The flavour structure of baryons from lattice QCD

Gunnar S. Bali, Sara Collins, Piotr Korcyl, Andreas Schäfer, Enno E. Scholz, Jakob Simeth, Wolfgang Söldner, Simon Weishäupl, Daniel Jenkins ...

RQCD Collaboration



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 813942.

Revealing emergent mass through studies of hadron spectra and structure, ECT*, Sept. 12th 2022

Overview

Investigate flavour structure of the octet baryons (N, Λ , Σ , Ξ): how the properties of the baryon change with the flavour content.

Properties of the baryons are related in SU(3) flavour limit, e.g. $m_N = m_{\Lambda} = m_{\Sigma} = m_{\Xi}$.

Determine the pattern of symmetry breaking $m_u = m_d \neq m_s$.

Test assumptions made on the size of the symmetry breaking in some phenomenology studies.

- ★ Preliminaries: interest in the baryon octet (predominantly the nucleon) sigma terms and the current status.
- ★ Determination via the Feynmann-Hellmann theorem: fitting the baryon octet masses.
- \star Axial and scalar charges of the baryon octet.
- ★ Summary.

Baryon sigma terms

$$\sigma_{q,B} = m_q \left[rac{\langle B \, | ar{q} \mathbbm{1} q \, | \, B
angle}{\langle B | B
angle} - \langle \Omega \, | ar{q} \mathbbm{1} q \, | \, \Omega
angle
ight],$$

where $|\Omega\rangle$ denotes the vacuum. Consider $\sigma_{\pi B} = \sigma_{uB} + \sigma_{dB}$ and σ_{sB} . Mass decomposition of a hadron

$$M_{B} = \underbrace{\sum_{q} m_{q} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_{B}}_{\langle \mathbb{H}_{m} \rangle_{B}} \underbrace{+ \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{B}^{2} - \mathbf{E}^{2} \rangle_{B} + \sum_{q} \langle \bar{q}\mathbf{D} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}q \rangle_{B}}_{\langle \mathbb{H}_{kin} \rangle_{B} = 3 \langle \mathbb{H}_{a} \rangle_{B}} \underbrace{- \frac{1}{4} \left[\gamma_{m}(\alpha_{s}) \sum_{q} m_{q} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_{B} + \frac{\beta(\alpha_{s})}{4\alpha_{s}} \langle \mathbf{E}^{2} + \mathbf{B}^{2} \rangle_{B} \right]}_{\langle \mathbb{H}_{a} \rangle_{B} = \frac{1}{4} (M_{B} - \langle \mathbb{H}_{m} \rangle_{B})}$$

Knowledge of $\langle \mathbb{H}_m \rangle_B$ and M_B gives $\langle \mathbb{H}_a \rangle_B$ and $\langle \mathbb{H}_{kin} \rangle_B$.

Nucleon: relevant for computing the spin-independent WIMP-nucleon scattering cross-section for direct dark matter experiments.

Phenomenological determinations

These include

• Mass decompositions of the baryon octet with $\langle \overline{q}q \rangle_H$ related via $SU_F(3)$ flavour breaking [Cheng,(1989)].

$$\sigma_{\pi N} = rac{1}{2}(m_u + m_d) \langle \bar{u}u + \bar{d}d \rangle_N = 26$$
 MeV assuming $\langle \bar{s}s \rangle_N = 0$.

- ▶ Pion-nucleon scattering (Cheng-Dashen theorem): e.g. [Gasser et al.,(1991)] $\sigma_{\pi N} = 45(8)$ MeV, [Pavan et al.,hep-ph/0111066] 64(7) MeV, [Alarcon et al.,1110.3797] 59(7) MeV, [Chen et al.,1212.1893] 52(7) MeV and [Hoferichter et al.,1510.06039] 59.1(3.5) MeV.
- σ_s harder to estimate, not well constrained:

$$\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2}(m_u + m_d)\langle \bar{u}u + \bar{d}d - 2\bar{s}s \rangle_N, \qquad \sigma_s = \frac{m_s}{m_u + m_d}(\sigma_{\pi N} - \sigma_0)$$

Chiral perturbation theory+experimental input (masses+...) including $\sigma_{\pi N}$, e.g. [Gasser,(1981)], [Borasoy and Meißner,(1997)], [Alarcon et al.,(1209.2870)].

