# Collective phenomena and shell structure far from stability

Frédéric Nowacki<sup>1</sup>

NUCLEAR PHYSICS AT THE EDGE OF STABILITY



04 July 2022 - 08 July 2022 Hybrid/Hited



Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

<sup>1</sup>Strasbourg-Madrid Shell-Model collaboration <□> <B> <E> <E> E ∽ <<

# Landscape of medium mass nuclei



Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022 

990

 $\equiv$ 

#### Landscape of medium mass nuclei



# **Development of deformation at N=8,20,40,70**



# **Development of deformation at N=8,20,40,70**





# Shell Model: Physics Goals

#### **Collective excitations:**

• Deformation, Superdeformation,

Dipole/M1 resonances

- Superfluidity
- Symmetries



- define Effective Interaction
- $\mathcal{H}_{eff}\Psi_{eff} = E\Psi_{eff}$
- build and diagonalize Energy matrix

#### Weak processes:

- β decay
- ββ decay

 $[T^{0\nu}_{1/2}(0^+ \to 0^+)]^{-1} = G_{0\nu} |M^{0\nu}|^2 \langle m_\nu \rangle^2$ 





- · Vanishing of shell closures
- New magic numbers





#### Ab Initio calculations:

- Chiral EFT realistic interactions
- 3N forces

 exponential growth of basis dimensions:

$$D \sim \left( \begin{array}{c} d_{\pi} \\ p \end{array} 
ight) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} d_{\nu} \\ n \end{array} 
ight)$$

In *pf* shell : <sup>48</sup>Cr 1,963,461 <sup>56</sup>Ni **1,087,455,228** In *pf-sdg* space : <sup>78</sup>Ni **210,046,691,518** 

- Actual limits in limits in giant diagonalizations: 0.2 10<sup>12</sup> for <sup>114</sup>Sn core excitations
- Some of the largest diagonalizations ever are performed in Strasbourg with relatively modest computationnal ressources:

Phys. Rev. C82 (2010) 054301, ibidem 064304

- <u>m scheme</u> ANTOINE code
- coupled scheme NATHAN code

E. Caurier et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 77 (2005) 427; ANTOINE website



- Largest matrices up to now contain up to ~ 10<sup>14</sup> non-zero matrix elements.
- This would require more than 1,000,000 CD-ROM's to store the information for a single matrix !
- They cannot be stored on hard disk and are computed on the fly.

 exponential growth of basis dimensions:

$$D \sim \left( egin{array}{c} d_{\pi} \ p \end{array} 
ight) \cdot \left( egin{array}{c} d_{
u} \ n \end{array} 
ight)$$

In *pf* shell : <sup>48</sup>Cr 1,963,461 <sup>56</sup>Ni **1,087,455,228** In *pf-sdg* space : <sup>78</sup>Ni **210,046,691,518** 

- Actual limits in limits in giant diagonalizations: 0.2 10<sup>12</sup> for <sup>114</sup>Sn core excitations
- Some of the largest diagonalizations ever are performed in Strasbourg with relatively modest computationnal ressources:

Phys. Rev. C82 (2010) 054301, ibidem 064304

- <u>m scheme</u> ANTOINE code
- coupled scheme NATHAN code

E. Caurier et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 77 (2005) 427; ANTOINE website



- Largest matrices up to now contain up to ~ 10<sup>14</sup> non-zero matrix elements.
- This would require more than 1,000,000 CD-ROM's to store the information for a single matrix !
- They cannot be stored on hard disk and are computed on the fly.

 exponential growth of basis dimensions:

$$D \sim \left( \begin{array}{c} d_{\pi} \\ p \end{array} 
ight) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} d_{\nu} \\ n \end{array} 
ight)$$

In *pf* shell : <sup>48</sup>Cr 1,963,461 <sup>56</sup>Ni **1,087,455,228** In *pf-sdg* space : <sup>78</sup>Ni **210,046,691,518** 

