Towards TMD splitting functions and the corresponding system of evolution equations

Krzysztof Kutak IFJ PAN

Based on papers:

M. Hentschinski, A. Kusina, K.K, M. Serino; Eur.Phys.J. C78 (2018) no.3, 174

M. Hentschinski, A. Kusina, K.K; Phys. Rev. D 94, 114013 (2016)

O. Gituliar, M. Hentschinski, K.K; JHEP 1601 (2016) 181

(Some of) limitations of high-energy factorization framework:

Splitting kernel of basic evolution equations limited to small "z"

Gluon density does not get contribution from quark channels i.e. there is no system of equations for quarks and gluons

For hard processes like: $qq \rightarrow Z$ initiated by quarks the appropriate unintegrated quark densities are needed

So far we can

Use CCFM includes "1/z" and "1/(1-z)" terms of splitting function, depends on hard scale but:

does not allow to account for finite terms like "z(1-z)". Jumps from low z to large z. Framework limited only to gluons. Limited description of data.

Framework by Balitsky and Tarasov: large "z", small "z", moderate "z", Sudakov, nonlinearity but:

limited so far to gluons only. Not obvious (at present) how to deal with it numerically.

Kimber, Martin, Ryskin, Watt or "parton branching" Jung at. al 1804.11152 provides full set of TMD pdfs.

but:

DGLAP based. It should be at least refitted.

Ciafaloni,Colferai,Staśto,Salam JHEP 0708:046,2007 provides system of equations unifying DGLAP and BFKL.

but

quark splitting functions are *kt* independent. This is the framework to which we should at some point compare our results.

The goal

- go beyond DGLAP and BFKL by generalized splitting kernel
- coverage of all "z" regions
- extend evolution towards large x
- reproduce collinear limit (DGLAP)
- reproduce BFKL in low z limit
- kt-dependent splitting functions
- *in longer term goal: to describe large class of exclusive processes*

We aim at will achieving this goals by using Curci-Furmanski-Petronzio (CFP) and Catani-Hautmann (CH) formalisms.

Curci, Furmanski, Petronzio Nucl. Phys. B175 (1980) 27

Catani, Hautmann NPB427 (1994) 475524

Curci-Furmanski-Petronzio method

Factorization based on generalized ladder expansion (in terms of Two Particle Irreducible (2PI) kernels)



Co hard scattering coefficient function

*K*₀ 2PI kernels connected only by convolution in *x* this is achieved by introducing appropriate projector operators

How does it work....

Curci-Furmanski-Petronzio - factorization $M = P = P + C_0 + K_0 + C_0 + C_0$ notation from CFP paper they studied Pqq $k_{\mu} = x_{\mu} + \alpha n_{\mu} + k_{\perp \mu}$ finite $M = \frac{1}{2}C_0K_0 p = \frac{1}{2}C_0\mathbb{P}K_0 p + \frac{1}{2}C_0(1-\mathbb{P})K_0 p$ $\frac{1}{2}C_0\mathbb{P}K_0\not\!\!p = \frac{1}{2}C_0\mathbb{P}_{\epsilon}\mathbb{P}_sK_0\not\!\!p$ the projector performs integral over phase space of "k" and $=\frac{1}{2}C_0\mathbb{P}_{\epsilon}\mathbb{P}_{out}K_0\mathbb{P}_{in}$ extracts poles $= \int \frac{dx}{x} \frac{1}{2} C_0 \mathbb{P}_{out}|_{k^2 = 0} \Gamma\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu_r^2}, x, \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)$ factorization convolution only in "x"

Curci-Furmanski-Petronzio - splitting function



- incoming propagators amputated
- contains propagator of outgoing parton + incoming on-shell

The CFP method applied to construct splitting functions

$$\Gamma \sim \hat{K}_{ij}\left(z, \frac{\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\mu^2}, \epsilon, \alpha_s\right) = z \int \frac{dq^2 d^{2+2\epsilon} \boldsymbol{q}}{2(2\pi)^{4+2\epsilon}} \Theta(\mu_F^2 + q^2) \mathbb{P}_{j, \text{in}} \otimes \frac{\hat{K}_{ij}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{k})}{\hat{K}_{ij}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{k})} \otimes \mathbb{P}_{i, \text{out}}$$
$$= \frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi\Gamma(1+\epsilon)} z \int_0^{\mu_F^2} \frac{d\boldsymbol{q}^2}{\boldsymbol{q}^2} \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma_E} \boldsymbol{q}^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{\epsilon} P_{ij}^{(0)}(z; \epsilon)$$

