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## 3D Tomography of the Proton



Hard Scattering


Jefferson Lab 12 GeV


The Electron-Ion Collider

## 3D Tomography of the Proton



From TMD Handbook, TMD Topical Collaboration, to appear soon.

## TMDs from experiments

## TMD processes:

Semi-Inclusive DIS
$\sigma \sim f_{q / P}\left(x, k_{T}\right) D_{h / q}\left(x, k_{T}\right)$


HERMES, COMPASS, JLab, EIC, ...

Drell-Yan

$$
\sigma \sim f_{q / P}\left(x, k_{T}\right) f_{q / P}\left(x, k_{T}\right) \quad \sigma \sim D_{h_{1} / q}\left(x, k_{T}\right) D_{h_{2} / q}\left(x, k_{T}\right)
$$

Dihadron in $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathbf{e}^{-}$

Fermilab, RHIC,
LHC, ...


Babar, Belle, BESIII, ...

## TMDs from global analyses

Semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering: $l+p \longrightarrow l+h\left(P_{h}\right)+X$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \sigma^{W}}{d x d y d z_{h} d^{2} \mathbf{P}_{h T}} \sim \int d^{2} \mathbf{b}_{T} e^{i \mathbf{b}_{T} \mathbf{P}_{h T} / z} \\
& \times f_{i / p}\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{T}, Q, Q^{2}\right) D_{h i}\left(z_{h}, \mathbf{b}_{T}, Q, Q^{2}\right) \\
& f_{i / p}\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{T}, \mu, \zeta\right)=f_{i / p}^{\text {pert }}\left(x, b^{*}\left(b_{T}\right), \mu, \zeta\right) \\
& \times\left(\frac{\zeta}{Q_{0}^{2}}\right)^{g_{K}\left(b_{T}\right) / 2} \longrightarrow f_{i / p}^{\mathrm{NP}^{2}\left(x, b_{T}\right) \longrightarrow \text { Intrinsic TMD }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
Q_{0} \sim 1 \mathrm{GeV}
$$

Non-perturbative when $b_{T} \sim 1 / \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}$ !

## TMDs from global analyses

## Unpolarized quark TMD



Scimemi and Vladimirov, JHEP 06 (2020).

## Quark Sivers function



Cammarota, Gamberg, Kang et al. (JAM Collaboration), PRD 102 (2020).

## TMDs from global analyses

Collins-Soper Kernel $\quad K\left(b_{T}, \mu\right)=K^{\text {pert }}\left(b_{T}, \mu\right)+g_{K}\left(b_{T}\right)$


Bacchetta, Bertone, Bissolotti, et al., MAP Collaboration, 2206.07598

## TMD definition

- Beam function:


Hadronic matrix element

## - Soft function :



Vacuum matrix element

$$
f_{i}\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{T}, \mu, \zeta\right)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Z_{\mathrm{UV}} \lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{B_{i}}{\sqrt{S^{q}}}
$$

Collins-Soper scale: $\zeta=2\left(x P^{+} e^{-y_{n}}\right)^{2}$
Rapidity divergence regulator

First principles calculation of TMDs from the above matrix elements would greatly complement global analyses!
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Rapidity divergence regulator

First principles calculation of TMDs from the above matrix elements would greatly complement global analyses!

## Lattice QCD

Lattice gauge theory: a systematically improvable approach to solve non-perturbative QCD.


Imaginary time: $t \rightarrow i \tau \quad O(i \tau) \xrightarrow{?} O(t)$

Simulating real-time dynamics has been extremely difficult due to the issue of analytical continuation.

## Progress in the lattice study of TMDs

- Lorentz invariant method
- Musch, Hägler, Engelhardt, Negele and Schäfer et al.
- Primary efforts focused on ratios of TMD $x$-moments (w/o soft function) (2009-)
- Quasi-TMDs
- Large-momentum effective theory (Ji, 2013, 2014; Ji, Liu, Liu, Zhang and YZ, 2021)
- One-loop studies of quasi beam and soft functions (Ji, Yuan, Scäfer, Liu, Liu, Ebert, Stewart, YZ, Vladimirov, Wang, ..., 2015-2022)
- Method to calculate the Collins-Soper kernel (Ji, Yuan et al., 2015; Ebert, Stewart and YZ, 2018)
- Method to calculate the soft function, and thus the $x$ and $b_{T}$ dependence of TMDs (Ji, Liu and Liu, 2019)
- Derivation of factorization formula (Ebert, Schindler, Stewart and YZ , 2022)
- First lattice results (SWZ, LPC, ETMC/PKU, SVZES, 2020-)


## Quasi TMD in the LaMET formalism

- Beam function in Collins scheme:
- Quasi beam function :

$n_{b}^{\mu}\left(y_{B}\right) \equiv\left(-e^{2 y_{B}}, 1,0_{\perp}\right)$


Spacelike but close-to-lightcone $\left(y_{B} \rightarrow-\infty\right)$ Wilson lines, not calculable on the lattice :)

Equal-time Wilson lines, directly calculable on the lattice:

Related by Lorentz invariance, equivalent in the large $\tilde{P}^{z}$ or $\left(-y_{B}\right)$ expansion.