- Actual limits in limits in giant diagonalizations: 0.2 10<sup>12</sup> for <sup>114</sup>Sn core excitations
- Some of the largest diagonalizations ever are performed in Strasbourg with relatively modest computationnal ressources:

Phys. Rev. C82 (2010) 054301, ibidem 064304

- <u>m scheme</u> ANTOINE code
- coupled scheme NATHAN code

E. Caurier et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 77 (2005) 427; ANTOINE website



- Largest matrices up to now contain up to ~ 10<sup>14</sup> non-zero matrix elements.
- This would require more than 1,000,000 CD-ROM's to store the information for a single matrix !
- They cannot be stored on hard disk and are computed on the fly.

 exponential growth of basis dimensions:

$$D \sim \left( egin{array}{c} d_{\pi} \ p \end{array} 
ight) \cdot \left( egin{array}{c} d_{
u} \ n \end{array} 
ight)$$

- In *pf* shell : <sup>48</sup>Cr 1,963,461 <sup>56</sup>Ni **1,087,455,228** In *pf-sdg* space : <sup>78</sup>Ni **210,046,691,518**
- Actual limits in limits in giant diagonalizations: 0.2 10<sup>12</sup> for <sup>114</sup>Sn core excitations
- Some of the largest diagonalizations ever are performed in Strasbourg with relatively modest computationnal ressources:

Phys. Rev. C82 (2010) 054301, ibidem 064304

- <u>m scheme</u> ANTOINE code
- coupled scheme NATHAN code

E. Caurier et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 77 (2005) 427; ANTOINE website



- Largest matrices up to now contain up to ~ 10<sup>14</sup> non-zero matrix elements.
- This would require more than 1,000,000 CD-ROM's to store the information for a single matrix !
- They cannot be stored on hard disk and are computed on the fly.

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 105, 054314 (2022)

Nuclear structure within a discrete nonorthogonal shell model approach: New frontiers

D. D. Dao 
and F. Nowacki 
Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR7178, 23 rue du Loess, F-67000 Strasbourg, France

(Received 8 March 2022; accepted 6 May 2022; published 23 May 2022)



#### First "SM" calculations for superheavies !!!

#### The nuclear interaction: the complex view



P. Klee, art



Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022 (ロト 4 同ト 4 同ト 4 同ト 4 同ト 4 同ト 第二 ののの

#### The nuclear interaction: the simple view





J. Miro, art

#### Separation of the effective Hamiltonian Monopole and multipole

From the work of M. Dufour and A. Zuker (PRC 54 1996 1641) Separation theorem:

Any effective interaction can be split in two parts:

 $H = H_{monopole} + H_{multipole}$ 

#### Hmonopole: spherical mean-field

responsible for the global saturation properties and for the evolution of the spherical single particle levels.

*H<sub>multipole</sub>*: correlator

pairing, quadrupole, octupole...

Important property:

 $\langle CS \pm 1 | H | CS \pm 1 \rangle = \langle CS \pm 1 | H_{monopole} | CS \pm 1 \rangle$ Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

<ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

 $H_{multipole}$  can be written in two representations, particle-particle and particle-hole. Both can be brought into a diagonal form. When this is done, it comes out that only a few terms are coherent, and those are the simplest ones:

- L = 0 isovector and isoscalar pairing
- Elliott's quadrupole
- $\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}$
- Octupole and hexadecapole terms of the type  $r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda} \cdot r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda}$

Besides, they are universal (all the realistic interactions give similar values) and scale simply with the mass number

	pp(JT)				ph	$(\lambda \tau)$	
	10	01	21	20	40	10	11
KB USD-A CCEI NN+NNN-MBPT NN-MBPT	-5.83 -5.62 -6.79 -6.40 -6.06	-4.96 -5.50 -4.68 -4.36 -4.38	-3.21 -3.17 -2.93 -2.91 -2.92	-3.53 -3.24 -3.40 -3.28 -3.35	-1.38 -1.60 -1.39 -1.23 -1.31	+1.61 +1.56 +1.21 +1.10 +1.03	+3.00 +2.99 +2.83 +2.43 +2.49

