Medium-modified spin structure functions in the EMC and antishadowing regions

Will Brooks

ECT Workshop on*

Opportunities with JLab Energy and Luminosity Upgrade

September 29, 2022

SAPHIR

UNIVERSIDAD TECNICA FEDERICO SANTA MARIA

CCTVal
CENTRO CIENTÍFICO TECNOLÓGICO DE VALPARAÍSO

Outline

- **“Polarized EMC effect” and…**
- **Modification of spin structure functions in the antishadowing region**

- **Start with theory results originating at higher x, then go to theory results that start at low x.**
- **Will end with some technical comments about CLAS12 and the implications for these measurements**

The EMC Effect in Spin Structure Functions

https://www.jlab.org/exp_prog/proposals/14/PR12-14-001.pdf

S. Kuhn, W. Brooks

It has been known for more than 35 years that the basic structure functions of protons and neutrons are modified inside nuclei. This has been observed in many measurements over the decades, including recent experiments at JLab. However, ***no experiment has ever searched for this effect in spin structure functions***.

We will perform this study with 11 GeV beam. We can repeat this study at 20+ GeV. What will be new? Antishadowing region!

Modification of Spin Structure Functions in the Antishadowing Region! MSA? MoSSFAR?

The experiment was reviewed in 2020
Its scientific rating was upgraded to A-

Read this document to understand theory ingredients:

https://www.dropbox.com/s/dnwp7weufiskrc0/10pageWriteup.pdf?dl=0

CLAS12 Run Group G Jeopardy Update Document

W.K. Brooks [a], H. Hakobyan, B. Kopeliovich, D. Aliaga,[1] K. Adhikari, S. Bültmann, S.E. Kuhn [b], V. Lagerquist, P. Pandey,[2] C.D. Keith, J.D. Maxwell,[3] K. Griffioen,[4] Raphaël Dupré,[5] N. Kalantarians,[6] D. Keller,[7] E. Long, K. Slifer, M. McClelland, L. Kurbany, T. Anderson, E. Mustafa, D. Ruth, N. Santiesteban,[8] C. Djalai,[9] A.W. Thomas,[10] E. Pace,[11] C. Ciofi, M. Rinaldi, S. Scopetta,[12] V. Guzey,[13] M. Strikman,[14] I. Cloët,[15] and W. Bentz[16]

[1] *Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile*
[2] *Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529*
[3] *Jefferson Lab, Newport News, VA 23606*
[4] *College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA*
[5] *Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, 911405 Orsay, France*
[6] *Virginia Union University, Richmond, VA*
[7] *University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA*
[8] *University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH*
[9] *Ohio University, Athens, OH*
[10] *University of Adelaide, Australia*
[11] *University of Rome Tor Vergata, Italy*
[12] *University of Perugia, Italy*
[13] *Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Russia*
[14] *Pennsylvania State University, PA*
[15] *Argonne National Laboratory, IL*
[16] *Tokai University, Japan*

(Dated: June 20, 2020)

Theory results in EMC and antishadowing regions



Theory results in EMC and antishadowing regions



2018 paper

Unpolarized (blue solid line) and polarized (purple dashed line) EMC effect in the QMC model. The results are evolved to Q^2 = 10 GeV^2.

Stephen Tronchin, Hrayr H. Matevosyan, Anthony W. Thomas

Theory results in EMC and antishadowing regions



Huner Fanchiotti, Carlos A. García Canal, Tatiana Tarutina, and Vicente Vento

Comments on Theory Predictions

- The predictions give quite different results, from suppression to enhancement, from few percent to 25%
- The ingredients of the models vary rather widely too
- They typically start at high x and “work downwards”
- In the antishadowing region, diffractive process will become important, and interference effects will arise
- These are not traditional ingredients in the models just shown
- I will show two more that do. They start at low x and “work upwards” to the antishadowing region

Glauber-Gribov Picture in DIS

- γ^*, W, Z produces a colored $q\bar{q}$ dipole pair
- Dipole can interact diffractively or inelastically on nucleons
- **Interference** of diffractive amplitudes from Pomeron exchange on upstream nucleons causes shadowing of γ^* interactions on the downstream nucleons.
- Coherence between processes on **two nucleons** separated by a distance d requires:

$$\frac{1}{M x_{Bj}} = \frac{2\nu}{Q^2} = d$$

(x_{Bj}=0.1 means d= 2.2 fm)

This is less than the separation between nucleons in nucleus.
So coherent processes **will** happen in antishadowing region and below.

https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.116003

Glauber-Gribov Picture in DIS

- The Diffractive contribution to DIS (DDIS) where the nucleon absorbing a pomeron remains intact, is a constant fraction of the total DIS rate ➙ that process is *leading twist*.