Ebert, Schindler, Stewart and YZ, JHEP 04, 178 (2022).

## TMDs from lattice QCD

$$
\frac{\tilde{f}_{i / p}^{\text {naive }[s]}\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{T}, \mu, \tilde{P}^{z}\right)}{\sqrt{S_{r}^{q}\left(b_{T}, \mu\right)}}=C\left(\mu, x \tilde{P}^{z}\right) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} K\left(\mu, b_{T}\right) \ln \frac{\left(2 x \tilde{P}^{z}\right)^{2}}{\zeta}\right]
$$

Reduced soft function $\checkmark$
Ji, Liu and Liu, NPB 955 (2020),
PLB 811 (2020).

## Matching coefficient:

- Ji, Sun, Xiong and Yuan, PRD91 (2015);
- Ji, Jin, Yuan, Zhang and YZ, PRD99 (2019);
- Ebert, Stewart, YZ, PRD99 (2019), JHEP09 (2019) 037;
- Ji, Liu and Liu, NPB 955 (2020), PLB 811 (2020);
- Vladimirov and Schäfer, PRD 101 (2020);
- Ebert, Schindler, Stewart and YZ, JHEP 04, 178 (2022).
- Independent of spin;
- Vladimirov and Schäfer, PRD 101 (2020);
- Ebert, Schindler, Stewart and YZ, JHEP 09 (2020);
- Ji, Liu, Schäfer and Yuan, PRD 103 (2021).
- No quark-gluon or flavor mixing, which makes gluon calculation much easier.

One-loop matching for gluon TMDs:
Ebert, Schindler, Stewart and YZ, 2205.12369.

## TMDs from lattice QCD

$$
\frac{\tilde{f}_{i / p}^{\text {naive }[s]}\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{T}, \mu, \tilde{P}^{z}\right)}{\sqrt{S_{r}^{q}\left(b_{T}, \mu\right)}}=C\left(\mu, x \tilde{P}^{z}\right) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} K\left(\mu, b_{T}\right) \ln \frac{\left(2 x \tilde{P}^{z}\right)^{2}}{\zeta}\right]
$$

$$
\times f_{i / p}^{[s]}\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{T}, \mu, \zeta\right)
$$

* Collins-Soper kernel;

$$
K\left(\mu, b_{T}\right)=\frac{d}{d \ln \tilde{P}^{z}} \ln \frac{\tilde{f}_{i / p}^{\text {naive }[s]}\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{T}, \mu, \tilde{P}^{z}\right)}{C\left(\mu, x \tilde{P}^{z}\right)}
$$

* Flavor separation; $\quad \frac{f_{i / p}^{[s]}\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{T}\right)}{f_{j / p}^{\left.[/]^{\prime}\right]}\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{T}\right)}=\frac{\tilde{f}_{i / p}^{\text {naive }[s]}\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{T}\right)}{\tilde{f}_{j / p}^{\text {nive }\left[s^{\prime}\right]}\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{T}\right)}$
* Spin-dependence, e.g., Sivers function (single-spin asymmetry);
* Full TMD kinematic dependence.
* Twist-3 PDFs from small $b_{T}$ expansion of TMDs. Ji, Liu, Schäfer and Yuan, PRD 103 (2021).
* Higher-twist TMDs. Rodini and Vladimirov, JHEP 08 (2022).


## Collins-Soper (CS) kernel from lattice QCD

$$
K^{q}\left(\mu, b_{T}\right)=\frac{1}{\ln \left(P_{1}^{z} / P_{2}^{z}\right)} \ln \frac{C\left(\mu, x P_{2}^{z}\right) \int d b^{z} e^{i b^{z} x P_{1}^{z}} \tilde{Z}^{\prime}\left(b^{z}, \mu, \tilde{\mu}\right) \tilde{Z}_{\mathrm{UV}}\left(b^{z}, \tilde{\mu}, a\right) \tilde{B}_{\mathrm{ns}}\left(b^{z}, \mathbf{b}_{T}, a, \eta, P_{1}^{z}\right)}{C\left(\mu, x P_{1}^{z}\right) \int d b^{z} e^{i b^{z} x P_{2}^{z}} \tilde{Z}^{\prime}\left(b^{z}, \mu, \tilde{\mu}\right) \tilde{Z}_{\mathrm{UV}}\left(b^{z}, \tilde{\mu}, a\right) \tilde{B}_{\mathrm{ns}}\left(b^{z}, \mathbf{b}_{T}, a, \eta, P_{2}^{z}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Perturbative } \\
\text { matching }
\end{array} \\
\times\left\{1+\mathcal{O}\left[\frac{1}{\left(x \tilde{P}^{z} b_{T}\right)^{2}}, \frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{2}}{\left(x \tilde{P}^{z}\right)^{2}}\right]\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Renormalization (and operator mixing)



Shanahan, Wagman and YZ, PRD 104 (2021).

## Current status for the Collins-Soper kernel

|  | Lattice setup | Renormalization | Operator mixing | Fourier transform | Matching | $x$-plateau search |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SWZ20 } \\ \text { PRD } 102 \text { (2020) } \\ \text { Quenched } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} a=0.06 \mathrm{fm}, \\ m_{\pi}=1.2 \mathrm{GeV}, \\ P_{\max }^{z}=2.6 \mathrm{GeV} \end{gathered}$ | Yes | Yes | Yes | LO | Yes |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { LPC20 } \\ \text { PRL } 125 \text { (2020) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} a=0.10 \mathrm{fm} \\ m_{\pi}=547 \mathrm{MeV} \\ P_{\max }^{z}=2.11 \mathrm{GeV} \end{gathered}$ | N/A | No (small) | N/A | LO | N/A |
| SVZES 21 <br> JHEP 08 (2021) | $\begin{gathered} a=0.09 \mathrm{fm} \\ m_{\pi}=422 \mathrm{MeV} \\ P_{\max }^{+}=2.27 \mathrm{GeV} \end{gathered}$ | N/A | No | N/A | NLO | N/A |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { PKU/ETMC } \\ \mathbf{2 1} \\ \text { PRL } 128 \text { (2022) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} a=0.09 \mathrm{fm} \\ m_{\pi}=827 \mathrm{MeV}, \\ P_{\max }^{z}=3.3 \mathrm{GeV} \end{gathered}$ | N/A | No | N/A | LO | N/A |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SWZ21 } \\ \text { PRD } 106 \text { (2022) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} a=0.12 \mathrm{fm} \\ m_{\pi}=580 \mathrm{MeV} \\ P_{\max }^{z}=1.5 \mathrm{GeV} \end{gathered}$ | Yes | Yes | Yes | NLO | Yes |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { LPC22 } \\ \text { PRD } 106 \text { (2022) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} a=0.12 \mathrm{fm} \\ m_{\pi}=670 \mathrm{MeV} \\ P_{\max }^{z}=2.58 \mathrm{GeV} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes | No (small) | Yes | NLO | Yes |

## Collins Soper kernel

## Comparison between lattice results and global fits



MAP22: Bacchetta, Bertone, Bissolotti, et al., 2206.07598
SV19: I. Scimemi and A. Vladimirov, JHEP 06 (2020) 137
Pavia19: A. Bacchetta et al., JHEP 07 (2020) 117
Pavia 17: A. Bacchetta et al., JHEP 06 (2017) 081
CASCADE: Martinez and Vladimirov, 2206.01105

| Approach | Collaboration |
| :---: | :---: |\(\left|\begin{array}{c|c|}\hline Quasi beam <br>

functions\end{array} \quad $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { P. Shanahan, M. Wagman and YZ } \\
\text { (SWZ21), } \\
\text { Phys. Rev.D 104 (2021) }\end{array}
$$\right|\)

## Improved calculation with TMD wave function

$\Phi:$ Quasi-TMD wave function

Q.-A. Zhang, et al. (LPC), PRL 125 (2020);
Y. Li et al., PRL 128 (2022);
M.-H. Chu et al. (LPC22), Phys.Rev.D 106 (2022).

- Physical pion mass and reduced systematics from Fourier transform
- Better suppressed power correction
- More stable extraction of $x$-dependence
- Renormalization of nonlocal operator
- Systematic treatment of operator mixing using the RI-xMOM scheme
- Green, Jansen, and Steffens, Phys.Rev.Lett. 121 (2018) and PRD 101 (2020).
- Constantinou, Panagopoulos, and Spanoudes, PRD 99 (2019).


## Reduced soft function from LaMET

Light-meson form factor:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(b_{T}, P^{z}\right)=\langle\pi(-P)| j_{1}\left(b_{T}\right) j_{2}(0)|\pi(P)\rangle \\
& \stackrel{P^{z} \gg m_{N}}{=} S_{q}^{r}\left(b_{T}, \mu\right) \int d x d x^{\prime} H\left(x, x^{\prime}, \mu\right) \\
& \\
& \quad \times \Phi^{\dagger}\left(x, b_{T}, P^{z}\right) \Phi\left(x^{\prime}, b_{T}, P^{z}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Tree-level approximation:

- Ji, Liu and Liu, NPB 955 (2020), PLB 811 (2020);
- Ji and Liu, PRD 105, 076014 (2022);
- Deng, Wang and Zeng, 2207.07280.

