



1

Data-driven analysis for the heavyquark diffusion coefficient in HIC

Wenkai Fan, Yingru Xu, Steffen A. Bass

Duke University

This work has been supported by the U.S Department of Energy under grand DE-FG02-05ER41367. Computational resources were provided by the Open Science Grid (OSG) and NERSC.

Simulation Setup

PART 1





Initial conditions

- Soft matter: T_RENTo
 - Entropy deposition proportional to empirical parametrization: $\frac{ds}{dy}|_{\tau=\tau_0} \propto \sqrt{T_A T_B}, T_A$ (nucleon thickness function)
- Heavy quarks
 - Position space: binary collision density
 - Momentum space: (initial hard scattering)
 Fixed-Order + Next-to-Leading Log (FONLL)



J.S.Moreland, J.Bernhard, and S.A.Bass, Phys.Rev.C 92, 011901(2015)

Simulation Setup

PART 1



Soft medium evolution

- Event-by-event (2+1)D viscous hydrodynamic model: iEbE-VISHNU
 - Shear and bulk viscosities: $\eta/s(T), \zeta/s(T)$

H.Song and U.W.Heinz, Phys.Rev.C 77, 064901(2008)

 All the soft medium related parameters are calibrated on soft hadronic observables by Bayesian analysis
 J.Bernhard, J.S.Moreland, S.A.Bass, J.Liu, and U.Heinz Phys.Rev.C 94, 024907(2015)

PART 1 Simulation Setup

Bulk profile calibrated on several soft observables at two collision energys and different centralities. [*Nature Physics* 15.11 (2019): 1113-1117]



Simulation Setup

PART 1



Heavy quark in-medium transport

- Model A: improved Langevin model
- Model B: Lido linearized Boltzmann + diffusion model

PART 1 Simulation Setup - Improved Langevin Equation

$$\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = -\eta_D(p)\vec{p} + \vec{\xi} + \vec{f}_g$$

• Drag force:

 $\eta_D(p) = \kappa/(2TE)$

• Thermal random force:

 $\left<\xi^i(t)\xi^j(t')\right> = \kappa \delta^{ij}\delta(t-t')$

• Recoil force from gluon emission:

$$\begin{split} \vec{f}_g &= -\mathrm{d}\vec{p}_g/\mathrm{d}t \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}N_g}{\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}k_{\perp}^2\mathrm{d}t} &= \frac{2\alpha_s P(x)C_A/C_F}{\pi k_{\perp}^4} \hat{q} \sin^2\left(\frac{t-t_i}{2\tau_f}\right) \left(\frac{k_{\perp}^2}{k_{\perp}^2+x^2M^2}\right)^4 \\ \text{where } \eta_D(p) &\approx \frac{\kappa}{2TE}, \kappa = \kappa_{\perp} = \kappa_{\parallel}, \hat{q} = 2\kappa \end{split}$$
[S.Cao, G.Qin, and S.A.Bass, Phys.Rev.C 92, 024907(2015)]

$\mathsf{PART}\;1$

Improved Langevin Equation

 $D_s 2\pi T = 8\pi/(\hat{q}/T^3)$

$$D_{s}2\pi T$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + (\gamma^{2}p)^{2}} (D_{s}2\pi T)^{soft}$$

$$+ \frac{(\gamma^{2}p)^{2}}{1 + (\gamma^{2}p)^{2}} (D_{s}2\pi T)^{pQCD}$$

$$(D_s 2\pi T)^{soft} = \alpha (1 + \beta (\frac{T}{T_c} - 1))$$

 γ ranges from 0 to 1 and controls the relative magnitude between $(D_s 2\pi T)^{soft}$ and $(D_s 2\pi T)^{pQCD}$.



Simulation Setup

PART 1



Hadronization/particalization

- Soft medium: particlization (hydrodynamic model \rightarrow hadron gas) at $T_{\rm switch}$
- $c \rightarrow D$ -meson, charmed baryons at $T_c = 154$ MeV: combined model of recombination and fragmentation

S. A. Bass et al., Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 41 (1998)

Hadronic re-scattering M. Bleicher et al. J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 25 (1999)

- UrQMD: solving the Boltzmann equation of hadron scattering
- D-mesons scatter with π , ρ : $\pi D \rightarrow \pi D, \pi D^* \rightarrow \pi D^*, \pi D \leftrightarrow \rho D^*$ $\rho D \rightarrow \rho D, \rho D^* \rightarrow \rho D^*, \rho D \leftrightarrow \pi D^*$

Z.-W. Lin, T. Di, and C. Ko, Nucl. Phys. A689, 965 (2001)

c = 134 MeV.

PART 1 Simulation Setup - Heavy quark hadronization and hadronic re-scattering

Heavy quark hadronization: fragmentation (high p_T) + recombination (low p_T)

Momentum spectra of recombined mesons and baryons:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN_M}{d^3 p_M} &= \int d^3 p_1 d^3 p_2 \frac{dN_1}{d^3 p_1} \frac{dN_2}{d^3 p_2} f_M^W(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2) \delta(\vec{p}_M - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2) \\ \frac{dN_B}{d^3 p_B} \\ &= \int d^3 p_1 d^3 p_2 d^3 p_3 \frac{dN_1}{d^3 p_1} \frac{dN_2}{d^3 p_2} \frac{dN_3}{d^3 g_1} f_B^W(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_3) \delta(\vec{p}_M - \vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2 - \vec{p}_3) \end{aligned}$$

where the Wigner functions reads:

 $f_{M}^{W}(q^{2}) = \frac{g_{M}(2\sqrt{\pi}\sigma)^{3}}{V}e^{-q^{2}\sigma^{2}}$ $f_{B}^{W}(q_{1}^{2},q_{2}^{2}) = \frac{g_{B}(2\sqrt{\pi}\sigma_{1})^{3}(2\sqrt{\pi}\sigma_{2})^{3}}{V^{2}}e^{-q_{1}^{2}\sigma_{1}^{2}-q_{2}^{2}\sigma_{2}^{2}}$

 $\sigma=1/\sqrt{\mu\omega}$, μ is the reduced mass and ω is the oscillator frequency.