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022



 $H_{multipole}$  can be written in two representations, particle-particle and particle-hole. Both can be brought into a diagonal form. When this is done, it comes out that only a few terms are coherent, and those are the simplest ones:

- L = 0 isovector and isoscalar pairing
- Elliott's quadrupole
- $\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}$
- Octupole and hexadecapole terms of the type  $r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda} \cdot r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda}$

Besides, they are universal (all the realistic interactions give similar values) and scale simply with the mass number

		pp(JT)			ph	$(\lambda  au)$	
	10	01	21	20	40	10	11
KB USD-A CCEI NN+NNN-MBPT NN-MBPT	-5.83 -5.62 -6.79 -6.40 -6.06	-4.96 -5.50 -4.68 -4.36 -4.38	-3.21 -3.17 -2.93 -2.91 -2.92	-3.53 -3.24 -3.40 -3.28 -3.35	-1.38 -1.60 -1.39 -1.23 -1.31	+1.61 +1.56 +1.21 +1.10 +1.03	+3.00 +2.99 +2.83 +2.43 +2.49

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

 $H_{multipole}$  can be written in two representations, particle-particle and particle-hole. Both can be brought into a diagonal form. When this is done, it comes out that only a few terms are coherent, and those are the simplest ones:

- L = 0 isovector and isoscalar pairing
- Elliott's quadrupole
- $\bullet \ \vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}$
- Octupole and hexadecapole terms of the type  $r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda} \cdot r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda}$

Besides, they are universal (all the realistic interactions give similar values) and scale simply with the mass number

		pp(JT)			ph	$(\lambda  au)$	
	10	01	21	20	40	10	11
KB USD-A CCEI NN+NNN-MBPT NN-MBPT	-5.83 -5.62 -6.79 -6.40 -6.06	-4.96 -5.50 -4.68 -4.36 -4.38	3.21 3.17 2.93 2.91 2.92	-3.53 -3.24 -3.40 -3.28 -3.35	-1.38 -1.60 -1.39 -1.23 -1.31	+1.61 +1.56 +1.21 +1.10 +1.03	+3.00 +2.99 +2.83 +2.43 +2.49

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲豆▶ ▲豆▶ □豆 − のへぐ

 $H_{multipole}$  can be written in two representations, particle-particle and particle-hole. Both can be brought into a diagonal form. When this is done, it comes out that only a few terms are coherent, and those are the simplest ones:

- L = 0 isovector and isoscalar pairing
- Elliott's quadrupole
- $\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}$
- Octupole and hexadecapole terms of the type  $r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda} \cdot r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda}$

Besides, they are universal (all the realistic interactions give similar values) and scale simply with the mass number

	10	pp(JT) 01	21	20	ph 40	(λτ) 10	11
KB	-5.83	-4.96	-3.21	-3.53	-1.38	+1.61	+3.00
USD-A	-5.62	-5.50	-3.17	-3.24	-1.60	+1.56	+2.99
CCEI	-6.79	-4.68	-2.93	-3.40	-1.39	+1.21	+2.83
NN+NNN-MBPT	-6.40	-4.36	-2.91	-3.28	-1.23	+1.10	+2.43
NN-MBPT	-6.06	-4.38	-2.92	-3.35	-1.31	+1.03	+2.49

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

 $H_{multipole}$  can be written in two representations, particle-particle and particle-hole. Both can be brought into a diagonal form. When this is done, it comes out that only a few terms are coherent, and those are the simplest ones:

- L = 0 isovector and isoscalar pairing
- Elliott's quadrupole
- $\bullet \ \vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}$
- Octupole and hexadecapole terms of the type  $r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda} \cdot r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda}$