(Unnaturely) large $\sigma_s \sim 200$ MeV was not ruled out.

Lattice determinations of the sigma terms

Via direct evaluation of the scalar matrix element.

Indirect evaluation via the Feynman-Hellmann theorem:

$$\sigma_{q,B} = m_q \left[\frac{\langle B \, | \bar{q} \mathbb{1} q | \, B \rangle}{\langle B | B \rangle} - \langle \Omega \, | \bar{q} \mathbb{1} q | \, \Omega \rangle \right] = m_q \frac{\partial m_B}{\partial m_q},$$

Using the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner (GMOR) relation: $M_{PS}^2 \approx B_0(m_{q1} + m_{q2})$

$$\sigma_{\pi B} \approx \tilde{\sigma}_{\pi B} = M_{\pi}^2 \frac{\partial m_B}{\partial M_{\pi}^2} \qquad \sigma_{sB} \approx \tilde{\sigma}_{sB} = M_{s\bar{s}}^2 \frac{\partial m_B}{\partial M_{s\bar{s}}^2}$$

where $M_{s\bar{s}}^2 = 2M_K^2 - M_\pi^2$.

Difficult to determine $\sigma_{s,B}$ via indirect (FH) approach (in particular if m_s is kept roughly constant).

Current status: nucleon sigma terms



Sigma terms for the rest of the baryon octet are less well known.

Baryon spectrum



Simulating QCD (isospin-symmetric, electrically neutral): N, Σ , Λ , Ξ and Δ , Σ^* , Ξ^* , Ω .

Unstable under strong decay: $\Delta \rightarrow N\pi$, $\Sigma^* \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$, $\Sigma\pi$ and $\Xi^* \rightarrow \Xi\pi$.

Omit data for which, e.g. $m_{\Delta} > E_N(\vec{p}) + E_{\pi}(-\vec{p})$. Consider finite volume, $\vec{p} = 2\pi/L$ and infinite volume $(\vec{p} \to 0)$ cases. Ideally, a scattering analysis via the Lüscher formalism is needed.

Coordinated Lattice Simulations (CLS) ensembles

* **High statistics**: typically 6000-8000 MDUs, 1000-2000 configurations. **Aim to control all main sources of systematics** (a, m_q and V).

- * **Discretisation**: Six lattice spacings: a = 0.1 0.04 fm.
- * Finite volume: $Lm_{\pi} \gtrsim 4$ with additional smaller volumes.
- * Quark mass: $m_{\pi} = 410$ MeV down to m_{π}^{phys} .



 $2m_{\ell} + m_s = \text{const.}$

 $m_s = \text{const.}$

 $m_\ell = m_s$

CLS $N_f = 2 + 1$ ensembles: m_{ℓ} - m_s plane



Three trajectories: good control over the quark mass dependence. Can correct for mis-tuning of the trajectories. Observables sensitive to m_s are tightly constrained.

 $2m_{\ell} + m_s = \text{const.:}$ investigate SU(3) flavour breaking (flavour average quantities roughly constant), approach to physical point involves $m_{\pi} \downarrow$ and $m_{\kappa} \uparrow$.

 $m_{\ell} = m_s$: important for determination of SU(3) ChPT low energy constants (and renormalisation factors).

