Relating fundamentals of functional theory An analytic case study

Julia Liebert

10.10.2022

<u>In collaboration with:</u> Christian Schilling, Adam Y. Chaou



Motivation

RDMFT variant	Gilbert	Levy	Valone
complexity of domain	very hard	hard	trivial
complexity of calculating functional explanation:	physical intuition + development of numerical ground state methods fitting of functionals	mathematical minimization (constrained search)	increasing mathematical intuition + complicated ensembles $(\Gamma \ge 0)$

Reminder:
$$E(h) = \min_{\gamma} \left[\operatorname{Tr}_1[h\gamma] + \frac{\mathcal{F}(\gamma)}{1} \right]$$

universal functional

[T. Gilbert, Phys. Rev. B 12, 2111 (1975),
M. Levy, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 76, 6062 (1979),
S. M. Valone, J. Chem. Phys. 73, 1344 (1980),
C. Schilling, J. Chem. Phys. 149, 231102 (2018)]

Outline

1) Foundational aspects of RDMFT

2) Ordinary Hubbard dimer

3) Generalized Hubbard dimer

1) Foundational aspects of RDMFT

$$\underline{1RDM:} \quad \gamma = N \operatorname{Tr}_{N-1}[\Gamma]$$

$$\underline{Hamiltonian:} \quad H(h) = h + W \quad fixed!$$

Universal functional:

$$\mathcal{F}^{(p/e)}(\gamma) = \min_{\Gamma \mapsto \gamma} \operatorname{Tr}_N[\Gamma W]$$

[T. L. Gilbert, Phys. Rev. B 12, 2111 (1975),
M. Levy, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 76, 6062 (1979),
S.M. Valone, J. Chem. Phys. 73, 1344 (1980)]

1) Foundational aspects of RDMFT

1RDM:
$$\gamma = N \operatorname{Tr}_{N-1}[\Gamma]$$

Hamiltonian: $H(h) = h + W$ fixed!

Universal functional:

$$\mathcal{F}^{(p/e)}(\gamma) = \min_{\Gamma \mapsto \gamma} \operatorname{Tr}_N[\Gamma W]$$

Domain of \mathcal{F} :

•
$$\mathcal{P}^{N} = \left\{ \Gamma : \mathcal{H}_{N} \to \mathcal{H}_{N} \mid \Gamma^{2} = \Gamma, \operatorname{Tr}[\Gamma] = 1, \Gamma \geq 0 \right\} \xrightarrow{N\operatorname{Tr}_{N-1}[\cdot]} \mathcal{P}_{N}^{1}$$

• $\mathcal{E}^{N} = \left\{ \Gamma : \mathcal{H}_{N} \to \mathcal{H}_{N} \mid \operatorname{Tr}[\Gamma] = 1, \Gamma \geq 0 \right\} \xrightarrow{N\operatorname{Tr}_{N-1}[\cdot]} \mathcal{E}_{N}^{1}$

[T. L. Gilbert, Phys. Rev. B 12, 2111 (1975),
M. Levy, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 76, 6062 (1979),
S.M. Valone, J. Chem. Phys. 73, 1344 (1980)]

Real-valued vs. complex-valued wave functions

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{I} c_{I} |\Phi_{I}\rangle$$

Real-valued: $c_{I} \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$
Complex-valued: $c_{I} \in \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{C}}$

Real-valued vs. complex-valued wave functions

$$\begin{split} |\Psi\rangle &= \sum_{I} c_{I} |\Phi_{I}\rangle \\ \text{Real-valued: } c_{I} \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \text{Complex-valued: } c_{I} \in \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{C}} \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{(p)}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{Re}(\gamma)) = \min_{(\gamma - \gamma^*)/2i} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(p)}_{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma)$$

Real-valued vs. complex-valued wave functions

$$\begin{split} |\Psi\rangle &= \sum_{I} c_{I} |\Phi_{I}\rangle \\ \text{Real-valued: } c_{I} \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \text{Complex-valued: } c_{I} \in \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{C}} \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{(p)}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{Re}(\gamma)) = \min_{(\gamma - \gamma^*)/2i} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(p)}_{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma)$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(p)} \xrightarrow{\min_{(\gamma - \gamma^{*})/2i}} & \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(p)} & \leq & \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(p)} \\ \hline \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \hline \vdots & & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \hline \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(e)} \xrightarrow{\min_{(\gamma - \gamma^{*})/2i}} & \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(e)} & = & \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(e)} \\ \end{array}$$

v-representability problem

Which 1RDMs are 'physical'?



v-representability problem

Which 1RDMs are 'physical'?



