Weighing the top with energy correlators





Massimiliano Procura

universität wien

LFC22, ECT*, Trento, August 29th - September 2nd, 2022









- * First results of an analysis based on Monte Carlo simulations of a 3-point correlator
- Summary and outlook

Jack Holguin, Ian Moult, Aditya Pathak, MP: arXiv 2201.08393



The top quark mass: indirect measurements

- physics: EW precision tests, vacuum stability, ... Precision is a key goal!
- calculable contributions are evaluated in a renormalization scheme
- * Good theoretical control achieved by measuring the inclusive tt cross section (indirect top mass sensitivity, tied to hard interaction) Parton-level results for $\sigma(t\overline{t} + X)$ to NNLO+NNLL accuracy (1112.5675) used by ATLAS and CMS to extract m_t in the pole-mass scheme

* The top quark mass is a SM parameter of fundamental importance in high-energy

* Extracted by comparing theory vs data for collider observables, whose perturbative





The top quark mass: indirect measurements



More sensitivity to the top mass at LHC gained by exploiting information from the final-state top decay products

 $m_t^{\text{pole}} = 172.9^{+2.5}_{-2.6} \,\text{GeV}$ ATLAS, 1406.5375 $m_{\star}^{\text{pole}} = 172.7^{+2.4}_{-2.7} \,\text{GeV}$ CMS, 1701.06228

(Large contribution from normalization uncertainties in the inclusive cross section)





The top quark mass: direct measurements



* Analysis of kinematic observables built out of reconstructed top decay products ($d\sigma/dm_t^{reco}$, $d\sigma/dM_{bl}$...) has yielded

$$m_t^{MC} = 172.69 \pm 0.48 \,\text{GeV}$$

 $m_t^{MC} = 172.26 \pm 0.61 \,\text{GeV}$

Approach relies entirely on parton shower and models of hadronization and UE in Monte Carlo event generators: Theory uncertainty?

ATLAS, 1810.01772

CMS, 1812.06489









The top quark mass: groomed jet mass

- * Higher level of theoretical control for the jet mass, combined with jet grooming such as soft drop (1402.2657) to mitigate effects from wide-angle soft radiation, UE contamination and hadronization



* Observables in direct measurements exhibit threshold structures, which enhance the sensitivity to m_t but also to soft and collinear radiation as well as hadronization



Even after grooming one needs to account for residual O(1 GeV) shifts

(1708.02586, 1906.11843, 2012.15568)







Summary of challenges in the current paradigm

Generic kinematic distribution with a top-mass sensitive threshold structure:



Non-trivial task to improve the situation in the current paradigm!

New paradigm ?

energy-weighted angular correlations of boosted top decay products

We explore the possibility to extract the top quark mass from the measurement of

* Energy flow operator:

$$\mathcal{E}(\vec{n}) = \int_0^\infty dt \lim_{r \to \infty} r^2 n^i T_{0i}(t, t)$$
$$\mathcal{E}(\vec{n}) \simeq \int_0^\infty dt \text{ (Energy flux t)}$$

* N-point correlators of energy flow operators $\langle \mathcal{E}(\vec{n}_1)\mathcal{E}(\vec{n}_2)\ldots\mathcal{E}(\vec{n}_N)\rangle$ lead to cross sections where the contributions from final-state particles are weighted by the eigenvalues of the energy flow operators in the various directions

through $d\Omega$

hep-ph/9512370, 0803.1467, 1309.0769, 1309.1424

Energy-energy correlator in eter collisions

$$\langle \mathcal{E}(\vec{n}_1)\mathcal{E}(\vec{n}_2)\rangle = \sum_{ij} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{ij}}{\mathrm{d}^2 \vec{n}_i \mathrm{d}^2 \vec{n}_j} \underbrace{E_i E_j \delta^2(\vec{n}_1 - \vec{n}_i) \delta^2(\vec{n}_2 - \vec{n}_j)}_{\mathsf{two-particle inclusive}}$$

 $\blacktriangleright \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}\Sigma}{\mathrm{d}\cos\chi} = \int \mathrm{d}^2 n_1 \mathrm{d}^2 n_2 \,\delta(\vec{n}_1 \cdot \vec{n}_2 - \cos\chi) \frac{\langle \mathcal{E}(\vec{n}_1)\mathcal{E}(\vec{n}_2) \rangle}{Q^2} \right|$

