ETC* WORKSHOP: "QUARK-GLUON PLASMA CHARACTERISATION WITH HEAVY FLAVOUR PROBES"

15 November 2021 — 18 November 2021

Charm and Bottom dynamics: nuclear modification factor R_{AA} and anisotropic flows v_n in event shape selections



Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare



Maria Lucia Sambataro

Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia 'E. Majorana' Università degli Studi di Catania, INFN-LNS

In collaboration with: S. Plumari, Y. Sun, V. Minissale, V. Greco

Outline

- QPM Catania approach to charm quark dynamics
 R_{AA}, *v*₂→ Spatial diffusion coefficient *D_s*(*T*) of charm.
- Initial state fluctuations \rightarrow Event-Shape-Engineering
 - > Anisotropic flows $v_{n(=2,3,4)}$ and their correlations.
- Predictions for bottom quark
 - > R_{AA} , v_2 of electrons from semileptonic B-mesons decays.
 - > Spatial diffusion coefficient $D_s(T)$ of bottom.
- Extension to off-shell dynamics
 - Impact on transport properties and FDT validity.
 - Energy loss
- Conclusions and new perspectives.

Basic scales of charm and bottom quarks



- $m_{c,b} >> \Lambda_{QCD}$ pQCD initial production
- $m_{c,b} >> T_{RHIC,LHC}$ negligible thermal production
- $\tau_0 < 0.08 \text{ fm/c} << \tau_{QGP}$
- $\tau_{th} \approx \tau_{QGP} >> \tau_{g,q}$

They experience the full evolution of the QGP.

They carry more informations with respect to their light counterparts.

CATANIA MODEL: QPM APPROACH AND TRANSPORT THEORY

QP-Model fitting IQCD

Non perturbative dynamics \rightarrow M scattering matrices (q,g \rightarrow Q) evaluated by Quasi-Particle Model fit to IQCD thermodynamics

$$\begin{split} m_g^2(T) &= \frac{2N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \, g^2(T) \, T^2 \\ m_q^2(T) &= \frac{1}{N_c} \, g^2(T) \, T^2 \end{split}$$

g(T) from a fit to ε from IQCD data \rightarrow good reproduction of P, ε -3P, c_s

$$g^{2}(T) = \frac{48\pi^{2}}{(11N_{c} - 2N_{f})\ln\left[\lambda\left(\frac{T}{T_{c}} - \frac{T_{s}}{T_{c}}\right)\right]^{2}} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \lambda = 2.6 \\ T_{s} = 0.57 \ T_{c} \end{array}$$

Larger than pQCD especially as T \rightarrow T_{c}

S. Plumari et al, *Phys.Rev.D* 84 (2011) 094004 H. Berrehrah,, PHYSICAL REVIEW C **93**, 044914 (2016) M.L. Sambataro et al. in preparation

T [GeV]

Relativistic Boltzmann equation at finite η/s

Bulk evolution

$$p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_{q}(x,p)+m(x)\partial_{\mu}^{x}m(x)\partial_{p}^{\mu}f_{q}(x,p)=C[f_{q},f_{g}]$$

$$p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_{g}(x,p)+m(x)\partial_{\mu}^{x}m(x)\partial_{p}^{\mu}f_{g}(x,p)=C[f_{q},f_{g}]$$

Equivalent to viscous hydro at $\eta/s \approx 0.1$

Free-streaming

field interaction $\varepsilon - 3p \neq 0$

Collision term gauged to some η/s≠ 0

HQ evolution

 $p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_Q(x,p)=C[f_q,f_g,f_Q]$

$$C[f_{q}, f_{g}, f_{Q}] = \frac{1}{2E_{1}} \int \frac{d^{3}p_{2}}{2E_{2}(2\pi)^{3}} \int \frac{d^{3}p_{1}'}{2E_{1}'(2\pi)^{3}} \times [f_{Q}(p_{1}')f_{q,g}(p_{2}') - f_{Q}(p_{1})f_{q,g}(p_{2})] \times [M_{(q,g) \rightarrow Q}(p_{1}p_{2} \rightarrow p_{1}'p_{2}')] \times (2\pi)^{4} \delta^{4}(p_{1}+p_{2}-p_{1}'-p_{2}')$$