Gilbert: $\mathcal{F}^{(p)}(\gamma_h) = E(\gamma_h) - \operatorname{Tr}_1[h\gamma_h]$

Diverging exchange force: $|\nabla_{\gamma} \mathcal{F}(\gamma)| \xrightarrow{\operatorname{dist}(\gamma, \partial \mathcal{P}_N^1) \to 0} \infty$

[T. Gilbert, Phys. Rev. B 12, 2111 (1975),
C. Schilling, R. Schilling, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 013001 (2019)]

2) Ordinary Hubbard dimer

[A.J. Cohen, P. Mori-Sánchez, Phys. Rev. A 93, 042511 (2016)]

H = h + W $h = \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \left[-t \left(c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma} + c_{2\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{1\sigma} \right) + \varepsilon_1 \hat{n}_{1\sigma} + \varepsilon_2 \hat{n}_{2\sigma} \right]$ $W = U \left(\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{2\uparrow} \hat{n}_{2\downarrow} \right)$



2) Ordinary Hubbard dimer

[A.J. Cohen, P. Mori-Sánchez, Phys. Rev. A 93, 042511 (2016)]

 $\begin{aligned} H &= h + W \\ h &= \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \left[-t \left(c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma} + c_{2\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{1\sigma} \right) + \varepsilon_1 \hat{n}_{1\sigma} + \varepsilon_2 \hat{n}_{2\sigma} \right] \\ W &= U \left(\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{2\uparrow} \hat{n}_{2\downarrow} \right) \end{aligned}$



0.8 1.0

$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(p)}(\gamma) = U\left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\sin^2(\varphi)\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - (1 - 2R)^2}\right)\right]$$



How about $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(\mathbf{p})}$?

Numerical result by Cohen and Mori-Sánchez:





[A.J. Cohen, P. Mori-Sánchez, Phys. Rev. A 93, 042511 (2016)]

How about $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(\mathbf{p})}$?

Numerical result by Cohen and Mori-Sánchez:





[A.J. Cohen, P. Mori-Sánchez, Phys. Rev. A 93, 042511 (2016)]

Can we provide an analytic poof?

How about $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(\mathbf{p})}$?

Numerical result by Cohen and Mori-Sánchez:





[A.J. Cohen, P. Mori-Sánchez, Phys. Rev. A 93, 042511 (2016)]

Can we provide an analytic poof?

 $\rightarrow \textbf{Yes!}$

$$|\Psi\rangle = w_r |\Psi_r\rangle + i w_i |\Psi_i\rangle, \quad w_r, w_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(p)}(\gamma) = \min_{\Gamma^{\mathrm{rank}=2} \mapsto \gamma} \mathrm{Tr}_{2}[W\Gamma^{\mathrm{rank}=2}]$$

$$|\Psi\rangle = w_r |\Psi_r\rangle + i w_i |\Psi_i\rangle, \quad w_r, w_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(p)}(\gamma) = \min_{\Gamma^{\mathrm{rank}=2} \mapsto \gamma} \mathrm{Tr}_{2}[W\Gamma^{\mathrm{rank}=2}]$$

$$N = 2, D = 3:$$



[J. Liebert, A.Y. Chaou, C. Schilling, forthcoming (2022)]

$$|\Psi\rangle = w_r |\Psi_r\rangle + i w_i |\Psi_i\rangle, \quad w_r, w_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(p)}(\gamma) = \min_{\Gamma^{\mathrm{rank}=2} \mapsto \gamma} \mathrm{Tr}_{2}[W\Gamma^{\mathrm{rank}=2}]$$

$$\frac{N=2, D=3:}{\mathcal{E}^2(\gamma)} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(p)} = \operatorname{conv}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(p)}\right)$$