At variance with standard event shapes, each event (collection of final state particles) contributes to multiple bins:

PRL 41 (1978), PRD 19 (1979)

two-particle inclusive QCD cross section

Energy correlators for jet substructure

N-point energy correlators on jets: energy weighting naturally suppresses soft

a) * Leading-power factorization theorems in the collinear limit

EEC observable:b)

Straightforward to compute these observables on charged particles only and exploit the fine angular resolution of tracking detectors (energy weights get rescaled by moments of track functions 1303.6637, 1306.6630) 2108.01674, 2201.05166

radiation without grooming, enabling novel precision calculations of LHC observables

2004.11381, 2011.02492, 2201.07800, 2205.03414

1905.01310

Probing the top using energy correlators

EEEC sensitivity to the top mass

Consider $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t} + X$ where t decays hadronically. The measurement operator is inclusive on top decay products:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{(n)}(\zeta_{12},\zeta_{23},\zeta_{31}) = \sum_{i,j,k} \frac{E_i^n E_j^n E_k^n}{Q^{3n}} \delta\left(\zeta_{12} - \hat{\zeta}_{ij}\right) \delta\left(\zeta_{23} - \hat{\zeta}_{ik}\right) \delta\left(\zeta_{31} - \hat{\zeta}_{jk}\right)$$
$$\widehat{\zeta}_{ij} = (1 - \cos\theta_{ij})/2$$

* At LO, for a boosted top, the distribution in $\zeta_{12} + \zeta_{23} + \zeta_{31}$ has a peak whose location is proportional to m_t^2/Q^2 . The variance can be reduced by constraining the the shape of the energy flow (most simply achieved by requiring $\zeta_{12}pprox\zeta_{23}pprox\zeta_{31}$)

$\mathcal{E}(\vec{n}_2)$

EEEC sensitivity to the top mass

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\Sigma(\delta\zeta)}{\mathrm{d}Q\mathrm{d}\zeta} = \int \mathrm{d}\zeta_{12}\mathrm{d}\zeta_{23}\mathrm{d}\zeta_{33}$ $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{(n)}_{\Delta}(\zeta_{12},\zeta_{23},\zeta_{31},\zeta,\delta\zeta) = \sum_{i,j,k} \frac{E^n_i E^n_j E^n_k}{Q^{3n}} \dot{\zeta}$ $\times \delta(3\zeta - \zeta_{12})$ $=\zeta_{ij}\ll m_t^2/Q^2$ 100000 3-body hard kinematics: $\zeta_{
m peak} pprox 3m_t^2/Q^2$ $\zeta_{ij} \sim m_t^2/Q^2$ (Jacobasa) 14

The key object in our analysis where $\delta\zeta$ denotes the asymmetry cut (shape parameter):

$$\begin{split} & f_{31} \int \mathrm{d}\sigma \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\Delta}^{(n)}(\zeta_{12}, \zeta_{23}, \zeta_{31}, \zeta, \delta\zeta) \\ & \delta \left(\zeta_{12} - \widehat{\zeta}_{ij} \right) \delta \left(\zeta_{23} - \widehat{\zeta}_{ik} \right) \delta \left(\zeta_{31} - \widehat{\zeta}_{jk} \right) \\ & - \zeta_{23} - \zeta_{31} \right) \prod_{l,m,n \in \{1,2,3\}} \Theta(\delta\zeta - |\zeta_{lm} - \zeta_{mn}|) \end{split}$$

Top mass from EEEC in eter collisions (PYTHIA8)

* Peak position dominantly determined by the hard process

* For $\zeta < 2\delta\zeta$ large contribution from collinear splittings

Top mass from EEEC in eter collisions: hadronization

Top mass from EEEC in pp collisions

Boost-invariant measurement operator on a boosted top quark jet:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{(pp)}^{(n)}(\zeta_{12},\zeta_{23},\zeta_{31}) = \sum_{i,j,k \in \text{jet}} \frac{(p_{T,i})^n (p_{T,j})^n (p_{T,k})^n}{(p_{T,\text{jet}})^{3n}} \delta\left(\zeta_{12} - \hat{\zeta}_{ij}^{(pp)}\right) \delta\left(\zeta_{23} - \hat{\zeta}_{ik}^{(pp)}\right) \delta\left(\zeta_{31} - \hat{\zeta}_{jk}^{(pp)}\right) \\ \hat{\zeta}_{ij}^{(pp)} = R_{ij} = \sqrt{\Delta \eta_{ij}^2 + \Delta \phi_{ij}^2}$$