The method can be used to prove factorization and to derive evolution equations

Generalization to HEF kinematics



We will define and constrain splitting functions by requiring:

- gauge invariance/current conservation of vertices
- collinear limit (LO)
- HEF limit (LO)

Generalization to HEF kinematics

O. Gituliar, M. Hentschinski, K.K; JHEP 1601 (2016) 181



One needs:

- appropriate projector operators
- generalize QCD vertices (can be obtained form Lipatov effective action or equivalently by spin helicity method)

CH kernel

Application of method proposed by Catani-Hautmann for Pqg

Usage of axial gauge. The outgoing projector is the same for quark as in the original CFP

The projector for incoming gluons obtained from

$$\bar{P}_{qg}^{(0)} = T_R \left(\frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\tilde{q}^2 + z(1-z)k^2} \right)^2 \left[z^2 + (1-z)^2 + 4z^2(1-z)^2 \frac{k^2}{\tilde{q}^2} \right]$$

Full set of projectors

Constrained by Ward identities and appropriate limits the splitting functions should have correct DGLAP and BFKL limits we have the following projectors





 $d_{\mu\nu}$ can not be factorized, so it is a part of the vertex

Full set of vertices

M. Hentschinski, A. Kusina, K.K, M. Serino; Eur.Phys.J. C78 (2018) no.3, 174

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{\mu}_{q^{*}g^{*}q}(q,k,p') &= igt^{a} d^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(k) \left(\gamma^{\nu} - \frac{n^{\nu}}{k \cdot n} \not{q}\right) \\ \Gamma^{\mu}_{g^{*}q^{*}q}(q,k,p') &= igt^{a} d^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(q) \left(\gamma^{\nu} - \frac{p^{\nu}}{p \cdot q} \not{k}\right) \\ \Gamma^{\mu}_{q^{*}q^{*}g}(q,k,p') &= igt^{a} \left(\gamma^{\mu} - \frac{p^{\mu}}{p \cdot p'} \not{k} + \frac{n^{\mu}}{n \cdot p'} \not{q}\right) \\ \Gamma^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\mu_{3}}_{g^{*}g^{*}g}(q,k,p') &= ig f^{abc} \left\{ \mathcal{V}^{\lambda\kappa\mu_{3}}(q,k,p') d^{\mu_{1}}{}_{\lambda}(q) d^{\mu_{2}}{}_{\kappa}(k) \\ &+ d^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}(k) \frac{q^{2} n^{\mu_{3}}}{n \cdot p'} - d^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}(q) \frac{k^{2} p^{\mu_{3}}}{p \cdot p'} \right\} \\ Obtained using spinor helicity methods \end{split}$$

Van Hameren, Kotko, Kutak, JHEP 1301 (2013) 078

remark: can be obtained from Lipatov effective action

Example calculation of splitting function: Pgg case

M. Hentschinski, A. Kusina, K.K, M. Serino; Eur.Phys.J. C78 (2018) no.3, 174

$$\mathbb{P}_{g, \text{in}} \otimes \hat{K}_{gg}^{(0)}(q, k) \otimes \mathbb{P}_{g, \text{out}} =$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{g,\mathrm{in}}^{\beta\beta'}(k)\,\mathbb{P}_{g,\mathrm{out}}^{\mu'\nu'}(q)(\Gamma_{g^*g^*g}^{\beta\mu\alpha})^{\dagger}\Gamma_{g^*g^*g}^{\nu\beta'\alpha'}\,\frac{-id^{\mu\mu'}(q)}{q^2-i\epsilon}\,\frac{id^{\nu\nu'}(q)}{q^2+i\epsilon}\,d^{\alpha\alpha'}(k-q)$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{P}_{gg}^{(0)}(z,\tilde{q},\boldsymbol{k}) &= 2C_A \left\{ \frac{\tilde{q}^4}{\left(\tilde{q} - (1-z)\boldsymbol{k}\right)^2 \left[\tilde{q}^2 + z(1-z)\boldsymbol{k}^2\right]} \left[\frac{z}{1-z} + \frac{1-z}{z} + \right. \\ &+ (3-4z) \frac{\tilde{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}}{\tilde{q}^2} + z(3-2z) \frac{\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\tilde{q}^2} \right] + \frac{(1+\epsilon)\tilde{q}^2 z(1-z)[2\tilde{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{k} + (2z-1)\boldsymbol{k}^2]^2}{2\boldsymbol{k}^2 [\tilde{q}^2 + z(1-z)\boldsymbol{k}^2]^2} \right\} \end{split}$$