Besides, they are universal (even from modern abinitio derivations, all the realistic interactions give similar values) and scale simply with the mass number

particle-particle		Interaction	particle-hole			
<i>JT</i> = 01	<i>JT</i> = 10		$\lambda  au~=~20$	$\lambda \tau = 40$	$\lambda \tau = 11$	
-5.42	-5.43	KLS	-2.90	-1.61	+2.38	
-5.48	-6.24	BONNB	-2.82	-1.39	+3.64	
-5.69	-5.90	USD	-3.18	-1.60	+3.08	
-4.75	-4.46	KB3	-2.79	-1.39	+2.46	
-5.06	-5.08	FPD6	-3.11	-1.67	+3.17	
-4.07	-5.74	GOGNY	-3.23	-1.77	+2.46	

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

 $H_{multipole}$  can be written in two representations, particle-particle and particle-hole. Both can be brought into a diagonal form. When this is done, it comes out that only a few terms are coherent, and those are the simplest ones:

- L = 0 isovector and isoscalar pairing
- Elliott's quadrupole
- $\bullet \ \vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}$
- Octupole and hexadecapole terms of the type  $r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda} \cdot r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda}$

Besides, they are universal (even from modern abinitio derivations, all the realistic interactions give similar values) and scale simply with the mass number

particle-particle		Interaction		particle-hole	
<i>JT</i> = 01	<i>JT</i> = 10		$\lambda  au~=~20$	$\lambda \tau = 40$	$\lambda \tau = 11$
-5.42	-5.43	KLS	-2.90	-1.61	+2.38
-5.48	-6.24	BONNB	-2.82	-1.39	+3.64
-5.69	-5.90	USD	-3.18	-1.60	+3.08
-4.75	-4.46	KB3	-2.79	-1.39	+2.46
-5.06	-5.08	FPD6	-3.11	-1.67	+3.17
-4.07	-5.74	GOGNY	-3.23	-1.77	+2.46

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

 $H_{multipole}$  can be written in two representations, particle-particle and particle-hole. Both can be brought into a diagonal form. When this is done, it comes out that only a few terms are coherent, and those are the simplest ones:

- L = 0 isovector and isoscalar pairing
- Elliott's quadrupole
- $\bullet \ \vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}$
- Octupole and hexadecapole terms of the type  $r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda} \cdot r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda}$

Besides, they are universal (even from modern abinitio derivations, all the realistic interactions give similar values) and scale simply with the mass number

particle-particle		Interaction		particle-hole	
<i>JT</i> = 01	<i>JT</i> = 10		$\lambda  au~=~$ 20	$\lambda  au =$ 40	$\lambda \tau = 11$
-5.42	-5.43	KLS	-2.90	-1.61	+2.38
-5.48	-6.24	BONNB	-2.82	-1.39	+3.64
-5.69	-5.90	USD	-3.18	-1.60	+3.08
-4.75	-4.46	KB3	-2.79	-1.39	+2.46
-5.06	-5.08	FPD6	-3.11	-1.67	+3.17
-4.07	-5.74	GOGNY	-3.23	-1.77	+2.46

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

In the valence space of two major shells



#### EFFECTIVE INTERACTION: SDPF-U-MIX (update 2020)



Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

nac



Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



- At the neutron drip line, the ESPE's of <sup>28</sup>O are completely at variance with those of <sup>40</sup>Ca at the stability valley. The change from the standard ESPE's of <sup>16</sup>O to the anomalous ones in <sup>28</sup>O is totally due to the interactions of *sd* shell neutrons among themselves
- Notice that the *sd* shell orbits remain always below th *pf* shell with the  $\nu 0f_{\frac{2}{2}}$  and  $\nu 0p_{\frac{3}{2}}$  orbitals DO get inverted
- The monopole part of the neutron-proton interaction restores the N=20 shell gap when the valley of stability is approached
- Spin-Tensor decomposition shows it is mainly a Central and Tensor effect



Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022



Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

- Let us consider the configurations with closed N=20 [sd]<sup>12,Z</sup> (normal filling) and the ones with two neutrons blocked in the *pf* shell [sd]<sup>10,Z</sup>[*pf*]<sup>2,0</sup> (intruder)
- And calculate the energy of the ground states at fixed configuration, with and without correlations