* The peak from hard kinematics is now a

top-jet pT-spectrum could allow us to extract the top mass

at
$$\zeta_{\rm peak}^{(pp)}\approx 3m_t^2/p_{T,t}^2$$

Performed a proof-of-concept analysis to show how the characterization of the

Top mass from EEEC in pp collisions: UE and tracks

* Measuring EEECs on top quarks with a fixed hard pT: insensitivity to UE contamination, even without grooming

EEEC is also insensitive to the use of tracks:

Top mass from EEEC in pp collisions: top-jet pT-spectrum

The peak position is parameterized by $\zeta_{\rm pea}^{(pp)}$

At leading order, $F_{\text{pert}} = m_t^2$.

We first determined the shifts due to hadronization and UE from an independent measurement of the top-jet pT-distribution using PYTHIA8:

$$_{\text{ak}}^{p)} = \frac{3F_{\text{pert}}(m_t, p_{T, \text{jet}}, \alpha_s, R)}{\left(p_{T, \text{jet}} + \Delta_{\text{NP}}(R) + \Delta_{\text{MPI}}(R)\right)^2}.$$

shift due to hadronization $\approx 12\,{
m GeV}$ shift due to hadronization + UE $pprox 4\,{
m GeV}$

Top mass from EEEC in pp collisions: top-jet pT-spectrum

The peak position is parameterized by $\zeta_{\text{pea}}^{(pp)}$

At leading order, $F_{\text{pert}} = m_t^2$.

| Pythia8 m_t | Parton $\sqrt{F_{\text{pert}}}$ | Hadron + MPI $_{\Lambda}$ |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| $172 \mathrm{GeV}$ | $172.6 \pm 0.3 {\rm GeV}$ | $172.3 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.4$ |
| $173 \mathrm{GeV}$ | $173.5 \pm 0.3 {\rm GeV}$ | $173.6 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.4$ |
| $175 \mathrm{GeV}$ | $175.5 \pm 0.4 {\rm GeV}$ | $175.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.4$ |
| 173 - 172 | $0.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ GeV}$ | $1.3 \pm 0.6 { m Ge}$ |
| 175 - 172 | $2.9 \pm 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ | $2.8 \pm 0.6 \text{ Ge}$ |

$$_{\text{ak}}^{p)} = \frac{3F_{\text{pert}}(m_t, p_{T, \text{jet}}, \alpha_s, R)}{\left(p_{T, \text{jet}} + \Delta_{\text{NP}}(R) + \Delta_{\text{MPI}}(R)\right)^2}.$$

We then determined $\sqrt{F_{\text{pert}}}$ from a fit looking at the peak positions $\zeta_{\text{peak}}^{(pp)}$ in different $p_{T,\text{jet}}$ bins. As a proxy for a perturbative calculations we used parton-level data to extract $\sqrt{F_{\text{pert}}}$

Consistency between the two approaches with differences smaller than/about 1 GeV

* Factorization theorem:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Sigma}{\mathrm{d}p_{T,\mathrm{jet}}\mathrm{d}\eta\,\mathrm{d}\zeta} = f_i \otimes f_j \otimes H_{i,j\to t} \Big(z_J; p_{T,t} = \frac{p_{T,\mathrm{jet}}}{z_J}, \eta \Big)$$
$$\otimes J_{t\to t}(z_J, z_h; R) \otimes J_{\mathrm{EEEC}}^{[\mathrm{tracks}]}(n, z_h, \zeta; m_t; \Gamma_t)$$

for the dependence on the W mass

* Using the equilateral configuration we projected onto the top peak. However, also the W mass imprints itself in the correlator, in a different region of parameter space. Goal: trade the dependence of the EEEC measurement on the top-jet pT-spectrum

- * Triple correlators of energy flow operators measured on boosted top jets: radiation effects, hadronization and UE contamination

enhanced top-mass sensitivity in the hard region and natural suppression of soft

* Our first analysis based on simulations using PYTHIA8 motivates further studies to optimize EC-based strategy for top mass extraction with improved theoretical control