The difference to BFKL comes from exact kinematics

Results

M. Hentschinski, A. Kusina, K.K, M. Serino; Eur.Phys.J. C78 (2018) no.3, 174

For sake of presentation only angular averaged kernels

$$\begin{split} \bar{P}_{qg}^{(0)} &= T_R \left(\frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\tilde{q}^2 + z(1-z)k^2} \right)^2 \left[z^2 + (1-z)^2 + 4z^2(1-z)^2 \frac{k^2}{\tilde{q}^2} \right] \\ \bar{P}_{gq}^{(0)} &= C_F \left[\frac{2\tilde{q}^2}{z|\tilde{q}^2 - (1-z)^2k^2|} - \frac{(2-z)\tilde{q}^4 + z(1-z^2)k^2\tilde{q}^2}{(\tilde{q}^2 + z(1-z)k^2)^2} \right] \\ \bar{P}_{qq}^{(0)} &= C_F \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\tilde{q}^2 + z(1-z)k^2} \\ &\left[\frac{\tilde{q}^2 + (1-z^2)k^2}{(1-z)|\tilde{q}^2 - (1-z)^2k^2|} + \frac{z^2\tilde{q}^2 - z(1-z)(1-3z+z^2)k^2}{(1-z)(\tilde{q}^2 + z(1-z)k^2)} \right] \\ \bar{P}_{gg}^{(0)} &= C_A \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\tilde{q}^2 + z(1-z)k^2} \left[\frac{(2-z)\tilde{q}^2 + (z^3 - 4z^2 + 3z)k^2}{z(1-z)|\tilde{q}^2 - (1-z)^2k^2|} \\ &+ \frac{(2z^3 - 4z^2 + 6z - 3)\tilde{q}^2 + z(4z^4 - 12z^3 + 9z^2 + z - 2)k^2}{(1-z)(\tilde{q}^2 + z(1-z)k^2)} \right] \end{split}$$

Kinematic limits Pgg



with this variable one can disentangle singularities z
ightarrow 1 $ilde{q}
ightarrow (1-z) m{k}$

DGLAP limit:

$$\lim_{\boldsymbol{k}^2 \to 0} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi P(z, \boldsymbol{k}^2, \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}^2) = 2C_A \left[\frac{z}{1-z} + \frac{1-z}{z} + z \ (1-z) \right]$$

BFKL limit:

$$\begin{split} \lim_{z \to 0} \hat{K}_{gg} \left(z, \frac{\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\mu^2}, \epsilon, \alpha_s \right) &= \frac{\alpha_s C_A}{\pi (e^{\gamma_E} \mu^2)^{\epsilon}} \int \frac{d^{2+2\epsilon} \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}}{\pi^{1+\epsilon}} \Theta \left(\mu_F^2 - (\boldsymbol{k} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}})^2 \right) \frac{1}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}^2} \quad q, z \\ &= \int \frac{d^{2+2\epsilon} \boldsymbol{q}}{\pi^{1+\epsilon}} \Theta \left(\mu_F^2 - \boldsymbol{q}^2 \right) \frac{\alpha_s C_A}{\pi (e^{\gamma_E} \mu^2)^{\epsilon}} \frac{1}{(\boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{k})^2}, \quad p' = \boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{q} \end{split}$$

$$\lim_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}\to 0} \hat{K}_{gg}\left(z, \frac{\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\mu^2}, 0, \alpha_s\right) = z \int_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{min}}^{\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{max}^2} \frac{d\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}^2}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}^2} \frac{\alpha_s C_a}{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{1-z} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}^2}{\boldsymbol{k}^2}\right)\right]$$

Towards evolution equation

For now we have real part emissions of the splitting functions.

- The non diagonal splitting functions do not have virtual contribution at the LO.
- They are divergent when $p' \rightarrow 0$. The diagonal once have virtual contributions.
- However, the distribution of gluons gets contribution from quarks....