Extrapolation of baryon multiplets

Simultaneous fit to the baryon multiplets using the fit form

$$\mathbb{m}_B(\mathbb{M}_\pi, \mathbb{M}_K, \mathcal{L}, a) = \left[\mathbb{m}_B(\mathbb{M}_\pi, \mathbb{M}_K, \infty, 0) + \delta m_B^{FV}(\mathbb{M}_\pi, \mathbb{M}_K, \mathcal{L})
ight]
onumber \ \left[1 + a^2 \left(c + \overline{c} \ \overline{\mathbb{M}}^2 + \delta c_B \, \delta \mathbb{M}^2
ight)
ight].$$

where
$$\overline{M}^2 = rac{1}{3}(2M_{K}^2 + M_{\pi}^2) \propto \overline{m} = rac{1}{3}(2m_{\ell} + m_s), \quad \delta M^2 = 2(M_{K}^2 - M_{\pi}^2) \propto \delta m = m_s - m_{\ell}$$

Natural choice for $m_B(\mathbb{M}_{\pi}, \mathbb{M}_{K}, \infty, 0)$ is to use SU(3) baryon ChPT (and in a finite volume for δm_B^{FV} with no additional low energy constants).

BChPT: $O(p^3)$ baryon ChPT with EOMS regularisation [Ellis et al.,nucl-th/9904017] 6 low energy constants (LECs) including m_0 and F and D, also appearing in ChPT expressions for g_A^B .

$$\begin{split} m_O(M_{\pi}, M_K, \infty, 0) &= m_0 + \overline{b} \, \overline{M}^2 + \delta b_O \, \delta M^2 \\ &+ \frac{m_0^3}{(4\pi F_0)^2} \left[g_{O,\pi} f_O\left(\frac{M_{\pi}}{m_0}\right) + g_{O,K} f_O\left(\frac{M_K}{m_0}\right) + g_{O,\eta_8} f_O\left(\frac{M_{\eta_8}}{m_0}\right) \right], \end{split}$$

Heavy limit (HBChPT) [Jenkins and Manohar, Phys. Lett. B 255 (1991) 558.]

Joint baryon octet and decuplet fits via the small scale expansion, see e.g. [Martin Camalich et al.,1003.1929] **Two new LECs:** C and $\delta = m_{D0} - m_0$.

Future: simultaneous fit to several observables

[RQCD,2201.05591]: CLS $N_f = 3 (m_s = m_\ell)$ ensembles, a = 0.04 - 0.1 fm, $M_{\pi} = 430 - 240$ MeV.

Fit M_{π}^2 and F_{π} as a function of the renormalised quark mass $m = m_{\ell}$ to extract the ChPT low energy constants B_0 and F_0 .



Future: simultaneous fit to several observables

Fit m_N and $g_A^{N,\Sigma}$ as a function of M_{π}^2 to extract the ChPT low energy constants m_0 , F and D.



NNLO BChPT fit to the baryon octet: m_q dependence

FV terms included in the fit. 12 parameters to fit the 4 octet baryon masses.



Curves show $m_O(\mathbb{M}_{\pi}, \mathbb{M}_K, \infty, 0)$, while the data points are shifted to correct for finite *a*, finite *V* and mis-tuning of the trajectory.

NNLO BChPT fit to the baryon octet: a and V effects

The data points are shifted to the physical point $(M_{\pi,ph}, M_{K,ph})$.



Discretisation effects are mild.

Finite volume effects are small.

Variation with the continuum fit form

Fit the octet and decuplet masses simultaneously using NNLO BChPT + SSE + FV terms (23 parameters).

 $\Delta,\,\Sigma^*,\,\Xi^*$ baryons are unstable: cut out data where $D\to O\pi$ in the infinite volume limit.



Unstable baryons: expt. masses not reproduced.

Low lying baryon spectrum

Octet baryon spectrum from BChPT fits + FV terms Agreement with corrected expt. masses within 1% overall uncertainty.

Octet and decuplet masses from BChPT + SSE + FV terms.



Unstable decuplet baryons: grey bands indicate the expt. Breit-Wigner width. Proper treatment via the Lüscher formalism required.

Variation of $\tilde{\sigma}_{\pi N}$ with cuts on the data

Using NNLO BChPT for $m_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{M}_{\pi}, \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{K}}, \infty, 0)$.



Weighted average of the fits: $\tilde{\sigma}_{\pi N} = 44.0^{(4.4)}_{(4.7)}$ MeV.