## Correlations energies: normal vs 2p-2h intruder



Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022 《ロ〉《母》《王〉《王〉、王〇〇〇〇

# Gaps: normal vs 2p-2h intruder



Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022 イロト イクト イミト イミト ミーシー マークへや

- it is evident that the occurence of the "island of inversion" depends on subtle cancellations between monopole losses and correlations gains by the intruder states
- Notice that the correlations energies can be huge. For instance, in <sup>32</sup>Mg, the correlation energy of the lowest 0<sup>+</sup> state in the 0p-0h, 2p-2h and 4p-4h configurations is respectively, 1.5 MeV (spherical), 12.5 MeV (deformed) and 21 MeV !!! (superdeformed)

# Spherical, Deformed and Superdeformed states in <sup>32</sup>Mg



# **Quadrupole Collectivity vs Magic Closures N=20**

- Four protons away from doubly magic <sup>40</sup>Ca, <sup>34</sup>Si is a new doubly magic nucleus because the normal filling configuration dominates the ground state with the deformed 2p-2h being the first excited state at 2.7 MeV. The first excited 2<sup>+</sup> at 3.33 MeV is also of 2p-2h nature
- To go even more neutron rich, one needs to remove protons from the 0d<sup>5</sup>/<sub>5</sub> orbit
- This causes a small reduction of the N=20 neutron gap, and an increase of the correlation energy of the intruder which is enough to make it the ground state
- In this way we suddenly land in the Island of Inversion in which Deformed Intruder states become ground states, as in <sup>32</sup>Mg, <sup>31</sup>Na and <sup>30</sup>Ne

# Inverse shape coexistence Shell closure in <sup>32</sup>Mg

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

## The structure of the 0<sup>+</sup> states: coexistence

- <sup>30</sup>Mg
  - 0<sup>+</sup><sub>gs</sub> 0p-0h 77% ... 2p-2h (ND) 22%
  - 0<sup>+</sup><sub>ex</sub> 0p-0h 18% ... 2p-2h (ND) 77%
- <sup>32</sup>Mg
  - $0^+_{gs}$  0p-0h 12% ... 2p-2h (ND) 56% ... 4p-4h (SD) 31%
  - 0<sup>+</sup><sub>ex</sub> 0p-0h 42% ... 2p-2h (ND) 08% ... 4p-4h (SD) 50%

#### • <sup>34</sup>Mg

- 0<sup>+</sup><sub>gs</sub> 0p-0h 89% ... 2p-2h (ND) 11%
- 0<sup>+</sup><sub>ex</sub> 0p-0h 06% ... 2p-2h (ND) 87%

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

## Silicium and Magnesium chains

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Further away from Stability

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

# Further away from Stability

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Neons isotopes behave like magnesium isotopes

- SM in *psdpf* model space
- full sd diagonalization + full  $1\hbar\omega$  excitations
- Exact removal of COM components

![](_page_40_Figure_4.jpeg)

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*. Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022 イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

DQC.

- SM in *psdpf* model space
- full sd diagonalization + full  $1\hbar\omega$  excitations
- Exact removal of COM components

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*. Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022 

DQC.

- SM in *psdpf* model space
- full sd diagonalization + full  $1\hbar\omega$  excitations
- Exact removal of COM components

![](_page_42_Figure_4.jpeg)

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*. Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022 

DQC.

∍

- SM in *psdpf* model space
- full sd diagonalization + full  $1\hbar\omega$  excitations
- Exact removal of COM components

![](_page_43_Figure_4.jpeg)

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

DQC.

∍

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

J. Gibelin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 212503

Complex wave function Major contribution from  $\nu s_{1/2}^{-1} p_{3/2}^1$ ,  $\nu s_{1/2}^{-1} p_{1/2}^1$  in agreement with QRPA results *M. Martini, S. Péru, and M. Dupuis, Phys. Rev. C* 83, 034309 (2011)

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- SM in *psdpf* model space
- full sd diagonalization + full  $1\hbar\omega$  excitations
- Exact removal of COM components

![](_page_45_Figure_4.jpeg)

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*. Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022 

DQC.