Probability of a heavy quark recombine into a hadron

PART 1 Simulation Setup - Heavy quark hadronization and hadronic re-scattering

The oscillator frequency ω is fitted to charge radii of the corresponding charged hadrons:

$$\left\langle r_M^2 \right\rangle_{ch} = \frac{3}{2\omega} \frac{1}{(m_1 + m_2)(Q_1 + Q_2)} \left(\frac{m_2}{m_1} Q_1 + \frac{m_1}{m_2} Q_2 \right)$$

$$\left\langle r_B^2 \right\rangle_{ch} = \frac{3}{2\omega} \frac{1}{(m_1 + m_2 + m_3)(Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3)}$$

$$\left(\frac{m_2 + m_3}{m_1} Q_1 + \frac{m_3 + m_1}{m_2} Q_2 + \frac{m_1 + m_2}{m_3} Q_3 \right)$$

We get $\omega = 0.33$ GeV for charm and beauty mesons, $\omega = 0.43$ GeV for charm baryons and $\omega = 0.41$ GeV for beauty baryons.



Probability of a heavy quark recombine into a hadron

PART 1 Simulation Setup – Bayesian Analysis



after calibration

PART 1 Simulation Setup – Bayesian Analysis

$$p(\theta|\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_{exp}) \propto \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_{exp}|\theta) \times p(\theta)$$

- Posterior distribution: probability of θ given observation y_{exp}
- Likelihood: $\mathcal{L}(y = y_{exp} | \theta) \propto exp[-(y(\theta) y_{exp})\Sigma^{-1}(y(\theta) y_{exp})^T]$
- Covariance matrix: $\Sigma = \Sigma_{\mathrm{exp}} + \Sigma_{\mathrm{model}} + \Sigma_{\mathrm{GP}}$
- Prior distribution $P(\theta)$: prior knowledge of parameters

Gaussian process emulator

- Non-parametric regression
- Quickly predict model output given input $\rightarrow y(\theta)$
- Returns not only mean of prediction $\hat{y}(\theta)$, but also uncertainty $\sigma_{\rm GP}$

Markov chain Monte Carlo

- Random walk through the parameter space
- Accepted/rejected based on likelihood
- Posterior ensembles achieved after equilibrium



Parameter	Description	Range
α	$D_s 2\pi T$ at T_c	0.1-7.0
β	Slope of $(D_s 2\pi T)^{\text{linear}}$ above T_c	0-5.0
γ	Ratio between D_s^{linear} and D_s^{pQCD}	0.0-0.6



Latin hyper cube design in the parameter space



Uniform prior distributions



Emulator validation with model calculation



Posterior distribution with different combination of training data sets

Emulator predictions for 200 random input parameters sampled from the posterior distributions.





Comparison with experimental data using several sets of parameters sampled from the posterior distribution.





Posterior distribution of model parameters.

PART 2

Results - Bayesian Analysis



Posterior prediction of $D_s 2\pi T$



Posterior prediction of $D_s 2\pi T$ compared with other models

PART 2 **Results – Best estimation** charm |y| < 0.5D-meson after |y| < 0.5ALICE, |y| < 0.5+ CMS, $\left|y\right|<1.0$ *D*-meson before |y| < 0.5+ 1.01.0+ 1.0 $R_{\rm AA}$, 30-50% 0.2R_{AA}, 0-100% R_{AA} , 0-10% G_{T} 0.0-0.0 -0.0 -40 20 20 40 20 40 0 0 0 $p_T \; [GeV]$ $p_T \; [\text{GeV}]$ $p_T \; [GeV]$ 0.20.20.2 v_2 , 10-30% v2, 30-50% 0.1 v_2 , 0-10% 0.00.0-0.0

10

 $\alpha_s = 0.32, \alpha = 1.74, \beta = 2.43, \gamma = 0.18$

20

 $p_T \; [\text{GeV}]$

30

40

0

10

20

 $p_T \; [{\rm GeV}]$

30

40

 $20 \ p_T \ [GeV]$

10

0

30

40

0

23

PART 2 Results – Best estimation

We can also use the extracted parameters to predict other observables:



Best estimate of v_3



Comparison with data using a set of optimal parameters



 H_{AA} and H_{v_2} using a set of optimal parameters



Comparison with data using a fixed $D_s 2\pi T = 4$



 H_{AA} and H_{v_2} using a fixed $D_s 2\pi T = 4$

- We performed a data driven analysis on the diffusion coefficient of charm quark.
- This study mainly focuses on heavy quark diffusion. Initial condition, hydrodynamics, hadronization and hadronic transport all uses calibrated results.
- Our current estimation is compared to lattice and other model calculations, and we see some tension near T_c . To further reduce the uncertainties, both experiment and systematic/emulator uncertainties should be improved.
- A further systematic comparison between different transport models, initial condition and hydro can be found in *Physical Review C* 99.1 (2019): 014902.



Thank you!



Higher precision: current σ_{exp}



33



Higher precision: $0.5\sigma_{exp}$



34



Higher precision: $0.2\sigma_{exp}$

