The resonance of ⁷H with t+4n cluster model

Emiko Hiyama(Tohoku Univ./RIKEN) Rimantas Lazauskas(Strasburg) Jaume Carbonell(Saclay)

⁷H ground state as a t+4n resonance

Emiko Hiyama

Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai, 980-8578, Japan and RIKEN Nishina Center, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako 351-0106, Japan

Rimantas Lazauskas

IPHC, CNRS/IN2P3, Université de Strasbourg, 67037 Strasbourg, France

Jaume Carbonell

Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France

Abstract

We investigated possible existence of ⁷H resonant state $J^{\pi}=1/2^+$, representing it as a five-body system consisting of ³H core and four valence neutrons. To this aim an effective n-³H potential has been constructed in order to reproduce low energy elastic neutron scattering on ³H phase shifts and the ⁵H resonant ground state in terms of ³H-n-n system. The variational Gaussian Expansion Method was used to solve the 5-body Schrödinger equation, while the resonant state parameters were estimated by means of the stabilization method. We have not found any signature of a narrow low energy resonance in the vicinity of ³H+4n threshold. However we have identified a very broad structure at $E_R \approx 9$ MeV above this threshold, which corresponds to the ⁷H J^{\pi}=1/2⁺ ground state. In the vicinity of this state, we have also identified a broad structure corresponding to ground state of ⁶H isotope wirh $J^{\pi} = 2^{-}$.

Keywords: ⁴H, ⁵H, ⁶H and ⁷H, Gaussian Expansion Method, Stabilization method, Few-Nucleon problem, *ab initio* calculations

Submitted in PLB

Outline

- Introduction
- ${}^{5}H$ and ${}^{7}H$





Motivation why I study ⁷H

Selected for a Viewpoint in Physics PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS PRL 116, 052501 (2016)

week ending 5 FEBRUARY 2016

ဖွှ

Candidate Resonant Tetraneutron State Populated by the ⁴He(⁸He,⁸Be) Reaction

K. Kisamori,^{1,2} S. Shimoura,¹ H. Miya,^{1,2} S. Michimasa,¹ S. Ota,¹ M. Assie,³ H. Baba,² T. Baba,⁴ D. Beaumel,^{2,3} M. Dozono,² T. Fujii,^{1,2} N. Fukuda,² S. Go,^{1,2} F. Hammache,³ E. Ideguchi,⁵ N. Inabe,² M. Itoh,⁶ D. Kameda,² S. Kawase,¹ T. Kawabata,⁴ M. Kobayashi,¹ Y. Kondo,^{7,2} T. Kubo,² Y. Kubota,^{1,2} M. Kurata-Nishimura,² C. S. Lee,^{1,2} Y. Maeda,⁸ H. Matsubara,¹² K. Miki,⁵ T. Nishi,^{9,2} S. Noji,¹⁰ S. Sakaguchi,^{11,2} H. Sakai,² Y. Sasamoto,¹ M. Sasano,² H. Sato,² Y. Shimizu,² A. Stolz,¹⁰ H. Suzuki,² M. Takaki,¹ H. Takeda,² S. Takeuchi,² A. Tamii,⁵ L. Tang,¹ H. Tokieda,¹ M. Tsumura,⁴ T. Uesaka,² K. Yako,¹ Y. Yanagisawa,² R. Yokovama,¹ and K. Yoshida² ¹Center for Nuclear Study, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan ²RIKEN Nishina Center, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan ³IPN Orsay, 15 Rue, Georges, Clemenceau 91400 Orsay, France ⁴Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Yoshida-Honcho, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan ⁵Research Center for Nuclear Physics, Osaka University, 10-1 Mihogaoka, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan ⁶Cyclotron and Radioisotope Center, Tohoku University, 6-3 Aoba, Aramaki, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8578, Japan ¹Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 O-Okayama, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8550, Japan ⁸Faculty of Engineering, University of Miyazaki, 1-1 Gakuen, Kibanadai-nishi, Miyazaki 889-2192, Japan ⁹Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan ¹⁰National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, 640 S Shaw Lane, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA ¹¹Department of Physics, Kyushu University, 6-10-1 Hakozaki, Higashi, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan 2016 ¹²National Institute of Radiological Sciences, 4-9-1 Anagawa, Inage, Chiba, Japan (Received 30 July 2015; revised manuscript received 11 October 2015; published 3 February 2016)