- SM in *sd-pf* model space
- t=4 sd-pf diagonalization for GS + 1p1h
- COM spuriosity  $\sim 1\%$

![](_page_46_Figure_4.jpeg)

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*. Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022 イロト イポト イヨト

- SM in *sd-pf* model space
- t=4 sd-pf diagonalization for GS + 1p1h
- COM spuriosity  $\sim 1\%$

![](_page_47_Figure_4.jpeg)

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*. Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022 イロト イポト イヨト

= nac

# Evolution of dipole strength along the Ne chain

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

The low lying strength moves up in energy in the island of inversion

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022 《ロ〉《母》《王〉《王〉、王〇〇〇〇

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

Microscopic sd-shell interactions from NCSM N. Smirnova, B. R. Barrett et al.

RESANET GT3 meeting, Saclay 13/11/2018

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*. Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022 ・ロット 小学 マイリット

900

∍

#### RAPID COMMUNICATIONS

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW C 85, 011302(R) (2012)

#### Low-lying neutron fp-shell intruder states in 27Ne

S. M. Brown,<sup>1</sup> W. N. Catford,<sup>1</sup> J. S. Thomas,<sup>1</sup> B. Fernández-Domínguez,<sup>2</sup> M. A. Orr,<sup>2</sup> M. Labiche,<sup>4</sup> M. Rejmund,<sup>5</sup> M. L. Ackour,<sup>1</sup> H. Al Falou,<sup>2</sup> N. Lokhwood,<sup>4</sup> D. Baumel,<sup>7</sup> Y. Blumend<sup>1</sup>,<sup>7</sup> B. Bunend<sup>1</sup>,<sup>8</sup> H. Brown,<sup>8</sup> R. Chapman,<sup>4</sup> M. Chartier,<sup>1</sup> N. Curtis,<sup>6</sup> G. de France,<sup>1</sup> N. de Sereville,<sup>2</sup> F. Delaunay,<sup>2</sup> A. Drouart,<sup>10</sup> C. Force,<sup>2</sup> S. Franchoo,<sup>7</sup> J. Guillot,<sup>1</sup> P. Haigh,<sup>6</sup> F. Hammache,<sup>7</sup> V. Lapoux,<sup>10</sup> R. C. Lemmon,<sup>7</sup> A. Leprince,<sup>2</sup> F. Marcchal,<sup>7</sup> X. Mougeot,<sup>10</sup> B. Mouginot,<sup>7</sup> J. Kalpas,<sup>10</sup> A. Navin, N. P. Betters,<sup>10</sup> E. C. Pollacov,<sup>20</sup> A. Rams, <sup>1</sup> J. A. Sarupet,<sup>11</sup> I. Stefan,<sup>11</sup> and G. L. Wilson<sup>1</sup>

LOW-LYING NEUTRON fp-SHELL INTRUDER STATES ....

TABLE I. Comparison between experimental and calculated (see text) excitation energies and spectroscopic factors for states in <sup>27</sup>Ne. Experimental excitation energies are from [10] except for the 1.74-MeV state (present work). For  $C^2S$ , the errors include uncertainties from the reaction model.

$J^{\pi}$ $E^*_{\exp}$		$E^*_{WBP-M}$	$C^2S$					
	(MeV)	(MeV)	Ref. [10]	Present	WBP-M			
3/2+	0	0	0.2(2)	0.42(22)	0.63			
3/2-	0.765	0.809	0.6(2)	0.64(33)	0.67			
$1/2^{+}$	0.885	0.869	0.3(1)	0.17(14)	0.17			
7/2-	1.74	1.686		0.35(10)	0.40			

At the neutron drip line, the ESPE's of <sup>28</sup>O are completely at variance with those of <sup>40</sup>Ca at the stability valley. The change from the standard ESPE's of <sup>16</sup>O to the anomalous ones in <sup>28</sup>O is totally due to the interactions of *sd* shell neutrons among themselves

Notice that the *sd* shell orbits remain always below th *pf* shell with the  $\nu 0f_{\frac{7}{2}}$  and  $\nu 0p_{\frac{3}{2}}$  orbitals DO get inverted