Feynmann diagrams at first order pQCD for HQs-bulk interaction:



Scattering matrices $M_{g,q}$ by QPM fit to IQCD thermodynamics

Relativistic Boltzmann equation at finite η/s

Bulk evolution

P.



$$\frac{dN_{h}}{d^{2}p_{h}} = \sum_{f} \int dz \frac{dN_{f}}{d^{2}p_{f}} \underbrace{D_{f \rightarrow h}(z)}_{D f \rightarrow h} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{dN_{Hadron}}{d^{2}p_{T}} \neq g_{H} \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \cdot d \sigma_{i} \frac{d^{3}p_{i}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \underbrace{f_{q}(x_{i}, p_{i}) f_{W}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}; p_{1}, \dots, p_{n})}_{Wigner function} \delta(p_{T} - \sum_{i} p_{iT}) \\ \frac{dN_{Hadron}}{d^{2}p_{T}} \neq g_{H} \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \cdot d \sigma_{i} \frac{d^{3}p_{i}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \underbrace{f_{q}(x_{i}, p_{i}) f_{W}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}; p_{1}, \dots, p_{n})}_{Wigner function} \delta(p_{T} - \sum_{i} p_{iT}) \\ \frac{dN_{Hadron}}{d^{2}p_{T}} \neq g_{H} \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \cdot d \sigma_{i} \frac{d^{3}p_{i}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \underbrace{f_{q}(x_{i}, p_{i}) f_{W}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}; p_{1}, \dots, p_{n})}_{Wigner function} \delta(p_{T} - \sum_{i} p_{iT}) \\ \frac{dN_{Hadron}}{d^{2}p_{T}} \neq g_{H} \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \cdot d \sigma_{i} \frac{d^{3}p_{i}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \underbrace{f_{q}(x_{i}, p_{i}) f_{W}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}; p_{1}, \dots, p_{n})}_{Wigner function} \delta(p_{T} - \sum_{i} p_{iT}) \\ \frac{dN_{Hadron}}{d^{2}p_{T}} \neq g_{H} \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \cdot d \sigma_{i} \frac{d^{3}p_{i}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \underbrace{f_{q}(x_{i}, p_{i}) f_{W}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}; p_{1}, \dots, p_{n})}_{Wigner function} \delta(p_{T} - \sum_{i} p_{iT}) \\ \frac{dN_{Hadron}}{d^{2}p_{T}} \neq g_{H} \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \cdot d \sigma_{i} \frac{d^{3}p_{i}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \underbrace{f_{Q}(x_{i}, p_{i}) f_{W}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}; p_{1}, \dots, p_{n})}_{Wigner function} \delta(p_{T} - \sum_{i} p_{iT}) \\ \frac{dN_{Hadron}}{d^{2}p_{T}} \neq g_{H} \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \cdot d \sigma_{i} \frac{d^{3}p_{i}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \underbrace{f_{Q}(x_{i}, p_{i}) f_{W}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}; p_{1}, \dots, p_{n})}_{Wigner function} \delta(p_{T} - \sum_{i} p_{iT}) \\ \frac{dN_{Hadron}}{d^{2}p_{T}} \neq g_{H} \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \cdot d \sigma_{i} \frac{d^{3}p_{i}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \underbrace{f_{Q}(x_{i}, p_{i}) f_{W}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}; p_{n})}_{Wigner function} \\ \frac{dN_{Hadron}}{d^{2}p_{T}} = g_{H} \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \cdot d \sigma_{i} \frac{d^{3}p_{i}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \underbrace{f_{Q}(x_{i}, p_{i}) f_{W}(x_{i}, \dots, x_{n}; p_{n})}_{Wigner function} \\ \frac{dN_{Hadron}}{d^{2}p_{T}} = g_{H} \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \cdot d \sigma_{i} \frac{d^{3}p_{i}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \underbrace{f_{Q}(x_{i}, p_{i}) f_{W}(x_{i}, \dots, p_{n})}_{Wigner function} \\ \frac{dN_{Hadron}}{d^{2}p_{T}} = g_{H} \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \cdot d \sigma_{i} \frac{dN_{Hadron}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \underbrace{f_{Q}(x_{i}, \dots$$

Catania QPM: some prediction for charm...