A candidate resonant tetraneutron state is found in the missing-mass spectrum obtained in the doublecharge-exchange reaction ${}^{4}\text{He}({}^{8}\text{He}, {}^{8}\text{Be})$ at 186 MeV/u. The energy of the state is 0.83 ± 0.65 (stat) \pm 1.25(syst) MeV above the threshold of four-neutron decay with a significance level of 4.9σ . Utilizing the large positive O value of the (8He, 8Be) reaction, an almost recoilless condition of the four-neutron system was achieved so as to obtain a weakly interacting four-neutron system efficiently.

Observation of 4n state by RIBF in

If this observation is reliable,

We observe 'no isotope nucleus'.



Summary of the 4n calculation, currently

Authors	Method	V_{NN}	resonance
A.M. Shirokov et al. N	Non-core shell model + phase shift analysis	JISP16	Er=0.8 MeV Γ=1.4 MeV
S. Gandolfi et al. Qua	ntum Monte Calro extrapolation	chiral(NNLC	9) Er∼2.1 MeV
K. Fossez et al., no-co	ore Gamow shell model	N3LO, JISP16,	Er∼7MeV Γ∼3.5MeV
E. Hiyama, R. Lazauska	s et al., Gaussian Expansion + CSM Faddeev Yakubovsky	AV8	No resonance
Deltuva,	Faddeev Yakbobsky + AGS	SRG(AV18),N	ILO, No resonance
M. D. Higgins et al.,	Hypersherical harmonics phase shift an	alysis AV8, A	V18, no resonance

Theoretically, we come to negative conclusion, no resonant state for 4n.

How do we understand 4n system?

Nuclear physics

Four neutrons might form a transient isolated entity

Lee G. Sobotka & Maria Piarulli

An experiment firing helium-8 nuclei at a proton target has generated evidence that four neutrons can exist transiently without any other matter. But doubts remain, because the existence of such systems is at odds with theory. **See p.678**

656 | Nature | Vol 606 | 23 June 2022

Just recently, experimentally, 4n resonant state has been reported.

Now, is it time to consider this part theoretically?





⁵H



Let's explain about hydrogen Isotope before talking about ⁷H.





Table 4.1: Energy levels of ⁴H defined for channel radius $a_n = 4.9$ fm. All energies and widths are in the cm system.

E _x (MeV)	J^{π}	Т	Γ (MeV)	Decay	Reactions
g.s. ^a	2^{-}	1	5.42	n, ³ H	1, 11
0.31	1-	1	6.73 ^b	n, ³ H	11, 12
2.08	0-	1	8.92	n, ³ H	
2.83	1-	1	12.99 °	n, ³ H	11, 12

 $^{\rm a}$ 3.19 MeV above the n + $^{\rm 3}H$ mass.

^b Primarily ³P₁.

^c Primarily ¹P₁.



F	1
(E_R, Γ_R) (MeV)	
J^{π}	1/2+
⁵ H (full)	(1.57, 1.53)
$^{5}\mathrm{H}\left(d=0\right)$	(1.55, 1.35)
Theor. [16]	(2.26, 2.93)
Theor. [12]	(2.5-3.0, 3-4)
Theor. [13]	(3.0-3.2, 1-4)
Theor. [15]	(1.59, 2.48)
Exp. [3]	$(1.7 \pm 0.3, 1.9 \pm 0.4)$
Exp. [8]	$(1.8 \pm 0.1, < 0.5)$
Exp. [4]	(1.8, 1.3)
Exp. [5]	(2, 2.5)
Exp. [6]	(3, 6)
Exp. [9]	$(5.5 \pm 0.2, 5.4 \pm 0.6)$

[3] A.A. Korosheninnikov et al., PRL87 (2001) 092501
[8] S.I. Sidorchuk et al., NPA719 (2003) 13
[4] M.S. Golovkov et al. PRC 72 (2005) 064612
[5] G. M. Ter-Akopian et al., Eur. Phys. J A25 (2005) 315.

Energy of 5H is similar. But decay width is dependent on experiment.

In 2017, we have a new data on ⁵H. A. H. Wuosmaa, Phys. Rev. C95, 014310 (2017) ⁶He (d,³He) ⁵H

 E_r =2.4±0.3 MeV Г=5.3±0.4 MeV



A. Korsheninnikov et al., PRL 90, 082501 (2003)
M. Caamano et al., PRL99, 062502(2007)
PRC 78, 044001 (2008)

If we have narrow decay at lower energy, there could exist in have heavier H-hydrogen isotope such as ⁹H. $E_r = 0.57 \begin{array}{c} +0.42 \\ -0.21 \end{array}$ MeV from t+4n threshold $\Gamma = 0.09 \begin{array}{c} +0.94 \\ -0.06 \end{array}$ MeV $^{12}C(^{8}He,^{7}H)^{13}N$ reaction

What is limit for H-isotope? Probably ⁷H?

Theoretical calculation for ⁵H and ⁷H

N. K. Timofeyuk, PRC65, 064306(2002), PRC69, 034336(2004)

Volkov NN potential, Hyperspherical harmonics method: 5-body and 7-body calculations

⁵H: about 1 MeV above t+n+n threshold.

⁷H: about 3MeV above t+4n threshold

She calculated the energies with bound state approximation.

Then, she did not give decay width for these nuclei.

S. Aoyama and N. Itagaki, PRC80,021304 (R)

Volkov NN potential, AMD calculation

 $^7\text{H}:$ 4.2 MeV above t+4n threshold, no calculation for decay width No report for the energy of ^5H

H. H. Li et al., PRC 104, L061306 (2021)

Gamow shell model calculation using Minnesota NN potential.

Energy and decay width of ⁵H is 1.4 MeV and 0.5 MeV, respectively. Energy and decay width of ⁷H is about 2-3MeV and about 0.1 MeV, respectively. They predicted to have very narrow decay width for ⁵H and ⁷H. Experiment situation:

Recently, ⁸He (p,2p) ⁷H reaction has been done at RIBF. RIBF Experimental Proposal NP1512-SAMURAI34. The analysis is on going.

Then, it is timely to calculate ⁷H to obtain the energy and width theoretically.

Motivated by this situation, we study ⁷H structure within the framework of t+4n 5-body problem. We also discuss on the energy and decay width of ⁵H within t+n+n three-body problem.

Framework

n

n

n

n

NN: Minnesota potential (central potential)

⁷H=t+4n model

t-n potential => there is a large degree of ambiguity. Only several data for phase shift of t-n



$$V(r,l,s)_{nt} = \delta_{l,0}|\phi_0\rangle\lambda_{\infty}\langle\phi_0| + \sum_{i=1}^{2} (v_i^{(c)} + (-)^l v_i^{(P)} + \frac{\hat{s}^2}{2} v_i^{(s)} + (-)^l \frac{\hat{s}^2}{2} v_i^{(SP)}) \exp(-\alpha_i r^2)$$

$$|\phi_0\rangle = \exp(-a_0 r^2) \qquad i \qquad 1 \qquad 2$$

$$\lambda_{\infty} = \infty \qquad \qquad \alpha_i (fm^{-2}) \qquad 0.471241 \quad 0.0549825$$

$$v_i^{(c)} (MeV) - 41.3619 \qquad 1.22768$$

$$v_i^{(P)} (MeV) - 0.309720 \quad 6.89574$$

$$v_i^{(s)} (MeV) - 28.2483 - 0.972465$$

$$v_i^{(SP)} (MeV) \qquad 10.3308 \quad -1.25695$$

 $a_0 = 0.1979068 \ fm^{-2}$



Based on four-body calculation with MT I-III

α_i	V_{nt} (1)	4N [12]
$L=1^-,S=0$	1.28-2.61 i	0.88(5)-2.20(5) i
$L=1^-,S=1$	1.33-1.84 i	1.08(3)-2.03(3) i

Two-body calculation of t-n is almost consistent with that of 4-body calculation.

+ I introduce a phenomenological three-body t-n-n force to obtain energy trajectory.

$$V_{tnn}(\rho) = -V_0 e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{b_3^2}} \qquad \rho^2 = \frac{m_n}{M}r_{nn}^2 + \frac{m_t}{M^2}r_{nt}^2 + \frac{m_t}{M^2}r_{nt}^2 \qquad M = 2m_n + m_t$$
$$V_0, b_3 \quad : \text{ parameters.} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \text{Fit so as to reproduce the}$$
$$data \text{ of } {}^5\text{H}$$



Gaussian Expansion Method (GEM), since 1987,

• A variational method using Gaussian basis functions

• Take all the sets of Jacobi coordinates

Developed by Kyushu Univ. Group, Kamimura and his collaborators.

Review article : E. Hiyama, M. Kamimura and Y. Kino, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 51 (2003), 223.

High-precision calculations of various 3- and 4-body systems:

Exotic atoms / molecules ,

3- and 4-nucleon systems,

multi-cluster structure of light nuclei,

Light hypernuclei, 3-quark systems,





Basis functions of each Jacobi coordinate

 $\Phi_{JM}^{(c)}(\mathbf{r}_c, \mathbf{R}_c) = \sum_{nl, NL} \frac{A_{nl, NL}^{(c)}}{\uparrow} \phi_{nl}^{(c)}(\mathbf{r}_c) \psi_{NL}^{(c)}(\mathbf{R}_c) \left[Y_l(\widehat{\mathbf{r}}_c) \otimes Y_L(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_c)\right]_{JM}$

Determined by diagonalizing H

For this purpose, we use the following basis function:

$$\phi_{nlm}(\mathbf{r}) = r^{l} e^{-\nu_{n} r^{2}} Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$$

$$\nu_{n} = \frac{1}{r_{n}^{2}}$$

$$F_{n} = r_{1} a^{n-1}$$

$$(n = 1, ..., n_{max})$$

The Gaussian basis function is suitable not only for the calculation of the matrix elements but also for describing short-range correlations and long-range tail behaviour.



Where the energy and overlap matrix elements are given by

$$\begin{split} H_{in} &= <\Phi_i \mid H \mid \Phi_n > \quad (i, n = 1,...,N) \\ N_{in} &= <\Phi_i \mid 1 \mid \Phi_n > & --- & \text{non-orthogonal basis} \end{split}$$

Next, we get eigenenergy E and coefficients C_n by solving generalized matrix eigenvalue problem,

$$(\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E}) \Psi = \mathbf{0} \quad \Psi = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{C}_{n} \Phi_{n}$$
$$(\mathbf{H}_{in}) - \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{N}_{in}) \quad \int \mathbf{C}_{n} = \mathbf{0}$$

solution $\Psi = \Psi_0, \Psi_1, \Psi_2, ..., \Psi_N$ $E = E_0, E_1, E_2, ..., E_N$

The calculation is for the bound states.

Benchmark-test 4-body calculation : Phys. Rev. C64 (2001), 044001



W. Leidemann and G. Orlandini Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN (Gruppo Collegato di Trento), Università di Trento, I-38050 Povo, Italy

very different techniques and the complexity of the nuclear force chosen. Except for NCSM and EIHH, the expectation values of T and V also agree within three digits. The NCSM results are, however, still within 1% and EIHH within 1.5% of the others but note that the EIHH results for T and V are

FIG. 1. Correlation functions in the different calculational schemes: EIHH (dashed-dotted curves), FY, CRCGV, SVM, HH, and NCSM (overlapping curves).

r

[fm]

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 64 044001

2.5

3

Benchmark-test calculation of the 4-nucleon bound state



Good agreement among 7different methods

H. KAMADA et al.

TABLE I. The expectation values $\langle T \rangle$ and $\langle V \rangle$ of kinetic and potential energies, the binding energies E_b in MeV, and the radius in fm.