 The monopole part of the neutron-proton interaction restores the N=20 shell gap when the valley of stability is approached

 Evidence for shell inversion towards <sup>28</sup>O

Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

▲ロト ▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

- At the neutron drip line, the ESPE's of <sup>28</sup>O are completely at variance with those of <sup>40</sup>Ca at the stability valley. The change from the standard ESPE's of <sup>16</sup>O to the anomalous ones in <sup>28</sup>O is totally due to the interactions of *sd* shell neutrons among themselves
- Notice that the *sd* shell orbits remain always below th *pf* shell with the  $\nu 0f_{\frac{7}{2}}$  and  $\nu 0p_{\frac{3}{2}}$  orbitals DO get inverted
- The monopole part of the neutron-proton interaction restores the N=20 shell gap when the valley of stability is approached

• "ill" behaviour mainly due to <sup>38</sup>P separation energy

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 124, 152502 (2020)

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

- At the neutron drip line, the ESPE's of <sup>28</sup>O are completely at variance with those of <sup>40</sup>Ca at the stability valley.
- Notice that the sd shell orbits remain always below th pf shell with the ν0f<sub>2</sub> and ν0p<sub>3</sub> orbitals DO get inverted
- Recent evidence for intruder states in <sup>28</sup>F low-lying spectrum
- In addition, extraction of 80% of "I=1" content in the GS

SQC

=

1

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

At the neutron drip line, the ESPE's of <sup>28</sup>O are completely at variance with those of <sup>40</sup>Ca at the stability valley. The change from the standard ESPE's of <sup>16</sup>O to the anomalous ones in <sup>28</sup>O is totally due to the interactions of *sd* shell neutrons among themselves

- Notice that the *sd* shell orbits remain always below th *pf* shell with the  $\nu 0f_{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\nu 0p_{\frac{3}{2}}$  orbitals DO get inverted
- The monopole part of the neutron-proton interaction restores the N=20 shell gap when the valley of stability is approached
- New <sup>30</sup>F data from NeuLAND-SAMOURAI collaboration (J. Kahlbow phD work)
- <sup>38</sup>P separation energy +  $p_{3/2}$ - $f_{7/2}$ splitting matches Fluorine chain  $S_n$  trend

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

At the neutron drip line, the ESPE's of <sup>28</sup>O are completely at variance with those of <sup>40</sup>Ca at the stability valley. The change from the standard ESPE's of <sup>16</sup>O to the anomalous ones in <sup>28</sup>O is totally due to the interactions of *sd* shell neutrons among themselves

- Notice that the *sd* shell orbits remain always below th *pf* shell with the  $\nu 0f_{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\nu 0p_{\frac{3}{2}}$  orbitals DO get inverted
- The monopole part of the neutron-proton interaction restores the N=20 shell gap when the valley of stability is approached
- New <sup>30</sup>F data from NeuLAND-SAMOURAI collaboration (J. Kahlbow phD work)
- <sup>38</sup>P separation energy +  $p_{3/2}$ - $f_{7/2}$ splitting matches Fluorine chain  $S_n$  trend

![](_page_59_Figure_1.jpeg)

At the neutron drip line, the ESPE's of <sup>28</sup>O are completely at variance with those of <sup>40</sup>Ca at the stability valley. The change from the standard ESPE's of <sup>16</sup>O to the anomalous ones in <sup>28</sup>O is totally due to the interactions of *sd* shell neutrons among themselves

- Notice that the *sd* shell orbits remain always below th *pf* shell with the  $\nu 0f_{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\nu 0p_{\frac{3}{2}}$  orbitals DO get inverted
- The monopole part of the neutron-proton interaction restores the N=20 shell gap when the valley of stability is approached
- New <sup>30</sup>F data from NeuLAND-SAMOURAI collaboration (J. Kahlbow phD work)
- <sup>38</sup>P separation energy +  $p_{3/2}$ - $f_{7/2}$ splitting matches Fluorine chain  $S_n$  trend

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

- At the neutron drip line, the ESPE's of <sup>28</sup>O are completely at variance with those of <sup>40</sup>Ca at the stability valley. The change from the standard ESPE's of <sup>16</sup>O to the anomalous ones in <sup>28</sup>O is totally due to the interactions of *sd* shell neutrons among themselves
  - Notice that the *sd* shell orbits remain always below th *pf* shell with the  $\nu 0f_{\frac{7}{2}}$  and  $\nu 0p_{\frac{3}{2}}$  orbitals DO get inverted
- The monopole part of the neutron-proton interaction restores the N=20 shell gap when the valley of stability is approached
- <sup>38</sup>P separation energy +  $p_{3/2}$ - $f_{7/2}$ splitting matches Fluorine chain  $S_n$  trend

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

- At the neutron drip line, the ESPE's of <sup>28</sup>O are completely at variance with those of <sup>40</sup>Ca at the stability valley. The change from the standard ESPE's of <sup>16</sup>O to the anomalous ones in <sup>28</sup>O is totally due to the interactions of *sd* shell neutrons among themselves
- Notice that the *sd* shell orbits remain always below th *pf* shell with the  $\nu 0f_{\frac{7}{2}}$  and  $\nu 0p_{\frac{3}{2}}$  orbitals DO get inverted
- The monopole part of the neutron-proton interaction restores the N=20 shell gap when the valley of stability is approached
- <sup>38</sup>P separation energy +  $p_{3/2}$ - $f_{7/2}$ splitting matches Fluorine chain  $S_n$  trend

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Stronger pairing correlations closer to Z=8 shell closure
- In <sup>28</sup>O, GS is dominated by 97% v = 0 components and more than 50% sd-pf n-n excitations. This pair scattering regime also appears in real continuum coupling (see Witek's talk and K. Fossez et al. PRC 96, 024308 (2017)
- In <sup>29</sup>F, GS is dominated by 70% v = 0 components and more than 60% sd-pf n-n excitations

![](_page_63_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Stronger pairing correlations closer to Z=8 shell closure
- In <sup>28</sup>O, GS is dominated by 97% v = 0 components and more than 50% sd-pf n-n excitations. This pair scattering regime also appears in real continuum coupling (see Witek's talk and K. Fossez et al. PRC 96, 024308 (2017)
- In <sup>29</sup>F, GS is dominated by 70% v = 0 components and more than 60% sd-pf n-n excitations

![](_page_64_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Stronger pairing correlations closer to Z=8 shell closure
- In <sup>28</sup>O, GS is dominated by 97% v = 0 components and more than 50% sd-pf n-n excitations. This pair scattering regime also appears in real continuum coupling (see Witek's talk and K. Fossez et al. PRC 96, 024308 (2017)
- In <sup>29</sup>F, GS is dominated by 70% v = 0 components and more than 60% sd-pf n-n excitations

# Summary

- Monopole drift develops in all regions but the Interplay between correlations (pairing + quadrupole) and spherical mean-field (monopole field) determines the physics. It can vary from :
  - island of deformation at N=20 and N=40
  - deformation at Z=14, N=28 for  $^{42}\text{Si}$  and shell weakening at Z=28, N=50 for  $^{78}\text{Ni}$
- The "islands of inversion" appear due to the effect of the correlations, hence they could also be called "islands of enhanced collectivity". As quadrupole correlations are dominant in this region, most of thei inhabitants are deformed rotors. Shape transitions and coexistence show up everywhere
- Quadrupole energies can be huge and understood in terms of symmetries
   Nuclear Physics at the edge of stabilityECT\*, Trento, July 4-8<sup>th</sup>-2022

- even at the drip in fluorine isotopes, bound approximation holds
- strong superfluid regime with pair scattering from sd to pf shells
- odd-even Sn energies staggering does not seem to originate from continuum coupling

Special thanks to:

- A. Poves, S. Lenzi, K. Sieja
- O. Sorlin, A. Obertelli