I=0}N + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}[\bar{K}N]^{I=1}N$$

#### ground state

$$I_z = +1/2$$
 K

$$I_z = -1/2 \quad K^- pn - \bar{K}^0 nn$$



#### *shallow bound?* N. Shevchenko, Few-Body syst. **61** (2020) 27











### J-PARC E15



#### Cylindrical detector system



### J-PARC E15

- Selecting  $\Lambda p + n_{\text{miss}}$  final state  $\rightarrow n_{\text{miss}}$  ID by missing mass technique
- Measuring  $\Lambda p$  invariant-mass

& momentum transfer







 $\rightarrow$ 

### Fit result



#### The whole 2D distribution is well reproduced.

40

quasi-free

20



80

### broad hat we observed

The peak position does not depend on q.

### $0.3 < q_x \leq 96$ field be resonance.

data

QF-K absorption total cess is clearly observed.

Intermediate K exist during the reaction.  $\underbrace{KNN \rightarrow \Sigma^{0} p}{KNN \rightarrow \Sigma^{0} p}$ 

The peak position is below the  $M_{\bar{K}NN}$ .  $\rightarrow$  We interpreted it as  $\overline{KNN}$  signal.

 $BE = 42 \pm 3$  (stat.)  $^{+3}_{-4}$  (syst.) MeV

 $\Gamma \cong 100 \pm 7 \text{ (stats)} + 19 \text{ (syst.) MeV}$ > 0.9 GeV/c obtained as peak position & width of simple Breit-Wigner





### **Compare to theoretical calculation**



Theoretical calculation supports that the observed peak is KNN signal.

T. Sekihara, E. Oset, and A. Ramos, JPSCP 26 (2019) 023009  $m_{\bar{K}} + 2m_N$ Theory (A) Theory (B) Exp. (all - BG)**Calculated spectra** E15 data 2.35 2.4 2.45 2.55 2.5 26  $M_{\Lambda p}$  [GeV]



Fig.4: The ratio R as a function of relative density, calculated using the free-space and Pauli blocked amplitudes for  $B_{K^-} = 0$  MeV and  $B_{K^-} = 50$  MeV. Color bands denote the uncertainty due to different cut-off values  $\Lambda_c = 800 - 1200$  MeV. 14

0

0.2

0.4

 $\rho/\rho_0$ 

0.6

0.8

0.2

 $--B_{K} = 50 \text{ MeV}$ 

0.6

 $\rho/\rho_0$ 

0.8

0.2

0.4



2.8













absorption to total absorption.

T. Sekihara et al., Phys. Rev. C 86 (2012) 065205

FIG. 13. Fractions of mesonic, sum of  $(\pi \Sigma)^0$ , and nonmesonic



#### Mesonic channels

$$I_{z} = + 1/2$$

$$\pi^{+}\Lambda n + n_{\text{miss}}$$

$$\pi^{-}\Sigma^{+}p + n_{\text{miss}}$$

$$\pi^{+}\Sigma^{-}p + n_{\text{miss}}$$

#### Non-mesonic



### **Event selection for mesonic decay**



In the case of

 $\pi^{-}\Lambda pp)_{\pi^{-}\Sigma^{+}se} lected as (\pi^{+}\Lambda nn) \overset{\times}{\aleph}$  $\rightarrow \pi^{-}(\pi^{+}\hbar)\hbar$  $\frac{m(p\pi)}{CD} (GeV(c^2))$  $GeV/c^2$ 





### **Event selection for mesonic decay**



#### Cylindrical detector system

In the case of

$$\pi^{-}\Sigma^{+}p + n_{\text{miss}}$$
$$\rightarrow \pi^{-}(\pi^{+}n)p$$

**Detected with CDS** 







 $\Lambda(1405)$  + Phase space

 $\Sigma(1385)^+$  + Phase space

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  The reaction could be understood as  $\overline{KNN}$  production & quasi-free process

Similar to  $\Lambda p + n_{\text{miss}}$ 

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

19

QF

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Cross section of $\overline{K}NN$

Statistical error only Preliminary

 $85.4 \pm 21.2 \ \mu b$ 

Preliminary  $43.8 \pm 9.6 \ \mu b$ 

Preliminary  $83.6 \pm 12.0 \ \mu b$ 

 $9.3 \pm 0.8^{+1.4}_{-1.0} \ \mu b$ 

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_14.jpeg)

 $\Gamma_{\text{mesonic}}$  would be  $\mathcal{O}(10)$  times larger than  $\Gamma_{\text{non-mesonic}}$ .

