





## Asymptotic solutions of IS theory and the validity of hydrodynamics

### Gabriel S. Denicol (IF-UFF)

GSD and J. Noronha, arXiv:1711.01657, arXiv:1804.04771



Foundational aspects of relativistic hydrodynamics

## Summary

- Introduction & motivation
- Divergence of the gradient expansion
- Asymptotic sol. of Israel-Stewart in Bjorken flow
- Asymptotic sol. of Israel-Stewart in Gubser flow

#### Theoretical description of HIC Empirical: Fluid-dynamical modeling of heavy ion collisions works well at RHIC and LHC energies



## Validity of fluid dynamics

"proximity" to (local) equilibrium

"small" gradients

Separation of scales  $\rightarrow \text{macroscopic: } L \text{ microscopic: } \ell$ Knudsen number:  $K_N \sim \frac{\ell}{L} \ll 1$ 

> These things do not occur at the early stages of HIC

#### Simple example: Bjorken scalling

Alqahtani et al, arXiv:1712.03282v1



#### Validity of fluid dynamics



# Proximity to equilibrium, small gradients

Intuition about the validity of hydro comes mostly from the gradient expansion



Result is a gradient expansion – more general than kinetic theory

# Gradient Expansion



Hilbert Chapman

Enskog

1<sup>st</sup> order truncation: Navier-Stokes theory

$$\pi^{\mu\nu} = 2\eta\sigma^{\mu\nu} \qquad \sigma^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla^{\mu}u^{\nu} + \nabla^{\nu}u^{\mu}\right) - \frac{1}{3}\varDelta^{\mu\nu}\theta.$$

**2<sup>nd</sup> order truncation: Burnett theory** 

$$\begin{split} \pi^{\mu\nu} &= 2\eta\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \eta_1\omega_{\lambda}^{\ \langle\mu}\,\omega^{\nu\rangle\lambda} + \eta_2\theta\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \eta_3\sigma^{\lambda\langle\mu}\,\sigma_{\lambda}^{\nu\rangle} + \eta_4\sigma_{\lambda}^{\langle\mu}\,\omega^{\nu\rangle\lambda} + \eta_5I^{\langle\mu}\,I^{\nu\rangle} \\ &+ \eta_6J^{\langle\mu}\,J^{\nu\rangle} + \eta_7I^{\langle\mu}\,J^{\nu\rangle} + \eta_8\nabla^{\langle\mu}\,I^{\nu\rangle} + \eta_9\nabla^{\langle\mu}\,J^{\nu\rangle}. \end{split}$$

$$\omega^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla^{\mu} u^{\nu} - \nabla^{\nu} u^{\mu} \right). \qquad \begin{array}{l} \theta = \nabla_{\mu} u^{\mu}, \\ I^{\mu} \equiv \nabla^{\mu} \alpha_{0}, \quad J^{\mu} \equiv \nabla^{\mu} \beta_{0}, \end{array}$$

# Gradient Expansion



Hilbert Chapman



**Second-order truncation: Burnett theory** 

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{\mu\nu} &= 2\eta\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \eta_1\omega_{\lambda}^{\ \langle\mu}\,\omega^{\nu\rangle\lambda} + \eta_2\theta\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \eta_3\sigma^{\lambda\langle\mu}\,\sigma_{\lambda}^{\nu\rangle} + \eta_4\sigma_{\lambda}^{\langle\mu}\,\omega^{\nu\rangle\lambda} + \eta_5I^{\langle\mu}\,I^{\nu\rangle} \\ &+ \eta_6J^{\langle\mu}\,J^{\nu\rangle} + \eta_7I^{\langle\mu}\,J^{\nu\rangle} + \eta_8\nabla^{\langle\mu}\,I^{\nu\rangle} + \eta_9\nabla^{\langle\mu}\,J^{\nu\rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Hydrodynamical constitutive equations are usually derived by *truncating* this series.

Effective theory: can be systematically corrected

**Convergence is assumed!** 

# Gradient Expansion **Diverges (?)**



Hilbert

Enskog

**H. Grad:** CE is an asymptotic series, Physics of Fluids 6, 147 (1963).

**First example of divergence**: Couette flow problem (RTA), Santos et al, PRL 56, 1571 (1986).

Heller et al: Holography+Bjorken scaling, PRL 110, 211602 (2013) -- first time for an expanding system

Not necessarily a problem; but applicability and improvability not clear.