Method	$\langle T \rangle$	$\langle V \rangle$	E_b	$\sqrt{\langle r^2 \rangle}$
FY	102.39(5)	-128.33(10)	-25.94(5)	1.485(3)
GEM	102.30	-128.20	-25.90	1.482
SVM	102.35	-128.27	-25.92	1.486
HH	102.44	-128.34	-25.90(1)	1.483 OU
GFMC	102.3(1.0)	-128.25(1.0)	-25.93(2)	1.490(5)
NCSM	103.35	-129.45	-25.80(20)	1.485
EIHH	100.8(9)	-126.7(9)	-25.944(10)	1.486

Observed data of ⁵H is resonant state.

To obtain resonant state of ⁵H, we use complex scaling method.





+ I introduce a phenomenological three-body t-n-n force to obtain energy trajectory.

$$V_{tnn}(\rho) = -V_0 e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{b_3^2}} \qquad \rho^2 = \frac{m_n}{M}r_{nn}^2 + \frac{m_t}{M^2}r_{nt}^2 + \frac{m_t}{M^2}r_{nt}^2 \qquad M = 2m_n + m_t$$

$$V_0, b_3 \quad : \text{ parameters.} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \text{Fit so as to reproduce the data of } ^5\text{H}$$



I	
(E_R, Γ_R) (MeV)	
J^{π}	1/2+
⁵ H (full)	(1.57, 1.53)

1/2+
(1.57, 1.53)
(1.55, 1.35)
(2.26, 2.93)
(2.5-3.0, 3-4)
(3.0-3.2, 1-4)
(1.59, 2.48)
$(1.7 \pm 0.3, 1.9 \pm 0.4)$
$(1.8 \pm 0.1, < 0.5)$
(1.8, 1.3)
(2, 2.5)
(3, 6)
$(5.5 \pm 0.2, 5.4 \pm 0.6)$

[3] A.A. Korosheninnikov et al., PRL87 (2001) 092501 [8] S.I. Sidorchuk et al., NPA719 (2003) 13 [4] M.S. Golovkov et al. PRC 72 (2005) 064612 [5] G. M. Ter-Akopian et al., Eur. Phys. J A25 (2005) 315.

Energy of ⁵H is similar. But decay width is dependent on experiment.

R. Lazauskas, E. Hiyama, J. Carbonell, PRB 791 335 (2019) Fadeev-Yakubovsky method calculation of ⁵H

	J=1/2+	
	E _R	Γ
N3LO (ACCC)	1.8(1)	2.4(2)
(SECS)	1.9(2)	2.4(2)
INOY (ACCC)	1.7(1)	2.4(2)
(SECS)	1.8(1)	2.4(2)
MT13 (ACCC)	1.4(1)	2.4(2)
(SECS)	1.7(2)	∖ 2.4(2)
Γ=1.9±	=0.4 MeV	We take this result as 'exp.' data. Close to the below exp.data
1.7±0.3 MeV		A. A. Korcheninnikov, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett 87 (2001) 092501.

t+n+n

$$V_{tnn}(\rho) = -V_0 \ e^{-rac{
ho^2}{b_3^2}} \qquad
ho^2 = rac{m_n}{M}r_{nn}^2 + rac{m_t}{M^2}r_{nt}^2 + rac{m_t}{M^2}r_{nt}^2 \qquad M = 2m_n + m_t$$

When $b_3=8$ fm and $V_0=3$ to 2.5 MeV, the energy pole of ⁵H is close to exp. data. If we have this potential parameter, what is energy pole of ⁷H?





$$\times \left[\left[\phi_{\ell}(r_c)\psi_L(R_c) \right]_{\Lambda} \phi_{\lambda}(\rho_c) \right]_I \phi_{\xi}(s_c) \right]_K \right]_{JM}$$

Form of each basis function

5-body spatial function

$$\begin{bmatrix} \left[\left[\phi_{nl}^{(c)}(\mathbf{r}_c) \psi_{NL}^{(c)}(\mathbf{R}_c) \right]_I \varphi_{n'l'}^{(c)}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_c) \right]_K \Phi_{N'L'}^{(c)}(\mathbf{S}_c) \end{bmatrix}_L \\ \phi_{nlm}(\mathbf{r}) = r^l e^{-(r/r_n)^2} Y_{lm}(\widehat{\mathbf{r}})$$