But, other mesonic channels should be measured to conclude the exact ratio.

Theoretical works

T. Sekihara et. al., PRC 86, 065205 (2012).

 $\Gamma_{\rm non-mesonic} \sim \Gamma_{\rm mesonic}/2$  @ nuclear dens. (depending on density)

To be compared in more detailed

![](_page_19_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_22.jpeg)

### Remaining questions

Is the observed resonance really what we expected?

Other possibilities such as  $\Sigma^*N$ ?

Does  $\overline{K}$  really keep it particle identity?

We need further systematic measurements to answer the questions & to robustly confirm  $\bar{K}$ -nuclei.

Precise study for  $\bar{K}NN$ 

Search for heavier  $\bar{K}$ -nuclei

### Future projects

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Conceptual design of new CDS

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

>90% solid angle coverage

Neutron detection capability

Sensitivity for proton polarization

Construction has been started (Completed in 2025)

![](_page_22_Figure_6.jpeg)

# Programs for *K*-nuclei

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

# $\bar{K}NN$ system $J^{\pi}$ determination

- To confirm the existence more robustly
- Measuring  $d\sigma/dq \& \alpha_{\Lambda p}$
- Search for  $(\bar{K}NN)^{I_z=-1/2}$
- Isospin partner of observed  $\bar{K}NN$ 
  - $\bar{K}NN 
    ightarrow \Lambda n$  decay

#### Decay branch

Mesonic  $\pi\Lambda N, \pi\Sigma N$ 

#### Heavier system

 $\bar{K}NNN$  system Door to heavier system  ${}^{4}\text{He}(K^{-}, N)$  reaction  $K^{-}ppn - \bar{K}^{0}pnn$  (I=0)

 $\bar{K}NNNN$  systemExpected large B.E. & high density $^{6}Li(K^{-}, d)$  reaction $K^{-}-\alpha$  $\bar{K}^{0}-\alpha$ 

J-PARC P89

![](_page_23_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

# $\bar{K}NN$ production by <sup>3</sup>He( $K^-$ , N) reaction

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $K^{-}n \rightarrow K^{-}n \& K^{-}p \rightarrow \overline{K}^{0}n$ 4.7 mb/sr
2.4 mb/sr

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $K^-p \rightarrow K^-p$ 

1.8 mb/sr

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Production cross sections**

27

The relative yield of  $I_z = \pm 1/2$  states is also a good indicator for  $J^{\pi}$ 

8 weeks  $\otimes$  90 kW

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

2.8

Expected spectra of  $(\bar{K}NN)^{I_z=-1/2}$  ( $J^{\pi}=0^-$ )

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

### So far, J-PARC E15-100 $0.3 < q_x \le 0.6 \ GeV/c$ $V(c^{2}))$ $m_{\bar{K}} + 2m_N$ *qo/dm* (nb/(Me) <sup>00</sup> 20 $\bar{K}NN \rightarrow \Lambda p$ QF- $\overline{K}$ absorption $\bar{K}NN \rightarrow \Sigma^0 p$ BG $m_{\chi}$ (GeV/ $c^2$ ) We observed a signal of $\bar{K}NN^{(I_z=+1/2)} \rightarrow \Lambda p$

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Future ──J-PARC P89 \_\_\_\_\_ new CDS @ modified K.18BR (8 weeks ⊗ 90 kW)

We will measure,

Spin-spin correlation of  $\Lambda p$ to determine  $J^{\pi}$ 

(*m*, *q*) distributions of  $\Lambda n \& \Sigma^- p$  pairs to search for  $\bar{K}NN^{(I_z=-1/2)}$ 

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Thank you for your attention!