$$
\begin{gathered}
H\left(x, x^{\prime}, \mu\right)=1+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}\right) \\
\Rightarrow S_{q}^{r}\left(b_{T}\right)=\frac{F\left(b_{T}, P^{z}\right)}{\left[\tilde{\Phi}\left(b^{z}=0, b_{T}, P^{z}\right)\right]^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## First lattice results with tree-level matching

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=0.10 \mathrm{fm} \\
m_{\pi}=547 \mathrm{MeV} \\
P_{\max }^{z}=2.11 \mathrm{GeV}
\end{gathered}
$$


Q.-A. Zhang, et al. (LPC), PRL 125 (2020).

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=0.09 \mathrm{fm} \\
m_{\pi}=827 \mathrm{MeV} \\
P_{\max }^{z}=3.3 \mathrm{GeV}
\end{gathered}
$$


Y. Li et al., PRL 128 (2022).

Beyond tree-level, it is necessary to obtain the $x$-dependence to carry out the convolution.

## Conclusion

- The quark and gluon quasi TMDs can be related to the new LR scheme, which can be factorized into the physical TMDs;
- There is no mixing between quarks of different flavors, quark and gluon channels, or different spin structures.
- The method for calculating all the leading-power TMDs is complete;
- Lattice results for the Collins-Soper kernel and soft function are promising, but systematics need to be under control.


## Outlook

## Targets for lattice QCD studies:

| Observables | Status |
| :---: | :---: |
| Non-perturbative Collins-Soper kernel | $\checkmark$, keep improving the systematics |
| Soft factor | $\checkmark$, to be under systematic control |
| Info on spin-dependent TMDs (in ratios) | In progress |
| Proton v.s. pion TMDs, $\left(x, b_{T}\right)$ (in ratios) | In progress |
| Flavor dependence of TMDs, $\left(x, b_{T}\right)$ (in ratios) | to be studied |
| TMDs and TMD wave functions, $\left(x, b_{T}\right)$ | In progress |
| Gluon TMDs $\left(x, b_{T}\right)$ | to be studied |
| Wigner distributions/GTMDs $\left(x, b_{T}\right)$ | to be studied |

## Backup slides

## Data used by the MAP collaboration in 2206.07598



Bacchetta, Bertone, Bissolotti, et al., MAP Collaboration, 2206.07598

## LaMET calculation of the collinear PDFs

A state-of-the-art calculation of the pion valence quark PDF with fine lattices, large momentum and NNLO matching:


Gao, Hanlon, Mukherjee, Petreczky, Scior, Syritsyn and YZ, PRL 128, 142003 (2022).

## Factorization relation with the TMDs

## Lattice

Quasi

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{f}_{i}\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{T}, \mu, \tilde{\zeta}, \tilde{P}^{z}\right)=\lim _{\tilde{P} \gg m_{N}} \lim _{a \rightarrow 0} \tilde{Z}_{\mathrm{UV}} \frac{\tilde{B}_{i}}{\sqrt{S^{q}}} \\
& \text { Lorentz invariance } \downarrow y_{\tilde{P}}=y_{P}-y_{B} \\
& f_{i}^{\mathrm{LR}}\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{T}, \mu, \zeta, y_{P}-y_{B}\right)=\lim _{-y_{B} \gg 1} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Z_{\mathrm{UV}}^{\mathrm{LR}} \frac{B_{i}}{\sqrt{S^{q}}} \\
& \text { Same matrix elements, but } \uparrow \text { Perturbative matching in } \\
& \text { different orders of UV limits } \\
& f_{i}\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{T}, \mu, \zeta\right) \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Z_{\mathrm{UV}} \lim _{y_{B} \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{B_{i}}{\sqrt{S^{q}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuum

## Factorization relation with the TMDs

## Lattice

Quasi


Continuum
$\tilde{f}_{i}\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{T}, \mu, \tilde{\zeta}, \tilde{P}^{z}\right)=\lim _{\tilde{P} \ggg m_{N}} \lim _{a \rightarrow 0} \tilde{Z}_{\mathrm{UV}} \frac{\tilde{B}_{i}}{\sqrt{S^{q}}}$

Same matrix elements, but $\uparrow$ Perturbative matching in different orders of UV limits LaMET!

$$
f_{i}\left(x, \mathbf{b}_{T}, \mu, \zeta\right)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Z_{\mathrm{UV}} \lim _{y_{B} \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{B_{i}}{\sqrt{S^{q}}}
$$

## Backup slides


$\propto \delta_{i j} \quad$ Can mix with singlet channel and with gluons

$$
b^{2}=-b_{z}^{2}-b_{T}^{2}<b_{T}^{2} \sim 1 / \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{2}
$$

Hard particles cannot propagate that far!