[J. Liebert, A.Y. Chaou, C. Schilling, forthcoming (2022)]

3) Generalized Hubbard dimer

$$W = U\left(\left|\Phi_{1}\right\rangle\!\!\left\langle\Phi_{1}\right| + \left|\Phi_{2}\right\rangle\!\!\left\langle\Phi_{2}\right|\right) + V\left(\left|\Phi_{1}\right\rangle\!\!\left\langle\Phi_{2}\right| + \text{h.c.}\right) + X\left(\left|\Phi_{1}\right\rangle\!\!\left\langle\Phi_{3}\right| + \left|\Phi_{2}\right\rangle\!\!\left\langle\Phi_{3}\right| + \text{h.c.}\right)$$

Basis states:

$$|\Phi_1\rangle = c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{1\downarrow}^{\dagger}|0\rangle, \quad |\Phi_2\rangle = c_{2\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{2\downarrow}^{\dagger}|0\rangle, \quad |\Phi_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{2\downarrow}^{\dagger} - c_{1\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{2\uparrow}^{\dagger}\right)|0\rangle$$

3) Generalized Hubbard dimer

$$W = U\left(\left|\Phi_{1}\right\rangle\!\!\left\langle\Phi_{1}\right| + \left|\Phi_{2}\right\rangle\!\!\left\langle\Phi_{2}\right|\right) + V\left(\left|\Phi_{1}\right\rangle\!\!\left\langle\Phi_{2}\right| + \text{h.c.}\right) + X\left(\left|\Phi_{1}\right\rangle\!\!\left\langle\Phi_{3}\right| + \left|\Phi_{2}\right\rangle\!\!\left\langle\Phi_{3}\right| + \text{h.c.}\right)\right)$$

Basis states:

$$|\Phi_1\rangle = c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{1\downarrow}^{\dagger}|0\rangle, \quad |\Phi_2\rangle = c_{2\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{2\downarrow}^{\dagger}|0\rangle, \quad |\Phi_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{2\downarrow}^{\dagger} - c_{1\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{2\uparrow}^{\dagger}\right)|0\rangle$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(p)}(\gamma) = U + \sqrt{2}X(1 - 2R)\sin(\varphi) + \frac{1}{2}(V - U)\sin^2(\varphi) - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - (1 - 2R)^2}|(V - U)\sin^2(\varphi) - 2V|$$

[J. Liebert, A.Y. Chaou, C. Schilling, forthcoming (2022)]





[J. Liebert, A.Y. Chaou, C. Schilling, forthcoming (2022)]

• Exploiting symmetries vs. complexities of RDMFT

+ analytic proof of numerical result by Cohen and Mori-Sánchez based on geometry of quantum states

- Exploiting symmetries vs. complexities of RDMFT
 - + analytic proof of numerical result by Cohen and Mori-Sánchez based on geometry of quantum states

• Role of *v*-representability in RDMFT

 \Rightarrow

Set of non-v-representable 1RDMs depends on interaction

- Exploiting symmetries vs. complexities of RDMFT
 - + analytic proof of numerical result by Cohen and Mori-Sánchez based on geometry of quantum states

- Role of v-representability in RDMFT
 - \Rightarrow Set of non-*v*-representable 1RDMs depends on interaction

• Derivation of universal functional for the Hubbard dimer with **generic** interactions

0.75 -0.25 0.00

¥12

 V_{11}

Exploiting symmetries vs. complexities of RDMFT

+ analytic proof of numerical result by Cohen and Mori-Sánchez based on geometry of quantum states

Role of v-representability in RDMFT

Set of non-v-representable 1RDMs depends on interaction \Rightarrow

Derivation of universal functional for the Hubbard dimer with generic interactions

Thank you!

References:

- 1. A.J. Cohen, P. Mori-Sánchez, Phys. Rev. A 93, 042511 (2016)
- 2. J. Liebert, A.Y. Chaou, C. Schilling, forthcoming (2022)