ALICE collaboration, *Phys.Lett.B* 813 (2021) 136054

Spatial diffusion coefficient of charm quark



Not a model fit to IQCD data! Results from R_{AA} (p_T), v_2 (p_T)

We have a probe with $\tau_{therm} \approx \tau_{QGP}$

$$\tau_{th} = \frac{M}{2\pi T^2} (2\pi T D_s) \cong 1.8 \, \frac{2\pi T D_s}{(T/T_c)^2} \, \, \mathrm{fm/c}$$

Reviews:

- F. Prino and R. Rapp, JPG(2019)
- X. Dong and V. Greco, Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. (2019)
- Jiaxing Zhao et al., arXiv:2005.08277



Extension to higher order anisotropic flows $v_n(p_T)$



$$\epsilon_{n} = \frac{\left\langle r_{\perp}^{n} \cos\left[n\left(\varphi - \Phi_{n}\right)\right]\right\rangle}{\left\langle r_{\perp}^{n}\right\rangle} \quad \Phi_{n} = \frac{1}{n} \arctan\left(\frac{\left\langle r_{\perp}^{n} \sin\left(n\varphi\right)\right\rangle}{\left\langle r_{\perp}^{n} \cos\left(n\varphi\right)\right\rangle}\right)$$
$$r_{\perp} = \sqrt{x^{2} + y^{2}}, \quad \varphi = \arctan\left(y/x\right)$$

Monte Carlo Glauber for initial condition of partons S.Plumari et al, *Phys.Rev.C* 92 (2015) 5

Extension to higher order anisotropic flows $v_n(p_T)$



In the more peripheral collision (30-50 % centrality class) \rightarrow larger v_2 and comparable $v_3 \ge v_2$ mainly generated by the geometry of overlapping region in larger centrality collision $\ge v_3$ mainly driven by the fluctuation of the triangularity of overlap region at all centrality

Extension to higher order anisotropic flows $v_n(p_T)$

0.8

0.6

30-50%

Pb-Pb 5,02 TeV

5

30-50%

0.4

ESE tecnique and v_n correlations

Selection of events with the same centrality but different initial geometry on the basis of the magnitude of the second-order harmonic



ESE: $v_n - v_m$ correlations



Data taken from: S. Mohapatra Nucl. Phys. A 956 (2016) 59-66

ESE: v_2 and spectra (20% small/large q_2)



Data taken from ALICE collaboration: Phys.Lett.B 813 (2021) 136054

- > v_2 (large- q_2 /small- q_2) ≥ v_2 (unbiased) of about 50% in both 0-10% and 30-50% centrality.
- Spectra ratio of D mesons (ESE selected/unbiased event) → close to unity → small correlation between the radial flow and the azimuthal anisotropy (still missing ev. by ev. fluctuation for coalescence)
 Y. Sun e al. in preparation

D meson: Impact of large Λ_c production on R_{AA}



 $D_s(T)$ of charm quark that reproduces R_{AA} and v_2 gives good description of

- > Impact of Λ_c / D^0
- > Triangular flow $v_3(p_T)$.
- \succ q_2 selected anisotropic flow and spectra.