#### IS theory is not "traditional" fluid dynamics

**Causality:** constitutive relations for the shear stress tensor cannot be imposed



**Beyond hydrodynamics:** does not only describe slow processes, but fast ones as well

non-hydrodynamic modes ...

## "Hydrodynamic regime" of Israel-Stewart theory

$$\tau_R \Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} D\pi^{\alpha\beta} + \delta_{\pi\pi} \,\theta \pi^{\mu\nu} + \tau_{\pi\pi} \,\Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} \pi^{\alpha\lambda} \sigma^{\beta}_{\lambda} - 2 \,\tau_R \Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} \pi^{\alpha}_{\lambda} \omega^{\beta\lambda} + \pi^{\mu\nu} = 2\eta \sigma^{\mu\nu},$$



### Our strategy: we study this problem in Israel-Stewart theory

 Simple proxy for a microscopic theory; may help us gain intuition

• In particular, we want to understand what happens for other flow profiles

# Israel-Stewart theory and the gradient expansion

Bjorken flow

Ideal EoS

#### Constant relaxation

Already studied in Heller & Spalinski, PRL 115, no. 7, 072501 (2015)

# Analytical Solution for constant relaxation times (<u>Bjorken scalling</u>)

constant

$$\partial_{\hat{\tau}}\chi + \chi + \frac{4}{3\hat{\tau}}\chi^2 = \frac{3a}{4\hat{\tau}}, \qquad \qquad \chi(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon + P} \quad a = \frac{16}{9(\tau_R T)}\frac{\eta}{s}.$$

Solution:
 decays exp.
 grows exp.

 
$$\chi(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{3\sqrt{a}}{4} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\alpha \left(K_{\sqrt{a}-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) + K_{\sqrt{a}+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right)\right) + I_{\sqrt{a}-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) - I_{\sqrt{a}+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right)}{\alpha \left(K_{\sqrt{a}-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) - K_{\sqrt{a}+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right)\right) + I_{\sqrt{a}-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) + I_{\sqrt{a}+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right)} \end{bmatrix}$$
initial cond.

# Analytical Solution for constant relaxation times (<u>Bjorken scalling</u>)

$$\partial_{\hat{\tau}}\chi + \chi + \frac{4}{3\hat{\tau}}\chi^2 = \frac{3a}{4\hat{\tau}}, \qquad \qquad \chi(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon + P} \quad a = \frac{16}{9(\tau_R T)}\frac{\eta}{s}.$$

Solution: decays exp. grows exp.  

$$\chi(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{3\sqrt{a}}{4} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \left( K_{\sqrt{a}-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) + K_{\sqrt{a}+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) \right) + I_{\sqrt{a}-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) - I_{\sqrt{a}+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) \\ \frac{\alpha \left( K_{\sqrt{a}-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) - K_{\sqrt{a}+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) \right) + I_{\sqrt{a}-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) + I_{\sqrt{a}+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
initial cond.

At late times:  

$$\chi(\hat{\tau}) \to \chi_{att}(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{3\sqrt{a}}{4} \begin{bmatrix} I_{\sqrt{a}-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) - I_{\sqrt{a}+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) \\ I_{\sqrt{a}-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) + I_{\sqrt{a}+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

# Analytical Solution for constant relaxation times (<u>Bjorken scalling</u>)

$$\partial_{\hat{\tau}}\chi + \chi + \frac{4}{3\hat{\tau}}\chi^2 = \frac{3a}{4\hat{\tau}}, \qquad \qquad \chi(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon + P} \quad a = \frac{16}{9(\tau_R T)}$$

For "physical" case, a = 16/45 gradient expansion does not converge

**Curiosity: solutions becomes very simply for a=1** 

$$\chi(\hat{\tau})\Big|_{a=1} = \frac{3}{4} \left[ \frac{\frac{1}{\hat{\tau}} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{1}{\hat{\tau}}\right) e^{-\hat{\tau}}}{1 - \frac{1}{\hat{\tau}} + \frac{\alpha}{\hat{\tau}} e^{-\hat{\tau}}} \right]$$

Attractor becomes very simple (does not diverge)

$$\chi(\hat{\tau})\Big|_{a=1,att} = \frac{3}{4\left(\hat{\tau}-1\right)},$$

#### Analytical Solution for constant relaxation times (<u>Bjorken scalling</u>)

$$\partial_{\hat{\tau}}\chi + \chi + \frac{4}{3\hat{\tau}}\chi^2 = \frac{3a}{4\hat{\tau}}, \qquad \qquad \chi(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon + P} \quad a = \frac{16}{9(\tau_R T)}\frac{\eta}{s}.$$