Towards evolution equation

Real part of Pqq to be complemented by virtual corrections \rightarrow can expect cancellations of singularities but Pgq is divergent

For gluonic part we use low z limit part of Pgg i.e. LO BFKL equation

$$\mathcal{F}(x,\boldsymbol{q}^2) = \mathcal{F}^0(x,\boldsymbol{q}^2) + \overline{\alpha}_s \int\limits_x \frac{dz}{z} \int \frac{d^2\boldsymbol{p}}{\pi\boldsymbol{p}^2} \left[\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{x}{z}, |\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}|^2\right) - \theta(\boldsymbol{q}^2 - \boldsymbol{p}^2) \mathcal{F}\left(\frac{x}{z}, \boldsymbol{q}^2\right) \right]$$

add quark induced contribution

$$+\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\int\limits_x^1\frac{dz}{z}\int\frac{d^2\boldsymbol{p}}{\pi\boldsymbol{p}^2}P_{gq}(z,\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q})\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{x}{z},|\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{q}|^2\right)$$

Towards evolution equation-BFKL with Regge form factor

Use simplified Pgg kernel i.e. BFKL limit. Introduce phase space slicing parameter to separate resolved and unresolved emissions

$$\mathcal{F}(x,\boldsymbol{q}^2) = \mathcal{F}^0(x,\boldsymbol{q}^2) + \overline{\alpha}_s \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \left[\int_{\mu^2} \frac{d^2 \boldsymbol{p}}{\pi \boldsymbol{p}^2} \mathcal{F}\left(\frac{x}{z}, |\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}|^2\right) - \ln \frac{\boldsymbol{q}^2}{\mu^2} \mathcal{F}\left(\frac{x}{z}, \boldsymbol{q}^2\right) \right]$$

Using Mellin transforms and some algebra we get

$$\mathcal{F}(x,\boldsymbol{q}^2) = \underbrace{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0(x,\boldsymbol{q}^2)}_{\text{modified}} + \overline{\alpha}_s \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \underbrace{\Delta_R(z,\boldsymbol{q}^2,\mu^2)}_{\exp(-\overline{\alpha}_s \ln 1/z \ln \boldsymbol{q}^2/\mu^2)} \int_{\mu^2} \frac{d^2\boldsymbol{p}}{\pi \boldsymbol{p}^2} \mathcal{F}\left(\frac{x}{z}, |\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{p}|^2\right)$$

Stable in $\mu \rightarrow 0$

Towards evolution equation

M. Hentschinski, A. Kusina, K.K; Phys. Rev. D 94, 114013 (2016)

For quark part the crucial difference: no virtual corrections

$$\int \frac{d\boldsymbol{p}^2}{\boldsymbol{p}^2} \to \int_{\mu^2} \frac{d\boldsymbol{p}^2}{\boldsymbol{p}^2}$$

$$\mathsf{`BFKL'} + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \int_{\mu^2} \frac{d^2 \boldsymbol{p}}{\pi \boldsymbol{p}^2} P_{gq}(z, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}) \mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{x}{z}, |\boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{q}|^2\right)$$

The equation for gluon reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(x,\boldsymbol{q}^2) &= \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0(x,\boldsymbol{q}^2) + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \int \frac{d^2\boldsymbol{p}}{\pi \boldsymbol{p}^2} \theta(\boldsymbol{p}^2 - \mu^2) \left[\Delta_R(z,\boldsymbol{q}^2,\mu^2) \right] \\ &\left(2C_A \mathcal{F}\left(\frac{x}{z}, |\boldsymbol{q} + \boldsymbol{p}|^2\right) + C_F \mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{x}{z}, |\boldsymbol{q} + \boldsymbol{p}|^2\right) \right) \\ &- \int_z^1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \Delta_R(z_1,\boldsymbol{q}^2,\mu^2) \left[\tilde{P}'_{gq}\left(\frac{z}{z_1},\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q}\right) \frac{z}{z_1} \right] \mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{x}{z}, |\boldsymbol{q} + \boldsymbol{p}|^2\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where

 $P_{gq} = \tilde{P}_{gq}/z$

Towards evolution equation - stability



Resummation of $\ln q^2/\mu^2$ in $\Delta_R = \left(\frac{\mu^2}{q^2}\right)^{\overline{\alpha}_s \ln 1/z}$ cuts of $\mu \rightarrow$ region

Conclusions and **outlook**

- We have applied CFP and CH technics to calculate real emissions splitting functions
- We used the splitting functions to construct equation for gluon density receiving contributions from quarks
- We found that found that resummation of virtual contributions to Pgg at low x helps with treatment of singularity of Pgq splitting function
- Obtain virtual contributions to Pgg and Pqq should be computed using the same formalism
- Evolution variable: seems that angle would be optimal
- The full set of evolution equations
- Relation to operator definition of TMD
- Solution
- Monte Carlo implementation