> With the same coalescence plus fragmentation model we describe the Λ_c/D^0

S. Plumari, et al., Eur. Phys. J. C78 no. 4, (2018) 348

Extension to bottom dynamics: R_{AA} and v_n



Pb + Pb 5,02 TeV

No parameters changed with respect to charm dynamics

M.L. Sambataro, V. Minissale et al., in preparation

Extension to bottom dynamics: R_{AA} and v_n



12

8

M.L. Sambataro, V. Minissale et al., in preparation

Charm quark vs Bottom quark

 $M \rightarrow \infty$ limit is not reached for charm

3.5r lQCD [Banerjee et al.] $T_{c} = 0.155 \text{ GeV}$ M_{h}/M_{c} lQCD [Kaczmarek (2014)] 10^{2} 3 lQCD [Francis (2015)] M^*/M_{L} lQCD [Brambilla (2019)] lQCD [Brambilla (2020)] 2.5 $M^* = 12 \text{ GeV}$ — QPM (Catania) Charm $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ $(2\pi T)D$ QPM (Catania) Bottom 4 fm/c \square D_s(c)/D_s(b) QPM 2 10 - D_c(b)/D_c(M^{*}) QPM 6 fm/ 10 fm/c 1.5 13 fm $D_s = T/M\gamma$ 0.50.8 1.2 1.6 2.4 2.8 3.2 3.6 2 2 3 T/T T/T_{C} Kinetic theory: $\tau_{th}(b)/\tau_{th}(c) \approx \gamma_c/\gamma_b \approx M_b/M_c$ $D_s = \frac{T}{M\nu} = \frac{T}{M} \tau_{th}$ ideally M independent (M $\rightarrow \infty$) Results from $R_{AA}(p_T)$ and $v_2(p_T)$ In QPM approach $\rightarrow D_s(c)$ is 30-40% larger than $D_s(b)$ FCC \rightarrow Bottom fully thermalized

Spatial diffusion coefficient of bottom quark

ON-SHELL VS OFF-SHELL IN A STATIC BOX

Non-perturbative effects: impact of off-shell dynamics QPM vs. DQPM

Partons are dressed by non-perturbative spectral functions:

$$A_i^{BW}(m_i) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{m_i^2 \gamma_i^*}{(m_i^2 - M_i^2)^2 + (m_i \gamma_i^*)^2}$$

$$C[f] = \int dm_i A(m_i) \int dm_f A(m_f)$$

$$\times \frac{1}{2E_p} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}}{2E_q (2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}'}{2E_{q'} (2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}'}{2E_{p'} (2\pi)^3}$$

$$\times \frac{1}{\gamma_Q} \sum |\mathcal{M}_Q|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (p+q-p'-q')$$

$$\times [f(\mathbf{p}')\hat{f}(\mathbf{q}',m_f) - f(\mathbf{p})\hat{f}(\mathbf{q},m_i)]$$

For references: W. Cassing, Nucl.Phys. A831, 215 E. Bratkovskaya, Nucl.Phys. A856, 162 H. Berrehrah, Phys. Rev. C 89(5), 054901 M.L. Sambataro et al., *Eur.Phys.J.C* 80 12, 1140

Off-shell \approx PHSD but also larger widths!

BOX CALCULATION [T=200 MeV] FOR CHARM



Bulk is not with the same energy density The energy density of off-shell case is smaller

- Transport coefficient scales with energy density of the system ε
- Larger breaking for
 low p region (p ≤2-3 GeV/c)
 → larger off-shell effects

 \rightarrow 30-40% decreasing drag

On-shell vs Off-shell energy loss BOX CALCULATION [T=200 MeV] FOR CHARM





The difference between on-shell and off-shell mode can be adsorbed by multiplying scattering matrix for a k factor

Conclusions

- $D_S(T)$ reproduces D meson R_{AA} and v_2
 - \rightarrow correct predictions for v_3 and q_2 selected anisotropic flow/spectra.
- Extension to bottom quark dynamics:
 - \rightarrow good description of R_{AA} , v_2 of electron from semileptonic B meson decay.

 $\rightarrow D_S(T)$ of bottom in agreement with lattice QCD data within the still significantly large uncertainties.

- Prediction for significant $v_n v_m$ correlation of hard particles, similar correlation between v_n of soft and hard particles.
- New perspectives: B meson v_3 and impact of Λ_B/B^0 on B meson R_{AA} .



Thanks for your attention!

Back-up slides

We evaluate the cross section in each cell α according to the Chapmann-Enskog formula in order to have a fluid at the wanted $\eta/s(T_{\alpha})$ (S. *Plumari et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C 86*, 054902 (2012)):

$$\sigma_{\text{tot},\alpha} = \frac{1}{15} \frac{\left\langle p \right\rangle_{\alpha}}{g\left(\frac{m_D}{T_{\alpha}}\right) n_{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\eta/s}$$



Comparison between Relaxation Time Approximation, Chapmann-Enskog and Green-Kubo. In the non-isotropic case CE works better than RTA.



From that we obtain the probability for a $2 \rightarrow 2$ collision (*Z. Xu, C. Greiner, Phys. Rev. C* 71, 064901 (2005)):

$$\mathsf{P} = \mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{rel}} \sigma_{\mathsf{tot}} \frac{\triangle t}{\triangle^3 x}.$$

Non-perturbative effects: impact of off-shell dynamics QPM vs. DQPM

Partons are dressed by non-perturbative spectral functions:

