Holographic heavy-ion collisions: new analytic solutions Paul Romatschke

CU Boulder & CTQM Boulder

based on Bantilan, Ishii, PR, 1803.10774

What is Holography?

- Conjectured Duality between gauge theory and gravity in one higher dimension [Maldacena, 1997]
- Best-studied example: N=4 SYM for large N_c and large coupling dual to classical Einstein Gravity in Asymptotic AdS₅



Matter in Holography

- Field theory matter in equilibrium at temperature T
 Static Black Hole with Hawking temperature T

Related by Holographic Duality

Matter Dynamics in Holography

Out of equilibrium dynamics of strongly coupled QFT matter



\leftrightarrow

Out of equilibrium dynamics black holes



Holographic Heavy-Ion Collisions

- Holographic Dual of Heavy-Ion Collision is Collision of localized "Stuff" in AdS₅
- Easy to handle "localized stuff": Black Holes

Heavy Ion Collisions <-> Black Hole Collisions in AdS₅

Collisions in AdS₅

Collisions of non-compact objects (shock-waves)

[analytic: Albacete, Kovchegov, Taolitis; Grumiller & PR; numerical: Chesler & Yaffe, van der Schee, Attems et al, ...]

• Collisions of compact objects (BHs)

[numerical: Bantilan & PR, 2014]

Simulations of Black Hole Collisions in AdS₅



[Bantilan & PR, 2014]

Head-On Black Hole Collisions in AdS₅



[Bantilan & PR, 2014]

Head-On Black Hole Collisions in AdS₅



- Collision leads to deformed AdS-Schwarzschild BH
- BH is ringing down
- Becomes stationary AdS-Schwarzschild BH
- Trafo to Minkowski boundary: dilution of energy density

[Bantilan & PR, 2014]

How to generalize to off-center BH collisions?

- I) Direct Numerical Solution of Einstein Equations
- II) Think/Cheat: Head-on Collision led to stationary Schwarzschild in global AdS after ring-down, what would off-center collision look like?

Myers-Perry Black hole (generalization of Kerr to AdS₅)

Holographic Off-Center BH Collisions

 Myers-Perry black hole in global AdS₅ parametrized by 2 rotation parameters

|w_{1'2}|<1

- Line element in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates known [Hawking, Hunter, Taylor 1998]
- Stationary BH, no dissipative effects
- Stress tensor on global AdS R¹xS³ known [Bhattacharyya, Lahiri, Loganayagam, Minwalla, 2007]
- Coordinate/Conformal transformation to obtain Stress Tensor on R^{1,3}
- This is ideal hydrodynamic stress tensor since dissipative effects are absent

Holographic Off-Center BH Collisions

$$\begin{split} & \text{After QNM Ring-down, holographic solution for energy density } \epsilon \text{ and four-velocity } u^{\mu} = \gamma \left(1, \mathsf{v}^{\mathsf{x}}, \mathsf{v}^{\mathsf{y}}, \mathsf{v}^{\xi}/\tau\right) \\ & \epsilon = 16L^8T_0^4 \left[(L^4 + 2L^2\mathbf{x}_{\perp}^2 + (\tau^2 - \mathbf{x}_{\perp}^2)^2)(1 - \omega_2^2) \\ & + 2L^2(\tau^2 - 2y^2)(\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2) + 2L^2\tau^2(1 - \omega_1^2)\cosh 2\xi \right]^{-2} \\ & \gamma = \frac{\left[(L^2 + \tau^2 + \mathbf{x}_{\perp}^2)\cosh \xi + 2(\tau\omega_2 x - L\omega_1 y\sinh \xi) \right]}{(16L^8T_0^4/\epsilon)^{1/4}} \\ & \mathsf{v}^{\xi} = -\frac{(L^2 - \tau^2 + \mathbf{x}_{\perp}^2)\cosh \xi + 2(\tau\omega_2 x - L\omega_1 y\sinh \xi)}{(L^2 + \tau^2 + \mathbf{x}_{\perp}^2)\cosh \xi + 2(\tau\omega_2 x - L\omega_1 y\sinh \xi)}, \end{split}$$

[Bantilan, Ishii, PR, 2018]

 2-parameter analytic solution to conformal relativistic ideal hydrodynamics

$$u^{\mu}
abla_{\mu}\epsilon = -(\epsilon + P)
abla_{\mu}u^{\mu}, \quad (\epsilon + P)u^{\mu}
abla_{\mu}u^{lpha} = -
abla_{\perp}^{lpha}P$$

Holographic Off-Center BH Collisions

- New 2-parameter analytic solution to conformal relativistic ideal hydrodynamics
- Reduces to Gubser's solution for $w_1 = w_2 = 0$ [Gubser, 2010]
- Reduces to other known hydrodynamic solutions for w₂/w₁=0 [Nagy, 2009; Hatta, Noronha, Xiao 2014]

What does it look like on the boundary?

Qualitative features of analytic solution: 1/3

- Negative Initial Longitudinal Flow (Inward)
- Turns positive at late time
- Generic feature of holographic collisions

[Grumiller & PR, 2008; Bantilan & PR 2014]

 May have been seen in experiment

[Stephanov & Yin, 2014]



Recent excitement in HIC: observing vorticity

Polarization of Lambda Hyperons couples to fluid vorticity Ω



Recent excitement in HIC: observing vorticity

Polarization of Lambda Hyperons couples to fluid vorticity $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$



Qualitative Questions

- For off-central heavy-ion collision, non-vanishing angular momentum
- Natural to expect non-vanishing vorticity
- But does the system actually rotate?

Qualitative features of analytic solution: 2/3

Vorticity controlled by w₁

< Ω^{2} >, <P^X>

Non-vanishing polarization





Qualitative features of analytic solution: 3/3

Non-vanishing
 V₁, V₂, V₃, V₄,...



Qualitative features of analytic solution: 3/3

- Non-vanishing $V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, \dots$
- Qualitatively reasonable, rapidity-profile dN/dY [a.u], v_n(
- Quantitatively too narrow
- Generic feature of holographic collisions

[Casalderrey-Solana, Heller, Mateos, van der Schee, 2013]

Rapidity Distribution, $\omega_1=0.5$, $\omega_2=0.05 \tau=10 L$



Summary and Outlook

- Analytic solutions for off-center holographic collisions
- Solutions qualitatively reasonable: longitudinal, direct, elliptic, triangular flow and vorticity
- Solutions indicate that while vorticity is non-vanishing, heavy-ion systems do not rotate
- Outlook: solutions have known gravity dual; allows calculation of entanglement entropy in heavy-ion collision via Ryu-Takayangi

Bonus Material

Myers-Perry Metric