- Bjorken scaling of DDIS was observed at HERA.

https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.116003

Theory results in the antishadowing region



Theory results in the antishadowing region



Frankfurt, Guzey, Strikman

Phys. Rev. C 95, 055208 (2017)

https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.95.055208

2017 paper

Brodsky-Schmidt



Single-step process

Exchange boson
fluctuates into $q\bar{q}$ pair

The $\bar{q}$ interacts strongly with nucleon N_2 from the nucleus A

Nucleon N_1 is a spectator



Two-step process

Exchange boson
fluctuates into $q\bar{q}$ pair

The $\bar{q}$ interacts *softly* with nucleon N_1 by pomeron exchange, then goes on to interact strongly with N_2

Nucleon N_1 emerges intact

Interference between the two processes!

Brodsky-Schmidt



The one-step (a) and two-step (b) processes in DIS on a nucleus. If the scattering on nucleon N_1 is via Pomeron exchange, the one-step and two-step amplitudes are **opposite in phase**, thus diminishing the $\bar{q}$ flux reaching N_2. This causes **shadowing** of the charge and neutral current nuclear structure functions.

https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.116003

Brodsky-Schmidt: Pomeron, Reggion, Odderon



- Introducing the Reggion and the Odderon creates the possibility of having **constructive** interference, producing **anti-shadowing**.

- No polarization prediction yet in this approach

https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.116003

Experimental measurements

Study of Modification of Spin Structure Functions in the Antishadowing Region (MSA) at 22 GeV could use the same target and same techniques as the Polarized EMC Effect experiment, just at lower x_{Bj}. To be explored:

- The CLAS12 polarized target requires rastering to avoid local depolarization. Beam spot size at 22 GeV? Moller electron multiple scattering in target captured well enough?
- The vertex resolution needs to be good enough to separate scattering from the two target cells (to be described later).
- To use the full CLAS22 luminosity of 1E37 may be a challenge. More on this later.

The strategy

We chose the nucleus ^{7}Li because of its unique nuclear structure. In polarized ^{7}Li, **one proton** carries **nearly all of the polarization**. Thus it is a polarized proton embedded in a nuclear medium.

We chose to have two target cells, in order to gain best control of systematic uncertainties by having polarized ^{7}Li and polarized H simultaneously.

We take advantage of 99% of existing polarized target infrastructure for CLAS12. The polarized target will be scheduled for installation at 22 GeV.

Shell model picture of ^{7}Li



86.6% of the ^{7}Li nuclear polarization is carried by the unpaired proton.

This result is quantitatively confirmed by detailed Green Function Monte Carlo calculations.



GFMC excitation energies of light nuclei for the AV18 and AV18 + IL7 Hamiltonians compared to experiment.

[REVIEWS OF MODERN PHYSICS, VOLUME 87, JULY–SEPTEMBER 2015, p. 1067]()

Target sample (compacted powder) considerations

To reduce systematic uncertainties, we measure polarized ^6LiH and ^7LiD simultaneously in two separate cells.

Max Thickness: 2% of X_0: 0.02*97 cm = 2 cm = **160 g/cm^2**

(Compare ammonia: 5 cm*0.82 g/cm^3 = 4 g/cm^2)

Chris Keith: radiation resistance of ^7Li not well known, but ^6Li is is 2-5 times more radiation resistant than NH_3.

Power deposit in a LiH target of 160 g/cm^2 with 10 nA of beam would be **3.6 W** at a luminosity of 6×10^{36} /cm^2/s. This is a lot of power for refrigerator at 1 K, may be infeasible.

The effects due to beam current: heating by power deposition, radiation damage, and depolarization, need to be optimized, but it seems likely a favorable combination could be found.

E.g., **1 cm, 5 nA, 1.5×10^{36} /cm^2/s** (educated guess);

MORE STUDY NEEDED!



Relationship between the measured polarizations of ^7Li (open symbols) and ^6Li relative to deuterium as found by COMPASS Collaboration. Lines are Equal Spin Temperature calculations.

Double-cell Polarization

Can we polarize two samples at once, in opposite directions?
Small coils inside target cryostat shift the 5 T polarizing field:

- Upstream sample -50 gauss
- Downstream sample +50 gauss

Microwave frequency halfway between the normal (+) and (-) polarization frequencies:

- high field sample will polarize (+)
- low field sample will polarize (-)



Double-cell Polarization

Proof-of-principle tests performed at 77 K and 5 T using TEMPO-doped polymer



Courtesy of J. Maxwell



- Two samples
- One NMR coil



5 T solenoid used for FROST

Double-cell Polarization

Proof-of-principle tests performed at 77 K and 5 T using TEMPO-doped polymer

Success!



DNP of Lithium Hydride

Under 1K/5T conditions, 7Li has been polarized to about 80% and 6Li to 30%.

Optimal polarization requires pre-irradiating the samples in a narrow temperature band around 185 K.





This can be performed at the UITF, using a custom-built, variable-temperature irradiation cryostat.

Photos and drawings: Scott Reeve, U. Bonn.

Upgrade Injector Test Facility: UITF at JLab

See X. Li et al., NIM A Volume 1039, 11 September 2022, 167093.

Anticipated Uncertainties (representative, from pEMC)





Ratio R_1 of cross section differences for double polarized ^{7}Li(e,e') over p(e,e') for several different models. Ratio R_2 of the parallel double spin asymmetry $A_{||}$ for ^{7}Li(e,e') over p(e,e), normalized by "naïve " unpolarized structure function ratio for ^{7}Li over hydrogen.

(NNM = naïve nuclear model, SNM = standard nuclear model, QMC = Quark-meson coupling model, MSS = modified sea scheme, S/AS = shadowing/antishadowing model).

Point-to-point systematic uncertainties added in quadrature to the statistical ones (with horizontal bars). An overall scale uncertainty of about 4% is not shown.

The CLAS12 Spectrometer

- **The 11 GeV measurements described will be carried out in the CLAS12 spectrometer.**
- **The 22 GeV measurements would use an upgraded CLAS12**



Why we talk about Møller electrons



1) Fixed target experiment, where the target material is made of atoms (with electrons!)
2) Open detector design

Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A 959 (2020) 163419



- New tungsten Møller electron shield for use with rastered beam on a polarized target.
- Optimized to contain the electromagnetic background produced by the electron beam as far as 1 cm off the nominal beam axis, to accommodate rastering.
- RG-G will use a configuration with the Forward Tagger (FT) removed and this new Møller shield installed, to be able to run with the highest luminosity possible.

Present-Day CLAS12 Spectrometer



Scattered Electron
("inbending")

Positive Particle
("outbending")

CLAS12: intended upgrades to 22 GeV

- Near-term upgrade to double luminosity capability (~3 years)
- Longer-term upgrade to two orders of magnitude luminosity capability, enhanced PID (7-10 years)
- μRWell an enabling technology in these plans





https://indico.jlab.org/event/472/contributions/9014/attachments/7329/10133/clas12_hi-lumi_collmeeting.pdf

https://indico.jlab.org/event/536/contributions/9714/attachments/7952/11184/DDVCS_CLAS_Colab_June2022.pdf

22 GeV Simulations of CLAS12 with Polarized Target and Fiducial Cuts

Inbending electrons

Simulation files from Harut Avakian (JLab) and Timothy Hayward (UConn)





Electron azimuthal angle vs. polar angle (degrees)



22 GeV Simulations of CLAS12 with Polarized Target and Fiducial Cuts

Outbending electrons

Simulation files from Harut Avakian (JLab) and Timothy Hayward (UConn)





Electron azimuthal angle vs. polar angle (degrees)



Conclusions

- A feasible and very interesting measurement of medium-modified structure functions is feasible in the anti-shadowing region.
- It offers a mechanism of testing models that is new and complementary to the planned polarization measurement in the EMC region as well as the unpolarized measurements.
- The theoretical predictions from various models range from suppression to large enhancements.
- It can be argued that models which survive testing in the EMC region may still be eliminated in the anti-shadowing region, where **new interference phenomena will emerge**.