Gaussian for radial part :

$$r_n = r_1 a^{n-1}$$
 $(n = 1 - n_{\max})$

Similarly for the other basis :

$$\psi_{NLM}^{(c)}(\mathbf{R}_c) = \varphi_{n'l'm'}^{(c)}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_c) = \Phi_{N'L'M'}^{(c)}(\mathbf{S}_c)$$

Use of this type gaussian basis is known to be very suitable for describing simultaneously both the short-range correlations and long-range tail behaviour of few-body systems;

This is precisely

shown in

Gaussian Expansion Method (GEM)

(review paper) E. H., Y. Kino and M. Kamimura, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys., 51 (2003) 223.

(H-E)Ψ=0

By the diagonalization of Hamiltonian, we obtain N eigenstates for each J^{π} .

Here, we use about 56,000 basis functions. Then, we obtained 56,000 eigenfunctions for $J^{\pi}=1/2^+$.

t+4n threshold

 $J^{\pi}=1/2^{+}$

For the calculation of ⁷H, it would be difficult to apply complex scaling method for 5-body calculation. Then, for this calculation, I used real scaling method.

useful method: real scaling method often used in atomic physics

In this method, we artificially scale the range parameters of our Gaussian basis functions by multiplying a factor α : $r_n \rightarrow \alpha r_n$ in $r^l \exp \left(\frac{-r/r_n}{n}\right)^2$ for exple 0.8 < α < 1.5

and repeat the diagonalization of Hamiltonian for many value of α .



 α : range parameter of Gaussian basis function

[schematic illustration of the real scaling] What is the result in our pentaquark calculation?

Example of real scaling Not result of penta quark system



 Γ can be estimated by the ΔE .



$$\rho_n = > \alpha \rho_n$$

 $s_n = > \alpha s_n$

$$V_{tnn}(\rho) = -V_0 \ e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{b_3^2}}$$

$$b_3 = 8.0 \text{fm V}_0 = -3 \text{ MeV}$$



Er~8.8 MeV Γ~ 3.1 MeV

With respect to t+4n threshold

5H: close to Exp. data Im (E)=Γ/2



For V₀=2.5, we reproduce the data of ⁵H accurately. In this case, the energy pole of ⁷H, E=9.5 MeV, $\Gamma \sim 3.5$ MeV. Our energy of ⁷H is much higher and broad decay width.

Summary of H-isotope (according to our calculation) End of H-isotope



Summary

Assuming $Er \sim 1.9$ MeV and $\Gamma \sim 2.4$ MeV for ⁵H, Our calculated energy and decay width of ⁷H are about $Er \sim 8$ to 9 MeV, and $\Gamma \sim 3$ MeV. That is much higher than ⁵H+n+n threshold, broad decay width.

⁸He (p,2p) ⁷H reaction was done at RIBF, recently.
RIBF Experimental Proposal NP1512-SAMURAI34.
The analysis is on going. =>The result will be reported by Lenain.

I am waiting for future experimental result.

Thank you!

Future prospect:



We have a code to calculate core+4n.

We could apply the method to many neutron-rich nuclei.

Example: ¹⁹B=¹⁵B+4n

Recent measurement of ¹⁹B(PRL 124, 212503 (2020)

At that time, E. Hiyama, R. Lazauskas, F.M. Marqu es, and J. Carbonell,

Phys. Rev. C 100, 011603(R) (2019).



Next, we plan to study ¹⁷B+4n.

In order to solve few-body problem accurately,

Gaussian Expansion Method (GEM), since 1987

• A variational method using Gaussian basis functions

Take all the sets of Jacobi coordinates

Developed by Kyushu Univ. Group, Kamimura and his collaborators. Review article : E. Hiyama, M. Kamimura and Y. Kino, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 51 (2003), 223.

High-precision calculations of various 3- and 4body systems:

Exotic atoms / molecules ,
3- and 4-nucleon systems,
multi-cluster structure of light nuclei,
Light hypernuclei,
3-quark systems,
4-He-atom tetramer