Variation of $\tilde{\sigma}_{\pi N}$ with continuum fit form for m_B



Baryon octet sigma terms

	$\tilde{\sigma}_{u+d}^{N}$	$ ilde{\sigma}^{\Lambda}_{u+d}$	$ ilde{\sigma}^{\sigma}_{u+d}$	$\tilde{\sigma}_{u+d}^{\equiv}$
MeV	$44.0^{(4.4)}_{(4.7)}$	$27.6^{(4.3)}_{(4.9)}$	$24.9^{(4.6)}_{(5.0)}$	$10.1_{(5.4)}^{(4.4)}$
	$\tilde{\sigma}_s^N$	$\tilde{\sigma}^{\Lambda}_{s}$	$\tilde{\sigma}^{\sigma}_{s}$	$\tilde{\sigma}_s^{\Xi}$
MeV	$3.7^{(59.3)}_{(60.8)}$	$112.7^{(63.3)}_{(59.9)}$	$194.1_{(60.7)}^{(67.8)}$	$266.69^{(70.3)}_{(68.4)}$

cf. [BMWc,1109.4265] (more precise results for the nucleon in [BMWc,1510.08013] and [BMWc,2007.03319])

	σ_{u+d}^{N}	σ^{\wedge}_{u+d}	σ_{u+d}^{Σ}	σ_{u+d}^{\equiv}
MeV	$39(4)^{(18)}_{(7)}$	$29(3)_{(5)}^{(11)}$	$23(3)^{(19)}_{(3)}$	$15(2)^{(8)}_{(3)}$
	σ_s^N	σ_s^{Λ}	σ_s^{Σ}	σ_s^{Ξ}
MeV	$67(27)^{(55)}_{(47)}$	$180(26)^{(48)}_{(77)}$	245(29) ⁽⁵⁰⁾ ₍₇₂₎	312(32) ⁽⁷²⁾ (77)

and [Shanahan et al.,1205.5365] (single lattice spacing, not all systematics under control).

	σ_{u+d}^{N}	σ_{u+d}^{\wedge}	σ_{u+d}^{Σ}	σ_{u+d}^{\equiv}
MeV	47(6)(5)	26(3)(2)	20(2)(2)	8.9(7)(4)
	σ_s^N	σ_s^{Λ}	σ_s^{Σ}	σ_s^{Ξ}
MeV	22(6)(0)	141(8)(1)	172(8)(1)	239(8)(1)

Consistency with direct determinations

Quark mass dependence of $\sigma_{\pi B}$ and $\sigma_{s B}$ for the baryon octet using NNLO BChPT fit + FV terms.

Direct determination: Pia Petrak, Jochen Heitger + RQCD.



Note: curves show quark mass dependence in the continuum (not from the best fit!). Direct results are at finite a = 0.064 fm.

Future: fit m_B and direct determinations of $\sigma_{\pi B}$ and σ_{sB} together.

Comparison with other determinations of $\sigma_{\pi N}$



Some tension between the lattice results and the phenomenological results of [Hoferichter et al.,1506.04142] obtained using $N\pi$ scattering data. Direct determination by [Gupta et al.,2105.12095] is also larger.

Baryon octet mass decompositions

For a scale $\mu \ll m_c$:

$$\langle H_m \rangle_H = \sum_{q \in \{u,d,s\}} m_q \langle \overline{q}q \rangle_H,$$

$$\begin{split} \langle H_m \rangle_N &\sim 48(60) \text{MeV} & \langle H_m \rangle_\Lambda &\sim 140(60) \text{MeV} \\ \langle H_m \rangle_\Sigma &\sim 219(64) \text{MeV} & \langle H_m \rangle_\Xi &\sim 277(70) \text{MeV} \end{split}$$

 $N_f = 3$: very approximate

$$\begin{split} M_N &\approx (0.08 \ M_N)_m + (0.69 \ M_N)_{\rm kin} + (0.23 \ M_N)_{\rm a} \\ M_\Lambda &\approx (0.13 \ M_\Lambda)_m + (0.66 \ M_\Lambda)_{\rm kin} + (0.21 \ M_\Lambda)_{\rm a} \\ M_\Sigma &\approx (0.19 \ M_\Sigma)_m + (0.61 \ M_\Sigma)_{\rm kin} + (0.20 \ M_\Sigma)_{\rm a} \\ M_\Xi &\approx (0.21 \ M_\Xi)_m + (0.59 \ M_\Xi)_{\rm kin} + (0.20 \ M_\Xi)_{\rm a} \end{split}$$

