

The Bohr-Weisskopf Effect and the Nuclear Magnetization Radius



Laser Spectroscopy Observables



Nuclear Magnetization Radius



Hyperfine Interactions

$$W_{hfs} = \sum_{k \ge 1} \left\langle \alpha IJF \left| T_e^{(k)} \cdot T_n^{(k)} \right| \alpha IJF \right\rangle$$

$$W_{hfs} = \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{\left(-1\right)^{I+J+F} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} F & J & I \\ k & I & J \end{array} \right\}}{\left(\begin{array}{cc} I & k & I \\ -I & 0 & I \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} J & k & J \\ -J & 0 & J \end{array} \right)} \quad \left\langle II \left| T_n^{(k)} \right| II \right\rangle \left\langle \alpha JJ \left| T_e^{(k)} \right| \alpha JJ \right\rangle$$

$$W_{A} = W_{L} + W_{SD} + W_{c}$$

$$M_{A} = W_{L} + W_{SD} + W_{c}$$



The Hyperfine Anomaly

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 77, NUMBER 1

JANUARY 1, 1950

The Influence of Nuclear Structure on the Hyperfine Structure of Heavy Elements

AAGE BOHR Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, New York*

AND

V. F. WEISSKOPF Department of Physics and Laboratory for Nuclear Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts (Received September 27, 1949)

The influence on the h.f.s. of the finite size of the nucleus is considered and the effect is calculated for simple models of the nuclear magnetism. It is pointed out that the distribution of magnetic dipole density over the nuclear volume may vary greatly from nucleus to nucleus depending on the relative contributions of spin and orbital magnetic moments to the total nuclear moment. On this basis an attempt is made to interpret the observed discrepancy between the h.f.s. ratio of the Rb isotopes and the ratio of the magnetic moments as determined by the magnetic resonance method. A study of such anomalies may give some information regarding the structure of nuclear moments, in particular, regarding the nuclear g_L -factor.

I. INTRODUCTION

A RECENT accurate determination¹ of the nuclear moments of the Rb isotopes by the magnetic resonance method has indicated that the ratio of the h.f.s. splittings in Rb⁸⁵ and Rb⁸⁷, measured previously with great precision,² does not agree exactly with the value calculated from the ratio of the moments, if the nuclei are considered as point dipoles. The h.f.s. ratio is found to be larger by 0.33 percent, while the experimental uncertainty involved in the comparison is judged to be about 0.05 percent.

It has been pointed out by Bitter³ that anomalies

tion, the electron density varies approximately as $1-ZR^2/a_0R_0$, where R_0 is the nuclear radius.

In a model in which the nuclear magnetic moment is considered as a smeared-out dipole distribution, the h.f.s. would thus be expected to differ from the value calculated for a point dipole at the nuclear center by a factor $1+\epsilon$, where

$$\epsilon \approx -\left(ZR_0/a_0\right)(R^2/R_0^2)_{\rm Av}.$$
 (1)

For heavy atoms, relativity becomes of importance and its main effect in the present connection is to increase the absolute magnitude of the electron density at the puckets by α_{i} for the electron density at the



The Hyperfine Anomaly

$$\epsilon = -[(1+0.38\zeta)\alpha_s + 0.62\alpha_L]b(Z, R_0)(R/R_0)^2$$

The extreme single particle model:

$$\alpha_{s} = (g_{s}/g_{I}) (g_{I}-g_{L})/(g_{s}-g_{I}) \qquad \alpha_{L} = 1-\alpha_{s}$$

$$\zeta = (2I-1)/4(I+1) : I=L+1/2$$

$$\zeta = (2I+1)/4(I+2) : I=L-1/2$$

$$\epsilon_{BW} = \epsilon_{\pi} \beta_{\pi} + \epsilon_{\nu} \beta_{\nu} \qquad \text{odd-odd}$$

A simple model, but illustrates the different dependence on gl and gs.



Previous Work



Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables

Volume 99, Issue 1, January 2013, Pages 62-68



Table of hyperfine anomaly in atomic systems

J.R. Persson 🖾

Department of Physics, NTNU, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway

Received 1 November 2011, Accepted 9 April 2012, Available online 23 December 2012.

With only a couple of notable exceptions only the stable isotopes are known....



Previous Work



Hyperfine Anomalies in Bi?





The Laser Scheme



PHYSICAL REVIEW C 94, 024334 (2016)

GS transition selected to provide maximum information for insource spectroscopy.

 Very poorly populated in the charge exchange process.



Results



Measurements of a similar quality obtained for- 209,208,205,201,199,198,197Bi.



The Ratio of A factors



Defining the effective g factors



The Extreme Single Particle Model

 ϵ / (b R^2/R_0^2)



Excellent correlation, but at this stage no idea of the atomic factor b for either of the levels.

Will the observed anomalies agree quantitatively?

How incorrect are the moments derived?

Input from atomic theory required.





Physics Letters B 779 (2018) 324-330



The nuclear magnetic moment of ²⁰⁸Bi and its relevance for a test of bound-state strong-field QED



S. Schmidt^{a,*,1}, J. Billowes^b, M.L. Bissell^b, K. Blaum^c, R.F. Garcia Ruiz^b, H. Heylen^c, S. Malbrunot-Ettenauer^d, G. Neyens^e, W. Nörtershäuser^a, G. Plunien^f, S. Sailer^{c,g}, V.M. Shabaev^h, L.V. Skripnikov^{h,i}, I.I. Tupitsyn^{h,j}, A.V. Volotka^{h,k}, X.F. Yang^e

Our calculations employing the configurationinteraction Dirac-Fock-Sturm method [40] and the relativistic multireference coupled cluster method [41, 42, 43] yield

$$r[{}^{4}S_{3/2}, {}^{4}P_{1/2}] = 1.54(14).$$
(4)

Li-like bismuth, respectively. We have calculated the ratios of hfs anomalies and found out that they are very stable with respect to a change of the nuclear model. Our calculations yield

$$r[{}^{4}P_{1/2}, 1s] = 1.113(14),$$

$$r[{}^{4}P_{1/2}, 2s] = 1.035(13).$$
(7)



The Hyperfine Anomaly



Observed Hyperfine anomalies are reproduced perfectly when using the quenched g factors in the extreme single particle model.

Results completely consistent with a magnetization radius equal to the charge radius and further a uniform distribution of magnetization across the nucleus.



Atomic theory is now sufficiently advanced to provide a reliable interpretation of hyperfine structure anomalies.

Observations of this effect over a long isotopic chain are possible, and could provide a valuable insight into the composition of the nuclear magnetic moment and also its spatial distribution.

Orders of magnitude improvement in our resolution of such effects are in principle achievable.

BUT

The nuclear theoretical description of this effect must be approached in a more rigorous way, fit for the 21st centaury!



Thanks!





Laser Spectroscopy of Bi