#### **Trans-series can be easily generated (a=1)**

 $\chi(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{3}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\hat{\tau}^{n+1}} - \frac{3}{4} \alpha e^{-\hat{\tau}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\hat{\tau}^n} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\hat{\tau}} + \frac{n+1}{\hat{\tau}^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2 e^{-2\hat{\tau}})$   $= \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{(\hat{\tau}-1)} - \frac{3}{4} \alpha e^{-\hat{\tau}} \frac{\hat{\tau}^2}{(\hat{\tau}-1)^2} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2 e^{-2\hat{\tau}}),$ non-perturbative
Ressumed Gradient expansion
(finite radius of convergence)

## Another option: slow-roll expansion

$$\epsilon \,\hat{\tau} \frac{d\chi}{d\hat{\tau}} + \frac{4}{3}\chi^2 + \hat{\tau}\chi - \frac{3a}{4} = 0 \qquad a = \frac{16}{9(\tau_R T)} \frac{\eta}{s}.$$

Slow regime: only derivative Is assumed to be small

perturbative solution  

$$\chi(\hat{\tau};\epsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_n(\hat{\tau})\epsilon^n$$

**Coefficients satisfy recurrence relations:** 

$$\chi_0(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{3}{8} \left( \sqrt{\hat{\tau}^2 + 4a} - \hat{\tau} \right) \quad \text{(Heller et al, Romatschke ...)}$$
$$\chi_n(\hat{\tau}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{\tau}^2 + 4a}} \left( \hat{\tau} \frac{d\chi_{n-1}}{d\hat{\tau}} + \frac{4}{3} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \chi_{n-m} \chi_m \right)$$

### Another option: slow-roll expansion

$$\epsilon \,\hat{\tau} \frac{d\chi}{d\hat{\tau}} + \frac{4}{3}\chi^2 + \hat{\tau}\chi - \frac{3a}{4} = 0 \qquad \chi(\hat{\tau};\epsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_n(\hat{\tau})\epsilon^n$$



## Another option: slow-roll expansion



# Israel-Stewart theory and the gradient expansion

Gubser flow

Conformal fluid

#### **Asymptotic Solutions in <u>Gubser flow</u> Homogeneous fluid expanding according to:**

$$\frac{ds^2}{\tau^2} = d\rho^2 - \left(\cosh^2\rho d\theta^2 + \cosh^2\rho \sin^2\theta d\phi^2 + d\eta^2\right)$$

**Gubser coordinates (includes radial expansion)** 

$$\sinh \rho \equiv -\frac{1 - (q\tau)^2 + (qr)^2}{2q\tau}, \ \tan \theta \equiv \frac{2qr}{1 + (q\tau)^2 - (qr)^2}$$

**Israel-Stewart equations** (exp. rate:  $\theta = 2 \tanh \rho$ )

$$\frac{1}{T}\frac{dT}{d\rho} + \frac{2}{3}\tanh\rho - \frac{1}{3}\pi\tanh\rho = 0,$$
$$\frac{d\pi}{d\rho} + \frac{\pi}{\tau_R} + \frac{4}{3}\pi^2\tanh\rho = \frac{4}{15}\tanh\rho.$$

Convert everything into a perturbative problem



Method itself will produce the terms **Difficulty:** resumming contributions in temperature derivatives



Unlike in Bjorken, <u>*not*</u> a series in  $\tau_R$  tanhp.

Higher order behavior: both series diverge







-0.4

-10

-5

description is good.

ρ

5

0

N=2

10

15





Large viscosity: the truncated slow-roll is only qualitatively good

Since the slow expansion converges at  $|\rho|$  infinity, we can solve it numerically  $\bar{\pi}_0^{\pm} \rightarrow \pm \operatorname{sign} \rho/\sqrt{5}$ 



Comparison to truncated (n=0) slow roll



Comparison to truncated (n=0) slow roll: fixed viscosity and different initial temperatures



Varying the temperature also changes the attractor

Attractor is no longer univeral, but a set of solutions that depend either on temperature or viscosity



similar to a constitutive relation

## Conclusions

#### We studied asymptotic solutions of IS theory under Bjorken and Gubser flow

- →CE series diverges both in Bjorken and Gubser flow.
- →Slow roll expansion diverges as well
- →Attractor in Gubser flow is no longer a universal function of the Knudsen number

Slow-roll expansion is an improvement over gradient expansion