Axial charges of the baryon octet: $m_{u,d} = m_s$ For neutron β -decay, axial charge:

 $g_A = a_3 = \Delta u - \Delta d = \langle n | (\overline{u}\gamma_0\gamma_5 d) | p \rangle = \langle p | (\overline{u}\gamma_0\gamma_5 u - \overline{d}\gamma_0\gamma_5 d) | p \rangle = \langle n | (\overline{d}\gamma_0\gamma_5 d - \overline{u}\gamma_0\gamma_5 u) | n \rangle$ Define axial charges for other members of the octet.

Characterize weak decays: $\Sigma \to \Sigma$, $\Xi \to \Xi$, $\Xi \to \Sigma$, $\Xi \to \Lambda$, $\Lambda \to N \dots$



Hyperons: axial charge

Replacing \overline{F} and \overline{D} by their values in the SU(3) chiral limit F = 0.447(7) and D = 0.730(11) [RQCD,2201.05591] gives

$$rac{g_A^{\Sigma}}{g_A^N}pprox 0.76, \quad -rac{g_{\overline{A}}^{\Xi}}{g_A^N}pprox 0.24.$$

Away from this limit: corrections start at $O(\delta m_l)$, $\delta m_l = m_s - m_{u/d} \propto M_K^2 - M_\pi^2$.



Hyperons: scalar charge

Conserved vector current relation: $\partial_{\mu}(\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}d) = -i(m_u - m_d)\bar{u}\mathbb{1}d$ applied to $\langle p(p_f)|\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}d|n(p_i)\rangle$ etc. [Gonzalez-Alonso,1309.4434].

$$g_{S}^{N} = \frac{M_{p} - M_{n}}{m_{d} - m_{u}} = \frac{\delta M_{N}^{QCD}}{\delta m_{q}} \quad g_{S}^{\Sigma} = \frac{M_{\Sigma^{-}} - M_{\Sigma^{+}}}{m_{d} - m_{u}} = \frac{\delta M_{\Sigma}^{QCD}}{\delta m_{q}} \quad g_{\overline{S}}^{\Xi} = \frac{M_{\Xi^{-}} - M_{\Xi^{0}}}{m_{d} - m_{u}} = \frac{\delta M_{\Xi}^{QCD}}{\delta m_{q}}$$

From [BMWc,1406.4088]: $\delta M_N^{QCD} = 2.52(29)$, $\delta M_{\Sigma}^{QCD} = 8.09(19)$, $\delta M_{\Xi}^{QCD} = 5.53(24)$. i.e. $g_S^{\Sigma}/g_S^N \sim 3.2(4)$ and $g_S^{\Xi}/g_S^N \sim 2.2(3)$ at the physical point.



Hyperons: vector charge



Summary and outlook

On-going project to determine the flavour structure of the baryon octet.

- ★ Performed continuum, finite volume, quark mass simultaneous fits to the baryon octet masses.
- ★ Covariant BChPT provided a reasonable description of the data for our range of M_{π} and M_{K} ($\overline{M}^{2} < 440^{2}$ [MeV²]).
- ★ Corrected expt. octet baryon spectrum reproduced to within 1% uncertainties.
- ★ Extracted the sigma terms $\sigma_{\pi B}$ and σ_{sB} . Results are consistent with a direct determination.
- ★ Flavour symmetry breaking in the hyperon charges investigated. Deviations for the axial charge are around 15% at the physical point. Scalar charge in agreement with CVC expectations.

In the future:

★ Test ChPT further through determination of LECs via simultaneous fits to related observables, e.g. $M_{\pi,K}$, $m_{\ell,s}$ and $F_{\pi,K}$ and m_B and g_A^B .