#### = J-PARC E15 collaboration =

S. Ajimura<sup>1</sup>, H. Asano<sup>2</sup>, G. Beer<sup>3</sup>, C. Berucci<sup>4</sup>, H. Bhang<sup>5</sup>, M. Bragadireanu<sup>6</sup>, P. Buehler<sup>4</sup>, L. Busso<sup>7,8</sup>, M. Cargnelli<sup>4</sup>, S. Choi<sup>5</sup>, C. Curceanu<sup>9</sup>, S. Enomoto<sup>10</sup>, H. Fujioka<sup>11</sup>, Y. Fujiwara<sup>12</sup>, T. Fukuda<sup>13</sup>, C. Guaraldo<sup>9</sup>, T. Hashimoto<sup>14</sup>, R. S. Hayano<sup>12</sup>, T. Hiraiwa<sup>1</sup>, M. Iio<sup>10</sup>, M. Iliescu<sup>9</sup>, K. Inoue<sup>1</sup>, Y. Ishiguro<sup>15</sup>, T. Ishikawa<sup>12</sup>, S. Ishimoto<sup>10</sup>, K. Itahashi<sup>2</sup>, M. Iwasaki<sup>2,11</sup>,<sup>\*</sup> K. Kanno<sup>12</sup>, K. Kato<sup>15</sup>, Y. Kato<sup>2</sup>, S. Kawasaki<sup>1</sup>, P. Kienle<sup>16</sup>,<sup>†</sup> H. Kou<sup>11</sup>, Y. Ma<sup>2</sup>, J. Marton<sup>4</sup>, Y. Matsuda<sup>12</sup>, Y. Mizoi<sup>13</sup>, O. Morra<sup>7</sup>, T. Nagae<sup>15</sup>, H. Noumi<sup>1</sup>, H. Ohnishi<sup>17,2</sup>, S. Okada<sup>2</sup>, H. Outa<sup>2</sup>, K. Piscicchia<sup>9</sup>, Y. Sada<sup>1</sup>, A. Sakaguchi<sup>1</sup>, F. Sakuma<sup>2</sup>,<sup>‡</sup> M. Sato<sup>10</sup>, A. Scordo<sup>9</sup>, M. Sekimoto<sup>10</sup>, H. Shi<sup>9</sup>, K. Shirotori<sup>1</sup>, D. Sirghi<sup>9,6</sup>, F. Sirghi<sup>9,6</sup>, K. Suzuki<sup>4</sup>, S. Suzuki<sup>10</sup>, T. Suzuki<sup>12</sup>, K. Tanida<sup>14</sup>, H. Tatsuno<sup>18</sup>, M. Tokuda<sup>11</sup>, D. Tomono<sup>1</sup>, A. Toyoda<sup>10</sup>, K. Tsukada<sup>17</sup>, O. Vazquez Doce<sup>9,16</sup>, E. Widmann<sup>4</sup>, T. Yamaga<sup>2,1</sup>,<sup>§</sup> T. Yamazaki<sup>12,2</sup>, Q. Zhang<sup>2</sup>, and J. Zmeskal<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup> Osaka University, Osaka, 567-0047, Japan <sup>2</sup> RIKEN, Wako, 351-0198, Japan <sup>3</sup> University of Victoria, Victoria BC V8W 3P6, Canada <sup>4</sup> Stefan-Meyer-Institut für subatomare Physik, A-1090 Vienna, Austria <sup>5</sup> Seoul National University, Seoul, 151-742, South Korea <sup>6</sup> National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering - IFIN HH, Bucharest - Magurele, Romania INFN Sezione di Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy <sup>8</sup> Universita' di Torino, Torino, Italy <sup>9</sup> Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati dell' INFN, I-00044 Frascati, Italy <sup>10</sup> High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba, 305-0801, Japan Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, 152-8551, Japan <sup>12</sup> The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, 113-0033, Japan <sup>13</sup> Osaka Electro-Communication University, Osaka, 572-8530, Japan <sup>14</sup> Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan <sup>15</sup> Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan <sup>16</sup> Technische Universität München, D-85748, Garching, Germany <sup>17</sup> Tohoku University, Sendai, 982-0826, Japan and <sup>18</sup> Lund University, Lund, 221 00, Sweden

## Thank you for your attention!

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### = Collaboration =

T. Hashimoto, K. Tanida

Theorists	
Tokyo Tech D. Jido	
T. Sekihara	